

Available online at http://scik.org Adv. Fixed Point Theory, 2021, 11:7 https://doi.org/10.28919/afpt/5323 ISSN: 1927-6303

EXTENSION OF PHASE-ISOMETRIES BETWEEN THE UNIT SPHERES OF COMPLEX $\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ SPACES

YARONG ZHANG, LINGEN ZHU, JIANAN YANG*

College of Science, Tianjin University of Technology, Tianjin 300384, China

Copyright © 2021 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. Let Γ be a nonempty index set, and X, Y are complex $\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ -type spaces. $f : S_X, S_Y$ will denote their unit spheres. Give a surjective mapping $f : S_X \to S_Y$ satisfying the functional equation

 $\{\|f(x) + f(y)\|, \|f(x) - f(y)\|\} = \{\|x + y\|, \|x - y\|\} \quad (x, y \in S_X)$

We show that there exists a function $\varepsilon : S_X \to \{-1, 1\}$ such that εf is an isometry. Moreover, this isometry is the restriction of a real linear isometry from *X* to *Y*.

Keywords: $\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ spaces; Tingley's problem; phase-isometry; Wigner's theorem.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 46B04, 46B20.

1. INTRODUCTION

The famous Tingley's problem is important on mathematics. In 1987, Tingley raised a question in [10], that is, let *X* and *Y* be normed spaces, S_X and S_Y denote their unit spheres. Suppose $f: S_X \to S_Y$ is a surjective isometry, whether *f* can be extended to a real-linear (bijective) isometry $F: X \to Y$ between the corresponding space? In [10], Tingley give the positive solution in

^{*}Corresponding author

E-mail address: yangjianan107@sina.com

This research is supported by Tianjin Research Innovation Project for Postgraduate Students

⁽Project No.2019YJSS064).

Received December 10, 2020

two finite dimensional Banach spaces, which is f(-x) = -f(x) for every x in the unit spheres of the domain spaces. For the Tingley's problem was attracted much attention, someone established results in a wide range of classical Banach spaces, such as detailed presentation (G. D in [1]), $\ell^p(\Gamma)$ spaces, where $1 \le p \le \infty$ (*G*.*D*[2,3,4]), $C_0(L)$ spaces (R. Wang [11]), $\mathscr{L}^p(\Omega.\Sigma, \mu)$ spaces, where $1 \le p \le \infty$ and (Ω, Σ, μ) is a σ -finite measure space (D. Tan in [16, 17] and [18]).

Recently, the Tingley's problem on operator algebras' research was started, like compact linear operators on a complex Hilbert spaces (A.M. Peralta and R. Tanaka in [15]), finite dimensional c^* -algebras and finite VonNeumann algebras (R. Tanaka in [23]), weakly compact JB^* -triples and atomic JBW^* -triples (F.J. Fernández-Polo, A.M. Peralta in [12, 13, 14]). Other important results may be seen in the references.

Wigner's theorem is another important conclusion related to linear isometries, which also plays a fundamental role in quantum mechanics. Wigner's theorem has may forms, Rätz gives a real version in inner product spaces. It is that suppose *X* and *Y* are real inner product spaces, define a mapping $f: X \to Y$, then *f* satisfies

$$|\langle f(x), f(y) \rangle| = |\langle x + y \rangle|$$
 $(x, y \in X).$

if and only if there exists a phase function ε take value in module one scalar such that $f(x) = \varepsilon(x)U(x), x \in X$, where U is a linear isometry.

In the complex version, the solution can be considered to phase equivalent to a linear or conjugate linear isometry (see [19]). In 2013, G. Maksa and Z. Páles gave a equation of real version in norm spaces of Wigner's theorem [7]

$$\{\|f(x) + f(y)\|, \|f(x) - f(y)\|\} = \{\|x + y\|, \|x - y\|\} \quad (x, y \in X)$$
(1)

Meanwhile, they asked the following question: whether the result remains positive solution when $f: X \to Y$ of satisfies the equation (1) with X and Y being normed but not necessarily inner product spaces? In the real cases, we have got positive solutions in $\ell^p(\Gamma)$ spaces with $p \ge 1$ and $\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ spaces [20].

Combining with the Tingley's problem and the Wigner's theorem, we begin to consider a question: suppose *X* and *Y* are complex Banach spaces, define a mapping $f : S_X \to S_Y$ satisfying the equation (1), where $x, y \in S_X$, is it phase equivalent to an isometry which is just the restriction

of a linear isometry from X to Y? The aim of this paper is to answer the question in complex $\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ -type spaces. Our most results in this paper comes from [5].

2. RESULTS

Throughout this section, we consider the spaces all over the complex field. Let *X* and *Y* be complex Banach spaces, S_X and S_Y will denote their unit spheres respectively. B_X will denote the closed unit ball. Meanwhile, \mathbb{R} will denote the real sets, \mathbb{C} will denote the complex sets and \mathbb{T} will denote the unit sphere of \mathbb{C} . In this paper, the symbols Γ, Δ will be used by nonempty sets. For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we write $a \lor b = \max\{a, b\}$ and $a \land b = \min\{a, b\}$.

Let Γ will be a nonempty set. The space of all bounded complex-valued functions on an index set Γ equipped with the supremum norm is denoted by $\ell^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ and any of its subspaces containing all $e_{\gamma}'s$ ($\gamma \in \Gamma$) are called $\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ -type spaces. For example, the space $c_0(\Gamma), c(\Gamma), \ell^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ are $\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ -type spaces. The $\ell^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ -space is

$$\ell^{\infty}(\Gamma) = \{ x = \{ \xi_{\gamma} \}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} : \ \|x\| = \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\xi_{\gamma}| < \infty, \ \xi_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{C}, \ \gamma \in \Gamma \}.$$

For arbitrary $x = \{x_{\gamma}\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \in \mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$, we write $x = \{x_{\gamma}\}$, and omit the subscripts $\gamma \in \Gamma$ for simplicity of notation. We use Γ_x to express the support of x, i.e.,

$$\Gamma_x = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma : x_\gamma \neq 0 \}.$$

When working with $\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ one has to be particulary careful with the meaning of the notations. The e_{γ} is the vector in $\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ having 1 at the γ -th entry and otherwise 0. Given $x \in \mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$, we denote the γ -th function value of x by $x_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{C}$. The canonical notion of (algebraic) orthogonality in $\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ reads as follows: $x, y \in \mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ are said to be *orthogonal or disjoint* if xy = 0, or equivalently $\Gamma_x \cap \Gamma_y = \emptyset$. The star of x with respect to $S_{\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)}$ is defined by

$$St(x) = \{y : y \in S_{\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)}, \|y + x\| = 2\}.$$

Before proving the main Theorem, we will give some Lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. Let X and Y be complex Banach spaces. Suppose that $f : S_X \to S_Y$ is a surjective phase-isometry. Then f(-x) = -f(x) for each $x \in S_X$.

Proof: Fix y in S_X and let f(y) = -f(x), since f is phase-isometry mapping, we have

$$\{\|x+y\|, \|x-y\|\} = \{\|f(x)+f(y)\|, \|f(x)-f(y)\|\} = \{2, 0\},\$$

which implies $y \in \{x, -x\}$.

If y = x, then f(x) = -f(x), which means f(x) = 0, leads to contradiction.

So the only positive solution is y = -x. The proof is completed.

Our next Lemma gives a characterization of norm-one element in $\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ with a single support.

Lemma 2.2. Let x be a norm-one element in $\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$. Then Γ_x is a singleton if and only if the inequality $||y - x|| \le 1$ holds for all $y \in St(x)$.

The idea of the next Lemma comes from [5], whose proof is similar.

Lemma 2.3. Let $X = \mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ and $Y = \mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Delta)$. Suppose that $f : S_X \to S_Y$ is a surjective phaseisometry. Then for each $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$, we have $\Delta_{f(\alpha e_{\gamma_0})} = \Delta_{f(e_{\gamma_0})}$ is a singleton. Moreover, one the following statements holds:

(1) $f(\alpha e_{\gamma_0}) = \pm \alpha f(e_{\gamma_0})$ for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$;

(2) $f(\alpha e_{\gamma_0}) = \pm \bar{\alpha} f(e_{\gamma_0})$ for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$.

Proof: We fix $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$. Let us take $x \in S_X$ such that $f(x) \in St(f(\alpha e_{\gamma_0}))$. Since f is a phase-isometry,

$$\|x + \alpha e_{\gamma_0}\| \vee \|x - \alpha e_{\gamma_0}\| = \|f(x) + f(\alpha e_{\gamma_0})\| \vee \|f(x) - f(\alpha e_{\gamma_0})\| = 2,$$

which shows that $x \in \pm St(\alpha e_{\gamma_0})$.

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\|f(x)-f(\alpha e_{\gamma_0})\|=\|x+\alpha e_{\gamma_0}\|\wedge \|x-\alpha e_{\gamma_0}\|\leq 1,$$

and so $\Delta_{f(\alpha e_{\gamma_0})}$ is a singleton. Clearly,

$$4 = \|\alpha e_{\gamma_0} + e_{\gamma_0}\|^2 + \|\alpha e_{\gamma_0} - e_{\gamma_0}\|^2 = \|f(\alpha e_{\gamma_0}) + f(e_{\gamma_0})\|^2 + \|f(\alpha e_{\gamma_0}) - f(e_{\gamma_0})\|^2,$$

which assures that $\Delta_{f(\alpha e_{\gamma_0})} = \Delta_{f(e_{\gamma_0})}$ is a singleton. Suppose that $f(\alpha e_{\gamma_0}) = \beta f(e_{\gamma_0})$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{T}$. Then it follows from

$$\{|\alpha + 1|, |\alpha - 1|\} = \{\|\alpha e_{\gamma_0} + e_{\gamma_0}\|, \|\alpha e_{\gamma_0} - e_{\gamma_0}\|\}$$
$$= \{\|f(\alpha e_{\gamma_0}) + f(e_{\gamma_0})\|, \|f(\alpha e_{\gamma_0}) - f(e_{\gamma_0})\|\}$$
$$= \{|\beta + 1|, |\beta - 1|\}$$

that $\beta \in \{\pm \alpha, \pm \overline{\alpha}\}.$

We have shown above that $f(ie_{\gamma_0}) = \pm i f(e_{\gamma_0})$ and $f(-e_{\gamma_0}) = -f(e_{\gamma_0})$ (by Lemma 2.3). Let us assume that $f(\alpha e_{\gamma_0}) = \pm \alpha f(e_{\gamma_0})$ and $f(\beta e_{\gamma_0}) = \pm \overline{\beta} f(e_{\gamma_0})$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$. By the assumptions we have

$$2+2|Re(\alpha\overline{\beta})| = \|\alpha e_{\gamma_0} + \beta e_{\gamma_0}\|^2 \vee \|\alpha e_{\gamma_0} - \beta e_{\gamma_0}\|^2$$
$$= \|f(\alpha e_{\gamma_0}) + f(\beta e_{\gamma_0})\|^2 \vee \|f(\alpha e_{\gamma_0}) - f(\beta e_{\gamma_0})\|^2$$
$$= |\alpha + \overline{\beta}|^2 \vee |\alpha - \overline{\beta}|^2 = 2 + 2|Re(\alpha\beta)|,$$

equivalently

$$|Re(\alpha)Re(\beta) + Im(\alpha)Im(\beta)| = |Re(\alpha)Re(\beta) - Im(\alpha)Im(\beta)|$$

which is impossible because $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$. It follows that $f(\alpha e_{\gamma_0}) = \pm \alpha f(e_{\gamma_0})$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$, or $f(\alpha e_{\gamma_0}) = \pm \overline{\alpha} f(e_{\gamma_0})$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$. \Box

The next result describes the behaviour of surjective phase-isometries on complex $\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ type spaces.

Proposition 2.4. Let $X = \mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ and $Y = \mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Delta)$. Suppose that $f : S_X \to S_Y$ is a surjective phase-isometry. Then there exists a bijection $\sigma : \Gamma \to \Delta$ such that for every $x = \{x_{\gamma}\} \in S_X$, we have $f(x) = \{y_{\sigma(\gamma)}\} \in S_Y$, where $\frac{y_{\sigma(\gamma)}}{|y_{\sigma(\gamma)}|} e_{\sigma(\gamma)} = \pm f(\frac{x_{\gamma}}{|x_{\gamma}|} e_{\gamma})$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_x$ and $y_{\sigma(\gamma)} = 0$, $\gamma \notin \Gamma_x$.

Proof: We can define a mapping $\sigma : \Gamma \to \Delta$ by Lemma 2.3 (2) that

$$f(e_{\gamma}) = \alpha_{\gamma} e_{\sigma(\gamma)}, \quad \alpha_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{T}, \ \forall \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

First, We shall show that σ is bijective. Let us take $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ and write $f(e_{\gamma_1}) = \alpha_{\gamma_1} e_{\sigma(\gamma_1)}$ and $f(e_{\gamma_2}) = \alpha_{\gamma_2} e_{\sigma(\gamma_2)}$ with $\alpha_{\gamma_1}, \alpha_{\gamma_2} \in \mathbb{T}$. If $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2$, then

$$\|f(e_{\gamma_1}) + f(e_{\gamma_2})\|^2 + \|f(e_{\gamma_1}) - f(e_{\gamma_2})\|^2$$

= $\|e_{\gamma_1} + e_{\gamma_2}\|^2 + \|e_{\gamma_1} - e_{\gamma_2}\|^2$
= $1 + 1 = 2$

This implies that $\sigma(\gamma_1) \neq \sigma(\gamma_2)$, and thus σ is injective. Next, we would consider that σ is surjective. Indeed, given $\delta \in \Delta$, by applying Lemma 2.3 (2) to f^{-1} , we can find some $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $f(\alpha e_{\gamma}) = e_{\delta}$. Therefore, σ is a surjective mapping.

Set

$$\Gamma_1 := \{ \gamma \in \Gamma : f(\alpha e_\gamma) = \pm \alpha f(e_\gamma), \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{T} \}$$

 $\Gamma_2 := \{ \gamma \in \Gamma : f(\alpha e_\gamma) = \pm \overline{\alpha} f(e_\gamma), \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{T} \}.$

From Lemma 2.3(b), we know that $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ and $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = \emptyset$. Fix $\gamma \in \Gamma_x \cap \Gamma_1$, the proof of the case of $\gamma \in \Gamma_x \cap \Gamma_2$ holds is same to it. We have shown that

$$f(\alpha e_{\gamma}) = \pm \alpha f(e_{\gamma}) = \pm \alpha \alpha_{\gamma} e_{\sigma(\gamma)}$$

for some $\alpha_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{T}$, and so $f(\frac{x_{\gamma}}{|x_{\gamma}|}e_{\gamma}) = se_{\sigma(\gamma)}$, where $s = \pm \frac{x_{\gamma}}{|x_{\gamma}|}\alpha_{\gamma}$. What's more, for every $x = \{x_{\gamma}\} \in S_X$, we have $f(x) = \{y_{\sigma(\gamma)}\} \in S_Y$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |x_{\gamma}| + 1 &= \|x + \frac{x_{\gamma}}{|x_{\gamma}|} e_{\gamma}\| \lor \|x - \frac{x_{\gamma}}{|x_{\gamma}|} e_{\gamma}\| \\ &= \|f(x) + f(\frac{x_{\gamma}}{|x_{\gamma}|} e_{\gamma})\| \lor \|f(x) - f(\frac{x_{\gamma}}{|x_{\gamma}|} e_{\gamma})\| \\ &= |y_{\sigma(\gamma)} + s| \lor |y_{\sigma(\gamma)} - s| \le |y_{\sigma(\gamma)}| + 1, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $|x_{\gamma}| \leq |y_{\sigma(\gamma)}|$. By applying the same argument to f^{-1} , we can obtain $|y_{\sigma(\gamma)}| \leq |x_{\gamma}|$, and so $|x_{\gamma}| = |y_{\sigma(\gamma)}|$. So the previous inequality can become an equality

$$|y_{\sigma(\gamma)} + s| \lor |y_{\sigma(\gamma)} - s| = |y_{\sigma(\gamma)}| + |s| = |y_{\sigma(\gamma)}| + 1,$$

and so $y_{\sigma(\gamma)} = \pm \frac{s}{|s|} |x_{\gamma}| = \pm x_{\gamma} \alpha_{\gamma}$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_x \cap \Gamma_1$. It is easily to see when $\gamma \in \Gamma_x \cap \Gamma_2$, $s = \pm \frac{\overline{x_{\gamma}}}{|x_{\gamma}|} \alpha_{\gamma}$ and $y_{\sigma(\gamma)} = \pm \frac{s}{|s|} |x_{\gamma}| = \pm \overline{x_{\gamma}} \alpha_{\gamma}$. The above argument also shows that $y_{\sigma(\gamma')} = 0$ for every $\gamma' \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_x$. The proof is completed.

For every $x = \{x_{\gamma}\} \in \mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$, define a mapping $\tau : \mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma) \to \mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$.

$$au(x)(\gamma) = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} rac{x_{\gamma}}{|x_{\gamma}|} & ext{if } \gamma \in \Gamma_x; \ 0 & ext{if } \gamma \in \Gamma ackslash \Gamma_x. \end{array}
ight.$$

Then we have

$$\tau(x+y) = \tau(x) + \tau(y)$$
 and $\tau(\alpha x) = \alpha \tau(x)$

for arbitrary two nonzero orthogonal vectors $x, y \in \mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$. It is obviously that x = y if and only if $\tau(x) = \tau(y)$ and $x_{\gamma} = \pm y_{\gamma}$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, where $x, y \in \mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ and x, y nonempty.

The following result will be used to prove a property of f.

Lemma 2.5. Let $X = \mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ and $Y = \mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Delta)$. Suppose that $f : S_X \to S_Y$ is a surjective phaseisometry. Then $\tau \circ f(x) = \pm f \circ \tau(x)$ for every $x \in S_X$.

Proof: Proposition 2.4 implies that $\sigma : \Gamma \to \Delta$ is bijectiv. For every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $x \in S_X$, we can suppose $f(e_{\gamma}) = \alpha_{\gamma} e_{\sigma(\gamma)}$ with $\alpha_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{T}$. Also, we can get

$$f(x)_{\sigma(\gamma')} = f \circ \tau(x)_{\sigma(\gamma')} = 0, \qquad x \in S_X$$

for every $\gamma' \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_x$. Let us fix $\gamma \in \Gamma_x$. For *f* is a phase-isometry mapping, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) + f \circ \tau(x)\| \wedge \|f(x) - f \circ \tau(x)\| \\ &= \|x + \tau(x)\| \wedge \|x - \tau(x)\| \\ &= 1 - \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_x} \{|x_\gamma|\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.4, for every $x = \{x_{\gamma}\} \in S_X$, $f(x) = \{y_{\sigma(\gamma)}\} \in S_Y$, we have $|y_{\sigma(\gamma)}| = |x_{\gamma}|$. Combining with the Proposition 2.4 and the property of τ , we can get

$$\tau \circ f(x) = \pm f \circ \tau(x).$$

Lemma 2.6. Let $X = \mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ and $Y = \mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Delta)$. Suppose that $f : S_X \to S_Y$ is a surjective phaseisometry. Then for every $x, y \in S_X$ with $\Gamma_x \cap \Gamma_y = \emptyset$, and two positive real numbers a, b with $ax + by \in S_X$, there exist two real numbers α and β with $|\alpha| = |\beta| = 1$ such that

$$f(ax+by) = a\alpha(ax,by)f(x) + b\beta(ax,by)f(y),$$

Proof: By Proposition 2.4 and the properties of τ , we should only prove that there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \{-1, 1\}$ such that

$$\tau \circ f(ax + by) = \alpha \tau \circ af(x) + \beta \tau \circ bf(y) = \alpha \tau \circ f(x) + \beta \tau \circ f(y).$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \{-1, 1\}$.

Meanwhile, Lemma 2.5 implies that the equality is equivalent to

$$f \circ \tau(ax + by) = f \circ (\tau(x) + \tau(y)) = \alpha(x, y) f \circ \tau(x) + \beta(x, y) f \circ \tau(y),$$

where $\alpha(x, y), \beta(x, y) \in \{-1, 1\}.$

Let $\sigma : \Gamma \to \Delta$ be the bijection from Proposition 2.4. We can write

$$f \circ \tau(x) = \{w_{\sigma(\gamma)}\}, \ f \circ \tau(y) = \{v_{\sigma(\gamma)}\}, \ f \circ \tau(x+y) = \{w'_{\sigma(\gamma)} + v'_{\sigma(\gamma)}\},$$

where $w_{\sigma(\gamma)} = \pm w'_{\sigma(\gamma)} \in \mathbb{T}$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_x$ and $v_{\sigma(\gamma)} = \pm v'_{\sigma(\gamma)} \in \mathbb{T}$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_y$ respectively. Thus

$$\|f \circ \tau(x+y) + f \circ \tau(x)\| \wedge \|f \circ \tau(x+y) - f \circ \tau(x)\| = \|\tau(x+y) + \tau(x)\| \wedge \|\tau(x+y) - \tau(x)\| = 1.$$

It follows that $\{w'_{\sigma(\gamma)}\} = \pm f \circ \tau(x)$, and similarly $\{v'_{\sigma(\gamma)}\} = \pm f \circ \tau(y)$. This shows that

$$f \circ \tau(x+y) = \alpha(x,y)f \circ \tau(x) + \beta(x,y)f \circ \tau(y)$$

for some $\alpha(x, y), \beta(x, y) \in \{-1, 1\}$, which completes the proof.

Lemma 2.7. Let $X = \mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ and $Y = \mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Delta)$. Suppose that $f : S_X \to S_Y$ is a surjective phaseisometry. For every $x, y \in S_X$ with $\Gamma_x \cap \Gamma_y = \emptyset$, we write $f(x+y) = \alpha(x,y)f(x) + \beta(x,y)f(y)$, where $\alpha(x,y), \beta(x,y) \in \{-1,1\}$. Then

$$\alpha(x,y)\beta(x,y) = \alpha(-x,y)\beta(-x,y) = \alpha(x,-y)\beta(x,-y).$$

Proof: For this conclusion, we only need to check

$$\alpha(x,y)\beta(x,y) = \alpha(-x,y)\beta(-x,y).$$

From Lemma 2.5, we have known

$$\tau \circ f(x) = \pm f \circ \tau(x),$$

where $x \in S_X$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \circ f(x+y) &= \alpha(x,y)\tau \circ f(x) + \beta(x,y)\tau \circ f(y), & \alpha(x,y), \beta(x,y) \in \{-1,1\}, \\ \tau \circ f(-x+y) &= \alpha(-x,y)\tau \circ f(-x) + \beta(-x,y)\tau \circ f(y), & \alpha(-x,y), \beta(-x,y) \in \{-1,1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining with Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.5, we can get

$$2 = \|\tau(x+y) + \tau(-x+y)\| \wedge \|\tau(x+y) - \tau(-x+y)\|$$

= $\|f \circ \tau(x+y) + f \circ \tau(-x+y)\| \wedge \|f \circ \tau(x+y) - f \circ \tau(-x+y)\|$
= $\|\tau \circ f(x+y) + \tau \circ f(-x+y)\| \wedge \|\tau \circ f(x+y) - \tau \circ f(-x+y)\|$
= $\wedge \{\|\beta(x,y)\tau \circ f(x+y) \pm \beta(-x,y)\tau \circ f(-x+y)\|\}$
= $\|\alpha(x,y)\beta(x,y)\tau \circ f(x) - \alpha(-x,y)\beta(-x,y)\tau \circ f(-x)\|$
= $|\alpha(x,y)\beta(x,y) + \alpha(-x,y)\beta(-x,y)|,$

which shows that $\alpha(x,y)\beta(x,y) = \alpha(-x,y)\beta(-x,y)$. The proof is completed.

Define a mapping *F*, which is the natural extension of *f* from *X* to *Y*. For arbitrary $x \in X$, defined by

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} ||x|| f(\frac{x}{||x||}), & \text{if } x \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.8. Let $X = \mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ and $Y = \mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Delta)$, suppose that $f : S_X \to S_Y$ is a surjective phase isometry. Then its extension mapping which on the whole space is phase equivalent to a real linear isometry.

Proof: In order to complete the proof, we should prove that *F*, the extension of *f*, is phase equivalent to a real linear isometry. Lemma 2.3 implies that for every $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ and all $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$, we have $f(\alpha e_{\gamma_0}) = \pm \alpha f(e_{\gamma_0})$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ or $f(\alpha e_{\gamma_0}) = \pm \overline{\alpha} f(e_{\gamma_0})$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$. We shall only prove the case in which $f(\alpha e_{\gamma_0}) = \pm \alpha f(e_{\gamma_0})$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$, the other statement is very similar. Set

$$Z := \{ x \in X : x \cdot e_{\gamma_0} = 0 \} \text{ and } W := \{ y \in Y : y \cdot f(e_{\gamma_0}) = 0 \}.$$

Clearly,

$$X = Z \oplus_{\infty} \mathbb{C}e_{\gamma_0}$$
 and $Y = W \oplus_{\infty} \mathbb{C}f(e_{\gamma_0})$.

We can also define the unit spheres of Z and W are

$$S_Z := \{ x \in S_X : x \cdot e_{\gamma_0} = 0 \}$$
 and $S_W := \{ y \in S_Y : y \cdot f(e_{\gamma_0}) = 0 \}.$

It is easily to see

$$S_X = \{az + be_{\gamma_0} : z \in S_Z, a \in \mathbb{R}, b \in \mathbb{C}, |a| \lor |b| = 1\}$$

and

$$S_Y = \{af(z) + bf(e_{\gamma_0}) : f(z) \in S_W, a \in \mathbb{R}, b \in \mathbb{C}, |a| \lor |b| = 1\}.$$

By Proposition 2.4, the restricted mapping $f|_{S_Z} : S_Z \to S_W$ is a surjective phase-isometry. Lemma 2.6 implies that

$$f(z + e_{\gamma_0}) = \alpha(z, e_{\gamma_0}) f(z) + \beta(z, e_{\gamma_0}) f(e_{\gamma_0}), \ \alpha(z, e_{\gamma_0}), \beta(z, e_{\gamma_0}) \in \{-1, 1\}$$

for each $z \in S_Z$. Define a mapping $g : S_Z \to S_W$ given by

$$g(z) = \alpha(z, e_{\gamma_0})\beta(z, e_{\gamma_0})f(z)$$

for each $z \in S_Z$. It is easily seen that $g(z) = \pm f(z)$ for each $z \in S_Z$. Applying Lemma 2.7 we have

$$\alpha(z,e_{\gamma_0})\beta(z,e_{\gamma_0})=\alpha(-z,e_{\gamma_0})\beta(-z,e_{\gamma_0}),\ (z\in S_Z).$$

This shows that g(-z) = -g(z), and so g is surjective. We will prove that g is a surjective isometry. Given $z_1, z_2 \in S_Z$, we can write

$$\begin{split} f(z_1 + e_{\gamma_0}) &= \alpha(z_1, e_{\gamma_0}) f(z_1) + \beta(z_1, e_{\gamma_0}) f(e_{\gamma_0}), \ \alpha(z_1, e_{\gamma_0}), \beta(z_1, e_{\gamma_0}) \in \{-1, 1\}, \\ f(z_2 + e_{\gamma_0}) &= \alpha(z_2, e_{\gamma_0}) f(z_2) + \beta(z_2, e_{\gamma_0}) f(e_{\gamma_0}), \ \alpha(z_2, e_{\gamma_0}), \beta(z_2, e_{\gamma_0}) \in \{-1, 1\}, \end{split}$$

then

$$\begin{split} \|g(z_1) - g(z_2)\| &= \|z_1 + z_2 + 2e_{\gamma_0}\| \wedge \|z_1 - z_2\| \\ &= \|f(z_1 + e_{\gamma_0}) + f(z_2 + e_{\gamma_0})\| \wedge \|f(z_1 + e_{\gamma_0}) - f(z_2 + e_{\gamma_0})\| \\ &= \wedge \|\beta(z_1, e_{\gamma_0})f(z_1 + e_{\gamma_0}) \pm \beta(z_2, e_{\gamma_0})f(z_2 + e_{\gamma_0})\| \\ &= \|\alpha(z_1, e_{\gamma_0})\beta(z_1, e_{\gamma_0})f(z_1) - \alpha(z_2, e_{\gamma_0})\beta(z_2, e_{\gamma_0})f(z_2)\| \\ &= \|z_1 - z_2\|, \end{split}$$

which shows that *g* is an isometry.

Give a mapping $G: Z \to W$

$$G(z_0) = \alpha(\frac{z_0}{\|z_0\|}, e_{\gamma_0})\beta(\frac{z_0}{\|z_0\|}, e_{\gamma_0})F(z_0),$$

where $z_0 \in Z$. Since g is a surjective isometry, by [21,Theorem 1.1], G, the extension of g, is a real linear isometry.

Define a mapping $\widetilde{f}: S_X \to S_Y$, given by

$$\widetilde{f}(az+be_{\gamma_0})=ag(z)+bf(e_{\gamma_0}),$$

where $z \in S_Z$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $b \in \mathbb{C}$, $|a| \lor |b| = 1$. We will show $\tilde{f}(x)$ is a surjective isometry. We first prove $\tilde{f}(x)$ is an isometry.

Assume $x_1 = a_1 z_1 + b_1 e_{\gamma_0}$, $x_2 = a_2 z_2 + b_2 e_{\gamma_0}$, where $x_1, x_2 \in S_X$, $z_1, z_2 \in S_Z$, $|a_1| \lor |b_1| = 1$, $|a_2| \lor |b_2| = 1$, $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widetilde{f}(x_1) - \widetilde{f}(x_2)\| \\ &= \|a_1g(z_1) - a_2g(z_2)\| \lor |b_1 - b_2| \\ &= \|G(a_1z_1) - G(a_2z_2)\| \lor |b_1 - b_2| \\ &= \|a_1z_1 - a_2z_2\| \lor |b_1 - b_2| \\ &= \|x_1 - x_2\|, \end{aligned}$$

Then we will prove $\tilde{f}(x)$ is surjective. It remains to prove that $f(x) = \pm \tilde{f}(x)$ for every $x \in S_X$. Given $z \in S_Z$, by Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{f}(az + be_{\gamma_0}) &= a\alpha(z, e_{\gamma_0})\beta(z, e_{\gamma_0})f(z) + bf(e_{\gamma_0}), \ \alpha(z, e_{\gamma_0}), \beta(z, e_{\gamma_0}) \in \{-1, 1\}, \\ f(az + be_{\gamma_0}) &= a\alpha(az, be_{\gamma_0})f(z) + b\beta(az, be_{\gamma_0})f(e_{\gamma_0}), \ \alpha(az, be_{\gamma_0}), \beta(az, be_{\gamma_0}) \in \{-1, 1\}, \end{split}$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $b \in \mathbb{C}$, $|a| \vee |b| = 1$ and $z \in S_Z$.

Next we want to know that

$$\alpha(az, be_{\gamma_0})\beta(az, be_{\gamma_0}) = \alpha(z, e_{\gamma_0})\beta(z, e_{\gamma_0}).$$

We need two steps to finish this conclusion.

We first to show $\alpha(az, be_{\gamma_0})\beta(az, be_{\gamma_0}) = \alpha(z, \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_0})\beta(z, \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_0}).$

$$\{|1+a| \lor |b|+1, |1-a| \lor 1-|b|\}$$

$$= \{ \|(az+be_{\gamma_{0}}) + (z+\frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}})\|, \|az+be_{\gamma_{0}} - (z+\frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}})\| \}$$

$$= \{ \|f(az+be_{\gamma_{0}}) + f(z+\frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}})\|, \|f(az+be_{\gamma_{0}}) - f(z+\frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}})\| \}$$

$$= \{ \|\beta(az,be_{\gamma_{0}})f(az+be_{\gamma_{0}}) \pm \beta(z,\frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}})f(z+\frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}})\| \}$$

$$= \{ \|(a\alpha(az,be_{\gamma_{0}})\beta(az,be_{\gamma_{0}})f(z) + bf(e_{\gamma_{0}})) \pm (\alpha(z,\frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}})\beta(z,\frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}})f(z) + \frac{b}{|b|}f(e_{\gamma_{0}}))\| \}$$

$$= \{ |a\alpha(az,be_{\gamma_{0}})\beta(az,be_{\gamma_{0}}) + \alpha(z,\frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}})\beta(z,\frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}})| \lor 1 + |b|,$$

$$|a\alpha(az,be_{\gamma_{0}})\beta(az,be_{\gamma_{0}}) - \alpha(z,\frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}})\beta(z,\frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}})| \lor 1 - |b| \}$$

which shows $\alpha(az, be_{\gamma_0})\beta(az, be_{\gamma_0}) = \alpha(z, \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_0})\beta(z, \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_0}).$

Next we will show $\alpha(z, e_{\gamma_0})\beta(z, e_{\gamma_0}) = \alpha(z, \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_0})\beta(z, \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_0})$. If $|\frac{b}{|b|} + 1| \neq |\frac{b}{|b|} - 1|$ or $b \neq it$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $|t| \leq 1$, then we get the desired equation

$$\begin{split} \{2, |1 - \frac{b}{|b|}|\} &= \{ \|(z + e_{\gamma_{0}}) + (z + \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}})\|, \|z + e_{\gamma_{0}} - (z + \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}})\| \} \\ &= \{ \|f(z + e_{\gamma_{0}}) + f(z + \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}})\|, \|f(z + e_{\gamma_{0}}) - f(z + \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}})\| \} \\ &= \{ \|\beta(z, e_{\gamma_{0}})f(z + e_{\gamma_{0}}) \pm \beta(z, \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}})f(z + \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}})\| \} \\ &= \{ \|(\alpha(z, e_{\gamma_{0}})\beta(z, e_{\gamma_{0}})f(z) + f(e_{\gamma_{0}})) \pm (\alpha(z, \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}})\beta(z, \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}})f(z) + \frac{b}{|b|}f(e_{\gamma_{0}}))\| \} \\ &= \{ \|\alpha(z, e_{\gamma_{0}})\beta(z, e_{\gamma_{0}}) + \alpha(z, \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}})\beta(z, \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}})\| \vee |1 + \frac{b}{|b|}|, \\ &|\alpha\alpha(z, e_{\gamma_{0}})\beta(z, e_{\gamma_{0}}) - \alpha(z, \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}})\beta(z, \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}})| \vee |1 - \frac{b}{|b|}| \}, \end{split}$$

which shows $\alpha(z, e_{\gamma_0})\beta(z, e_{\gamma_0}) = \alpha(z, \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_0})\beta(z, \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_0}).$

Now assume that b = it for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $|t| \le 1$. Choose $\theta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$. Following a similar argument as above, we get

$$\begin{split} \{2, |\frac{b}{|b|} - \theta|\} &= \{ \|(z + \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}}) + (z + \theta e_{\gamma_{0}})\|, \|\frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}} - \theta e_{\gamma_{0}}\| \} \\ &= \{ \|f(z + \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}}) + f(z + \theta e_{\gamma_{0}})\|, \|f(z + \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}}) - f(z + \theta e_{\gamma_{0}})\| \} \\ &= \{ \|\beta(z, \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}})f(z + \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}}) \pm \beta(z, \theta e_{\gamma_{0}})f(z + \theta e_{\gamma_{0}})\| \} \\ &= \{ |\alpha(z, \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}})\beta(z, \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}}) + \alpha(z, \theta e_{\gamma_{0}})\beta(z, \theta e_{\gamma_{0}})| \lor |\frac{b}{|b|} + \theta|, \\ &|\alpha(z, \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}})\beta(z, \frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_{0}}) - \alpha(z, \theta e_{\gamma_{0}})\beta(z, \theta e_{\gamma_{0}})| \lor |\frac{b}{|b|} - \theta| \}. \end{split}$$

Since $\left|\frac{b}{|b|} - \theta\right| \neq \left|\frac{b}{|b|} + \theta\right|$, we obtain

$$\alpha(z,\frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_0})\beta(z,\frac{b}{|b|}e_{\gamma_0}) = \alpha(z,\theta e_{\gamma_0})\beta(z,\theta e_{\gamma_0}).$$

Thus we get $\alpha(z, e_{\gamma_0})\beta(z, e_{\gamma_0}) = \alpha(az, be_{\gamma_0})\beta(az, be_{\gamma_0})$, which shows $f(x) = \pm \tilde{f}(x)$ for every $x \in S_X$.

What's more,

$$\widetilde{f}(-x) = \widetilde{f}(-az - be_{\gamma_0})$$

$$= ag(-z) + bf(-e_{\gamma_0})$$

$$= -ag(z) - bf(e_{\gamma_0})$$

$$= -\widetilde{f}(x),$$

which shows $\tilde{f}(-x) = -\tilde{f}(x)$ for every $x \in S_X$. Thus $\tilde{f}(x)$ is a surjective isometry.

By [21,Theorem 1.1], we have known $\widetilde{F}(x)$, the extension of $\widetilde{f}(x)$ is a real linear isometry, and F(x) is phase equivalent to $\widetilde{F}(x)$, the proof is completed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to express their appreciation to Professor Xujian Huang for several valuable comments.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The author(s) declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- G. Ding, On isometric extension problem between two unit spheres, Sci. China Ser. A. 52 (10) (2009), 2069–2083.
- [2] G. Ding, The 1-Lipschitz mapping between the unit spheres of two Hilbert spaces can be extended to a real linear isometry of the whole space, Sci. China Ser. A. 45 (4) (2002), 479-483.
- [3] G. Ding, The isometric extension problem in the sphere of $\ell^p(\Gamma)$ (p > 1) type spaces, Sci. China Ser. A. 46 (2003), 333-338.
- [4] G. Ding, The representation theorem of onto isometric mappings between two unit spheres of $\ell^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ -type spaces and the application on isometric extension problem, Sci. China Ser. A. 47 (2004), 722-729.
- [5] Y. Zhang, X. Huang, Wigner's theorem on complex $\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ spaces (preprint).
- [6] X. Huang, D. Tan, Wigner's theorem in atomic L_p -spaces (p > 0), Publ. Math. Debrecen. 92 (3-4) (2018), 411–418.
- [7] G. Maksa, Z. Páles. Wigner's theorem revisited, Publ. Math. Debrecen. 81 (1-2) (2012), 243-249.

14

- [8] D. Tan, X. Huang and R. Liu, Generalized-lush spaces and the Mazur-Ulam property, Stud. Math. 219 (2013), 139-153.
- [9] D. Tan, R. Liu, A note on the Mazur-Ulam property of almost-CL-spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 405 (2013), 336-341.
- [10] D. Tingley, Isometries of the unit sphere, Geom. Dedicate. 22 (1987), 371-378.
- [11] R. Wang, Isometries between the unit spheres of $C_0(\Omega)$ -type spaces, Acta Math. Sci. (Engl. Ed.) 14 (1) (1994), 82-89.
- [12] F.J. Fernández-polo, A.M. Peralta, Low rank compact operators and Tingley's problem, Adv. Math. 338 (2018), 1?40.
- [13] F.J. Fernández-polo, A.M. Peralta, On the extension of isometries between the unit spheres of a c^* -algebra and B(H), Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B. 5 (2018), 63-80.
- [14] F.J. Fernández-polo, A.M. Peralta, Tingley's problem through the facial structure of an atomic *JBW**-triple,
 J. Math. Anal. Appl. 455 (2017), 750-760.
- [15] A.M. Peralta, P. Tanaka, A solution to Tingley's problem for isometries between the unit spheres of compact c^* -algebras and JB^* -triples, Sci. China Math. 62 (2019), 553-568.
- [16] D. Tan, Extension of isometries on unit sphere of $\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ spaces, Taiwan. J. Math. 15 (2011), 819-827.
- [17] D. Tan, On extension of isometries on the unit sphere of $\mathscr{L}^p(\Gamma)$ -spaces for $0 \le p \le 1$, Nonlinear Anal., Theory, Meth. Appl. 74 (2011), 6981-6987.
- [18] D. Tan, Extension of isometries on the unit sphere of $\mathscr{L}^p(\Gamma)$ -spaces, Acta. Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 28 (2012), 1197-1208.
- [19] E. Wigner, Gruppentheorie and ihre Anwendung auf die Quantenmechanik der Atomrsprekten, Vieweg, Braunschweig (1931).
- [20] W. Jia, D. Tan, Wigner's theorem in $\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ -type spaces, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 97 (2) (2018), 279–284.
- [21] A.M. Peralta, Extending surjective isometries defined on the unit sphere on $\mathscr{L}_{\infty}(\Gamma)$, Rev. Mat. Compl. 32 (1) (2009), 99-114.
- [22] D. Tan, X. Xiong, A note on Tingley's problem and Wigner's theorem in the unit sphere of $\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ -type spaces, Quaest. Math. (2020), https://doi.org/10.2989/16073606.2020.1783010.
- [23] R. Tanaka, Tingley's problem on finite Von Neumann algebras, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 451 (2017), 319-326.