



Available online at <http://scik.org>

Adv. Fixed Point Theory, 7 (2017), No. 2, 289-295

ISSN: 1927-6303

COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN G –METRIC SPACES

PARDEEP KUMAR^{1,*}, NAWNEET HOODA², PANKAJ KUMAR³

¹Government College Sidhrawali, Gurgaon (INDIA)

²Department of Mathematics, DCR University of Science & Technology, Murthal (INDIA)

³Department of Mathematics, GJ University of Science & Technology, Hisar (INDIA)

Copyright © 2017 P. Kumar, N. Hooda and P. Kumar. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract: In this paper, we prove common fixed point theorems for a pair of compatible mappings and a pair of occasionally weakly compatible mappings in G –metric spaces.

Keywords: compatible mappings; occasionally weakly compatible; property (E.A); fixed point.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In 1922, Banach proved a fixed-point theorem, “Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. If a mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies $d(Tx, Ty) \leq k d(x, y)$ for each x, y in X where $0 < k < 1$, then T has a unique fixed point in X ” which ensures under appropriate conditions, the existence and uniqueness of a fixed point. This theorem has had many applications, but suffers from one drawback - the definition requires that T be continuous throughout X . Then there was a flood of papers involving contractive definition that do not require the continuity of T .

In 1984 Dhage [3] introduced the concept of D – metric spaces. The situation for a D-metric space is quite different from 2-metric spaces. Geometrically, a D- metric $D(x, y, z)$ represents the perimeter of the triangle with vertices x, y and z in R^2 . Recently, Mustafa and Sims [5] has shown that most of the results concerning Dhage’s D – metric spaces are invalid. Therefore, they introduced an improved version of the generalized metric space structure, which they called it as G – metric spaces. For more details on G – metric spaces, one can refer to the papers [5]- [8].

*Corresponding author

Received September 13, 2016

In 2004, Mustafa and Sims [5] introduced the concept of G-metric spaces as follows:

Definition 1.1. Let X be a nonempty set, $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ a function satisfying the following axioms:

- (G1) $G(x, y, z) = 0$ if $x = y = z$,
- (G2) $0 < G(x, x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$,
- (G3) $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $z \neq y$,
- (G4) $G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) = \dots$ (symmetry in all three variables),
- (G5) $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z, a \in X$, (rectangle inequality).

The function G is called a generalized metric or, more specifically, a G – metric on X , and the pair (X, G) is called a G – metric space.

Definition 1.2. [5]. Let (X, G) be a G – metric space, $\{x_n\}$ a sequence of points in X . We say that $\{x_n\}$ is G – convergent to x if $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} G(x, x_n, x_m) = 0$; i.e., for each

$\epsilon > 0$ there exists an N such that $G(x, x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ for all $m, n \geq N$.

We call x the limit of the sequence and write $x_n \rightarrow x$ or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

Proposition 1.3. [5]. Let (X, G) be a G – metric space. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) $\{x_n\}$ is G convergent to x ,
- (ii) $G(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- (iii) $G(x_n, x, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- (iv) $G(x_m, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 1.4. [5]. Let (X, G) be a G – metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called G – Cauchy if, for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an N such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \epsilon$ for all $n, m, l \geq N$.

Proposition 1.5.[5]. In a G – metric space (X, G) the following are equivalent:

- (i) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is G – Cauchy,
- (ii) for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an N such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \epsilon$ for all $n, m, l \geq N$.

Proposition 1.6. [5]. Let (X, G) be a G – metric space. Then the function $G(x, y, z)$ is jointly continuous in all three of its variables.

Definition 1.7. [5]. A G – metric space (X, G) is called a symmetric G – metric space if $G(x, y, y) = G(y, x, x)$ for all x, y in X .

Proposition 1.8. [5]. Every G – metric space (X, G) defines a metric space (X, d_G)

- (i) $d_G(x, y) = G(x, y, y) + G(y, x, x)$ for all x, y in X .

If (X, G) is a symmetric G – metric space, then

$$(ii) \quad d_G(x, y) = 2G(x, y, y) \text{ for all } x, y \text{ in } X.$$

However, if (X, G) is not symmetric, then it follows from the G – metric properties that

$$(iii) \quad \frac{3}{2} G(x, y, y) \leq d_G(x, y) \leq 3G(x, y, y) \text{ for all } x, y \text{ in } X.$$

Proposition 1.9.[5]. A G – metric space (X, G) is G – complete if and only if (X, d_G) is a complete metric space.

Proposition 1.10.[5]. Let (X, G) be a G – metric space. Then, for any x, y, z, a in X it follows that:

- (i) if $G(x, y, z) = 0$, then $x = y = z$,
- (ii) $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, x, y) + G(x, x, z)$,
- (iii) $G(x, y, y) \leq 2G(y, x, x)$,
- (iv) $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, z) + G(a, y, z)$,
- (v) $G(x, y, z) \leq \frac{2}{3} (G(x, a, a) + G(y, a, a) + G(z, a, a))$.

In 1976, Jungck [4] gave the notion of commutativity to obtain common fixed point theorems. This result was further generalized and extended in various ways by many authors. In 2012, Manro *et al.* [9] introduced the concept of compatible maps in G – metric space as follows: Let f and g be maps from a G – metric space (X, G) into itself. The maps f and g are said to be compatible map if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(fgx_n, gfx_n, gfx_n) = 0$ or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(gfx_n, fgx_n, fgx_n) = 0$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

2. Main Results

Now we prove our main result using compatible maps as follows:

Theorem 2.1. Let f and g be self -maps of a G -metric space (X, G) satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad f(X) \subseteq g(X);$$

$$(2.2) \quad G(fx, fy, fz) \leq \alpha \max\{G(fx, gy, gz), G(gx, fy, gz), G(gx, gy, fz)\},$$

$$\text{where } \alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2});$$

$$(2.3) \quad \text{one of } f \text{ or } g \text{ is continuous.}$$

Then f and g have a unique common fixed point in X , provided f and g are compatible maps.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X . By (2.1), one can choose a point x_1 in X such that $fx_0 = gx_1$, In general one can choose x_{n+1} such that

$$y_n = fx_n = gx_{n+1}, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

From (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}) &\leq \alpha \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}), \\ G(gx_n, fx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}), G(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \alpha \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fx_n, fx_n, fx_n), \\ G(fx_{n-1}, fx_{n+1}, fx_n), G(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \alpha \max \{0, G(fx_{n-1}, fx_{n+1}, fx_n), G(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, fx_{n+1})\} \\ &= \alpha G(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, fx_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

By rectangular inequality of G-metric space, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, fx_{n+1}) &\leq G(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, fx_n) + G(fx_n, fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \\ &\leq G(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, fx_n) + 2 G(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}), \text{ by Proposition 1.10.} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore from above inequality, we have

$$G(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\alpha}{(1-2\alpha)} G(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, fx_n).$$

i.e., $G(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}) \leq q G(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, fx_n)$, where $q = \frac{\alpha}{(1-2\alpha)} < 1$.

Continuing in the same way, we have

$$G(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}) \leq q^n G(fx_0, fx_1, fx_1).$$

Therefore, for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $n < m$, we have by rectangular inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} G(y_n, y_m, y_m) &\leq G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) + G(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+2}) + G(y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}, y_{n+3}) \\ &\quad + \dots + G(y_{m-1}, y_m, y_m) \\ &\leq (q^n + q^{n+1} + \dots + q^{m-1}) G(y_0, y_1, y_1). \\ &\leq \frac{q^n}{(1-q)} G(y_0, y_1, y_1). \end{aligned}$$

Letting as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(y_n, y_m, y_m) = 0$.

Thus $\{y_n\}$ is a G–Cauchy sequence in X . Since (X, G) is complete G-metric space, therefore, there exists a point $z \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_{n+1} = z$.

Since the mapping f or g is continuous, for definiteness one can assume that g is continuous, therefore $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g f x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g g x_{n+1} = g z$. Further, f and g are compatible, therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(f g x_n, g f x_n, g f x_n) = 0$ implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f g x_n = g z$.

On setting $x = g x_n$, $y = x_n$ and $z = x_n$, in (2.2), we have

$$G(f g x_n, f x_n, f x_n) \leq \alpha \max\{G(f g x_n, g x_n, g x_n), G(g g x_n, f x_n, g x_n), G(g g x_n, g x_n, f x_n)\}.$$

Letting as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$G(g z, z, z) \leq \alpha \max\{G(g z, z, z), G(g z, z, z), 0\} \text{ implies, } g z = z.$$

Again from (2.2), we have

$$G(f x_n, f z, f z) \leq \alpha \max\{G(f x_n, g z, g z), G(g x_n, f z, g z), G(g x_n, g z, f z)\}$$

Letting as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $f z = z$.

Therefore, $f z = g z = z$. i.e., z is a common fixed point of f and g .

Uniqueness: We assume that $z_1 (\neq z)$ be another common fixed point of f and g . Then $G(z, z_1, z_1) > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} G(z, z_1, z_1) &= G(f z, f z_1, f z_1) \\ &\leq \alpha \max\{G(f z, g z_1, g z_1), G(g z, f z_1, g z_1), G(g z, g z_1, f z_1)\} \\ &= \alpha G(z, z_1, z_1) < G(z, z_1, z_1), \text{ a contradiction,} \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $z = z_1$.

3. Property (E.A.) in G-metric Spaces.

Recently, Amari and Moutawakil [1] introduced a generalization of non-compatible maps as property (E.A.) in metric spaces as follows:

Definition 3.1. Let A and S be two self-maps of a metric space (X, d) . The pair (A, S) is said to satisfy property (E.A.), if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S x_n = t$, for some $t \in X$.

In similar mode we use property (E.A.) in G -metric spaces.

Example 3.2. [1] Let $X = [0, +\infty)$. Define $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$T x = \frac{x}{4} \text{ and } S x = \frac{3x}{4}, \text{ for all } x \text{ in } X. \text{ Consider the sequence } x_n = \frac{1}{n}.$$

Clearly $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_n = 0$. Then S and T satisfy property (E.A.).

Example 3.3. [1] Let $X = [2, +\infty)$. Define $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ by

$Tx = x+1$ and $Sx = 2x+1$, for all x in X . Suppose that the property (E.A.) holds. Then, there exists in X a sequence $\{x_n\}$ satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = z$ for some z in X .

Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z-1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \frac{z-1}{2}$. Thus, $z = 1$, which is a contradiction, since 1 is not contained in X . Hence S and T do not satisfy property (E.A.).

Remark 3.4. Property (E.A.) buys containment of maps without any continuity requirement. So above Theorem 2.1 can be rewritten in terms of property (E.A.).

Theorem 3.5. Let f and g be self -maps of a G -metric space (X, G) satisfying (2.2) and f and g satisfy property (E.A.).

Then f and g have a unique common fixed point in X , provided f and g are compatible maps.

4. Occasionally Weakly Compatible (owc)

Definition 4.1[2]. Two self -mappings f and g of a symmetric G -metric space (X, G) are said to be occasionally weakly compatible (owc) iff there is a point x in X which is coincidence point of f and g at which f and g commute.

Lemma 4.2[2]. Let (X, G) be a symmetric G -metric space. f and g be self maps on X and let f and g have a unique point of coincidence, $w = fx = gx$, then w is the unique common fixed point of f and g .

Theorem 4.3. Let (X, G) be a symmetric G -metric space. If f and g are owc self -maps on X satisfying (2.2) . Then f and g have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. Since f and g are owc, there exist a point u in X such that $fu = gu$ and $fgu = gfu$. We first claim that fu is a fixed point of f .

For, if $ffu \neq fu$, then from equation (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} G(fu, ffu, ffu) &\leq \alpha \max\{G(fu, gfu, gfu), G(gu, ffu, gfu), G(gu, gfu, ffu)\} \\ &= \alpha \max\{G(fu, ffu, ffu), G(fu, ffu, ffu), G(fu, ffu, ffu)\} \\ &= \alpha G(fu, ffu, ffu). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $ffu = fu$ and $ffu = fgu = gfu = fu$.

Hence fu is a common fixed point of f and g .

Uniqueness:

Suppose that u, v in X such that $fu = gu = u$ and $fv = gv = v$ and $u \neq v$.

Then from equation (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned}
G(u, v, v) &= G(fu, fv, fv) \leq \alpha \max\{G(fu, gv, gv), G(gu, fv, gv), G(gu, gv, fv)\} \\
&= \alpha \max\{G(u, v, v), G(u, v, v), G(u, v, v)\}. \\
&= \alpha G(u, v, v), \text{ a contradiction.}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $u = v$. Therefore, the common fixed point of f and g is unique.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] M.Aamri and D.El .Moutawakil , Some new common fixed point theorems under strict ontractive conditions , J. Math. Anal. Appl. 270 (2002), 181-188.
- [2] M.A. Al-Thagafi and N. Shahzad, Generalized I-nonexpansive selfmaps and invariant approximations, Acta Math. Sinica, 24 (5) (2008), 867-876.
- [3] B.C. Dhage, Generalized metric spaces and mappings with fixed point, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 84 (1992), 329-336.
- [4] G.Jungck, Commuting mappings and fixed point, Amer. Math. Monthly 83 (1976), 261-263.
- [5] Mustafa and B.Sims, Some remarks concerning D-metric spaces, Proceedings of International Conference on Fixed Point Theory and applications, Yokohama Publishers, Valencia Spain, July 13-19(2004), 189-198.
- [6] Z.Mustafa and B.Sims, A new approach to a generalized metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., 7(2006), 289-297.
- [7] Z.Mustafa and H.Obiedat and F.Awawdeh, Some fixed point theorems for mappings on complete G-metric spaces, Fixed point theory and applications, 2008 (2008), Article ID 18970, 12 pages.
- [8] Z.Mustafa,W. Shatanawi and M.Bataineh, Existence of fixed points results in G-metric spaces ,International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 2009 (2009), Article ID 283028, 10 pages.
- [9] S. Manro, S. Kumar, S. S. Bhatia, Weakly compatible maps of type (A) in G-metric spaces. Demonstr. Math 45 (4) (2012), 901-908.
- [10] S. Sessa, On a weak commutativity conditions of mappings in fixed point considerations, *Publ. Inst. Math. Beograd*, 32(46) (1982), 146-153.