

Available online at http://scik.org Adv. Inequal. Appl. 2016, 2016:8 ISSN: 2050-7461

## COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR WEAKLY COMPATIBLE MAPS IN COMPLEX VALUED B-METRIC SPACES

SUNANDA R. PATIL<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, J.N. SALUNKE<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, K.C.E. Society's

College of Engineering and Information Technology, Jalgaon-425001, India <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, North Maharashtra University, Jalgaon.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Swami Ramanand Teerth Marathwada University, Nanded-431606, India

Copyright © 2016 Patil and Salunke. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Abstract.** In this paper, we prove some common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings in complex valued b-metric spaces. Examples are provided to support the results.

**Keywords:** Complex valued b-metric spaces; Fixed point; Weakly compatible mapping; (E.A) property, (CLR) property

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

## 1. Introduction

Complex valued metric spaces were introduced by Azam et.al.[1] in which they obtained fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying various contractive conditions. Many researchers Bhatt et al. [3], Sintunavarat and Kumam [10] and Mohanta et al. [11] have proved results in these spaces including those for rational expressions which are meaningless in the context of

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

Received November 3, 2015

cone metric spaces. The notion of b-metric spaces which are more general than metric spaces was introduced by Czerwik [4] in 1998.

In 2013, Rao et al. [9] combined these concepts and introduced generalizations of these spaces called Complex-valued b-metric spaces. They proved a theorem for four weakly compatible maps in a complete complex valued b-metric space. Later A.A.Mukeimar [2] obtained the results of Azam et al. [1], S.Bhatt et al. [3] in the setting of the complex valued b-metric space. Also Singh et al. [6], Dubey et al. [7], have obtained fixed point theorems for mapping satisfying contractive conditions in complex valued b-metric spaces.

In this paper, we prove common fixed point theorems for four weakly compatible mappings which satisfy a rational contractive condition in the complex valued b-metric space. Also we obtain these results using the (E.A) property and the Common limit range (CLR) property in complex valued *b*-metric spaces.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let us denote the set of complex numbers by  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . We define a partial order  $\leq$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  as follows:

 $z_1 \preceq z_2$ , iff  $Re(z_1) \leq Re(z_2)$  and  $Im(z_1) \leq Im(z_2)$ . Thus we can say that  $z_1 \preceq z_2$  if one of the following holds:

- (i)  $Re(z_1) = Re(z_2)$  and  $Im(z_1) = Im(z_2)$ ;
- (ii)  $Re(z_1) < Re(z_2)$  and  $Im(z_1) = Im(z_2)$ ;
- (iii)  $Re(z_1) = Re(z_2)$  and  $Im(z_1) < Im(z_2)$ ;
- (iv)  $Re(z_1) < Re(z_2)$  and  $Im(z_1) < Im(z_2)$ .

In particular we write  $z_1 \preccurlyeq z_2$  if  $z_1 \neq z_2$  and one of (ii), (iii), (iv) holds. Also we write  $z_1 \prec z_2$  if only (iv) is satisfied.

Note that the following statements hold:

- (i)  $0 \preceq z_1 \preceq z_2$  implies that  $|z_1| < |z_2|$ ;
- (ii)  $z_1 \preceq z_2$  and  $z_2 \prec z_3$  implies that  $z_1 \prec z_3$ ;
- (iii)  $0 \preceq z_1 \preceq z_2$  implies that  $|z_1| \leq |z_2|$ ;

(iv)  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $a \leq b$  implies that  $az \preceq bz$ , for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** [1] Let *X* be a nonempty set. If the mapping  $d : X \times X \to \mathbb{C}$  satisfies the conditions:

- (i)  $0 \preceq d(x, y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$  and d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y;
- (ii) d(x,y) = d(y,x), for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (iii)  $d(x,y) \preceq d(x,z) + d(z,y)$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

Then *d* is called a complex valued metric on *X* and (X,d) is called a complex valued metric space.

**Definition 2.2.** [9] Let *X* be a nonempty set and  $s \ge 1$ , a given real number. A function  $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{C}$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $0 \preceq d(x, y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$  and d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y;
- (ii) d(x,y) = d(y,x), for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (iii)  $d(x,y) \preceq s[d(x,z) + d(z,y)]$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

Then *d* is called a complex valued b-metric on *X* and (X,d) is called a complex valued b-metric space.

**Example 2.3.** [9] Let X = [0, 1], define the mapping  $d : X \times X \to \mathbb{C}$  by  $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2 + i|x - y|^2$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then (X, d) is a complex valued b-metric space with s = 2.

**Definition 2.4.**[9] Let (X, d) be a complex valued b-metric space.

- (i) A point  $x \in A$  is called a interior point of a set  $A \subseteq X$ , whenever there exists  $0 \prec r \in \mathbb{C}$ such that  $B(x,r) = \{y \in X : d(x,y) \prec r\} \subseteq A$ .
- (ii) A point  $x \in X$  is called a limit point of a set  $A \subseteq X$  whenever for every  $0 \prec r \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $B(x,r) \cap (X-A) \neq \phi$ .
- (iii) A subset  $B \subseteq X$  is called open whenever each limit point of B is an interior point of B.
- (iv) A subset  $B \subseteq X$  is called closed whenever each limit point of B belongs to B.
- (v) The family  $F = \{B(x,r) : x \in X \text{ and } 0 \prec r\}$  is a subbasis for a topology on *X*. We denote this complex topology by  $\tau_c$ . Indeed, the topology  $\tau_c$  is Hausdroff.

**Definition 2.5.** [9] Let (X,d) be a complex valued b-metric space and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in X and  $x \in X$ .

- (i) If for every c ∈ C, with 0 ≺ c, there exists n<sub>0</sub> ∈ N, such that d(x<sub>n</sub>,x) ≺ c for all n > n<sub>0</sub>, then {x<sub>n</sub>} is said to converge to x and x is a limit point of of {x<sub>n</sub>}. We denote this by x<sub>n</sub> → x as n → ∞ or lim<sub>x→∞</sub>x<sub>n</sub> = x.
- (ii) If for every  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ , with  $0 \prec c$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n > n_0, d(x_n, x_{n+m}) \prec c$ , where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be Cauchy sequence.
- (iii) If every Cauchy sequence is convergent in (X,d), then (X,d) is called a complete complex valued b-metric space.

**Lemma 2.6.** [9] Let (X,d) be a complex valued b-metric space and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in *X*. Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges to *x* if and only if  $|d(x_n,x)| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** [9] Let (X,d) be a complex valued b-metric space and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in X. Then  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence if and only if  $|d(x_n, x_{n+m})| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Definition 2.8.** Let *S* and *f* be two self maps of a nonempty set *X*. If Sx = fx = y for some  $x \in X$ , then *x* is called the coincidence point of *S* and *f* and *y* is called the point of coincidence of *S* and *f*.

**Definition 2.9.** Two self mappings *S* and *f* are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points, i.e. Sx = fx implies that Sfx = fSx.

## 3. A common fixed point theorem

In this section we prove common fixed point theorems for four weakly compatible maps in complex valued b-metric spaces.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let S, T, f and g be four self mappings of a complete complex valued b-metric space (X, d) which satisfy the following,

$$d(Sx, Ty) \preceq Ad(fx, gy) + B \frac{d(fx, Sx)d(Ty, gy)}{1 + d(fx, gy)} + C \frac{d(fx, Ty)d(Sx, gy)}{1 + d(fx, gy)} + D \frac{d(fx, Sx)d(fx, Ty) + d(gy, Ty)d(gy, Sx)}{1 + d(fx, Ty) + d(gy, Sx)}$$
(1)

for all  $x, y \in X$  where A, B, C and D are nonnegative real numbers such that s(A+C+D)+B < 1. Here if,

(i)  $S(X) \subseteq g(X)$  and  $T(X) \subseteq f(X)$ ,

- (ii) the pairs (S, f) and (T, g) are weakly compatible,
- (iii) the subspace f(X) or g(X) is closed,

then S, T, f and g have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof:** We construct a sequence  $\{y_k\}$  in *X* such that,

$$y_{2k} = Sx_{2k} = gx_{2k+1}$$
 and  $y_{2k+1} = Tx_{2k+1} = fx_{2k+2}, k \ge 0$ ,

where  $\{x_{2k}\}$  is another sequence in *X*.

We show that  $\{y_k\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in *X*.

Consider,

$$\begin{split} d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1}) &= d(Sx_{2k}, Tx_{2k+1}) \\ \lesssim Ad(fx_{2k}, gx_{2k+1}) + B \frac{d(fx_{2k}, Sx_{2k})d(Tx_{2k+1}, gx_{2k+1})}{1 + d(fx_{2k}, gx_{2k+1})} \\ &+ C \frac{d(fx_{2k}, Tx_{2k+1})d(Sx_{2k}, gx_{2k+1})}{1 + d(fx_{2k}, gx_{2k+1})} \\ &+ D \frac{d(fx_{2k}, Sx_{2k})d(fx_{2k}, Tx_{2k+1}) + d(gx_{2k+1}, Tx_{2k+1})d(gx_{2k+1}, Sx_{2k})}{1 + d(fx_{2k}, Tx_{2k+1}) + d(gx_{2k+1}, Sx_{2k})}. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1}) \precsim Ad(y_{2k-1}, y_{2k}) + B \frac{d(y_{2k-1}, y_{2k})d(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k})}{1 + d(y_{2k-1}, y_{2k})} + C \frac{d(y_{2k-1}, y_{2k+1})d(y_{2k}, y_{2k})}{1 + d(y_{2k-1}, y_{2k})} + D \frac{d(y_{2k-1}, y_{2k})d(y_{2k-1}, y_{2k+1}) + d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})d(y_{2k}, y_{2k})}{1 + d(y_{2k-1}, y_{2k+1}) + d(y_{2k}, y_{2k})},$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned} |d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})| &\leq A |d(y_{2k-1}, y_{2k})| + B |d(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k})| \left| \frac{d(y_{2k-1}, y_{2k})}{1 + d(y_{2k-1}, y_{2k})} \right| + D |d(y_{2k-1}, y_{2k})| \left| \frac{d(y_{2k-1}, y_{2k+1})}{1 + d(y_{2k-1}, y_{2k+1})} \right| \\ \text{Since} \left| \frac{d(y_{2k-1}, y_{2k})}{1 + d(y_{2k-1}, y_{2k})} \right| < 1, \left| \frac{d(y_{2k-1}, y_{2k+1})}{1 + d(y_{2k-1}, y_{2k+1})} \right| < 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$|d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})| \le A|d(y_{2k-1}, y_{2k})| + B|d(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k})| + D|d(y_{2k-1}, y_{2k})|.$$

Hence,

$$|d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})| \le \frac{A+D}{1-B} |d(y_{2k-1}, y_{2k})|.$$

Let  $\lambda = \frac{A+D}{1-B}$ , then since s(A+C+D)+B < 1 and  $s \ge 1$ , we have  $\lambda < 1$ . Therefore,

$$|d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})| \le \lambda |d(y_{2k-1}, y_{2k})|$$

Similarly we obtain,

$$|d(y_{2k-1}, y_{2k})| \le \lambda |d(y_{2k-2}, y_{2k-1})|.$$

Consequently we conclude that,

 $|d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})| \le \lambda |d(y_{2k-1}, y_{2k})| \le \lambda^2 |d(y_{2k-2}, y_{2k-1})| \le \lambda^3 |d(y_{2k-3}, y_{2k-2})| \le \dots \le \lambda^{2k} |d(y_0, y_1)|.$ Finally, we have,

$$|d(y_k, y_{k+1})| \le \lambda^k |d(y_0, y_1)|$$
(2)

For all  $m > n, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , since s(A + C + D) + B < 1, we have,  $s\lambda = s\frac{(A+D)}{1-B} < 1$ , thus using the triangular inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |d(y_n, y_m)| &\leq s |d(y_n, y_{n+1})| + s |d(y_{n+1}, y_m)| \\ &\leq s |d(y_n, y_{n+1})| + s^2 |d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})| + s^2 |d(y_{n+2}, y_m)| \\ &\leq s |d(y_n, y_{n+1})| + s^2 |d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})| + s^3 |d(y_{n+2}, y_{n+3})| + s^3 |d(y_{n+3}, y_m)| \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq s |d(y_n, y_{n+1})| + s^2 |d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})| + s^3 |d(y_{n+2}, y_{n+3})| + \dots + s^{m-n-1} |d(y_{m-2}, y_{m-1})| + s^{m-n} |d(y_{m-1}, y_m)|. \end{aligned}$$

By using (2), we have,

$$|d(y_n, y_m)| \le s\lambda^n |d(y_0, y_1| + s^2\lambda^{n+1} |d(y_0, y_1)| + s^3\lambda^{n+2} |d(y_0, y_1)| + \dots + s^{m-n-1}\lambda^{m-2} |d(y_0, y_1)| + s^{m-n}\lambda^{m-1} |d(y_0, y_1)|$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |d(y_n, y_m)| &\leq (s\lambda^n + s^2\lambda^{n+1} + s^3\lambda^{n+2} + \dots + s^{m-n-1}\lambda^{m-2} + s^{m-n}\lambda^{m-1})|d(y_0, y_1)| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-n} s^i\lambda^{i+n-1}|d(y_0, y_1)|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |d(y_n, y_m)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m-n} s^{i+n-1} \lambda^{i+n-1} |d(y_0, y_1)| \\ &= \sum_{t=n}^{m-1} s^t \lambda^t |d(y_0, y_1)| \\ &\leq \sum_{t=n}^{\infty} (s\lambda)^t |d(y_0, y_1)| \\ &\leq \frac{(s\lambda)^n}{1-s\lambda} |d(y_0, y_1)| \end{aligned}$$

Since  $s\lambda < 1, |d(y_n, y_m)| \le \frac{(s\lambda)^n}{1-s\lambda} |d(y_0, y_1)| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Thus  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in *X*. Since *X* is complete, there exists a point  $z \in X$  such that,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} Sx_{2k} = \lim_{k \to \infty} gx_{2k+1} = \lim_{k \to \infty} Tx_{2k+1} = \lim_{k \to \infty} fx_{2k+2} = z.$$

Assuming f(X) is a closed subspace of  $X, z \in f(X)$  and z = fu for some  $u \in X$ . Now we show that Su = fu.

We have, using the triangular inequality,

$$d(Su,z) \preceq s[d(Su,Tx_{2k+1})+d(Tx_{2k+1},z)].$$

Hence, by (1),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{s}d(Su,z) \precsim Ad(fu,gx_{2k+1}) + B\frac{d(fu,Su)d(Tx_{2k+1},gx_{2k+1})}{1+d(fu,gx_{2k+1})} + C\frac{d(fu,Tx_{2k+1})d(Su,gx_{2k+1})}{1+d(fu,gx_{2k+1})} \\ + D\frac{d(fu,Su)d(fu,Tx_{2k+1}) + d(gx_{2k+1},Tx_{2k+1})d(gx_{2k+1},fu)}{1+d(fu,Tx_{2k+1}) + d(gx_{2k+1},Su)} + sd(Tx_{2k+1},z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{s}d(Su,z) \precsim Ad(z,gx_{2k+1}) + B\frac{d(z,Su)d(Tx_{2k+1},gx_{2k+1})}{1+d(z,gx_{2k+1})} + C\frac{d(z,Tx_{2k+1})d(Su,gx_{2k+1})}{1+d(z,gx_{2k+1})} \\ &+ D\frac{d(z,Su)d(z,Tx_{2k+1}) + d(gx_{2k+1},Tx_{2k+1})d(gx_{2k+1},Su)}{1+d(z,Tx_{2k+1}) + d(gx_{2k+1},Su)} + sd(Tx_{2k+1},z). \end{split}$$

Therefore, as  $k \to \infty$ , we have,

$$\frac{1}{s}d(Su,z) \precsim 0$$

which implies that |d(Su, z)| = 0 and that Su = z. Thus Su = fu = z and u is a coincidence point of f and S.

Since  $S(X) \subseteq g(X)$  and  $z \in g(X)$ , there exists point  $v \in X$ , such that Su = gv. Thus Su = fu = gv = z.

Consider, by the triangular inequality,

$$d(z,Tv) \preceq s[d(z,Sx_{2k}) + d(Sx_{2k},Tv)]$$

i.e.

$$\frac{1}{s}d(z,Tv) \precsim d(z,Sx_{2k}) + d(Sx_{2k},Tv).$$

Using (1), we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{s}d(z,Tv) \lesssim d(z,Sx_{2k}) + Ad(fx_{2k},gv) + B\frac{d(fx_{2k},Sx_{2k})d(Tv,gv)}{1+d(fx_{2k},gv)} + C\frac{d(fx_{2k},Tv)d(Sx_{2k},gv)}{1+d(fx_{2k},gv)} \\ + D\frac{d(fx_{2k},Sx_{2k})d(fx_{2k},Tv) + d(gv,Tv)d(gv,Sx_{2k})}{1+d(fx_{2k},Tv) + d(gv,Sx_{2k})}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{s}d(z,Tv) \precsim d(z,Sx_{2k}) + Ad(fx_{2k},z) + B\frac{d(fx_{2k},Sx_{2k})d(Tv,z)}{1+d(fx_{2k},z)} + C\frac{d(fx_{2k},Tv)d(Sx_{2k},z)}{1+d(fx_{2k},z)} \\ + D\frac{d(fx_{2k},Sx_{2k})d(fx_{2k},Tv) + d(z,Tv)d(z,Sx_{2k})}{1+d(fx_{2k},Tv) + d(z,Sx_{2k})}. \end{aligned}$$

As  $k \to \infty$ , we get,

$$\frac{1}{s}d(z,Tv) \precsim 0.$$

Hence it implies that |d(z, Tv)| = 0 and Tv = z. Thus Su = fu = gv = Tv = z.

Since *S* and *f* are weakly compatible, Sfu = fSu and Sz = fz.

We prove that z is a fixed point of S i.e. Sz = z, suppose not,  $Sz \neq z$ , then again by the triangular inequality,

$$d(Sz,z) \preceq s[d(Sz,Tx_{2k+1}) + d(Tx_{2k+1},z)].$$

By (1) we get,

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{s}d(Sz,z) \precsim Ad(fz,gx_{2k+1}) + B\frac{d(fz,Sz)d(Tx_{2k+1},gx_{2k+1})}{1+d(fz,gx_{2k+1})} + C\frac{d(fz,Tx_{2k+1})d(Sz,gx_{2k+1})}{1+d(fz,gx_{2k+1})} \\ &+ D\frac{d(fz,Sz)d(fz,Tx_{2k+1}) + d(gx_{2k+1},Tx_{2k+1})d(gx_{2k+1},Sz)}{1+d(fz,Tx_{2k+1}) + d(gx_{2k+1},Sz)} + d(Tx_{2k+1},z). \end{split}$$

As  $k \to \infty$ ,

$$\frac{1}{s}d(Sz,z) \preceq Ad(Sz,z) + B\frac{d(Sz,Sz)d(z,z)}{1+d(Sz,z)} + C\frac{d(Sz,z)d(Sz,z)}{1+d(Sz,z)} + D\frac{d(Sz,Sz)d(Sz,z) + d(z,z)d(z,Sz)}{1+d(Sz,z) + d(z,Sz)} + d(z,z).$$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{s}|d(Sz,z)| \le A|d(Sz,z)| + C|d(Sz,z)|.$$

Thus,

$$|d(Sz,z)| \le s(A+C)|d(Sz,z)|.$$

Since s(A+C) < 1, it implies that |d(Sz,z)| = 0 and Sz = z. Hence fz = Sz = z. Since *T* and *g* are weakly compatible, Tgv = gTv i.e. Tz = gz. We can prove in a similar way that Tz = z. Hence Sz = fz = Tz = gz = z and *z* is a common fixed point of *S*, *T*, *f* and *g*. Similar argument holds if we assume that g(X) is a closed subspace of *X*. To prove uniqueness of the common fixed point, suppose there is each point  $z_1 \in X$  such that

To prove uniqueness of the common fixed point, suppose there is another point  $z_1 \in X$  such that,

$$Sz_1 = Tz_1 = fz_1 = gz_1 = z_1.$$

Again, using (1),

$$\begin{aligned} d(z,z_1) &= d(Sz,Tz_1) \precsim Ad(fz,gz_1) + B \frac{d(fz,Sz)d(Tz_1,gz_1)}{1+d(fz,gz_1)} + C \frac{d(fz,Tz_1)d(Sz,gz_1)}{1+d(fz,gz_1)} \\ &+ D \frac{d(fz,Sz)d(fz,Tz_1) + d(gz_1,Tz_1)d(gz_1,Sz)}{1+d(fz,Tz_1) + d(gz_1,Sz)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$d(z,z_1) \precsim Ad(z,z_1) + B \frac{d(z,z)d(z,z_1)}{1+d(z,z_1)} + C \frac{d(z,z_1)d(z,z_1)}{1+d(z,z_1)} + D \frac{d(z,z)d(z,z_1)+d(z_1,z_1)d(z_1,z)}{1+d(z,z_1)+d(z,z_1)}.$$

Thus,

$$d(z,z_1)| \le A|d(z,z_1)| + C|d(z,z_1)| \left| \frac{d(z,z_1)}{1+d(z,z_1)} \right|,$$

which implies that,

$$|d(z, z_1)| \le (A + C)|d(z, z_1)|.$$

As s(A+C+D)+B < 1 and  $s \ge 1$ , A+C < 1 and  $|d(z,z_1)| = 0$ , which implies that  $z = z_1$  and S, T, f and g have a unique common fixed point in X.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let *S* and *f* be two self mappings of a complete complex valued b-metric space (X,d) which satisfy the following,

$$d(Sx, Sy) \precsim Ad(fx, fy) + B \frac{d(fx, Sx)d(Sy, fy)}{1 + d(fx, fy)} + C \frac{d(fx, Sy)d(Sx, fy)}{1 + d(fx, fy)} + D \frac{d(fx, Sx)d(fx, Sy) + d(fy, Sy)d(fy, Sx)}{1 + d(fx, Sy) + d(fy, Sx)}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  where A, B, C and D are nonnegative real numbers such that s(A+C+D)+B < 1. Here if,

- (i)  $S(X) \subseteq f(X)$ ,
- (ii) the pair (S, f) is weakly compatible,
- (iii) the subspace f(X) is closed,

then S and f have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof:** Taking T = S and g = f in Theorem 3.1, we get the proof.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let S, T, f and g be four self mappings of a complete complex valued b-metric space (X, d) which satisfy the following

$$d(Sx,Ty) \preceq Ad(fx,gy) + B\frac{d(fx,Sx)d(Ty,gy)}{1+d(fx,gy)} + C\frac{d(fx,Ty)d(Sx,gy)}{1+d(fx,gy)}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  where A, B and C are nonnegative real numbers such that s(A+C) + B < 1. Here if,

- (i)  $S(X) \subseteq g(X)$  and  $T(X) \subseteq f(X)$ ,
- (ii) the pairs (S, f) and (T, g) are weakly compatible,
- (iii) the subspace f(X) or g(X) is closed,

then S, T, f and g have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof:** The result is obtained by putting D = 0 in Theorem 3.1 which is similar to Theorem 3.1 of [6].

10

**Corollary 3.4.** Let S, T, f and g be four self mappings of a complete complex valued b-metric space (X, d) which satisfy the following

$$d(Sx,Ty) \precsim Ad(fx,gy)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  where A is nonnegative real number such that sA < 1. Here if,

- (i)  $S(X) \subseteq g(X)$  and  $T(X) \subseteq f(X)$ ,
- (ii) the pairs (S, f) and (T, g) are weakly compatible,
- (iii) the subspace f(X) or g(X) is closed,

then S, T, f and g have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof:**Putting B = C = D = 0, in Theorem 3.1 we get the required result.

**Example 3.5.** Let (X,d) be a complex valued b-metric space, where X = [0,1] and  $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{C}$  is defined by  $d(x,y) = |x-y|^2 + i|x-y|^2$ . To show that (X,d) is a complex valued b-metric space with s = 2 let us verify the triangular inequality.

$$\begin{split} d(x,y) &= |x-y|^2 + i|x-y|^2 \\ \lesssim |(x-z) + (z-y)|^2 + i|(x-z) + (z-y)|^2 \\ \lesssim |(x-z)^2 + |z-y|^2 + 2|x-z||z-y|] + i[|x-z|^2 + |z-y|^2 + 2|x-z||z-y| \\ \lesssim [|x-z|^2 + |z-y|^2 + |x-z|^2 + |z-y|^2] + i[|x-z|^2 + |z-y|^2 + |x-z|^2 + |z-y|^2] \\ \lesssim [|x-z|^2 + i|x-z|^2] + [|z-y|^2 + i|z-y|^2] \\ \lesssim 2[d(x,z) + d(z,y)]. \end{split}$$

Here s = 2. We define mappings S, T, f and g as  $Sx = \frac{x}{6}, Tx = \frac{x^2}{9}, fx = \frac{x}{2}$  and  $gx = \frac{x^2}{3}$ .

$$d(Sx, Ty) = |Sx - Ty|^{2} + i|Sx - Ty|^{2}$$
$$= \left|\frac{x}{6} - \frac{y^{2}}{9}\right|^{2} + i\left|\frac{x}{6} - \frac{y^{2}}{9}\right|^{2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{9}\left[\left|\frac{x}{2} - \frac{y^{2}}{3}\right|^{2} + i\left|\frac{x}{2} - \frac{y^{2}}{3}\right|^{2}\right]$$

.

$$d(fx,gy) = |fx - gy|^2 + i|fx - gy|^2$$
$$= \left|\frac{x}{2} - \frac{y^2}{3}\right|^2 + i\left|\frac{x}{2} - \frac{y^2}{3}\right|^2.$$

Hence,

$$d(Sx,Ty) = \frac{1}{9}d(fx,gy).$$

$$d(Sx,Ty) \precsim \frac{1}{10}d(fx,gy).$$

We have all the conditions of Corollary 3.4 with  $A = \frac{1}{10}$  and sA = 2.  $\frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{5} < 1$ . Hence  $0 \in X$  is the unique common fixed point of *S*, *T*, *f* and *g*.

# 4. Fixed point results for mappings satisfying the (E.A) and (CLR) properties

Common fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying the (E.A) property and the (CLR) property in complex valued metric spaces are proved by S.Chandok and D.Kumar [5], Manoj Kumar et.al.[8] and S.Shukla and S.Pagey [12]. We aim to extend these concepts in the complex valued b-metric spaces by proving Theorem 3.1 using the (E.A) and common limit range (CLR) properties. These properties relax the assumption of completeness of *X* or completeness of any of the range subspaces.

Following Verma et.al.[13], the definition of mappings satisfying property (E.A) in context of complex valued b-metric space is as follows:

**Definition 4.1.** [13] Let  $S, T : X \to X$  be two selfmappings of a complex valued b-metric space (X, d). The pair is said to satisfy (E.A.) property if there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = t$ , for some  $t \in X$ .

The (E.A.) property and weak compatibility are shown to be independent in [13].

**Definition 4.2.** [14] The self mappings  $S, T : X \to X$  are said to satisfy the common limit in the range of *S* property (*CLR*<sub>S</sub>) property if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = Sx$ , for some  $x \in X$ .

We now prove a theorem in the framework of complex valued b-metric spaces in which the mappings satisfy the property (E.A).

**Theorem 4.3.** Let (X,d) be a complex valued b-metric space and S, T, f and g be self mappings of X which satisfy the following:

$$d(Sx, Ty) \preceq Ad(fx, gy) + B \frac{d(fx, Sx)d(Ty, gy)}{1 + d(fx, gy)} + C \frac{d(fx, Ty)d(Sx, gy)}{1 + d(fx, gy)} + D \frac{d(fx, Sx)d(fx, Ty) + d(gy, Ty)d(gy, Sx)}{1 + d(fx, Ty) + d(gy, Sx)}$$
(3)

for all  $x, y \in X$  and A, B, C and D are nonnegative numbers such that A + C < 1. Also if,

- (i)  $S(X) \subseteq g(X)$  and  $T(X) \subseteq f(X)$ ,
- (ii) the pairs (S, f) and (T, g) are weakly compatible,
- (iii) one of the pairs (S, f) or (T, g) satisfy the property (E.A),
- (iv) the subspace f(X) or g(X) is closed,

then S, T, f and g have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof:** Suppose the pair (T,g) satisfies the (E.A) property, then there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = t$ , for some  $t \in X$ . Since  $T(X) \subseteq f(X)$ , there exists a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in X such that  $Tx_n = fy_n$ , hence  $\lim_{n\to\infty} fy_n = t$ .

We claim that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sy_n = t$ .

Suppose that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sy_n = t^* \neq t$ , then from (3) we get,

$$d(Sy_n, Tx_n) \preceq Ad(fy_n, gx_n) + B \frac{d(fx_n, Sy_n)d(Tx_n, gx_n)}{1 + d(fy_n, gx_n)} + C \frac{d(fy_n, Tx_n)d(Sy_n, gx_n)}{1 + d(fy_n, gx_n)} + D \frac{d(fy_n, Sy_n)d(fy_n, Tx_n) + d(gx_n, Tx_n)d(gx_n, Sy_n)}{1 + d(fy_n, Tx_n) + d(gx_n, Sy_n)}.$$

As  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$d(t^*,t) \preceq Ad(t,t) + B\frac{d(t,t^*)d(t,t)}{1+d(t,t)} + C\frac{d(t,t)d(t^*,t)}{1+d(t,t)} + D\frac{d(t,t^*)d(t,t) + d(t,t)d(t,t^*)}{1+d(t,t) + d(t,t^*)}.$$

Thus,  $d(t^*, t) \preceq 0$ , i.e.  $|d(t^*, t)| = 0$  and  $t^* = t$ .

Hence,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sy_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = t$ . Suppose that f(X) is a closed subspace of X, then t = fu, for some  $u \in X$ .

Thus,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sy_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} fy_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = t = fu$ . Now we claim that Su = fu. From (3), we get,

$$d(Su, Tx_n) \preceq Ad(fu, gx_n) + B \frac{d(fu, Su)d(Tx_n, gx_n)}{1 + d(fu, gx_n)} + C \frac{d(fu, Tx_n)d(Su, gx_n)}{1 + d(fu, gx_n)} + D \frac{d(fu, Su)d(fu, Tx_n) + d(gx_n, Tx_n)d(gx_n, Su)}{1 + d(fu, Tx_n) + d(gx_n, Su)}.$$

As  $n \to \infty$ , we have,

$$d(Su,t) \preceq Ad(t,t) + B\frac{d(t,Su)d(t,t)}{1+d(t,t)} + C\frac{d(t,t)d(Su,t)}{1+d(t,t)} + D\frac{d(t,Su)d(t,t) + d(t,t)d(t,Su)}{1+d(t,t) + d(t,Su)}$$

Hence |d(Su,t)| = 0 which implies that Su = t, thus fu = Su = t and u is a coincidence point of f and S.

Since the pair (S, f) is weakly compatible, we have Sfu = fSu and St = ft. Now since  $S(X) \subseteq g(X)$ , there exists v in X such that Su = gv. Thus Su = fu = gv = t. We claim that v is a coincidence point of T and g i.e. gv = Tv = t. From (3), we get,

$$d(Su,Tv) \preceq Ad(fu,gv) + B \frac{d(fu,Su)d(Tv,gv)}{1+d(fu,gv)} + C \frac{d(fu,Tv)d(Su,gv)}{1+d(fu,gv)} + D \frac{d(fu,Su)d(fu,Tv) + d(gv,Tv)d(gv,Su)}{1+d(fu,Tv) + d(gv,Su)}.$$

Thus,

$$d(t,Tv) \preceq Ad(t,t) + B\frac{d(t,t)d(Tv,t)}{1+d(t,t)} + C\frac{d(t,Tv)d(t,t)}{1+d(t,t)} + D\frac{d(t,t)d(t,Tv) + d(t,Tv)d(t,t)}{1+d(t,Tv) + d(t,t)} + C\frac{d(t,Tv)d(t,t)}{1+d(t,Tv) + d(t,t)} + C\frac{d(t,Tv)d(t,t)}{1+d(t,t)} + C\frac{d(t,T$$

Thus |d(t,Tv)| = 0 which implies that Tv = t. Hence gv = Tv = t and v is a coincidence point of g and T.

Since *T* and *g* are weakly compatible we have, gTv = Tgv i.e. gt = Tt. We claim that Tt = t. From (3) we have,

$$\begin{split} d(t,Tt) &= d(Su,Tt) \precsim Ad(fu,gt) + B \frac{d(fu,Su)d(Tt,gt)}{1+d(fu,gt)} + C \frac{d(fu,Tt)d(Su,gt)}{1+d(fu,gt)} + \\ & D \frac{d(fu,Su)d(Su,Tt) + d(gt,Tt)d(gt,Su)}{1+d(fu,Tt) + d(gt,Su)}. \end{split}$$

i.e.

$$d(t,Tt) \preceq Ad(t,Tt) + B\frac{d(t,t)d(Tt,Tt)}{1+d(t,Tt)} + C\frac{d(t,Tt)d(t,Tt)}{1+d(t,Tt)} + D\frac{d(t,t)d(t,Tt) + d(Tt,Tt)d(Tt,t)}{1+d(t,Tt) + d(Tt,t)}$$

Therefore,

$$|d(t,Tt)| \le A|d(t,Tt)| + C|d(t,Tt)| \left| \frac{d(t,Tt)}{1+d(t,Tt)} \right|$$

Since  $\left|\frac{d(t,Tt)}{1+d(t,Tt)}\right| < 1$ , we get,

$$|d(t,Tt)| \le (A+C)|d(t,Tt)|.$$

As A + C < 1, |d(t,Tt)| = 0 and t = Tt i.e. gt = Tt = t. We can show in a similar way that St = t i.e. ft = St = t.

So St = Tt = ft = gt = t and t is a common fixed point of S, T, f and g.

To prove uniqueness of the fixed point, let us assume that there in another point *w* such that Sw = Tw = fw = gw = w.

From (3), we have,

$$\begin{split} d(w,t) &= d(Sw,Tt) \precsim Ad(fw,gt) + B \frac{d(fw,Sw)d(Tt,gt)}{1+d(fw,gt)} + C \frac{d(fw,Tt)d(Sw,gt)}{1+d(fw,gt)} \\ &+ D \frac{d(fw,Sw)d(Sw,Tt) + d(gt,Tt)d(gt,Sw)}{1+d(fw,Tt) + d(gt,Sw)}. \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$d(w,t) \preceq Ad(w,t) + B\frac{d(w,w)d(t,t)}{1+d(w,t)} + C\frac{d(w,t)d(w,t)}{1+d(w,t)} + D\frac{d(w,w)d(w,t) + d(t,t)d(t,w)}{1+d(w,t) + d(t,w)}.$$

Thus,

$$|d(w,t)| \le A|d(w,t)| + C|d(w,t)| \left| \frac{d(w,t)}{1+d(w,t)} \right|.$$

Since  $\left|\frac{d(w,t)}{1+d(w,t)}\right| < 1$ , we have,

$$|d(w,t)| \leq (A+C)|d(w,t)|.$$

As A + C < 1, it implies that |d(w,t)| = 0 i.e. w = t and the mappings S, T, f and g have a unique common fixed point in X.

The proof is similar assuming that g(X) is a closed subspace of X. Also similar result can be obtained if the pair (S, f) satisfies the property (E.A).

**Corollary 4.4.** Let (X,d) be a complex valued b-metric space and S, T, f and g be self mappings of X which satisfy the following:

$$d(Sx,Ty) \precsim Ad(fx,gy)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and A is a nonnegative number such that A < 1. Also if,

- (i)  $S(X) \subseteq g(X)$  and  $T(X) \subseteq f(X)$ ,
- (ii) the pairs (S, f) and (T, g) are weakly compatible,
- (iii) one of the pairs (S, f) or (T, g) satisfy the property (E.A),
- (iv) the subspace f(X) or g(X) is closed,

then S, T, f and g have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof.** By taking B = C = D = 0 in Theorem 4.3, we get the result.

**Example 4.5.** Let (X,d) be a complex valued b-metric space, where X = [0,1] and  $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{C}$  is defined by  $d(x,y) = |x-y|^2 + i|x-y|^2$ . In Example 3.5, we have shown that (X,d) is a complex valued b-metric space with s = 2.

We define mappings S, T, f and g as  $Sx = \frac{x}{6}, Tx = \frac{x^2}{9}, fx = \frac{x}{2}$  and  $gx = \frac{x^2}{3}$ . Here  $SX = \begin{bmatrix} 0, \frac{1}{6} \end{bmatrix} \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} 0, \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix} = g(X)$  and  $TX = \begin{bmatrix} 0, \frac{1}{9} \end{bmatrix} \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} 0, \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} = f(X)$ . Also the pairs (T, g) and (S, f) are weakly compatible pairs.

Consider the sequence  $\{x_n\} = \{\frac{1}{n}\}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Clearly  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n = 0$ , and  $0 \in X$ . Hence *S* and *f* satisfy the property (E.A). Also  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = 0$ ,  $0 \in X$ , and the mappings *T* and *g* satisfy the property (E.A). Hence as discussed in Example 3.5, here  $d(Sx, Ty) = \frac{1}{9}d(fx, gy)$ i.e.  $d(Sx, Ty) \preceq \frac{1}{10}d(fx, gy)$ .

Hence all the conditions of Corollary 4.4 are fulfilled for  $A = \frac{1}{10}$  and  $0 \in X$  is unique common fixed point of the mappings *S*, *T*, *f* and *g*.

We now prove a theorem in which the selfmappings of *X* satisfy the common limit range (CLR) property.

**Theorem 4.6.** Let (X,d) be a complex valued b-metric space and S, T, f and g be self mappings of X which satisfy the following:

$$d(Sx,Ty) \preceq Ad(fx,gy) + B \frac{d(fx,Sx)d(Ty,gy)}{1+d(fx,gy)} + C \frac{d(fx,Ty)d(Sx,gy)}{1+d(fx,gy)} + D \frac{d(fx,Sx)d(fx,Ty) + d(gy,Ty)d(gy,Sx)}{1+d(fx,Ty) + d(gy,Sx)}$$
(4)

for all  $x, y \in X$  and A, B, C and D are nonnegative numbers such that A + C < 1. Also if,

- (i)  $S(X) \subseteq g(X)$  and  $T(X) \subseteq f(X)$ ,
- (ii) the pairs (S, f) and (T, g) are weakly compatible,
- (iii) the pair (S, f) satisfies the  $(CLR_S)$  property or (T, g) satisfies the  $(CLR_T)$  property,

then S, T, f and g have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof.** Suppose the pair *T* and *g* satisfies the  $(CLR_T)$  property, then there exists sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in *X* such that,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = Tx$$

for some  $x \in X$ .

Since  $T(X) \subseteq f(X)$ , we have Tx = fu for some  $u \in X$ .

We claim that Su = fu = t, say.

From (4), we have,

$$d(Su, Tx_n) \preceq Ad(fu, gx_n) + B \frac{d(fu, Su)d(Tx_n, gx_n)}{1 + d(fu, gx_n)} + C \frac{d(fu, Tx_n)d(Su, gx_n)}{1 + d(fu, gx_n)} + D \frac{d(fu, Su)d(fu, Tx_n) + d(gx_n, Tx_n)d(gx_n, Su)}{1 + d(fu, Tx_n) + d(gx_n, Su)}.$$

As  $n \to \infty$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} d(Su,Tx) \precsim Ad(fu,Tx) + B \frac{d(fu,Su)d(Tx,Tx)}{1+d(fu,Tx)} + C \frac{d(fu,Tx)d(Su,Tx)}{1+d(fu,Tx)} \\ + D \frac{d(fu,Su)d(fu,Tx) + d(Tx,Tx)d(Tx,Su)}{1+d(fu,Tx) + d(Tx,Su)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since fu = Tx,

$$d(Su, Tx) \preceq Ad(Tx, Tx) + B \frac{d(Tx, Su)d(Tx, Tx)}{1 + d(Tx, Tx)} + C \frac{d(Tx, Tx)d(Su, Tx)}{1 + d(Tx, Tx)} + D \frac{d(Tx, Su)d(Tx, Tx) + d(Tx, Tx)d(Tx, Su)}{1 + d(Tx, Tx) + d(Tx, Su)}.$$

Thus,

 $d(Su,Tx) \preceq 0.$ 

Hence, |d(Su, Tx)| = 0 which implies that Su = Tx i.e. Su = Tx = fu = t. Since *S* and *f* are weakly compatible, Sfu = fSu i.e. St = ft. Also  $S(X) \subseteq g(X)$ , so there exists *v* in *X* such that Su = gv. Therefore Su = fu = gv = t. Further we show that *v* is a coincidence point of *T* and *g* i.e. gv = Tv = t. Now from (4), we get,

$$d(Su,Tv) \preceq Ad(fu,gv) + B \frac{d(fu,Su)d(Tv,gv)}{1+d(fu,gv)} + C \frac{d(fu,Tv)d(Su,gv)}{1+d(fu,gv)} + D \frac{d(fu,Su)d(fu,Tv) + d(gv,Tv)d(gv,Su)}{1+d(fu,Tv) + d(gv,Su)}.$$

Hence,

$$d(t,Tv) \preceq Ad(t,t) + B \frac{d(t,t)d(Tv,t)}{1+d(t,t)} + C \frac{d(t,Tv)d(t,t)}{1+d(t,t)} + D \frac{d(t,t)d(t,Tv) + d(t,Tv)d(t,t)}{1+d(t,Tv) + d(t,t)}.$$

Therefore |d(t,Tv)| = 0 which implies that Tv = t i.e. Tv = gv = t and v is a coincidence point of T and g.

Further since (T,g) is a weakly compatible pair, we have gTv = Tgv and hence gt = Tt. We claim that Tt = t. From (4), we get,

$$\begin{split} d(Su,Tt) \precsim &Ad(fu,gt) + B \frac{d(fu,Su)d(Tt,gt)}{1 + d(fu,gt)} + C \frac{d(fu,gt)d(Su,gt)}{1 + d(fu,gt)} \\ &+ D \frac{d(fu,Su)d(fu,Tt) + d(gt,Tt)d(gt,Su)}{1 + d(fu,Tt) + d(gt,Su)}. \end{split}$$

18

Hence,

$$d(t,Tt) \preceq Ad(t,Tt) + B \frac{d(t,t)d(Tt,Tt)}{1+d(t,Tt)} + C \frac{d(t,Tt)d(t,Tt)}{1+d(t,Tt)} + D \frac{d(t,t)d(t,Tt) + d(Tt,Tt)d(Tt,t)}{1+d(t,Tt) + d(Tt,t)},$$

which implies that,

$$|d(t,Tt)| \le (A+C)|d(t,Tt)|.$$

Hence as A + C < 1, |d(t, Tt)| = 0 i.e. Tt = t. Thus Tt = gt = t.

In a similar way we can show that St = t i.e. St = ft = t.

Hence Tt = St = ft = gt = t and t is a common fixed point of S, T, f and g.

To show that the fixed point is unique, let us assume that there exists another point w such that Sw = Tw = fw = gw = w. Then by (4),

$$\begin{split} d(t,w) &= d(St,Tw) \precsim Ad(ft,gw) + B \frac{d(ft,St)d(Tw,gw)}{1+d(ft,gw)} + C \frac{d(ft,gw)d(St,gw)}{1+d(ft,gw)} \\ &+ D \frac{d(ft,St)d(ft,Tw) + d(gw,Tw)d(gw,St)}{1+d(ft,Tw) + d(gw,St)}, \end{split}$$

i.e.

$$d(t,w) \preceq Ad(t,w) + B \frac{d(t,t)d(w,w)}{1+d(t,w)} + C \frac{d(t,w)d(t,w)}{1+d(t,w)} + D \frac{d(t,t)d(t,w) + d(w,w)d(w,t)}{1+d(t,w) + d(w,t)}.$$

Hence,

$$|d(t,w)| \le (A+C)|d(t,w)|.$$

Since A + C < 1, |d(t, w)| = 0 and t = w which proves the uniqueness of the fixed point.

In a similar manner, we can prove that if the pair (S, f) satisfies the  $(CLR_S)$  property, then the mappings S, T, f and g have a unique common fixed point.

### **Conflict of Interests**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

#### SUNANDA R. PATIL AND J.N. SALUNKE

### REFERENCES

- A. Azam, B. Fisher and M. Khan, Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 32 (2011), 243-253.
- [2] A.A. Mukheimer, Some common fixed point theorems in complex valued b- metric spaces, Sci. World J. 2014 (2014), Article ID 587825.
- [3] S. Bhatt, S. Chaukiyal, and R. C. Dimri, Common fixed point of mappings satisfying rational inequality in complex valued metric space, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. 73 (2011), 159-164.
- [4] S. Czerwik, Nonlinear set-valued contraction mapping in b- metric spaces, Atti Sem. Mat. Univ. Modena, 46 (1998), 263-276.
- [5] S. Chandok and D. Kumar, Some common fixed point results for rational type contraction mappings in complex valued metric spaces, J. Oper. 2013 (2013), Article ID 813707.
- [6] D. Singh, O.P. Chauhan, N. Singh, and V. Joshi, Common fixed point theorems in complex valued b-metric spaces, Journal of Mathematical and Computational Science 5, no. 3 (2015), 412-429.
- [7] A.K. Dubey, R. Shukla and R. P. Dubey, Some Fixed Point Theorems in Complex Valued b-Metric Spaces, J. Complex Sy. 2015 (2015), Article ID 832467.
- [8] M. Kumar, P. Kumar and S. Kumar, Common Fixed Point Theorems in Complex Valued Metric Spaces, J. Ana. Numer. Theory 2 (2014), 103-109.
- [9] K.P.R.Rao, P. Ranga Swamy, J. Rajendra Prasad, A common fixed point theorem in complex valued b- metric spaces, Bull. Math. Stat. Res. 1 (2013), 1-8.
- [10] W. Sintunavarat, P. Kumam, Generalized common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces withapplications, J. Inequal. Appl. doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2012-84.
- [11] Sushanta Kumar Mohanta and Rima Maitra, Common fixed points of three self mappings in Complex valued metric spaces, Int. J. Math. Arch. 3 (2012), 2946-2953.
- [12] S. Shukla and S.S. Pagey, Some common fixed point results in complex valued metric spaces satisfying (E.A.) and (CLR)-Property, Int. J. Sci. Inno. Math. Res. 2 (2014), 399-407.
- [13] R.K. Verma and H. K. Pathak, Common fixed point theorems using property (EA) in complex-valued metric spaces, Thai J. Math. 11 (2012), 347-355.
- [14] W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, Common fixed point theorems for a pair of weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric spaces, J. Appl. Math. 2011 (2011), Article ID 637958.