



Available online at <http://scik.org>
Adv. Inequal. Appl. 2018, 2018:8
<https://doi.org/10.28919/aia/3599>
ISSN: 2050-7461

OPTIMAL BOUNDS FOR NEUMAN-SÁNDOR MEAN IN TERMS OF ONE-PARAMETER CENTROIDAL MEAN

XIAO HONG HE¹, HUI ZUO XU^{2,*}, SHAO YUN LI², SU QIN WU²

¹Office of Academic Affairs, Quzhou Broadcast and TV University, Quzhou 324000, China

²School of Economics and Management, Wenzhou Broadcast and TV University, Wenzhou 325000, China

Copyright © 2018 He, Xu, Li and Wu. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In the paper, we find the best possible parameters $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ and $\lambda, \mu \in (1/2, 1)$ such that the double inequalities

$$\sqrt{\alpha E^2(a, b) + (1 - \alpha)A^2(a, b)} < NS(a, b) < \sqrt{\beta E^2(a, b) + (1 - \beta)A^2(a, b)},$$
$$E[\lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b, \lambda b + (1 - \lambda)a] < NS(a, b) < E[\mu a + (1 - \mu)b, \mu b + (1 - \mu)a]$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$, here $NS(a, b) = (a - b)/[2\sinh^{-1}((a - b)/(a + b))]$, $A(a, b) = (a + b)/2$ and $E(a, b) = 2(a^2 + ab + b^2)/[3(a + b)]$ are Neuman-Sándor, arithmetic and centroidal means of two positive real numbers a and b , respectively.

Keywords: Neuman-Sándor mean; arithmetic mean; centroidal mean.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 26E60.

1. Introduction

*Corresponding author

E-mail address: huizuoxu@163.com

Received December 02, 2017

Let $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$. Then Neuman-Sándor mean $NS(a, b)$ [1, 2], arithmetic mean $A(a, b)$ and centroidal $E(a, b)$ are respectively defined by

$$NS(a, b) = \frac{a - b}{2 \sinh^{-1}[(a - b)/(a + b)]}, \quad (1.1)$$

$$A(a, b) = \frac{a + b}{2}, E(a, b) = \frac{2(a^2 + ab + b^2)}{3(a + b)}. \quad (1.2)$$

Recently, Neuman-Sándor mean have been the subject of intensive research. In particular, many remarkable inequalities and properties for these means can be found in the literature [7–17].

Let $T(a, b) = (a - b)/[2 \tan^{-1}((a - b)/(a + b))]$, $Q(a, b) = \sqrt{(a^2 + b^2)/2}$ and $C(a, b) = (a^2 + b^2)/(a + b)$ be the second Seiffert, quadratic and contra-harmonic means of two positive real numbers a and b , respectively. Then it is well known that the inequalities

$$A(a, b) < NS(a, b) < T(a, b) < E(a, b) < Q(a, b) < C(a, b)$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

Neuman and Sándor [1, 2] proved that the inequalities

$$A(a, b) < NS(a, b) < \frac{A(a, b)}{\log(1 + \sqrt{2})}, \frac{\pi}{4} T(a, b) < NS(a, b) < T(a, b),$$

$$A(a, b) T(a, b) < NS^2(a, b) < \frac{1}{2} [A^2(a, b) + T^2(a, b)]$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

Neuman [3] proved that the double inequalities

$$\alpha Q(a, b) + (1 - \alpha) A(a, b) < NS(a, b) < \beta Q(a, b) + (1 - \beta) A(a, b)$$

$$\lambda C(a, b) + (1 - \lambda) A(a, b) < NS(a, b) < \mu C(a, b) + (1 - \mu) A(a, b)$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha \leq 1 - \log(1 + \sqrt{2})/[(\sqrt{2} - 1) \log(1 + \sqrt{2})]$, $\beta \geq 1/3$, $\lambda \leq 1 - \log(1 + \sqrt{2})/\log(1 + \sqrt{2})$ and $\mu \geq 1/6$.

Qian and Chu [4] found the greatest value α, λ and the least value β, μ such that the double inequalities

$$E^\alpha(a, b)A^{1-\alpha}(a, b) < NS(a, b) < E^\beta(a, b)A^{1-\beta}(a, b),$$

$$\lambda E(a, b) + (1 - \lambda)A(a, b) < NS(a, b) < \mu E(a, b) + (1 - \mu)A(a, b)$$

for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

For $\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \mu \in (1/2, 1)$, Jiang and Qi [5, 6] proved that the double inequalities

$$Q(\alpha a + (1 - \alpha)b, \alpha b + (1 - \alpha)a) < NS(a, b) < Q(\beta a + (1 - \beta)b, \beta b + (1 - \beta)a),$$

$$C(\lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b, \lambda b + (1 - \lambda)a) < NS(a, b) < C(\mu a + (1 - \mu)b, \mu b + (1 - \mu)a),$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha \leq 1/2 + \sqrt{1/[\log(1 + \sqrt{2})]^2 - 1/2}$, $\beta \geq 1/2 + \sqrt{3}/6$, $\lambda \leq 1/2 + \sqrt{1/\log(1 + \sqrt{2}) - 1/2}$, $\mu \geq 1/2 + \sqrt{6}/12$.

Let $x \in [1/2, 1]$ and

$$J(x) = E[xa + (1 - x)b, xb + (1 - x)a]. \quad (1.3)$$

It is not difficult to directly verify that $J(x)$ is continuous and strictly increasing on $[1/2, 1]$ and to notice that

$$J(1/2) = A(a, b) < NS(a, b) < E(a, b) = J(1) \quad (1.4)$$

for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

Motivated by (1.3) and (1.4), it is natural to ask a question: what are the best possible parameters $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ and $\lambda, \mu \in (1/2, 1)$ such that the double inequalities

$$\sqrt{\alpha E^2(a, b) + (1 - \alpha)A^2(a, b)} < NS(a, b) < \sqrt{\beta E^2(a, b) + (1 - \beta)A^2(a, b)},$$

$$E[\lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b, \lambda b + (1 - \lambda)a] < NS(a, b) < E[\mu a + (1 - \mu)b, \mu b + (1 - \mu)a]$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$? The main purpose of this paper is to answer these questions.

2. Lemmas

In order to prove our main results we need two Lemmas, which we present in this section.

Lemma 2.1. Let $p \in (0, 1)$, $l_0 = \log(1 + \sqrt{2}) = 0.8813 \dots$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) = & p^3 x^{10} - p^2 (18 - 13p)x^8 + 2p^2 (23p + 90)x^6 - 2p(5p^2 + 27p - 486)x^4 \\ & + p(25p + 9)(27 - 7p)x^2 + 125p^3 - 720p^2 + 1701p - 1458 \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Then the following statements are true:

- (1) If $p = 1/2$, then $f(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (1, \sqrt{2})$;
- (2) If $p = 9(1 - l_0^2) / (7l_0^2) = 0.3693 \dots$, then there exists $\theta_0 \in (1, \sqrt{2})$ such that $f(x) < 0$ for $x \in (1, \theta_0)$ and $f(x) > 0$ for $x \in (\theta_0, \sqrt{2})$.

Proof For part (1), if $p = 1/2$, then (2.1) lead to

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{1}{8}(x^2 - 1)(x^8 - 22x^6 + 384x^4 + 4154x^2 + 6175) \\ &> \frac{1}{8}(x^2 - 1)(x^8 + 340x^4 + 4154x^2 + 6175) > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

$x \in (1, \sqrt{2})$. Therefore, part (1) follows easily from (2.2).

For part (2), if $p = 9(1 - l_0^2) / (7l_0^2) = 0.3693 \dots$. Then numerical computations lead to

$$5p^2 + 27p - 486 = -475.3443 \dots < 0, \quad (2.3)$$

$$f(1) = 2916p - 1458 = -380.8693 \dots < 0, \quad (2.4)$$

$$f(\sqrt{2}) = 243p^3 + 1440p^2 + 6075p - 1458 = 999.7924 \dots > 0 \quad (2.5)$$

It follows from (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) &= 2px \left[5p^2 x^8 - 4p(18 - 13p)x^6 + 6p(23p + 90)x^4 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 4(5p^2 + 27p - 486)x^2 + (25p + 9)(27p - 7p) \right] \\ &> 2px \left[5p^2 x^8 + 6p(11p + 18)x^4 - 4(5p^2 + 27p - 486)x^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (25p + 9)(27p - 7p) \right] > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

for $x \in (0, 1)$.

Therefore, part (2) follows easily from (2.4),(2.5) and (2.6).

Lemma 2.2. Let $p \in [1/2, 1]$, and

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= (1-2p)^4 x^3 + 4(4p^4 - 8p^3 + 9p^2 - 5p + 1)x^2 \\ &+ 4(-4p^4 + 8p^3 + 3p^2 - 7p + 2)x - 4(2p^2 - 2p - 1)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Then the following statements are true:

- (1) If $p = 1/2 + \sqrt{2}/4$, then $f(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (1, \sqrt{2})$;
- (2) If $p = 1/2 + \sqrt{3 \left[1/\log(1 + \sqrt{2}) - 1 \right]}/2 = 0.8177\dots$, then there exists $\xi_0 \in (1, \sqrt{2})$ such that $g(x) < 0$ for $x \in (1, \xi_0)$ and $g(x) > 0$ for $x \in (\xi_0, \sqrt{2})$.

Proof For part (1), if $p = 1/2 + \sqrt{2}/4$, then (2.7) becomes

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{4}(x-1)(x^2 + 8x + 25) \quad (2.8)$$

Therefore, part (1) follows easily from (2.8).

For part (2), if $p = 1/2 + \sqrt{3 \left[1/\log(1 + \sqrt{2}) - 1 \right]}/2 = 0.8177\dots$. Then numerical computations lead to

$$4p^4 - 8p^3 + 9p^2 - 5p + 1 = 0.3425\dots > 0, \quad (2.9)$$

$$-4p^4 + 8p^3 + 3p^2 - 7p + 2 = 0.8677\dots > 0, \quad (2.10)$$

$$8p^2 - 8p + 1 = -0.1924\dots < 0, \quad (2.11)$$

$$8p^4 - 16p^3 + 6(4 + \sqrt{2})p^2 - 2(8 + 3\sqrt{2})p + 8 - 3\sqrt{2} = 0.2854\dots > 0, \quad (2.12)$$

$$g(1) = 9(8p^2 - 8p + 1), \quad (2.13)$$

$$g(\sqrt{2}) = 2(1 + \sqrt{2}) \left[8p^4 - 16p^3 + 6(4 + \sqrt{2})p^2 - 2(8 + 3\sqrt{2})p + 8 - 3\sqrt{2} \right], \quad (2.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} g'(x) &= 3(1-2p)^4 x^2 + 8(4p^4 - 8p^3 + 9p^2 - 5p + 1)x \\ &+ 4(-4p^4 + 8p^3 + 3p^2 - 7p + 2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

It follows from (2.8)-(2.15) that

$$g(1) < 0, g(\sqrt{2}) > 0, \quad (2.16)$$

and

$$g'(x) > 0 \quad (2.17)$$

for $x \in (1, \sqrt{2})$.

Therefore, part (2) follows easily from (2.16) and (2.17).

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. For $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$, the double inequality

$$\sqrt{\alpha E^2(a, b) + (1 - \alpha)A^2(a, b)} < NS(a, b) < \sqrt{\beta E^2(a, b) + (1 - \beta)A^2(a, b)}$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha \leq 9(1 - l_0^2) / (7l_0^2) = 0.3693 \dots$, $\beta \geq 1/2$.

Proof Since $A(a, b)$, $E(a, b)$ and $NS(a, b)$ are symmetric and homogeneous of degree 1, without loss of generality, we assume that $a > b$. Let $v = (a - b)/(a + b) \in (0, 1)$, $x = \sqrt{1 + v^2} \in (1, \sqrt{2})$ and $p \in (0, 1)$. Then from (1.1) and (1.2) lead to

$$\begin{aligned} & NS(a, b) - \sqrt{pE^2(a, b) + (1 - p)A^2(a, b)} \\ &= A(a, b) \left[\frac{v}{\sinh^{-1}(v)} - \sqrt{p \left(\frac{1}{3}v^2 + 1 \right)^2 + 1 - p} \right] \\ &= \frac{A(a, b) \left[p(x^2/3 + 2/3)^2 + 1 - p \right]}{\sinh^{-1}(\sqrt{x^2 - 1}) \left[\sqrt{x^2 - 1} + \sinh^{-1}(\sqrt{x^2 - 1}) \sqrt{p(x^2/3 + 2/3)^2 + 1 - p} \right]} F(x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$F(x) = \frac{x^2 - 1}{p(x^2/3 + 2/3)^2 + 1 - p} - \left[\sinh^{-1}(\sqrt{x^2 - 1}) \right]^2 \quad (3.2)$$

Then simple computations lead to

$$F(1) = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

$$F(\sqrt{2}) = \frac{9}{7p + 9} - l_0^2, \quad (3.4)$$

$$F'(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{x^2 - 1}} F_1(x), \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$F_1(x) = \frac{9x\sqrt{x^2-1} \left[9 - p(x^2-1)^2\right]}{\left[p(x^2+2)^2 + 9(1-p)\right]^2} - \sinh^{-1}\left(\sqrt{x^2-1}\right),$$

$$F_1(1) = 0, F_1\left(\sqrt{2}\right) = \frac{9\sqrt{2}(9-p)}{(7p+9)^2} - l_0 \quad (3.6)$$

$$F_1'(x) = -\frac{\sqrt{x^2-1}}{\left[p(x^2+2)^2 + 9(1-p)\right]^3} f(x) \quad (3.7)$$

where $f(x)$ is defined Lemma 2.1.

We divide the proof into four cases.

Case 1 $p = 1/2$. Then it follows from Lemma 2.1(1) and (3.7) that $F_1(x)$ is strictly decreasing on $(1, \sqrt{2})$. Therefore,

$$NS(a, b) < \sqrt{\frac{E^2(a, b) + A^2(a, b)}{2}}$$

for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ follows from (3.1)-(3.3), (3.5), (3.6) and the monotonicity of $F(x)$.

Case 2 $0 < p < 1/2$. Let $x > 0, x \rightarrow 0^+$, then it follows from (1.1) and (1.2) together with the Taylor expansion we get

$$\begin{aligned} & NS(1, 1+x) - \sqrt{pE^2(1, 1+x) + (1-p)A^2(1, 1+x)} \\ &= \frac{x}{2\sinh^{-1}\left(\frac{x}{2+x}\right)} - \sqrt{p\left[\frac{2(3+3x+x^2)}{3(2+x)}\right]^2 + (1-p)\left(\frac{2+x}{2}\right)^2} \\ &= \frac{(1-2p)}{24}x^2 + O(x^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Equations (3.8) implies that there exists $0 < \delta_0 < 1$ such that

$$NS(1, 1+x) > \sqrt{pE^2(1, 1+x) + (1-p)A^2(1, 1+x)}$$

for all $a > b > 0$ with $(a-b)/(a+b) \in (0, \delta_0)$.

Case 3 $p = 9(1-l_0^2)/(7l_0^2) = 0.3693\dots$. Then it follows from Lemma 2.1(2) and (3.7) that there exists $\theta_0 \in (1, \sqrt{2})$ such that $F_1(x)$ is strictly increasing on $(1, \theta_0]$ and strictly decreasing on $[\theta_0, \sqrt{2})$.

Equations (3.5) and (3.6) together with the piecewise monotonicity of $F_1(x)$ lead to the conclusion that there exists $\theta_0 \in (1, \sqrt{2})$ such that $F(x)$ is strictly increasing on $(1, \theta_0]$ and strictly decreasing on $[\theta_0, \sqrt{2})$, and

$$F(\sqrt{2}) = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Therefore,

$$NS(a, b) > \sqrt{pE^2(a, b) + (1-p)A^2(a, b)}$$

for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ follows from (3.1)-(3.3) and (3.9) together with the piecewise monotonicity of $F(x)$.

Case 4 $9(1-l_0^2)/(7l_0^2) < p < 1$. Then (3.4) lead to

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \sqrt{2}} F(x) < 0 \quad (3.10)$$

Equations (3.1), (3.2) and the inequality (3.10) imply that there exists $0 < \delta_1 < 1$ such that

$$NS(a, b) < \sqrt{pE^2(a, b) + (1-p)A^2(a, b)}$$

for all $a > b > 0$ with $(a-b)/(a+b) \in (1-\delta_1, 1)$.

Theorem 3.2. For $\lambda, \mu \in (1/2, 1)$, the double inequality

$$E[\lambda a + (1-\lambda)b, \lambda b + (1-\lambda)a] < NS(a, b) < E[\mu a + (1-\mu)b, \mu b + (1-\mu)a]$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\lambda \leq 1/2 + \sqrt{3[1/\log(1+\sqrt{2})-1]}/2 = 0.8177\dots$, $\mu \geq 1/2 + \sqrt{2}/4 = 0.8535\dots$.

Proof Since both $E(a, b)$ and $NS(a, b)$ are symmetric and homogeneous of degree 1, without loss of generality, we assume that $a > b$. Let $v = (a-b)/(a+b) \in (0, 1)$, $x = \sqrt{1+v^2} \in (1, \sqrt{2})$ and $p \in (1/2, 1)$. Then from (1.1) and (1.2) lead to

$$\begin{aligned} & E[pa + (1-p)b, pb + (1-p)a] - NS(a, b) \\ &= A(a, b) \left[\frac{(1-2p)^2 v^2 + 3}{3} - \frac{v}{\sinh^{-1}(v)} \right] \\ &= \frac{A(a, b) \left[(1-2p)^2 (x^2 - 1) + 3 \right]}{3 \sinh^{-1}(\sqrt{x^2 - 1})} G(x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where

$$G(x) = \sinh^{-1}(\sqrt{x^2-1}) - \frac{3\sqrt{x^2-1}}{(1-2p)^2x^2+3-(2p-1)^2}. \quad (3.12)$$

Then simple computations lead to

$$G(1) = 0, \quad (3.13)$$

$$G(\sqrt{2}) = \log(1+\sqrt{2}) - \frac{3}{4(p^2-p+1)}, \quad (3.14)$$

$$G'(x) = \frac{(x-1)}{\sqrt{x^2-1} \left[(1-2p)^2x^2+3-(1-2p)^2 \right]^2} g(x) \quad (3.15)$$

where $g(x)$ is defined Lemma 2.2.

We divide the proof into four cases.

Case 1 $p = 1/2 + \sqrt{2}/4$. Then from Lemma 2.2(1) and (3.15) lead to the conclusion that $G(x)$ is strictly increasing on $(1, \sqrt{2})$. Therefore,

$$NS(a, b) < E[pa + (1-p)b, pb + (1-p)a]$$

for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ follows easily from (3.11) and (3.13) together with the monotonicity of $F(x)$.

Case 2 $1/2 + \sqrt{2}/4 < p \leq 1$. let $q = (1-2p)^2$ and $v \rightarrow 0^+$, then $1/2 < q \leq 1$ and power series expansions lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(1-2p)^2v^2+3}{3} - \frac{v}{\sinh^{-1}(v)} &= \frac{(qv^2+3)\sinh^{-1}(v)-3v}{3\sinh^{-1}(v)} \\ &= \frac{1}{3\sinh^{-1}(v)} \left[\left(q - \frac{1}{2} \right) v^3 + o(v^3) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Equations (3.11) and (3.16) imply that there exists small enough $0 < \gamma_0 < 1$ such that

$$NS(a, b) > E[pa + (1-p)b, pb + (1-p)a]$$

for all $a > b > 0$ with $(a-b)/(a+b) \in (0, \gamma_0)$.

Case 3 $p = 1/2 + \sqrt{3} \left[1/\log(1+\sqrt{2}) - 1 \right]/2$. Then (3.14) and (3.15) together with Lemma 2.2(2) lead to the conclusion that there exists $\xi_0 \in (1, \sqrt{2})$ such that $G(x)$ is strictly decreasing on $(1, \xi_0]$ and strictly increasing on $[\xi_0, \sqrt{2})$, and

$$G(\sqrt{2}) = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

Therefore,

$$NS(a, b) > E[pa + (1 - p)b, pb + (1 - p)a]$$

for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ follows easily from (3.3) and (3.5) together with (3.17) and the piecewise monotonicity of $G(x)$.

Case 4 $1/2 \leq p < 1/2 + \sqrt{3 [1/\log(1 + \sqrt{2}) - 1]}/2$. Then

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 1^-} \left[\frac{(1 - 2p)^2 v^2 + 3}{3} - \frac{v}{\sinh^{-1}(v)} \right] = \frac{1}{3} [(1 - 2p)^2 + 3] - \frac{1}{\log(1 + \sqrt{2})} < 0 \quad (3.18)$$

Equations (3.3) and inequality (3.18) imply that there exists $0 < \gamma_1 < 1$ such that

$$NS(a, b) < E[pa + (1 - p)b, pb + (1 - p)a]$$

for all $a > b > 0$ with $(a - b)/(a + b) \in (1 - \gamma_1, 1)$.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Nature Science Foundation of Zhejiang under Grant. LY13A010004 and the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Broadcast and TV University under Grants. XKT-17Z04 and XKT-17G26 and 2017 colleges and universities visiting scholar “teachers professional development project” of Zhejiang Province under Grant FX2017084.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Neuman and J. Sándor, On the Schwab-Borchardt mean, *Math. Pannon.* 14 (2) (2003): 253-266.
- [2] E. Neuman and J. Sándor, On the Schwab-Borchardt mean, *Math. Pannon.* 17 (1) (2006): 49-59.
- [3] E. Neuman, A note on certain bivariate mean, *J. Math. Inequal.* 6 (4) (2012): 637-643.
- [4] W.-M. Qian and Y.-M. Chu, On certain inequalities for Neuman-Sándor mean, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, 2013 (2013), Article ID 790783.
- [5] W.-D. Jiang and F. Qi, Sharp bounds for Neuman-Sándor mean in terms of the root-mean-square, *Period. Math. Hung.*, 69 (2) (2014): 134-138.
- [6] W.-D. Jiang and F. Qi, Sharp bounds for the Neuman-Sándor mean in terms of the power and contra-harmonic means, *Cogent Math.*, 2 (2015): Article ID 995951, 7 pages.

- [7] Z.-Y. He, W.-M. Qian, Y.-L. Jiang, Y.-Q. Song, and Y.-M. Chu, Bounds for the combinations of Neuman-Sándor, arithmetic and second Seiffert means in terms of contraharmonic mean, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, 2013 (2013), Article ID 903982.
- [8] Y.-M. Li, B.-Y. Long and Y.-M. Chu, Sharp bounds for the Neuman-Sándor mean in terms of generalized logarithmic mean, *J. Math. Inequal.*, 6 (4) (2012): 567-577.
- [9] T.-H. Zhao, Y.-M. Chu and B.-Y. Liu, Optimal bounds for Neuman-Sándor mean in terms of geometric and contraharmonic means, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, 2012 (2012), Article ID 302635, 9 pages.
- [10] Y. M. Chu and S. W. Hou, Sharp bounds for Seiffert mean in terms of contraharmonic mean, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, 2012 (2012), Article ID 425175, 6 pages.
- [11] Y.-M. Chu and M.-K. Wang, Refinements of the inequalities between Neuman-Sándor, arithmetic, contraharmonic and quadratic means, *Mathematics*, 2012.
- [12] Y.-M. Chu, B.-Y. Long, W.-M. Gong and Y.-Q. Song, Sharp bounds for Seiffert and Neuman-Sándor means in terms of generalized logarithmic means, *Inequal. Appl.*, 2013 (2013): Article ID 10, 13 pages.
- [13] Y.-M. Chu and B.-Y. Long, Bounds of the Neuman-Sándor mean using power and identric means, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, 2013 (2013), Article ID 832591, 6 pages.
- [14] T.-H. Zhao, Y.-M. Chu and B.-Y. Liu, Optimal bounds for Neuman-Sándor mean in terms of the convex combinations of harmonic, geometric, quadratic, and contraharmonic means, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, 2012 (2012), Article ID 302635, 9 pages.
- [15] T.-H. Zhao, Y.-M. Chu, Y.-L. Jiang and Y.-M. Li, Best possible bounds for Neuman-Sándor mean by the identric, quadratic and contraharmonic means, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, 2013 (2013), Article ID 348326, 12 pages.
- [16] W.-F. Xia and Y.-M. Chu, Optimal inequalities between Neuman-Sándor, centroidal andharmonic means, *J. Math. Inequal.*, 7 (4) (2013): 593-600.
- [17] F. Zhang, Y.-M. Chu, W.-M. Qian, Bounds for the Arithmetic Mean in Terms of the Neuman-Sándor and Other Bivariate Means, *J. Appl. Math.*, 2013 (2013), Article ID 582504, 7 pages.