

Available online at http://scik.org Commun. Math. Biol. Neurosci. 2022, 2022:120 https://doi.org/10.28919/cmbn/7674 ISSN: 2052-2541

# A DISCRETE MATHEMATICAL MODEL SEIR WITH THE EVOLUTION OF THE REGIONS

# ISSAM KHALOUFI\*, YOUSSEF BENFATAH, HAJAR MOUTAMANNI, HAMZA BOUTAYEB, MOSTAFA RACHIK

Laboratory of Analysis Modeling and Simulation, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Sciences Ben M'Sik, Hassan II University, Casablanca, Sidi Othman, BP 7955, Morocco

Copyright © 2022 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In this study, we provide a discrete mathematical *SEIR* model that depicts the evolution of an infectious disease while introducing the novel idea of taking regional infection spread into account. To reduce the disease's ability to spread among people and places, we suggest three control measures. The optimal controls are defined using the Pontryagin maximum principle, and the optimality system is solved using an iterative method. Finally, MATLAB-based numerical simulations are performed to check the results of the theoretical analysis.

Keywords: mathematical model; discrete-time systems; optimal control; contagious virus; SEIR; Pontryagin maximum.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 92C60.

# **1.** INTRODUCTION

Infectious diseases existed in the Stone Age, during the time of hunters and gatherers. Yet, the transition to a sedentary lifestyle has given rise not only to socio-economic progress [1], but also created an ideal environment for the development of epidemics. Researchers suggest that it was then that malaria, leprosy, tuberculosis, smallpox, diphtheria, measles, plagues and flu

\*Corresponding author

E-mail address: issam.khaloufi1@gmail.com

Received August 14, 2022

appeared [2]. And the more civilization developed, the larger cities became and the closer trade relations between different countries became, the closer the threat of a pandemic approached humanity [3]. An epidemic is considered to occur when a contagious disease affects one country or region [4], while in a pandemic, the disease crosses borders and affects many countries or regions [5]. In the history of mankind, epidemics and pandemics covered many countries, claimed thousands to millions of lives, and involved a large number of patients who needed care and treatment.

Historical studies have been provided plenty of spatio-geographical epidemic spread. According to researchers, there have been six pandemics in the last two centuries. In 1918-1919 occurred the infamous Spanish flu measuring 21 millions of deaths worldwide [6]. In 1957-1958 the Asian flu pandemic which appeared within a short space of time in widely separated countries, and claimed about 2 million lives [7]. In 2009 WHO declared a pandemic of H1N1 influenza (swine flu) that spread fast around the world, asserted the lives of about 450 thousand people [8]. In 2002-200", 8,5 thousand people were infected with SARS across the continents [9]. Over decades, HIV steadily expanded across Africa and later into other parts of the world. However, it is known that the virus has existed in the US since at least the mid to late 1970s [10]. The recent virus Covid-19 known as severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus is a new instance of pandemics that have the ability to spread through continents, the pandemic was reported firstly in Wuhan, China, then the outbreak increased greatly and moved to other Chinese cities and multiple countries, moving to other continents [11, 12]. In Europe, France was reported as the first official case of the virus, followed by Germany and Finland, in a short period of time all 27 countries of the European Union were affected [13]. Observations state that more than 4 millions of people were infected in more than 200 countries. This epidemic can cause serious respiratory symptoms and severe diseases such as organ failure and death [14, 15].

Therefore, epidemiological modeling is globally used for detailing the process of epidemic dissemination and providing further apprehension of numerical mechanisms of disease transmission and spread [16]. Thus, the latter came with the need for evaluating intervention strategies for newly emerging and re-emerging pathogens. Mathematical modeling describes a mathematical framework utilizing variables and their interrelationships in order to emphasize certain phenomena or predict future events [17].. This methodology, is used as a tool in scientific disciplines, yet, it doesn't compete with other subjects, physics, or biology; it is used systematically in all spheres of creative activity. Fundamentally, many epidemiological models are recognized to control the development of infectious diseases such as SARS, HIV, Ebola, Influenza, Tuberculosis, Cholera, Measles and others [18, 19][20, 21]. Beside the discrete-time SIR model presented in various geographical regions, to control the spatio-temporal propagation of an epidemic. In contrast to prior models that have primarily concentrated on the optimization of one single region, the multi-regional discrete-time SIR model focuses on the intervention of numerous regions. [22].

Epidemiology, due to some combination of circumstances, has become very popular over the past years. Many people have become interested in modeling epidemics, and more and more people are already aware of mathematical and epidemiological models [23]. SEIR model is considered as a modification of SIR. It represents a whole class of models, which are called "compartment epidemiological models". These models assume that each individual in a population can be in one of several states and move from one state to another over time, and are based on the creation of differential equations describing the dependence of the number of infected individuals on time [24, 25].

The SEIR model devises the population into four classes S-Susceptible (class consists of people who does not receive any infection yet, thus the possibility to get infected is strong when making contact with other members of the population), this model takes into account the incubation period (E-exposed, individuals get sick, but are not contagious and will eventually become completely ill), I-Infected (individuals who have the virus and the capacity to spread it to other), R-Recovered (with immunity those users who were released from the disease, either because of immunity or because of death) [26, 27]. In such model, infection of susceptible

individuals occurs in the same way as in the SIR model, but such individuals do not fall into group I, but into group E. And from E, with a certain probability (the number is the inverse of the incubation period), the transition occurs already in I. During the latency period E, the node is considered infected, hence does not spread the virus. After some time, it becomes capable of infecting other hosts I and then becomes R [28]. The model behaves radically differently depending on the indicator representing the average number of people that one infected person manages to infect during the time until he himself recovers. If this indicator number is less than one, the epidemic subsides; if the indicator is greater than one, a significant part of the population becomes infected. The value of the indicator depends on the characteristics of the virus, the proportion of the population that has received immunity (as a result of vaccination or a past illness), as well as measures to suppress the epidemic (various forms of quarantine) [29, 25]. Modeling shows how fast the epidemic widespread, how many people will be infected, and patients in critical condition. The latter indicator can be compared with the capacity of the medical system and determine whether it is able to cope with the influx of patients in need of specialized care: in the case of COVID-19 for instance, this is resuscitation, artificial ventilation, etc [30].

The combination of various scientifically based methods of epidemic control measures insures the prevention of development of infectious diseases among the most vulnerable groups of the population, reduce the overall incidence in many countries, and even eliminate individual diseases. In case of the COVID-19 epidemic spread, quarantine measures have been implemented around the world beside other control strategies as vaccination, and non-pharmaceutical interventions such as social distancing, public education, and staging of medical equipment. Chinazzi et al proved that travel restrictions introduced in China 2020 slowed rates of epidemic dissemination by 3 to 5 days. However, the most remarkable effect was on the international scale [31]. In [32] a deterministic SEIR model was developed to evaluate the impact of international air travel restrictions in the influenza pandemic. Other models have shown the role of Travel restrictions in decreasing the influx of new infected cases [33][34]. Brownstein, et al. [35] have organized supporting evidence, revealing that the grounding of airliners in the US after September 11/2001 retarded epidemic dynamics during the period of 2001 to 2002 season by nearly 2 weeks. This control strategy's primary goal is to stop the spread of the infectious disease until specific medical and other control strategies can be created and implemented. Some control systems can be found in the following references [36, 37, 38].

The remaining parts of the paper are arranged as follows. In Section 2, we provide the discrete-time mathematical model *SEIRZSZIZR* that depicts the evolution of a contagious virus and accounts for its spread among people and geographic regions. In addition, we provide a numerical simulation without control to our model. The optimal control problem of the considered model is studied in Section 3. Results and discussion are provided to ensure the effectiveness of the control strategies in Section 4. To conclude our paper, a conclusion is given in Section 5.

# **2. PRESENTATION OF THE MODEL**

We consider a simple *SEIR* model [39], with the innovation of taking into account the evolution of regions. The considered model is divided in two parts. The first part *SEIR* describes the evolution of individuals during an infectious disease, where *S* represents the number of susceptible, *E* the exposed individuals (Individuals get sick, but are not contagious and will eventually become completely ill), *I* the infected, and *R* the recovered. The second part *Z* represents the different types of regions,  $Z^S$  represents the number of susceptible regions, where there are only susceptible individuals, after visiting an infected person, a susceptible region is likely to be infected, which we will note  $Z^I$ , the last compartment  $Z^R$  designates the infected regions, which are recovered. We obtain the following two models: 1 for the evolution of individuals, and 2 for the evolution of regions.

(1)  
$$\begin{cases} S_{i+1} = \Lambda + S_i + -\alpha S_i I_i - \mu S_i \\ E_{i+1} = E_i + \alpha S_i I_i - (\mu + \delta) E_i \\ I_{i+1} = I_i + \delta E_i - (\mu + d) I_i - r I_i \\ R_{i+1} = -\mu R_i + r I_i + R_i \end{cases}$$

(2) 
$$\begin{cases} Z_{i+1}^{S} = Z_{i}^{S} - \beta Z_{i}^{S} I_{i} + \theta Z_{i}^{R} \\ Z_{i+1}^{I} = Z_{i}^{I} + \beta Z_{i}^{S} I_{i} - \gamma Z_{i}^{I} \\ Z_{i+1}^{R} = Z_{i}^{R} + \gamma Z_{i}^{I} - \theta Z_{i}^{R} \end{cases}$$

with initial conditions  $S_0 \ge 0, E_0, I_0 \ge 0, R_0 \ge 0, Z_0^S \ge 0, Z_0^I \ge 0$  and  $Z_0^R \ge 0$  and where  $i \in \{0, 1, ..., N-1\}$ .

Parameters description can be found in Table 1.

Parameter	Physical interpretation
Λ	The incidence of susceptible
α	The rate of people who were infected by contact with infected.
β	The rate of regions which become in- fected since infected people.
δ	The rate of individual exposures will have symptoms and become infected.
θ	The rate of convertion from recovered re- gions to susceptible regions.
μ	The natural death rate.
d	Mortality since the virus
r	The rate of individuals who were recovered from the disease.

TABLE 1. List of all parameters of systems (1) and (2)

**2.1. Simulation without control.** In this section, we are interested in giving a simulation of the people and regions infected and recovered in 50 weeks.

Through Figures 1, 3, 2 and 4, we see a significant increase in the number of infected people and infected regions, and a slight increase in the number of those recovered, which will make us propose three control strategies in the next section.



FIGURE 1. Infected individuals without controls



FIGURE 2. Recovered individuals without controls



FIGURE 3. Infected regions without without controls



FIGURE 4. Recovered regions without controls

## **3.** The Optimal Control Problem

1

**3.1. Presentation of the controls.** The discrete-time controlled systems associated with (1) and (2) are given as follows

(3)  
$$\begin{cases} S_{i+1} = \Lambda + S_i + -\alpha S_i I_i - \mu S_i - v_i S_i \\ E_{i+1} = E_i + \alpha S_i I_i - (\mu + \delta) E_i \\ I_{i+1} = I_i + \delta E_i - (\mu + d) I_i - r I_i - u_i J_i \\ R_{i+1} = -\mu R_i + r I_i + u_i I_i + v_i S_i + R_i \end{cases}$$

(4) 
$$\begin{cases} Z_{i+1}^{s} = Z_{i}^{s} - \beta w_{i} Z_{i}^{s} I_{i} + \theta Z_{i}^{R} \\ Z_{i+1}^{i} = Z_{i}^{I} + \beta w_{i} Z_{i}^{s} I_{i} - \gamma Z_{i}^{I} \\ Z_{i+1}^{R} = Z_{i}^{R} + \gamma Z_{i}^{I} - \theta Z_{i}^{R} \end{cases}$$

with initial conditions  $S_0 \ge 0, I_0 \ge 0, R_0 \ge 0, Z_0^S \ge 0, Z_0^I \ge 0$  and  $Z_0^R \ge 0$  and where  $i \in \{0, 1, ..., N-1\}$ .

The control strategy u represents treatment and medical care for infected people. The control strategy v represents vaccination of susceptible individuals and thus protects them from the virus. The control strategy w represents the blocking of travel where we block the meeting between susceptible and infected individuals and the visit of infected individuals to susceptible areas.

**3.2.** Objective functional. The goal is to minimize the objective function  $\mathcal{J}(u, v, w)$  defined by

(5) 
$$\mathscr{J}(u,v,w) = (xI_N - yR_N + zZ_N^I) + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left( xI_i - yR_i + zZ_i^I + \frac{A}{2}(u_i)^2 + \frac{B}{2}(v_i)^2 + \frac{C}{2}(w_i)^2 \right)$$

where A > 0, B > 0, C > 0, x > 0, y > 0, z > 0 are the weight constants of controls,  $u = (u_0, ..., u_{N-1})$ ,  $v = (v_0, ..., v_{N-1})$  and  $w = (w_0, ..., w_{N-1})$ , and N is the final time of our strategy of control. Our objectives are to reduce the number of infected people and infected areas, reduce the cost of applying controls, and increase the number of removed. To put it another

way, we're looking for optimal controls  $u^*$ ,  $v^*$  and  $w^*$  such that

(6) 
$$\mathscr{J}(u^*, v^*, w^*) = \min\{\mathscr{J}(u, v, w) | u \in U, v \in V, w \in W\}$$

where U, V and W are the control sets defined by

(7) 
$$U = \{ u / u_{min} \le u_i \le u_{max}, i = 0, ..., N - 1 \}$$

(8) 
$$V = \{ v / v_{min} \le v_i \le v_{max}, i = 0, ..., N - 1 \}$$

(9) 
$$W = \{ w / w_{min} \le w_i \le w_{max}, i = 0, ..., N - 1 \}$$

such that  $0 < u_{min} < u_{max} < 1$ ,  $0 < v_{min} < v_{max} < 1$  and  $0 < w_{min} < w_{max} < 1$ .

**3.3.** Sufficient conditions. In this paragraph we present the theorem that ensures the existence of an optimal control.

**Theorem 3.1.** There exists an optimal control  $(u^*, v^*, w^*) \in U \times V \times W$  such that

$$J(u^*, v^*, w^*) = \min\{ \mathscr{J}(u, v, w) / u \in U, v \in V, w \in W \}$$

subject to the controlled systems (3), (4) and initial conditions.

*Proof.* Since the parameters of the system are bounded and there are a finite number of time steps, that is *S*, *E*, *I*, *R*, *Z<sup>S</sup>*, *Z<sup>I</sup>* and *Z<sup>R</sup>* are uniformly bounded for all (u, v, w) in the control set  $U \times V \times W$ , thus J(u, v, w) is also bounded for all  $(u, v, w) \in U \times V \times W$ . Which implies that  $\inf_{(u,v,w)\in U\times V\times W} J(u,v,w)$  is finite, and there exists a sequence  $(u^n, v^n, w^n) \in U \times V \times W$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} J(u^{n}, v^{n}, w^{n}) = \inf_{(u, v, w) \in U \times \mathscr{V} \times \mathscr{W}} J(u, v, w)$$

and corresponding sequences of states  $I^n$ ,  $S^n$ ,  $R^n$  and  $Z^{Sn}$ ,  $Z^{In}$ ,  $Z^{Rn}$ . Since there is a finite number of uniformly bounded sequences, there exists  $(u^*, v^*, w^*) \in U \times V \times W$  and  $S^*$ ,  $E^*$ ,  $I^* R^*$  and  $Z^{S*}$ ,  $Z^{I*}$ ,  $Z^{R*}$  such that, on a sequence,

$$(u^n, v^n, w^n) \rightarrow (u^*, v^*, w^*)$$
  
 $S^n \rightarrow S^*$   
 $E^n \rightarrow E^*$ 

$$I^{n} \rightarrow I^{*}$$

$$R^{n} \rightarrow R^{*}$$

$$Z^{Sn} \rightarrow Z^{S*}$$

$$Z^{In} \rightarrow Z^{I*}$$

$$Z^{Rn} \rightarrow Z^{R*}.$$

Finally, due to the finite dimensional structure of the systems (3), (4) and the objective function J(u,v,w),  $(u^*,v^*,w^*)$  is an optimal control with corresponding states  $I^*$ ,  $S^*$ ,  $R^*$ ,  $Z^{S*}$ ,  $Z^{I*}$  and  $Z^{R*}$ . Which complete the proof

**3.4.** Necessary conditions. By using a discrete version of the Pontryagin's maximum principle [40], we extract the necessary conditions for our optimal controls. For this goal, we define the Hamiltonian as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{H}_{i} &= xI_{i} - yR_{i} + zZ^{I}_{i} + \frac{1}{2}Au_{i}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}Bv_{i}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}Cw_{i}^{2} + \lambda_{1}^{i+1}\left(-\alpha S_{i}I_{i} - \mu S_{i} - v_{i}S_{i} + \Lambda + S_{i}\right) \\ &+ \lambda_{2}^{i+1}\left(\alpha S_{i}I_{i} - (\mu + \delta)E_{i} + E_{i}\right) + \lambda_{3}^{i+1}\left(I_{i} + E_{i}\delta - (\mu + d)I_{i} - rI_{i} - u_{i}I_{i}\right) \\ &+ \lambda_{4}^{i+1}\left(-\mu R_{i} + rI_{i} + u_{i}I_{i} + v_{i}S_{i} + R_{i}\right) + \lambda_{5}^{i+1}\left(-\beta w_{i}Z^{S}_{i}I_{i} + \theta Z^{R}_{i} + Z^{S}_{i}\right) \\ &+ \lambda_{6}^{i+1}\left(\beta w_{i}Z^{S}_{i}I_{i} - \gamma Z^{I}_{i} + Z^{I}_{i}\right) + \lambda_{7}^{i+1}\left(\gamma Z^{I}_{i} - \theta Z^{R}_{i} + Z^{R}_{i}\right) \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.2.** Considering the optimal controls  $u^*$ ,  $v^*$ ,  $w^*$  and solutions  $S^*$ ,  $E^* I^*$ ,  $R^*$ ,  $Z_i^{S*}, Z_i^{I*}$  and  $Z_i^{R*}$ , there exists  $\lambda_k^i$ , i = 1...N,  $k = 1, 2, \cdots, 7$  the adjoint variables satisfying the following equations

$$\begin{split} \Delta \lambda_{1}^{i} &= -\left[\lambda_{1}^{i+1}\left(-\alpha I_{i}-\mu-v_{i}+1\right)+\lambda_{2}^{i+1}\alpha I_{i}+v_{i}\lambda_{4}^{i+1}\right] \\ \Delta \lambda_{2}^{i} &= -\left[\lambda_{2}^{i+1}\left(-\mu-\delta+1\right)+\lambda_{3}^{i+1}\delta\right] \\ \Delta \lambda_{3}^{i} &= -\left[x-\alpha S_{i}\lambda_{1}^{i+1}+\alpha S_{i}\lambda_{2}^{i+1}+\lambda_{3}^{i+1}\left(-d-r-\mu-u_{i}+1\right)\right. \\ &+\lambda_{4}^{i+1}\left(r+u_{i}\right)-\beta Z^{S}_{i}\lambda_{5}^{i+1}+\beta Z^{S}_{i}\lambda_{6}^{i+1}w_{i}\right] \\ \Delta \lambda_{4}^{i} &= -\left[-y+\lambda_{4}^{i+1}\left(-\mu+1\right)\right] \\ \Delta \lambda_{5}^{i} &= -\left[\lambda_{5}^{i+1}\left(-\beta I_{i}+1\right)+\lambda_{6}^{i+1}\beta I_{i}w_{i}\right] \end{split}$$

$$\Delta \lambda_6^i = - \left[ z + \lambda_6^{i+1} \left( -\gamma + 1 \right) + \gamma \lambda_7^{i+1} \right]$$
  
$$\Delta \lambda_7^i = - \left[ \lambda_5^{i+1} \theta + \lambda_7^{i+1} \left( -\theta + 1 \right) \right]$$

where  $\lambda_1^N = 0, \lambda_2^N = 0, \lambda_3^N = x, \lambda_4^N = -y, \lambda_5^N = 0, \lambda_6^N = z, \lambda_7^N = 0$  are the transversality conditions. In addition  $u^* = (u_0^*, ..., u_{N-1}^*), v^* = (v_0^*, ..., v_{N-1}^*)$  and  $w^* = (w_0^*, ..., w_{N-1}^*)$  are given by

$$u_{i}^{*} = \min\left\{\max\left\{u_{\min}, \frac{I_{i}(\lambda_{3}^{i+1} - \lambda_{4}^{i+1})}{A}\right\}, u_{\max}\right\}$$

$$i = 0, ..., N - 1$$

$$v_{i}^{*} = \min\left\{\max\left\{v_{\min}, \frac{S_{i}(\lambda_{1}^{i+1} - \lambda_{4}^{i+1})}{B}\right\}, v_{\max}\right\}$$

$$i = 0, ..., N - 1$$

$$w_{i}^{*} = \min\left\{\max\left\{\frac{\beta I_{i}Z^{S}_{i}(\lambda_{5}^{i+1} - \lambda_{6}^{i+1})}{C}\right\}, w_{\max}\right\}$$

$$i = 0, ..., N - 1$$

*Proof.* Using the discrete version of the Pontryagin's maximum principle [40], we obtain the following adjoint equations:

$$\begin{split} \Delta\lambda_{1}^{i} &= -\frac{\partial\mathscr{H}}{\partial S_{i}} \\ &= -\left[\lambda_{1}^{i+1}\left(-\alpha I_{i}-\mu-v_{i}+1\right)+\lambda_{2}^{i+1}\alpha I_{i}+v_{i}\lambda_{4}^{i+1}\right] \\ \Delta\lambda_{2}^{i} &= -\frac{\partial\mathscr{H}}{\partial E_{i}} \\ &= -\left[\lambda_{2}^{i+1}\left(-\mu-\delta+1\right)+\lambda_{3}^{i+1}\delta\right] \\ \Delta\lambda_{3}^{i} &= -\frac{\partial\mathscr{H}}{\partial I_{i}} \\ &= -\left[x-\alpha S_{i}\lambda_{1}^{i+1}+\alpha S_{i}\lambda_{2}^{i+1}+\lambda_{3}^{i+1}\left(-d-r-\mu-u_{i}+1\right)\right. \\ &\quad +\lambda_{4}^{i+1}\left(r+u_{i}\right)-\beta Z^{S}_{i}\lambda_{5}^{i+1}+\beta w_{i}Z_{i}^{S}\lambda_{6}^{i+1}\right] \\ \Delta\lambda_{4}^{i} &= -\frac{\partial\mathscr{H}}{\partial R_{i}} \\ &= -\left[-y+\lambda_{4}^{i+1}\left(-\mu+1\right)\right] \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\lambda_5^i &= -\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}}{\partial Z_i^l} \\ &= -\left[\lambda_5^{i+1}\left(-\beta I_i+1\right) + \lambda_6^{i+1}\beta I_iw_i\right] \\ \Delta\lambda_6^i &= -\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}}{\partial Z_i^l} \\ &= -\left[z + \lambda_6^{i+1}\left(-\gamma + 1\right) + \gamma\lambda_7^{i+1}\right] \\ \Delta\lambda_7^i &= -\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}}{\partial Z_i^R} = \\ &= -\left[\lambda_5^{i+1}\theta + \lambda_7^{i+1}\left(-\theta + 1\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

with  $\lambda_3^N = x, \lambda_4^N = -y, \lambda_6^N = z$ . To get the optimality conditions, we consider the variation with respect to controls ( $u_i, v_i, w_i$ ) and set it to zero

$$\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}_i}{\partial u_i} = Au_i - I_i \lambda_3^{i+1} + \lambda_4^{i+1} I_i = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}_i}{\partial v_i} = Bv_i - S_i \lambda_1^{i+1} + \lambda_4^{i+1} S_i = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}_i}{\partial w_i} = -\lambda_5^{i+1} Z^S_i I_i \beta + \lambda_6^{i+1} Z^S_i I_i \beta + Cw_i = 0$$

Then we obtain the optimal control

$$u_{i} = \frac{I_{i} \left(\lambda_{3}^{i+1} - \lambda_{4}^{i+1}\right)}{A}$$
$$v_{i} = \frac{S_{i} \left(\lambda_{1}^{i+1} - \lambda_{4}^{i+1}\right)}{B}$$
$$w_{i} = \frac{\beta I_{i} Z^{S}_{i} \left(\lambda_{5}^{i+1} - \lambda_{6}^{i+1}\right)}{C}$$

By the bounds in U, V and W of the controls in the definitions (7),(8) and (9), it is easy to obtain  $u_i^*$ ,  $v_i^*$  and  $w_i^*$  in the following form

$$u_{i}^{*} = \min\left\{\max\left\{u_{min}, \frac{I_{i}(\lambda_{3}^{i+1} - \lambda_{4}^{i+1})}{A}\right\}, u_{max}\right\}$$
$$i = 0, ..., N - 1$$
$$v_{i}^{*} = \min\left\{\max\left\{v_{min}, \frac{S_{i}(\lambda_{1}^{i+1} - \lambda_{4}^{i+1})}{B}\right\}, v_{max}\right\}$$
$$i = 0, ..., N - 1$$

$$w_i^* = \min\left\{\max\left\{\frac{\beta I_i Z_i^S\left(\lambda_5^{i+1} - \lambda_6^{i+1}\right)}{C}\right\}, w_{max}\right\}$$
$$i = 0, \dots, N - 1$$

4. **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** 

**4.1.** Numerical simulation. The optimal control problem involving the two systems 3 and 4 and the objective function mathcalJ(u, v, w) will be numerically solved in this section. We write the code in MATLAB (See algorithm 1) and we simulate our results. The FBSM method-like discrete iterative discrete method is used to solve the optimality systems and converges after a proper test. The adjoint system is then solved backward in time due to the transversality conditions after the state system is first solved with the initial assumption forward in time. The state and co-state values obtained in the preceding steps are then used to update the optimal control values. The final step is to carry out the preceding actions up until the tolerance standard is reached.

Algorithm 1 Determination of states of the controlled system and controls *u*, *v* and *w*.

**REQUIRE**:  $S_0, E_0, I_0, R_0, Z_0^s, Z_0^l, Z_0^R, N, u(0) = v(0) = w(0) = 0, \zeta_{1,N} = 0, \zeta_{2,N} = 0, \zeta_{3,N} = x^R, \zeta_{4,N} = -y, \zeta_{3,N} = y^R$  $\zeta_{5,N} = \alpha^Z, \, \zeta_{6,N} = z, \, \zeta_{7,N} = 0.$ For i = 1....N - 1 $\begin{cases} S_{i+1} = \Lambda + S_i + -\alpha S_i I_i - \mu S_i - v_i S_i \\ E_{i+1} = E_i + \alpha S_i I_i - (\mu + \delta) E_i \\ I_{i+1} = I_i + \delta E_i - (\mu + d) I_i - r I_i - u_i J_i \\ R_{i+1} = -\mu R_i + r I_i + u_i I_i + v_i S_i + R_i \\ Z_{i+1}^s = Z_i^s - \beta Z_i^s I_i + \theta Z_i^R \\ Z_{i+1}^i = Z_i^I + \beta w_i Z_i^s I_i - \gamma Z_i^I \\ Z_{i+1}^R = Z_i^R + \gamma Z_i^I - \theta Z_i^R \end{cases}$  $\lambda_{1}^{i} = [\lambda_{1}^{i+1}(-\alpha I_{i} - \mu - v_{i} + 2) + \lambda_{2}^{i+1}\alpha I_{i} + v_{i}\lambda_{4}^{i+1}]$  $\lambda_2^i = [\lambda_2^{i+1}(-\mu - \delta + 2) + \lambda_3^{i+1}\delta]$  $\lambda_{3}^{i} = [x - \alpha S_{i}\lambda_{1}^{i+1} + \alpha S_{i} + \lambda_{2}^{i+1}\lambda_{3}^{i+1}(-d - r - \mu - u_{i} + 2)\lambda_{4}^{i+1}(r + u_{i}) - \beta Z_{i}^{S_{i}}\lambda_{5}^{i+1} + \beta Z_{i}^{S_{i}}\lambda_{6}^{i+1}w_{i}]$  $\lambda_4^i = \left[ -y + \lambda_4^{i+1} \left( -\mu + 2 \right) \right]$  $\lambda_5^i = \left[\lambda_5^{i+1} \left(-\beta I_i + 2\right) + \lambda_6^{i+1} \beta I_i w_i\right]$  $\lambda_{6}^{i} = [z + \lambda_{6}^{i+1}(-\gamma + 2) + \gamma \lambda_{7}^{i+1}]$  $\lambda_7^i = [\lambda_5^{i+1}\theta + \lambda_7^{i+1}(-\theta + 2)]$  $u_i^* = \min\left\{\max\left\{u_{\min}, \frac{I_i\left(\lambda_3^{i+1} - \lambda_4^{i+1}\right)}{A}\right\}, u_{\max}\right\}$ i = 0, ..., N - 1 $v_i^* = \min\left\{\max\left\{v_{\min}, \frac{S_i\left(\lambda_1^{i+1} - \lambda_4^{i+1}\right)}{B}\right\}, v_{\max}\right\}$  $i = 0, \dots, N - 1$  $w_i^* = \min\left\{\max\left\{\frac{\beta I_i Z^{S_i}(\lambda_5^{i+1} - \lambda_6^{i+1})}{C}\right\}, w_{max}\right\}$ i = 0, ..., N - 1ENDFOR

# **4.2.** Simulation with and without the control *u* and discussions on the results.

Strategy one: We use only the optimal control *u*.

Because of the risk of serious complications in people affected by the epidemic, we proposed a strategy based on home treatment for infected people with minor complications and hospitalisation for serious complications. From the figures 5 and 6, we can see that this strategy slightly reduces the number of infected patients and increases the number of recovered persons.



FIGURE 5. Infected individuals without and with the control u



FIGURE 6. Recovered individuals without and with the control u



FIGURE 7. Infected regions without and with the control u



FIGURE 8. Recovered regions without and with the control u

# **4.3.** Simulation with and without the control *v* and discussions on the results.

Strategy two: We use only the optimal control v.

In this strategy we propose a strategy based on vaccination of the population and protect them

from the virus. We can see from figures 9 and 10 that although this strategy increases the number of recovering and decreases the number of infected, it is still insufficient for reducing the number of infected areas. (see figure 11).



FIGURE 9. Infected individuals without and with the control v



FIGURE 10. Recovered individuals without and with the control v



FIGURE 11. Infected regions without and with the control v



FIGURE 12. Recovered regions without and with the control v

# **4.4.** Simulation with and without the control *w* and discussions on the results.

Strategy three: We use only the optimal control *w*.

The control strategy w represents movement blocking where we block the contact between susceptible and infected individuals and the visit of infected individuals to susceptible regions. This strategy proved to be effective in reducing the number of infected regions (see figure 15) the thing that is not obtained by the first two strategies



FIGURE 13. Infected individuals without and with the control w



FIGURE 14. Recovered individuals without and with the control w



FIGURE 15. Infected regions without and with the control w



FIGURE 16. Recovered regions without and with the control w

# **4.5.** Simulation with and without the three controls and discussions on the results. Strategy four : Applying all controls *u*, *v* and *w*.

The objective of this strategy is to combine the previous strategies simultaneously.Figures 17,

18, and 19 show how this strategy decreased the number of infected people and the number of infected areas while increasing the number of recovered people enough to stop the epidemic's spread. This resulted from our strategy's effectiveness as well as our consideration of the (2) systems, which chart the evolution of the areas.



FIGURE 17. Infected individuals without and with the three controls



FIGURE 18. Recovered individuals without and with the three controls



FIGURE 19. Infected regions without and with the three controls



FIGURE 20. Recovered regions without and with the three controls

# **5.** CONCLUSION

The discrete mathematical model *SEIR* that this paper presents explains the development of an infectious disease with the novel insight of examining the spread of the infection between

#### KHALOUFI, BENFATAH, MOUTAMANNI, BOUTAYEB, RACHIK

regions. To prevent the disease from spreading between people and areas, we suggest three different control measures. The optimal controls are defined according to the Pontryagin maximum principle, and an iterative approach is used to solve the optimality system. The effectiveness of our control strategies is finally demonstrated through numerical simulations, which also take the spread of the disease between regions into account.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

24

The authors would like to thank the reviewer for his time to help improve this paper.

# DATA AVAILABILITY

The disciplinary data used to support the findings of this study have been deposited in the Network Repository (http://www.networkrepository.com).

# **CONFLICT OF INTERESTS**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

### REFERENCES

- [1] J.N. Hays, Epidemics and pandemics: their impacts on human history, ABC-CLIO, Santa Barbara, Calif, 2005.
- [2] C.F. Merbs, A new world of infectious disease, Amer. J. Phys. Anthropol. 35 (1992), 3–42. https://doi.org/10 .1002/ajpa.1330350603.
- [3] S. Milham, Historical evidence that electrification caused the 20th century epidemic of "diseases of civilization", Med. Hypotheses. 74 (2010), 337–345. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mehy.2009.08.032.
- [4] M.S. Green, T. Swartz, E. Mayshar, et al. When is an epidemic an epidemic? Israel Med. Assoc. J. 4 (2002), 3–6.
- [5] D.M. Morens, G.K. Folkers, A.S. Fauci, What is a pandemic? J. Infect. Dis. 200 (2009), 1018–1021. https: //www.jstor.org/stable/27794175.
- [6] S. Ansart, C. Pelat, P.Y. Boelle, et al. Mortality burden of the 1918-1919 influenza pandemic in Europe, Influenza Other Respir. Viruses. 3 (2009), 99–106. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-2659.2009.00080.x.
- [7] W.S. Jordan Jr., The mechanism of spread of Asian influenza, Amer. Rev. Respir. Dis. 83 (1961), 29-40.
- [8] A.M. Dumar, Swine flu: what you need to know, Wildside Press LLC, Rockville, 2009.

- [9] R.M. Anderson, C. Fraser, A.C. Ghani, et al. Epidemiology, transmission dynamics and control of SARS: the 2002–2003 epidemic, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. 359 (2004), 1091–1105. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.200 4.1490.
- [10] A. Buvé, K. Bishikwabo-Nsarhaza, G. Mutangadura, The spread and effect of HIV-1 infection in sub-Saharan Africa, The Lancet. 359 (2002), 2011–2017. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(02)08823-2.
- [11] D. Kang, H. Choi, J.H. Kim, J. Choi, Spatial epidemic dynamics of the COVID-19 outbreak in China, Int. J. Infect. Dis. 94 (2020), 96–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.03.076.
- [12] I.A. Adekunle, A.T. Onanuga, O.O. Akinola, O.W. Ogunbanjo, Modelling spatial variations of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) in Africa, Sci. Total Environ. 729 (2020), 138998. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv. 2020.138998.
- [13] K. Linka, M. Peirlinck, F. Sahli Costabal, et al. Outbreak dynamics of COVID-19 in Europe and the effect of travel restrictions, Computer Meth. Biomech. Biomed. Eng. 23 (2020), 710–717. https://doi.org/10.1080/10 255842.2020.1759560.
- [14] T.P. Velavan, C.G. Meyer, The COVID-19 epidemic, Trop. Med. Int. Health. 25 (2020), 278–280. https://doi.org/10.1111/tmi.13383.
- [15] E.S. McBryde, M.T. Meehan, O.A. Adegboye, et al. Role of modelling in COVID-19 policy development, Paediatric Respir. Rev. 35 (2020), 57–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prrv.2020.06.013.
- [16] F. Brauer, P. van den Driessche, J. Wu, eds., Mathematical epidemiology, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2008. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-78911-6.
- [17] A. Queirós, D. Faria, F. Almeida, Strengths and limitations of qualitative and quantitative research methods, Eur. J. Educ. Stud. 3 (2017), 369–387.
- [18] N. Chitnis, J.M. Cushing, J.M. Hyman, Bifurcation analysis of a mathematical model for Malaria transmission, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 67 (2006), 24–45. https://doi.org/10.1137/050638941.
- [19] A.S. Perelson, R.M. Ribeiro, Modeling the within-host dynamics of HIV infection, BMC Biol. 11 (2013), 96. https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7007-11-96.
- [20] Y. Zhou, Z. Ma, F. Brauer, A discrete epidemic model for SARS transmission and control in China, Math. Computer Model. 40 (2004), 1491–1506. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcm.2005.01.007.
- [21] M. Meltzer, Modeling potential responses to smallpox as a bioterrorist weapon, Emerg. Infect. Dis. 7 (2001), 959–969. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid0706.010607.
- [22] O. Zakary, M. Rachik, I. Elmouki, On the analysis of a multi-regions discrete SIR epidemic model: an optimal control approach, Int. J. Dynam. Control. 5 (2016), 917–930. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40435-016-0233-2.
- [23] O. Diekmann, H.J. Heesterbeek, Mathematical epidemiology of infectious diseases: model buildign, analysis and interpretation, Wiley, Chichester, 2000.

- [24] F. Brauer, Compartmental models in epidemiology, in: F. Brauer, P. van den Driessche, J. Wu (Eds.), Mathematical Epidemiology, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2008: pp. 19–79. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-78911-6\_2.
- [25] M.Y. Li, J.S. Muldowney, Global stability for the SEIR model in epidemiology, Math. Biosci. 125 (1995), 155–164. https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-5564(95)92756-5.
- [26] J. Jiao, Z. Liu, S. Cai, Dynamics of an SEIR model with infectivity in incubation period and homesteadisolation on the susceptible, Appl. Math. Lett. 107 (2020), 106442. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2020.10644 2.
- [27] R. Bhaduri, R. Kundu, S. Purkayastha, et al. Extending the susceptible-exposed-infected-removed (SEIR) model to handle the false negative rate and symptom-based administration of COVID-19 diagnostic tests: SEIR-fansy, medRxiv 2020.09.24.20200238, 2020. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.24.20200238.
- [28] M.H.A. Biswas, L.T. Paiva, M. de Pinho, A SEIR model for control of infectious diseases with constraints, Math. Biosci. Eng. 11 (2014), 761–784. https://doi.org/10.3934/mbe.2014.11.761.
- [29] A. Kaddar, A. Abta, H.T. Alaoui, A comparison of delayed SIR and SEIR epidemic models, Nonlinear Anal.: Model. Control. 16 (2011), 181–190. https://doi.org/10.15388/na.16.2.14104.
- [30] S.M. Fast, M.C. González, J.M. Wilson, et al. Modelling the propagation of social response during a disease outbreak, J. R. Soc. Interface. 12 (2015), 20141105. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2014.1105.
- [31] M. Chinazzi, J.T. Davis, M. Ajelli, et al. The effect of travel restrictions on the spread of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak, Science. 368 (2020), 395–400. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba9757.
- [32] L.A. Rvachev, I.M. Longini Jr., A mathematical model for the global spread of influenza, Math. Biosci. 75 (1985), 3–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-5564(85)90064-1.
- [33] R.F. Grais, J. Hugh Ellis, G.E. Glass, Assessing the impact of airline travel on the geographic spread of pandemic influenza, Eur. J. Epidemiol. 18 (2003), 1065–1072. https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1026140019146.
- [34] R.F. Grais, J.H. Ellis, A. Kress, et al. Modeling the spread of annual influenza epidemics in the U.S.: The potential role of air travel, Health Care Manage. Sci. 7 (2004), 127–134. https://doi.org/10.1023/b:hcms.000 0020652.38181.da.
- [35] T.D. Hollingsworth, N.M. Ferguson, R.M. Anderson, Will travel restrictions control the international spread of pandemic influenza?, Nat. Med. 12 (2006), 497–499. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm0506-497.
- [36] Y. Benfatah, A. El Bhih, M. Rachik, et al. On the maximal output admissible set for a class of bilinear discrete-time systems, Int. J. Control Autom. Syst. 19 (2021), 3551–3568. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12555-0 20-0486-6.
- [37] A. El Bhih, Y. Benfatah, M. Rachik, Exact determinantions of maximal output admissible set for a class of semilinear discrete systems, Arch. Control Sci. 30 (2020), 523–552. https://doi.org/10.24425/ACS.2020.13 4676.

- [38] Y. Benfatah, A. El Bhih, M. Rachik, et al. An output sensitivity problem for a class of fractional order discretetime linear systems, Acta Mech. Autom. 15 (2021), 227–235. https://doi.org/10.2478/ama-2021-0029.
- [39] M. Lhous, M. Rachik, A. Larrache, Free optimal time control problem for a SEIR-epidemic model with immigration of infective, Int. J. Computer Appl. 159 (2017), 1–5. https://doi.org/10.5120/ijca2017912886.
- [40] M. Lafif, I. Khaloufi, Y. Benfatah, et al. A mathematical sir model on the spread of infectious diseases considering human immunity, Commun. Math. Biol. Neurosci. 2022 (2022), 69. https://doi.org/10.28919/c mbn/7552.
- [41] H. Boutayeb, S. Bidah, O. Zakary, et al. A new simple epidemic discrete-time model describing the dissemination of information with optimal control strategy, Discr. Dyn. Nat. Soc. 2020 (2020), 7465761. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/7465761.