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#### Abstract

In this paper, we use the notion of topological vector space valued cone metric space and generalize a common fixed point theorem of a pair of multivalued mappings satisfying a generalized contractive type condition. Our results extend some well known recent results in the literature.
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## 1. Introduction-preliminaries

Many authors [5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 22, 15, 27] studied fixed points results of mappings satisfying contractive type condition in Banach space valued cone metric spaces. In recent papers [9] the authors obtained common fixed points of a pair of mapping in a class of topological vector space -valued (tvs-valued) cone metric spaces. The class of tvs-cone metric spaces is bigger than the class of cone metric spaces studied in [8, 10, 22, 15, 27]. Recently Azam et al.[9] obtain

[^0]common fixed points of mappings satisfying a generalized contractive type condition in tvscone metric spaces.In this paper we continue these investigations to generalize the results in [8, 15].

Let $(E, \tau)$ be always a topological vector space (tvs) and $P$ a subset of $E$. Then, $P$ is called a cone whenever
(i) $P$ is closed, non-empty and $P \neq\{0\}$,
(ii) $a x+b y \in P$ for all $x, y \in P$ and non-negative real numbers $a, b$,
(iii) $P \cap(-P)=\{0\}$.

For a given cone $P \subseteq E$, we can define a partial ordering $\leq$ with respect to $P$ by $x \leq y$ if and only if $y-x \in P . x<y$ will stand for $x \leq y$ and $x \neq y$, while $x \ll y$ will stand for $y-x \in \operatorname{int} P$, where int $P$ denotes the interior of $P$.

Definition 1.1. Let $X$ be a non-empty set. Suppose the mapping $d: X \times X \rightarrow E$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right) 0 \leq d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y)=0$ if and only if $x=y$, $\left(\mathrm{d}_{2}\right) d(x, y)=d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$, $\left(\mathrm{d}_{3}\right) d(x, y) \leq d(x, z)+d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then $d$ is called a topological vector space-valued cone metric on $X$ and $(X, d)$ is called a topological vector space-valued cone metric space.

If $E$ is a real Banach space, then $(X, d)$ is called (Banach space valued) cone metric space [ $8,10,22,15,27]$.

Definition 1.2. Let $(X, d)$ be a tvs-cone metric space, $x \in X$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ a sequence in $X$. Then (i) $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ converges to $x$ whenever for every $c \in E$ with $0 \ll c$ there is a natural number $N$ such that $d\left(x_{n}, x\right) \ll c$ for all $n \geq N$. We denote this by $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=x$ or $x_{n} \rightarrow x$.
(ii) $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence whenever for every $c \in E$ with $0 \ll c$ there is a natural number $N$ such that $d\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right) \ll c$ for all $n, m \geq N$.
(iii) $(X, d)$ is a complete cone metric space if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Lemma 1.3. [11] Let $(X, d)$ be a tvs-cone metric space, $P$ be a cone. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $X$ and $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $P$ converging to 0 . If $d\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right) \leq a_{n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m>n$, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

The fixed point theorems and other results, in the case of cone metric spaces with non-normal solid cones, cannot be proved by reducing to metric spaces. Further, the vector valued function cone metric is not continuous in the general case.

## 2. Main results

In the sequel, let $\mathbb{E}$ be a locally convex Hausdorff tvs with its zero vector $\theta, P$ be a proper, closed and convex pointed cone in $\mathbb{E}$ with int $P \neq \emptyset$ and $\preccurlyeq$ denotes the induced partial ordering with respect to $P$.

According to [9], let $(X, d)$ be a tvs-valued cone metric space with a solid cone $P$ and $C B(X)$ be a collection of nonempty closed and bounded subsets of $X$. Let $T: X \rightarrow C B(X)$ be a multivalued mapping. For any $x \in X, A \in C B(X)$, define a set $W_{x}(A)$ as follows:

$$
W_{x}(A)=\{d(x, a): a \in A\} .
$$

Thus, for any $x, y \in X$, we have

$$
W_{x}(T y)=\{d(x, u): u \in T y\} .
$$

Definition 2.1. [14] Let $(X, d)$ be a cone metric space with the solid cone $P$. A multi-valued mapping $S: X \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{E}}$ is said to be bounded from below if, for any $x \in X$, there exists $z(x) \in \mathbb{E}$ such that $S x-z(x) \subset P$.

Definition 2.2. [14] Let $(X, d)$ be a cone metric space with the solid cone $P$. A cone $P$ is said to be complete if, for any bounded from above and nonempty subset $A$ of $\mathbb{E}$, $\sup A$ exists in $\mathbb{E}$. Equivalently, a cone $P$ is complete if, for any bounded from below and nonempty subset $A$ of $\mathbb{E}$, $\inf A$ exists in $\mathbb{E}$.

Definition 2.3. [9] Let $(X, d)$ be a tvs-valued cone metric space with the solid cone $P$. A multivalued mapping $T: X \rightarrow C B(X)$ is said to have the lower bound property (l.b. property) on $X$ if, for any $x \in X$, the multi-valued mapping $S_{x}: X \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{E}}$ defined by $S_{x}(y)=W_{x}(T y)$ is bounded from below, that is, for any $x, y \in X$, there exists an element $\ell_{x}(T y) \in \mathbb{E}$ such that $W_{x}(T y)-\ell_{x}(T y) \subset P . \ell_{x}(T y)$ is called the lower bound of $T$ associated with $(x, y)$.

Definition 2.4. [9] Let $(X, d)$ be a tvs-valued cone metric space with the solid cone $P$. A multivalued mapping $T: X \rightarrow C B(X)$ is said to have the greatest lower bound property (for short, g.l.b. property) on $X$ if the greatest lower bound of $W_{x}(T y)$ exists in $\mathbb{E}$ for all $x, y \in X$. We denote $d(x, T y)$ by the greatest lower bound of $W_{x}(T y)$, that is,

$$
d(x, T y)=\inf \{d(x, u): u \in T y\} .
$$

According to [26], we denote $s(p)=\{q \in \mathbb{E}: p \preccurlyeq q\}$ for all $q \in \mathbb{E}$ and

$$
s(a, B)=\bigcup_{b \in B} s(d(a, b))=\bigcup_{b \in B}\{x \in \mathbb{E}: d(a, b) \preccurlyeq x\}
$$

for all $a \in X$ and $B \in C B(X)$. For any $A, B \in C B(X)$, we denote

$$
s(A, B)=\left(\cap_{a \in A}^{\cap} s(a, B)\right) \cap\left(\cap_{b \in B}^{\cap} s(b, A)\right)
$$

Remark 2.5. [26] Let $(X, d)$ be a tvs-valued cone metric space. If $\mathbb{E}=R$ and $P=[0,+\infty)$, then $(X, d)$ is a metric space. Moreover, for any $A, B \in C B(X), H(A, B)=\inf s(A, B)$ is the Hausdorff distance induced by $d$.

Theorem 2.6. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete tvs-valued cone metric space with the solid (normal or non-normal) cone $P$ and let $S, T: X \longrightarrow C B(X)$ be multivalued mappings with g.l.b property such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A d(x, y)+B d(x, S x)+C d(y, T y)+D d(x, T y)+E d(y, S x)) \in s(S x, T y) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $A, B, C, D$ and $E$ are non negative real numbers with $A+B+C+D+E<$ 1. Then $S$ and $T$ have a common fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_{0}$ be an arbitrary point in $X$ and $x_{1} \in S x_{0}$. From (2.1), we have

$$
A d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+B\left(x_{0}, S x_{0}\right)+C d\left(x_{1}, T x_{1}\right)+D d\left(x_{0}, T x_{1}\right)+E d\left(x_{1}, S x_{0}\right) \in s\left(S x_{0}, T x_{1}\right) .
$$

This implies that

$$
A d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+B\left(x_{0}, S x_{0}\right)+C d\left(x_{1}, T x_{1}\right)+D d\left(x_{0}, T x_{1}\right)+E d\left(x_{1}, S x_{0}\right) \in\left(\underset{x \in S x_{0}}{\cap} s\left(x, T x_{1}\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
A d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+B\left(x_{0}, S x_{0}\right)+C d\left(x_{1}, T x_{1}\right)+D d\left(x_{0}, T x_{1}\right)+E d\left(x_{1}, S x_{0}\right) \in s\left(x, T x_{1}\right), \forall x \in S x_{0} .
$$

Since $x_{1} \in S x_{0}$, we have

$$
A d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+B\left(x_{0}, S x_{0}\right)+C d\left(x_{1}, T x_{1}\right)+D d\left(x_{0}, T x_{1}\right)+E d\left(x_{1}, S x_{0}\right) \in s\left(x_{1}, T x_{1}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+B\left(x_{0}, S x_{0}\right)+C d\left(x_{1}, T x_{1}\right)+D d\left(x_{0}, T x_{1}\right)+E d\left(x_{1}, S x_{0}\right) \in s\left(x_{1}, T x_{1}\right) \\
& =\underset{x \in T x_{1}}{\cup} s\left(d\left(x_{1}, x\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So there exists some $x_{2} \in T x_{1}$ such that

$$
A d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+B\left(x_{0}, S x_{0}\right)+C d\left(x_{1}, T x_{1}\right)+D d\left(x_{0}, T x_{1}\right)+E d\left(x_{1}, S x_{0}\right) \in s\left(d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)
$$

That is

$$
d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \preceq A d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+B\left(x_{0}, S x_{0}\right)+C d\left(x_{1}, T x_{1}\right)+D d\left(x_{0}, T x_{1}\right)+E d\left(x_{1}, S x_{0}\right) .
$$

By using the greatest lower bound property (g.l.b property) of $S$ and $T$, we get

$$
d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \preceq A d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+B\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+C d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+D d\left(x_{0}, x_{2}\right)+E d\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right)
$$

which implies that

$$
d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \preceq(A+B+D) d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+(C+D) d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) .
$$

This further implies that

$$
d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \preceq \frac{A+B+D}{1-C-D} d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) .
$$

Similarly, from (2.1), we get

$$
A d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+B\left(x_{2}, S x_{2}\right)+C d\left(x_{1}, T x_{1}\right)+D d\left(x_{2}, T x_{1}\right)+E d\left(x_{1}, S x_{2}\right) \in s\left(T x_{1}, S x_{2}\right)
$$

This implies that

$$
A d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+B\left(x_{2}, S x_{2}\right)+C d\left(x_{1}, T x_{1}\right)+D d\left(x_{2}, T x_{1}\right)+E d\left(x_{1}, S x_{2}\right) \in\left(\underset{x \in T x_{1}}{\cap} s\left(x, S x_{2}\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
A d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+B\left(x_{2}, S x_{2}\right)+C d\left(x_{1}, T x_{1}\right)+D d\left(x_{2}, T x_{1}\right)+E d\left(x_{1}, S x_{2}\right) \in s\left(x, S x_{2}\right), \forall x \in T x_{1} .
$$

Since $x_{2} \in T x_{1}$, we have

$$
A d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+B\left(x_{2}, S x_{2}\right)+C d\left(x_{1}, T x_{1}\right)+D d\left(x_{2}, T x_{1}\right)+E d\left(x_{1}, S x_{2}\right) \in s\left(x_{2}, S x_{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+B\left(x_{2}, S x_{2}\right)+C d\left(x_{1}, T x_{1}\right)+D d\left(x_{2}, T x_{1}\right)+E d\left(x_{1}, S x_{2}\right) \in s\left(x_{2}, S x_{2}\right) \\
& =\cup_{x \in S x_{2}} s\left(d\left(x_{2}, x\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So there exists some $x_{3} \in S x_{2}$ such that

$$
A d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+B\left(x_{2}, S x_{2}\right)+C d\left(x_{1}, T x_{1}\right)+D d\left(x_{2}, T x_{1}\right)+E d\left(x_{1}, S x_{2}\right) \in s\left(d\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right) .
$$

That is,

$$
d\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \preceq A d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+B\left(x_{2}, S x_{2}\right)+C d\left(x_{1}, T x_{1}\right)+D d\left(x_{2}, T x_{1}\right)+E d\left(x_{1}, S x_{2}\right) .
$$

By using the greatest lower bound property (g.l.b property) of $S$ and $T$, we get

$$
d\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \preceq A d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+B\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)+C d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+D d\left(x_{2}, x_{2}\right)+E d\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)
$$

which implies that

$$
d\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \preceq(A+C+E) d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+(B+E)\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right) .
$$

This further implies

$$
d\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \preceq \frac{A+C+E}{1-B-E} d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) .
$$

Let $\delta=\max \left\{\frac{A+B+D}{1-C-D}, \frac{A+C+E}{1-B-E}\right\}$. Then $\delta<1$. Thus inductively, one can easily construct a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ such that

$$
x_{2 n+1} \in S x_{2 n}, \quad x_{2 n+2} \in T x_{2 n+1}
$$

and

$$
d\left(x_{2 n}, x_{2 n+1}\right) \preccurlyeq \delta d\left(x_{2 n-1}, x_{2 n}\right)
$$

for each $n \geq 0$. We assume that $x_{n} \neq x_{n+1}$ for each $n \geq 0$. Otherwise, there exists $n$ such that $x_{2 n}=x_{2 n+1}$. Then $x_{2 n} \in S x_{2 n}$ and $x_{2 n}$ is a fixed point of $S$ and hence a fixed point of $T$. Similarly, if $x_{2 n+1}=x_{2 n+2}$ for some $n$, then $x_{2 n+1}$ is a common fixed point of $T$ and $S$. Similarly, one can show that

$$
d\left(x_{2 n+1}, x_{2 n+2}\right) \preccurlyeq \delta d\left(x_{2 n}, x_{2 n+1}\right) .
$$

Thus we have

$$
d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \preccurlyeq \delta d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \preccurlyeq \delta^{2} d\left(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}\right) \preccurlyeq \cdots \preccurlyeq \delta^{n} d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)
$$

for each $n \geq 0$. Now, for any $m>n$, consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(x_{m}, x_{n}\right) & \preccurlyeq d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)+d\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}\right)+\cdots+d\left(x_{m-1}, x_{m}\right) \\
& \preccurlyeq\left[\delta^{n}+\delta^{n+1}+\cdots+\delta^{m-1}\right] d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) \\
& \preccurlyeq\left[\frac{\delta^{n}}{1-\delta}\right] d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\theta \ll c$ be given and choose a symmetric neighborhood $V$ of $\theta$ such that $c+V \subseteq \operatorname{int} P$. Also, choose a natural number $k_{1}$ such that $\left[\frac{\delta^{n}}{1-\delta}\right] d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) \in V$ for all $n \geq k_{1}$. Then $\frac{\delta^{n}}{1-\delta} d\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right) \ll c$ for all $n \geq k_{1}$. Thus we have

$$
d\left(x_{m}, x_{n}\right) \preccurlyeq\left[\frac{\delta^{n}}{1-\delta}\right] d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) \ll c
$$

for all $m>n$. Therefore, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since $X$ is complete, there exists $v \in X$ such that $x_{n} \rightarrow v$. Choose a natural number $k_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1+E}{1-C} d\left(v, x_{2 n+1}\right) \ll \frac{c}{3}, \quad \frac{A}{1-C} d\left(x_{2 n}, v\right) \ll \frac{c}{3} \text { and } \frac{B}{1-C} d\left(x_{2 n}, x_{2 n}\right) \ll \frac{c}{3} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geq k_{2}$. Then, for all $n \geq k_{2}$, we have

$$
A d\left(x_{2 n}, v\right)+B d\left(x_{2 n}, S x_{2 n}\right)+C d(v, T v)+D d\left(x_{2 n}, T v\right)+E d\left(v, S x_{2 n}\right) \in s\left(S x_{2 n}, T v\right)
$$

This implies that

$$
A d\left(x_{2 n}, v\right)+B d\left(x_{2 n}, S x_{2 n}\right)+C d(v, T v)+D d\left(x_{2 n}, T v\right)+E d\left(v, S x_{2 n}\right) \in\left(\bigcap_{x \in S x_{2 n}} s(x, T v)\right)
$$

and we have

$$
A d\left(x_{2 n}, v\right)+B d\left(x_{2 n}, S x_{2 n}\right)+C d(v, T v)+D d\left(x_{2 n}, T v\right)+E d\left(v, S x_{2 n}\right) \in s(x, T v) \text { for all } x \in S x_{2 n} .
$$

Since $x_{2 n+1} \in S x_{2 n}$, we have

$$
A d\left(x_{2 n}, v\right)+B d\left(x_{2 n}, S x_{2 n}\right)+C d(v, T v)+D d\left(x_{2 n}, T v\right)+E d\left(v, S x_{2 n}\right) \in s\left(x_{2 n+1}, T v\right)
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A d\left(x_{2 n}, v\right)+B d\left(x_{2 n}, S x_{2 n}\right)+C d(v, T v)+D d\left(x_{2 n}, T v\right)+E d\left(v, S x_{2 n}\right) \in s\left(x_{2 n+1}, T v\right) \\
& =\underset{u^{\prime} \in T u}{\cup} s\left(d\left(x_{2 n+1}, u^{\prime}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

There exists some $v_{n} \in T v$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A d\left(x_{2 n}, v\right)+B d\left(x_{2 n}, S x_{2 n}\right)+C d(v, T v)+D d\left(x_{2 n}, T v\right)+E d\left(v, S x_{2 n}\right) \\
& \in s\left(x_{2 n+1}, T v\right) \\
& \in s\left(d\left(x_{2 n+1}, v_{n}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

that is

$$
d\left(x_{2 n+1}, v_{n}\right) \preceq A d\left(x_{2 n}, v\right)+B d\left(x_{2 n}, S x_{2 n}\right)+C d(v, T v)+D d\left(x_{2 n}, T v\right)+E d\left(v, S x_{2 n}\right)
$$

By using the greatest lower bound property (g.l.b property) of $S$ and $T$, we have

$$
d\left(x_{2 n+1}, v_{n}\right) \preceq A d\left(x_{2 n}, v\right)+B d\left(x_{2 n}, x_{2 n}\right)+C d\left(v, v_{n}\right)+D d\left(x_{2 n}, v_{n}\right)+E d\left(v, x_{2 n+1}\right) .
$$

Now by using the triangular inequality, we get

$$
d\left(x_{2 n+1}, v_{n}\right) \preceq A d\left(x_{2 n}, v\right)+B d\left(x_{2 n}, x_{2 n+1}\right)+C d\left(v, x_{2 n+1}\right)+D d\left(x_{2 n}, v_{n}\right)+E d\left(v, x_{2 n+1}\right)
$$

and it follows that

$$
\left.d\left(x_{2 n+1}, v_{n}\right) \preceq \frac{A}{1-C} d\left(x_{2 n}, v\right)+\frac{B}{1-C} d\left(x_{2 n}, x_{2 n}\right)\right)+\frac{C+E}{1-C} d\left(v, x_{2 n+1}\right)
$$

By using again triangular inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(v, v_{n}\right) & \preceq d\left(v, x_{2 n+1}\right)+d\left(x_{2 n+1}, v_{n}\right) \\
& \left.\preceq d\left(v, x_{2 n+1}\right)+\frac{A}{1-C} d\left(x_{2 n}, v\right)+\frac{B}{1-C} d\left(x_{2 n}, x_{2 n}\right)\right)+\frac{C+E}{1-C} d\left(v, x_{2 n+1}\right) \\
& \preceq \frac{1+E}{1-C} d\left(v, x_{2 n+1}\right)+\frac{A}{1-C} d\left(x_{2 n}, v\right)+\frac{B}{1-C} d\left(x_{2 n}, x_{2 n}\right) \\
& \ll \frac{c}{3}+\frac{c}{3}+\frac{c}{3}=c .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we get

$$
d\left(v, v_{n}\right) \ll \frac{c}{m}
$$

for all $m \geq 1$ and so $\frac{c}{m}-d\left(v, v_{n}\right) \in P$ for all $m \geq 1$. Since $\frac{c}{m} \rightarrow \theta$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and $P$ is closed, it follows that $-d\left(v, v_{n}\right) \in P$. But $d\left(v, v_{n}\right) \in P$. Therefore, $d\left(v, v_{n}\right)=\theta$ and $v_{n} \rightarrow v \in T v$, since $T v$ is closed. This implies that $v$ is a common point of $S$ and $T$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 2.7. [9] Let $(X, d)$ be a complete tvs-valued cone metric space with the solid (normal or non-normal) cone $P$ and let $S, T: X \longrightarrow C B(X)$ be multivalued mappings with g.l.b property such that

$$
B d(x, S x)+C d(y, T y) \in s(S x, T y)
$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $B, C$ are non negative real numbers with $B+C<1$. Then $S$ and $T$ have $a$ common fixed point.

Corollary 2.8. [9] Let $(X, d)$ be a complete tvs-valued cone metric space with the solid (normal or non-normal) cone $P$ and let $S, T: X \longrightarrow C B(X)$ be multivalued mappings with g.l.b property such that

$$
D d(x, T y)+E d(y, S x)) \in s(S x, T y)
$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $D, E$ are non negative real numbers with $D+E<1$. Then $S$ and $T$ have common fixed point.

Hence, we have the following theorem which improves/generalizes the results in [8, 11].
Theorem 2.9. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete topological vector space-valued cone metric space, $P$ be a cone. If mappings $S, T: X \rightarrow X$ satisfies:

$$
d(S x, T y) \leq A d(x, y)+B d(x, S x)+C d(y, T y)+D d(x, T y)+E d(y, S x)
$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $A, B, C, D, E$ are non negative real numbers with $A+B+C+D+E<$ $1, B=C$ or $D=E$. Then $S$ and $T$ have a unique common fixed point.

By substituting $D=E=0$ in Theorem 2.9, we obtain the following result.
Corollary 2.10. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete topological vector space-valued cone metric space, $P$ be a cone and m,n be positive integers. If mappings $S, T: X \rightarrow X$ satisfies:

$$
d(S x, T y) \leq A d(x, y)+B d(x, S x)+C d(y, T y)
$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $A, B, C$ are non negative real numbers with $A+B+C<1$. Then $S$ and $T$ have a unique common fixed point.

By substituting $B=C=0$ in Theorem 2.9, we obtain the following result.
Corollary 2.11. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete topological vector space-valued cone metric space, $P$ be a cone and m,n be positive integers. If mappings $S, T: X \rightarrow X$ satisfies:

$$
d(S x, T y) \leq A d(x, y)+D d(x, T y)+E d(y, S x)
$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $A, D, E$ are non negative real numbers with $A+D+E<1$. Then $S$ and $T$ have a unique common fixed point.

Corollary 2.12. [8] Let $(X, d)$ be a complete Banach space-valued cone metric space, $P$ be a cone. If a mapping $S, T: X \rightarrow X$ satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(S x, T y) \leq p d(x, y)+q[d(x, S x)+d(y, T y)]+r[d(x, T y)+E d(y, S x)] \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $p, q, r$ are non negative real numbers with $p+2 q+2 r<1$. Then $S$ and $T$ have a unique common fixed point.
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