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Abstract. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$, having no zeros in $|z|<1$, then it was shown by Dewan et al [K. K. Dewan and Sunil Hans, Generalization of certain well known polynomial inequalities, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 363 (2010) 38-41] that for every real or complex number $\beta$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z|=1$,

$$
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\frac{n \beta}{2} p(z)\right| \leq \frac{n}{2}\left\{\left(\left|\frac{\beta}{2}\right|+\left|1+\frac{\beta}{2}\right|\right) \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|-\left(\left|1+\frac{\beta}{2}\right|-\left|\frac{\beta}{2}\right|\right) \min _{|z|=1}|p(z)|\right\}
$$

In this paper, we generalize the above inequality and some related inequalities by extending them to the class of polynomials having no zeros in $|z|<1$ except $s$-fold zeros at the origin where $0 \leq s \leq n$. We also establish a compact generalization of some known polynomial inequalities.
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## 1. Introduction and statement of results

According to a well known Bernstein's inequality on the derivative of a polynomial $p(z)$ of degree $n$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|=1}\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq n \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)| \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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The result is best possible and equality holds for the polynomials having all its zeros at the origin (see [14]).

The inequality (1) can be sharpened, if we restrict ourselves to the class of polynomials having no zeros in $|z|<1$.

In fact, P . Erdös conjectured and later Lax [12] proved that if $p(z) \neq 0$ in $|z|<1$, then (1) can be replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|=1}\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{n}{2} \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)| . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the polynomial $p(z)$ has all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$, then it was proved by Turan [15] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|=1}\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{n}{2} \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)| . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequalities (2) and (3) are sharp and equalities hold for polynomials having all its zeros on $|z|=1$.

Recently Aziz and Zargar [5] improved inequality (3) and proved that if $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ having all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$, with $s$-fold zeros at the origin, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|=1}\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{n+s}{2} \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|+\frac{n-s}{2} \min _{|z|=1}|p(z)| \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As an improvement of inequality (2) Jain [11] proved that if $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ having no zeros in $|z|<1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\frac{n \beta}{2} p(z)\right| \leq \frac{n}{2}\left(\left|\frac{\beta}{2}\right|+\left|1+\frac{\beta}{2}\right|\right) \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every real or complex number $\beta$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z|=1$. The equality holds for $P(z)=$ $a z^{n}+b,|a|=|b|=1 / 2$.

Dewan et al [7] proved that if $P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ and has all its zeros in $|z|<1$, then for every real or complex number $\beta$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{|z|=1}\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\frac{n \beta}{2} p(z)\right| \geq n\left|1+\frac{\beta}{2}\right| \min _{|z|=1}|p(z)| . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case $p(z)$ having no zeros in $|z|<1$, as a refinment of (5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\frac{n \beta}{2} p(z)\right| \leq \frac{n}{2}\left\{\left(\left|\frac{\beta}{2}\right|+\left|1+\frac{\beta}{2}\right|\right) \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|-\left(\left|1+\frac{\beta}{2}\right|-\left|\frac{\beta}{2}\right|\right) \min _{|z|=1}|p(z)|\right\}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every real or complex number $\beta$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z|=1$.

In this paper, we first obtain the following generalization of polynomial inequality (6), as follows:

Theorem 1.1. Let $p(z)$ be a polynomial of degree $n$, having all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$, with $s$-fold zeros at the origin, $0 \leq s \leq n$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{|z|=1}\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} p(z)\right| \geq\left|n+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right| \min _{|z|=1}|p(z)| \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every real or complex number $\beta$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$. The result is best possible and equality holds for the polynomials $p(z)=a z^{n}$.

If we take $s=0$ in Theorem 1.1, the inequality (8) reduce to inequality (6). According to Lemma 2.1,

$$
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{n+s}{2}|p(z)|
$$

then for suitable argument $\beta$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} p(z)\right|=\left|z p^{\prime}(z)\right|-|\beta| \frac{n+s}{2}|p(z)| \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (8) and (9), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)\right|-|\beta| \frac{n+s}{2}|p(z)| & =\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} p(z)\right| \\
& \geq \min _{|z|=1}\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} p(z)\right| \geq\left|n+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right| \min _{|z|=1}|p(z)| \\
& \geq\left\{n-|\beta| \frac{n+s}{2}\right\} \min _{|z|=1}|p(z)|
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)\right|-|\beta| \frac{n+s}{2}|p(z)| \geq\left\{n-|\beta| \frac{n+s}{2}\right\} \min _{|z|=1}|p(z)|
$$

equivalently

$$
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq|\beta| \frac{n+s}{2}|p(z)|+\left\{n-|\beta| \frac{n+s}{2}\right\} \min _{|z|=1}|p(z)| .
$$

Making $|\beta| \rightarrow 1$, then we have the following interesting result which improve the inequality (4).
Corollary 1.2. Let $p(z)$ be a polynomial of degree $n$, having all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$ with $s$-fold zeros at the origin, $0 \leq s \leq n$, then for $|z|=1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{n+s}{2}|p(z)|+\frac{n-s}{2} \min _{|z|=1}|p(z)| \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we take $\beta=0$ in Theorem 1.1, then inequality (8) reduces to the following result, which proved by Aziz and Dawood [1].

Corollary 1.3. Let $p(z)$ be a polynomial of degree $n$, having all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{|z|=1}\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq n \min _{|z|=1}|p(z)| \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we take $\beta=-1$ in (8), then we have:
Corollary 1.4. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$, having all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$, with $s$-fold zeros at the origin, $0 \leq s \leq n$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{|z|=1}\left|z p^{\prime}(z)-\frac{n+s}{2} p(z)\right| \geq \frac{n-s}{2} \min _{|z|=1}|p(z)| . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next by using Theorem 1.1, we generalize the inequality (7), more precisely:
Theorem 1.2. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$, having no zeros in $|z|<1$, except $s$-fold zeros at the origin, $0 \leq s \leq n$, then for every real or complex number $\beta$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z|=1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\max _{|z|=1}\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} p(z)\right| \leq & \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left(\left|n+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right|+\left|s+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right|\right) \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|-\right.  \tag{13}\\
& \left.\left(\left|n+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right|-\left|s+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right|\right) \min _{|z|=1}|p(z)|\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

The result is best possible and equality holds in (13) for $p(z)=z^{n}+z^{s}$ and $\beta \geq 0$.

If we take $s=0$ in Theorem 1.2, then inequality (13) reduces to inequality (7).
If we take $\beta=0$ in Theorem 1.2, we have the following result which recently proved by Aziz and Zargar [5].

Corollary 1.5. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$, having no zeros in $|z|<1$, except $s$-fold zeros at the origin, $0 \leq s \leq n$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|=1}\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{n+s}{2} \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|-\frac{n-s}{2} \min _{|z|=1}|p(z)| . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is best possible and equality holds in (14) for $p(z)=z^{n}+z^{s}$.
If we take $\beta=-1$ in Theorem 1.2, we have the follwing generalization of result due to K. K. Dewan [7].

Corollary 1.6. Let $p(z)$ be a polynomial of degree $n$, not vanishing in $|z|<1$, except $s$-fold zeros at the origin, $0 \leq s \leq n$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|=1}\left|z p^{\prime}(z)-\frac{n+s}{2} p(z)\right| \leq \frac{n-s}{2} \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)| . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Lemmas

For the proofs of these theorems, we need the following lemmas.
Lemma 2.1. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$, having all its zeros in the closed disk $|z| \leq 1$, with $s$-fold zeros at the origin, $0 \leq s \leq n$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{n+s}{2}|p(z)|, \quad|z|=1 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This lemma is due to Aziz and Zargar [5].
Lemma 2.2. Let $F(z)$ be a polynomial of degree $n$ having all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$, with $s$-fold zeros at the origin, $0 \leq s \leq n$ and $p(z)$ be a polynomial of degree not exceeding that of $F(z)$, with $s$-fold zeros at the origin, $0 \leq s \leq n$. If $|p(z)| \leq|F(z)|$ for $|z|=1$, then for any $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z|=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} p(z)\right| \leq\left|z F^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} F(z)\right| \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By using the inequality $|p(z)| \leq|F(z)|$ for $|z|=1$, any zero of $F(z)$ that lies on $|z|=1$, is the zero of $p(z)$. On the other hand, from Rouche's Theorem, it is obvious that for $\alpha$ with $|\alpha|<1, F(z)+\alpha p(z)$ has as many zeros in $|z|<1$ as $F(z)$, and so has all of its zeros in $|z|<1$. Therefore $F(z)+\alpha p(z)$ has all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$, with $s$-fold zeros at the origin, $0 \leq s \leq n$. On applying Lemma 2.1, we get

$$
\left|z F^{\prime}(z)+\alpha z p^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{n+s}{2}|F(z)+\alpha p(z)| \text { for }|z|=1
$$

Therefore, for any $\beta$ with $|\beta|<1$, we have for $|z|=1$,

$$
\left(z F^{\prime}(z)+\alpha z p^{\prime}(z)\right)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}(F(z)+\alpha p(z)) \neq 0
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(z)=\left(z F^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} F(z)\right)+\alpha\left(z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} p(z)\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

will have no zeros on $|z|=1$. Then for an appropriate choice of the argument of $\alpha$, one get for $|z|=1$,

$$
|\alpha|\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} p(z)\right| \neq\left|z F^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} F(z)\right|
$$

Therefore on $|z|=1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} p(z)\right| \leq\left|z F^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} F(z)\right| \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

If inequality (19) is not true, then there is a point $z=z_{0}$ with $\left|z_{0}\right|=1$ such that

$$
\left|z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} p\left(z_{0}\right)\right|>\left|z_{0} F^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} F\left(z_{0}\right)\right|
$$

Now take

$$
\alpha=-\frac{z_{0} F^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} F\left(z_{0}\right)}{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} p\left(z_{0}\right)},
$$

then $|\alpha|<1$ and with this choice of $\alpha$, we have from (18), $T\left(z_{0}\right)=0$ for $\left|z_{0}\right|=1$. But this contradicts the fact that $T(z) \neq 0$ for $|z|=1$. For $\beta$ with $|\beta|=1$, inequality (19) follows by continuity. This is equivalent to the desired result.

If we take $F(z)=z^{n} \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|$ in the Lemma 2.2, we have the following result:
Lemma 2.3. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ with $s$-fold zeros at the origin, $0 \leq s \leq n$, then for any $\beta$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z|=1$,

$$
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} p(z)\right| \leq\left|n+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right| \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|
$$

Lemma 2.4. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ with $s$-fold zeros at the origin, $0 \leq s \leq n$, then for any $\beta$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z|=1$,

$$
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} p(z)\right|+\left|z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} q(z)\right| \leq\left\{\left|n+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right|+\left|s+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right|\right\} \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|
$$

where

$$
q(z)=z^{n+s} \overline{p\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}
$$

Note that $q(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ with $s$-fold zeros at the origin, $0 \leq s \leq n$, because $p(z)=z^{s} h(z)$ which $h(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n-s$, therefore

$$
\left.q(z)=z^{n+s} \overline{p\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}=z^{n+s} \overline{\left(\frac{1}{z^{s}} h\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)\right)}=z^{n} h\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)=z^{s}\left(z^{n-s} \overline{h\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right.}\right)\right) .
$$

Since $h(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n-s$, where $h(0) \neq 0$, hence the polynomial $z^{n-s} \overline{h\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}$ is a polynomial of degree $n-s$. Therefore $q(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ with $s$-fold zeros at the origin, $0 \leq s \leq n$.

Proof. Let $M=\max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|$. For $\alpha$ with $|\alpha|>1$, it follows by Rouche's Theorem that the polynomial $G(z)=p(z)-\alpha M z^{s}$ has no zeros in $|z|<1$, except $s$-fold zeros at the origin. Correspondingly the polynomial

$$
H(z)=z^{n+s} \overline{G\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}
$$

has all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$ with $s$-fold zeros at origin and $|G(z)|=|H(z)|$ for $|z|=1$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2 , for $|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z|=1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z G^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} G(z)\right| \leq\left|z H^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} H(z)\right| \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand

$$
\left.H(z)=z^{n+s} \overline{G\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}=z^{n+s} \overline{\left(p\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)\right.}-\bar{\alpha} M z^{-s}\right)=q(z)-\bar{\alpha} M z^{n},
$$

or

$$
H(z)=q(z)-\bar{\alpha} M z^{n}
$$

then by replacement in (20), we have

$$
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)-\alpha s z^{s} M+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\left(p(z)-\alpha M z^{s}\right)\right| \leq\left|z q^{\prime}(z)-n \bar{\alpha} M z^{n}+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\left(q(z)-\bar{\alpha} M z^{n}\right)\right| .
$$

This implies for $|z|=1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} p(z)\right|-|\alpha|\left|s+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right| M \leq  \tag{21}\\
& \left|\left(z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} q(z)\right)-\bar{\alpha} M z^{n}\left(n+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right)\right|
\end{align*}
$$

As $|p(z)|=|q(z)|$ for $|z|=1$, then $M=\max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|=\max _{|z|=1}|q(z)|$ and $q(z)$ has $s$-fold zeros at origin. On applying Lemma 2.3 to the polynomial $q(z)$, we have for $|z|=1$,

$$
\left|z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} q(z)\right| \leq\left|n+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right| \max _{|z|=1}|q(z)|<|\alpha|\left|n+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right| M .
$$

Therefore from inequality (21), by suitable choice of argument of $\alpha$, we have $|z|=1$,

$$
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} p(z)\right|-|\alpha| M\left|s+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right| \leq|\alpha| M\left|n+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right|-\left|z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} q(z)\right|,
$$

i.e.

$$
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} p(z)\right|+\left|z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} q(z)\right| \leq|\alpha|\left(\left|n+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right|+\left|s+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right|\right) M .
$$

Making $|\alpha| \rightarrow 1$, Lemma 2.4 follows.
The following lemma is due to Gardner, Govil and Musukula [8].
Lemma 2.5. If $p(z)=\sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{v} z^{v}$ is a polynomial of degree $n, p(z) \neq 0$ in $|z|<k,(k>0)$, then $m<|p(z)|$ for $|z|<k$ and in particular $m<\left|a_{0}\right|$, where $m=\min _{|z|=k}|p(z)|$.

## 2. Proofs of the theorems

Proof of the Theorem 1.1. If $p(z)$ has a zero on $|z|=1$, then inequality (8) is trivial. Therefore we assume that $p(z)$ has all its zeros in $|z|<1$. If $m=\min _{|z|=1}|p(z)|$, then $m>0$ and $|p(z)| \geq m$ for $|z|=1$. Therefore, if $|\lambda|<1$ then it follows by Rouche's Theorem that the polynomial $G(z)=p(z)-\lambda m z^{n}$, has all its zeros in $|z|<1$ with $s$-fold zeros at the origin, $0 \leq s \leq n$. Also by using Lemma 2.5 for $k=1$, the polynomial $G(z)=p(z)-\lambda m z^{n}$ is of degree $n$, for $|\lambda|<1$.

On applying Lemma 2.1 to the polynomial $G(z)$ of degree $n$, we get

$$
\left|z G^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{n+s}{2}|G(z)|
$$

i.e.

$$
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)-\lambda m n z^{n}\right| \geq \frac{n+s}{2}\left|p(z)-\lambda m z^{n}\right|,
$$

where $|z|=1$.
Therefore for $\beta$ with $|\beta|<1$, it can be easily verified that the polynomial

$$
\left(z p^{\prime}(z)-\lambda m n z^{n}\right)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\left\{p(z)-\lambda m z^{n}\right\}
$$

i.e.

$$
\left(z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} p(z)\right)-\lambda m z^{n}\left(n+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right)
$$

will have no zeros on $|z|=1$. As $|\lambda|<1$, we have for $\beta$ with $|\beta|<1$ and $|z|=1$,

$$
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} p(z)\right|>m\left|\lambda z^{n}\right|\left|n+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right|,
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} p(z)\right| \geq m\left|n+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right| . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\beta$ with $|\beta|=1$, (22) follows by continuity. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.
Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let $m=\min _{|z|=1}|p(z)|$, then $m \leq|p(z)|$ for $|z| \leq 1$. Now for $\lambda$ with $|\lambda|<1$, we have

$$
|\lambda m|<m \leq|p(z)|,
$$

where $|z|=1$. Hence by Rouche's Theorem the polynomial $G(z)=p(z)-\lambda m z^{s}$, has no zero in $|z|<1$ except $s$-fold zeros at the origin. Therefore the polynomial

$$
H(z)=z^{n+s} \overline{G(1 / \bar{z})}=q(z)-\bar{\lambda} m z^{n},
$$

will have all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$ with $s$-fold zeros at the origin. Also $|G(z)|=|H(z)|$ for $|z|=1$.
On the other hand by using Lemma 2.5, the polynomial $q(z)-\bar{\lambda} m z^{n}$ is of degree $n$, for $|\lambda|<1$.
On applying Lemma 2.2 to the polynomial $H(z)$ of degree $n$, we have for $|z|=1$,

$$
\left|z G^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} G(z)\right| \leq \left\lvert\, z H^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} H(z)\right.
$$

i.e.

$$
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)-\lambda s m z^{s}+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\left(p(z)-\lambda m z^{s}\right)\right| \leq\left|z q^{\prime}(z)-\bar{\lambda} n m z^{n}+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\left(q(z)-\bar{\lambda} m z^{n}\right)\right| .
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} p(z)-\left(s+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right) \lambda m z^{s}\right| \leq  \tag{23}\\
\left|z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} q(z)-\left(n+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right) \bar{\lambda} m z^{n}\right|
\end{gather*}
$$

Since all the zeros of $q(z)$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$ with $s$-fold zeros at the origin, $0 \leq s \leq n$ and $|p(z)|=$ $|q(z)|$ for $|z|=1$, hence on applying Theorem 1 to the polynomial $q(z)$, we have for $|z|=1$,

$$
\left|z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} q(z)\right| \geq\left|n+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right| \min _{|z|=1}|q(z)|=\left|n+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right| m
$$

where $|z|=1$ and $|\beta| \leq 1$.
Then for an appropriate choice of the argument of $\lambda$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} q(z)-\left(n+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right) \bar{\lambda} m z^{n}\right|=\left|z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} q(z)\right|-\left|n+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} \| \lambda\right| m \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By combining (23) and (24), we get for $|z|=1$ and $|\beta| \leq 1$,

$$
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} p(z)\right|-\left|s+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\left\|\lambda \left|m \leq\left|z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} q(z)\right|-\left|n+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} \| \lambda\right| m\right.\right.\right.
$$

Equivalently

$$
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} p(z)\right| \leq\left|z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} q(z)\right|-\left(\left|n+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right|-\left|s+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right|\right)|\lambda| m
$$

As $|\lambda| \rightarrow 1$, we have

$$
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} p(z)\right| \leq\left|z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} q(z)\right|-\left(\left|n+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right|-\left|s+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right|\right) m
$$

Which implies for every real or complex number $\beta$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z|=1$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} p(z)\right| \leq\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} p(z)\right|+ \\
\left|z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} q(z)\right|-\left(\left|n+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right|-\left|s+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right|\right) m
\end{array}
$$

This in conjunction with Lemma 2.4 gives for $|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z|=1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} p(z)\right| & \leq\left(\left|n+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right|+\left|s+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right|\right) \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)| \\
& -\left(\left|n+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right|-\left|s+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right|\right) \min _{|z|=1}|p(z)| .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.
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