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#### Abstract

Of recent, stability has become an important concept and a qualitative property in any numerical integration scheme. In this work, we propose two stable linear multistep methods with off-step points for the numerical integration of ordinary differential equations whose development is collocation and interpolation based. The boundary locus techniques show that the proposed schemes are zero-stable, A-stable and $\boldsymbol{A}(\alpha)$-stable for some step number $k$ and are found suitable for stiff differential equations. Numerical results obtained compare favourably with some existing methods in literature.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Differential equations are equations resulting from modeling physical phenomena in sciences, social sciences, management, etc. In particular, ordinary differential equation (ODE) models have been playing a prominent role in physics, engineering, econometrics, biomedical sciences among

[^0]other scientific fields. In fact, ODEs are the most widespread formalism to model dynamical systems in science and engineering. When the models appear in one or more derivatives, they are referred to as first or higher order differential equations, respectively. Systems of first order differential equation and can be expressed as:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}=f(x, y), f: R \times R^{\mathrm{m}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{m}}, \quad x \in\left[x_{0}, x_{N}\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

It is generally known that the solutions of models are not generally written in closed form. In order to understand these solutions, it is often necessary to construct an approximation through computational methods which this work targets to achieve. This research work is concerned with the development and analysis of two new methods for solving first order initial value problems in ordinary differential equations with the aim of achieving high computational accuracy and whose solution can compete favourably with the exact solution in some selected problems without incurring high computational cost in implementation.

## 2. Preliminaries

Lately, there are several numerical methods that have been developed by researchers for approximate solutions to models [7], [8], [9], etc. This is ranging from the one-step method such as the Euler method, Runge-Kutta methods, etc to the multistep methods such as the Adam Bashforth method (AB), Adam-Moulton (AM), backward different formula (BDF), trapezoidal rule, General linear methods (GLM), etc. Each of these methods has its computational advantages and disadvantages based on the type of ODEs to be solved. The process of using numerical methods to provide approximate solutions to ODEs models is known as "numerical integration" [2]. Differential equation (1) can be further classified into initial value problems and boundary value problems (BVPS). The equation (1) can be called an initial value problem if it has specified values assigned to it called the initial conditions of the unknown function at a given point in the domain of the solutions. This is written as:
$y^{\prime}=f(x, y), y\left(x_{0}\right)=\mathrm{y}_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$
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A solution to (2) is the function $y(x)$ and satisfies the initial condition. The differential equation (1) is a boundary value problem, if the conditions can be specified in more than one point in the domain of the solution (Lambert, 1991). i.e.
$y^{\prime}=f(x, y), y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}, \mathrm{y}\left(x_{1}\right)=y_{1}, \quad \forall y_{0}, y_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$
Numerical methods for solving (2) have been known for a long time. Among the famous examples is the forward Euler method introduced as early as 1768 by Leonard Euler. Since then more good methods have been developed like the Runge-Kutta and the linear multistep methods. Numerical implementation solvers have also played vital roles in the solutions of ODEs through advancement of numerical codes and computer era.

Linear multistep methods as one of the promising methods for solving (1) and have been modified by researchers in recent time and have become useful methods for numerical integration of differential equations. Off grid collocation points have been introduced to the conventional linear multistep methods to improve stability and as well reduce errors during integration. This method is called "hybrid methods" which is the focal point of this research work. Two hybrid linear multistep methods that are $\boldsymbol{A}(\alpha)$-stable and A-stable and both having wide regions of absolute stability for the numerical integration of (1) is derived. Numerical methods with these properties are often used for special classes of ODEs especially for stiff differential equations [4], [5], [6]. The concept of stiffness shall be explained later in this research work. Most of the existing methods cannot approximate stiff differential equation due to small regions of absolute stability. The two methods are obtained by incorporating off-step points to the conventional second derivative linear multistep methods so as to overcome the constraints imposed by [1] on the stability of linear multistep methods. On the other hand, we shall examine their error constants, region of absolute stability and test their efficiency.

## 3. Statement of the Problem

Many methods have underperformed in some classes of problems in ordinary differential equations, especially stiff differential equations. This is due to the small region of absolute stability.

Numerical methods for the integration of stiff IVPs are often required to possess large region of absolute stability and smaller error constants for which small regions are constrained to this class of ODEs

The aim of this study is to develop, by means of interpolation and collocation, two high order hybrid methods for solving systems of first order stiff initial value problems in ordinary differential equations.

## 4. MAIN Results

Derivation of the proposed hybrid methods: The first method considered in this work is expressed as

Method 1:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+k}=y_{n+k-1}+h\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_{j} f_{n+j}+\eta_{v m} f_{n+v m}\right)+h^{2}\left(\lambda_{v m-1} f_{n+v m-1}^{\prime}+\lambda_{k} f_{n+k}^{\prime}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Order of the method: $p=k+4$

## Hybrid Predictors:

1. $y_{n+v m-1}=\sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_{j} y_{n+j}+h^{2} \lambda^{\prime}{ }_{k} f^{\prime}{ }_{n+k}$
of order $p^{*}=k+1$
2. $y_{n+v m}=\sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha^{\prime}{ }_{j} y_{n+j}+\beta_{k} h f_{n+k}+h^{2} \lambda "_{k} f^{\prime}{ }_{n+k}$
of order $p^{* *}=k+2$
where $\left\{\beta_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{k},\left\{\alpha_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{k},\left\{\alpha^{\prime}{ }_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{k}, \quad j=0(1) k, \eta_{v m}, \lambda_{v m-1}, \lambda^{\prime}{ }_{k}$, and $\beta_{k}, \lambda "_{k}$ are constant coefficients which depend on step-size are carefully and uniquely determined so that the methods achieved higher order of stability. The Equations (5) and (6) are hybrid predictors of the methods. The parameters of the off-step points are chosen according as:

$$
v m=\frac{2 k+1}{2}, \quad v m-1=\frac{2 k-1}{2}
$$
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The method (4) is an extended second derivative backward differentiation formula with off-step points. The parameters $v m$ and $v m-1$ provide grid collocation points $x_{n+v m}, x_{n+v m-1}$, in the open interval $\left(x_{n}, x_{n+k}\right)$, (Gear, 1965).

Derivation of proposed hybrid method 1: In order to obtain (4), we proceed by seeking the approximate solutions of the exact solution of (1) by assuming a continuous solution $y(x)$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{k+4} b_{j} \varphi^{j}(x) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x \in\left[x_{0}, \mathrm{x}_{N}\right], b_{j}, j=1(1) k+4$ are unknown coefficients and $\varphi^{j}(x)$ are polynomial basis function of degree $k+4$. We take first and second derivatives of (7) and obtained

$$
\begin{align*}
& y^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{k+4} j b_{j} \varphi^{j-1}  \tag{8}\\
& y^{\prime \prime}(x)=\sum_{j=2}^{k+4} j(j-1) b_{j} \varphi^{j-2} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Collocating (7) at $x_{n+k-1}$ and interpolating (8) and (9) at $x_{n+j}, j=0(1) k, x_{n+v m}$ and $x_{n+v m-1}$ to obtain a system of equations through which the coefficients are obtained. The equations are obtained for each step number k . Now for step number $k=1$ is as follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{0}=y_{n} \\
a_{1}=f_{n} \\
a_{1}+3 h a_{2}+\frac{27 h^{2} a_{3}}{4}+\frac{27 h^{3} a_{4}}{2}+\frac{405 h^{4} a_{5}}{16}=f_{\frac{3}{2}+n} \\
a_{1}+2 h a_{2}+3 h^{2} a_{3}+4 h^{3} a_{4}+5 h^{4} a_{5}=f_{1+n} \\
2 a_{2}+3 h a_{3}+3 h^{2} a_{4}+\frac{5 h^{3} a_{5}}{2}=f_{\frac{1}{2}+n}^{\prime} \\
2 a_{2}+6 h a_{3}+12 h^{2} a_{4}+20 h^{3} a_{5}=f_{1+n}^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

where the hybrid parameters are obtained as
$v m=\frac{2+1}{2}$ and $v m-1=\frac{2-1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}$

Solving with MATHEMATICA 10.0 software, we obtain the coefficients as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{0}=y_{n}, a_{1}=f_{n}, a_{2}=-\frac{6 f_{n}-6 f_{1+n}+4 h f^{\prime} \frac{1}{2}+n}{}+h f^{\prime}{ }_{1+n} \\
& 2 h
\end{aligned}, a_{4}=-\frac{-101 f_{n}+117 f_{1+n}-16 f_{\frac{3}{2}+n}-96 h f^{\prime}{ }_{\frac{1}{2}+n}+3 h f^{\prime}{ }_{1+n}}{27 h^{2}}, \begin{aligned}
& a_{3}=-\frac{4\left(5 f_{n}-9 f_{1+n}+4 f_{\frac{3}{2}+n}+6 h f^{\prime}{ }_{\frac{1}{2}+n}-3 h f_{1+n}{ }_{1+n}\right)}{45 h^{4}} \\
& a_{5}=\frac{9 f_{\frac{3}{2}+n}+21 h f^{\prime}{ }_{\frac{1}{2}+n}{ }^{-6 h f^{\prime}{ }_{1+n}}}{9 h^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We now obtain the method for $\mathrm{k}=1$
$y_{n+1}=y_{n}+h\left(\frac{2 f_{n}}{27}+\frac{13 f_{n+1}}{15}+\frac{8 f_{n+\frac{3}{2}}}{135}\right)+h^{2}\left(\frac{-11 f_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^{\prime}}{45}-\frac{19 f_{n+1}^{\prime}}{90}\right)$
with the error constant as $c_{6}=\frac{-13}{86400}$, and order $p=5$
For k=2
We obtained the system of equations with the hybrid parameters as

$$
\begin{gathered}
v m=\frac{4+1}{2}=\frac{5}{2} \text { and } v m-1=\frac{4-1}{2}=\frac{3}{2} \\
a_{0}+h a_{1}+h^{2} a_{2}+h^{3} a_{3}+h^{4} a_{4}+h^{5} a_{5}+h^{6} a_{6}=y_{1+n} \\
a_{1}=f_{n}, \\
a_{1}+2 h a_{2}+3 h^{2} a_{3}+4 h^{3} a_{4}+5 h^{4} a_{5}+6 h^{5} a_{6}=f_{1+n} \\
a_{1}+2 h a_{2}+3 h^{2} a_{3}+4 h^{3} a_{4}+5 h^{4} a_{5}+6 h^{5} a_{6}=f_{1+n} \\
a_{1}+4 h a_{2}+12 h^{2} a_{3}+32 h^{3} a_{4}+80 h^{4} a_{5}+192 h^{5} a_{6}=f_{2+n} \\
a_{1}+5 h a_{2}+\frac{75 h^{2} a_{3}}{4}+\frac{125 h^{3} a_{4}}{2}+\frac{3125 h^{4} a_{5}}{16}+\frac{9375 h^{5} a_{6}}{16}=f_{\frac{5}{2}+n} \\
2 a_{2}+9 h a_{3}+27 h^{2} a_{4}+\frac{135 h^{3} a_{5}}{2}+\frac{1215 h^{4} a_{6}}{8}=f_{\frac{3}{2}+n}^{\prime} \\
2 a_{2}+12 h a_{3}+48 h^{2} a_{4}+160 h^{3} a_{5}+480 h^{4} a_{6}=f_{2+n}^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

Solving in similar manner as in $\mathrm{k}=1$ we obtain the coefficients as

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{0}=\frac{1}{25200}( & -6249 h f_{n}-78940 h f_{1+n}+61845 h f_{2+n}-1856 h f_{\frac{5}{2}+n}+25200 y_{1+n} \\
& \left.-45600 h^{2} f_{\frac{3}{2}+n}^{\prime}-7110 h^{2} f^{\prime}{ }_{2+n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{1}=f_{n} \\
a_{2}=-\frac{114 f_{n}-1100 f_{1+n}+1050 f_{2+n}-64 f_{\frac{5}{2}+n}-800 h f^{\prime} \frac{3}{2}+n}{70 h}-75 h f^{\prime}{ }_{2+n} \\
a_{3}=-\frac{-345 f_{n}+5776 f_{1+n}-5943 f_{2+n}+512 f_{\frac{5}{2}+n}+4608 h f^{\prime} \frac{3}{2}+n}{252 h^{2}}+222 h f^{\prime}{ }_{2+n} \\
a_{4}=-\frac{1053 f_{n}-23140 f_{1+n}+25095 f_{2+n}-3008 f_{\frac{5}{2}+n}-19680 h f^{\prime} \frac{3}{2}+n}{1680 h^{3}}+150 h f^{\prime}{ }_{2+n} \\
a_{5}=-\frac{2\left(-39 f_{n}+1010 f_{1+n}-1155 f_{2+n}+184 f_{\frac{5}{2}+n}+900 h f^{\prime} \frac{3}{2}+n\right.}{\left.525 h^{4}-60 h f^{\prime}{ }_{2+n}\right)} \\
a_{6}=-\frac{9 f_{n}-260 f_{1+n}+315 f_{2+n}-64 f_{\frac{5}{2}+n}-240 h f^{\prime}{ }_{\frac{3}{2}+n}+30 h f^{\prime}{ }_{2+n}}{630 h^{5}}
\end{gathered}
$$

We obtain the method for $\mathrm{k}=2$ as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+2}=y_{n+1}+h\left(\frac{13 f_{n}}{8400}+\frac{37 f_{n+1}}{1260}+\frac{221 f_{n+2}}{240}+\frac{76 f_{n+\frac{5}{2}}}{1575}\right)+h^{2}\left(\frac{-2 f_{n+\frac{3}{2}}^{\prime}}{7}-\frac{173 f_{n+2}^{\prime}}{840}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

With error constant

$$
c_{7}=\frac{-67}{1209600} \text { and order } p=6
$$

We therefore generalized the nth step number to a matrix of system of difference equation to give

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & x_{n} & x_{n}^{2} & \cdot & \cdot & x_{n}^{k+4}  \tag{12}\\
0 & 1 & 2 x_{n} & \cdot & \cdot & (k+4) x_{n}^{k+3} \\
0 & 1 & 2 x_{n+v m} & \cdot & \cdot & (k+4) x_{n+v m}^{k+2} \\
\cdot & 1 & \cdot & & & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot \\
0 & 1 & 2 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & (k+3)(k+4)_{n+1}^{k+2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{0} \\
a_{1} \\
a_{2} \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
a_{k+4}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
y_{n} \\
f_{n} \\
f_{n+v m} \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
f^{\prime}{ }_{n+k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Solving equation (12) with Mathematica 10.0 we obtain other members of the family of methods in (4).
4.1.2 Derivation of hybrid predictor 1 for method 1: If the solution of the formula (4) at the point $x_{n+v m}$ is given as the polynomial interpolant

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(x_{n+v m}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} c_{j} x^{j} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(c_{j}\right)_{j=0}^{k+1}, j=0(1) \mathrm{k}+1$ the unknown coefficients to be determined, $x^{j}$ is the polynomial basis function. The second derivative of (12) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n+v m}\right)=\sum_{j=2}^{k+1} j(j-1) c_{j} x^{j-2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Collocating at point $x_{n+v m}$ and points $x_{n+j}, j=0(1) \mathrm{k}$ and interpolating at points
$x_{n+v m}$ and $x_{n+k}$ to obtain a system of equation for each value of k
Now, let us consider for $k=1$, we have the system of equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{0}=y_{n} \\
a_{0}+h a_{1}+h^{2} a_{2}+h^{3} a_{3}=y_{1+n} \\
a_{1}+2 h a_{2}+3 h^{2} a_{3}=f_{1+n} \\
2 a_{2}+6 h a_{3}={f^{\prime}}_{1+n}
\end{gathered}
$$

Solving with the Mathematica 10.0 to obtain the values as

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{0}=y_{n} \\
a_{1}=-\frac{4 h f_{1+n}+6 y_{n}-6 y_{1+n}-h^{2} f_{1+n}^{\prime}}{2 h} \\
a_{2}=-\frac{-3 h f_{1+n}-3 y_{n}+3 y_{1+n}+h^{2} f_{1+n}^{\prime}}{h^{2}} \\
a_{3}=-\frac{2 h f_{1+n}+2 y_{n}-2 y_{1+n}-h^{2} f_{1+n}^{\prime}}{2 h^{3}}
\end{gathered}
$$

With this we obtain the hybrid formula as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+\frac{3}{2}}=\frac{3 h f_{n+1}}{8}-\frac{y_{n}}{8}+\frac{9 y_{n+1}}{8}+\frac{3 h^{2} f_{n+1}^{\prime}}{16} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

of order $p^{*}=3$ and error constant

$$
c_{4}=\frac{1}{128}
$$

For $k=2, v m=\frac{5}{2}$
We have the system of equations as

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{0}=y_{n} \\
a_{0}+h a_{1}+h^{2} a_{2}+h^{3} a_{3}=y_{1+n} \\
a_{0}+2 h a_{1}+4 h^{2} a_{2}+8 h^{3} a_{3}=y_{2+n} \\
2 a_{2}+12 h a_{3}=f_{2+n}^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

Solving to obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{0}=y_{n} \\
a_{1}=-\frac{11 y_{n}-16 y_{1+n}+5 y_{2+n}-2 h^{2} f_{2+n}^{\prime}}{6 h} \\
a_{2}=-\frac{-2 y_{n}+4 y_{1+n}-2 y_{2+n}+h^{2} f_{2+n}^{\prime}}{2 h^{2}} \\
a_{3}=-\frac{y_{n}-2 y_{1+n}+y_{2+n}-h^{2} f^{\prime}{ }_{2+n}}{6 h^{3}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Through these parameters, we now obtain the method of order $p^{*}=4$ and error constant $c_{5}=1 / 256$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+\frac{5}{2}}=\frac{15 h f_{n+2}}{64}+\frac{3 y_{n}}{128}-\frac{5 y_{n+1}}{16}+\frac{165 y_{n+2}}{128}+\frac{15 h^{2} f_{n+2}^{\prime}}{64} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Continuing in this form other values for k are obtained.
Derivation of hybrid predictor 2 for method 1: The hybrid predictor methods in (6) are obtained following similar approach as in (5). we obtain the methods and error constants as follows

For $k=1, v m-1=\frac{1}{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}=\frac{y_{n}}{2}+\frac{y_{n+1}}{2}-\frac{h^{2} f_{n+1}^{\prime}}{8}, c_{3}=\frac{1}{6} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\mathrm{k}=2, \quad v m-1=\frac{3}{2}$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y_{n+\frac{3}{2}}=-\frac{y_{n}}{16}+\frac{5 y_{1+n}}{8}+\frac{7 y_{2+n}}{16}-\frac{1}{16} h^{2} f_{2+n}^{\prime} \\
c_{4}=\frac{7}{384} \tag{18}
\end{array}
$$

For $k=3$
We have the method and the predictors as
$y_{n+3}=y_{n+2}+h\left(-\frac{67 f_{n}}{219240}+\frac{26 f_{n+1}}{5075}-\frac{1807 f_{n+2}}{73080}+\frac{3827 f_{n+3}}{3915}+\frac{1936 f_{n+\frac{7}{2}}}{45675}\right)+h^{2}\left(-\frac{1004 f_{n+\frac{5}{2}}^{\prime}}{3045}-\frac{7550 f_{n+3}^{\prime}}{36540}\right)$
of order $p=7$ and error constant $c_{8}=-\frac{881}{35078400}$
and the hybrid formulas as
$y_{n+\frac{5}{2}}=\frac{7 y_{n}}{352}-\frac{25 y_{n+1}}{176}+\frac{255 y_{n+2}}{352}+\frac{35 y_{n+3}}{88}-\frac{15}{352} h^{2} f^{\prime}{ }_{n+3}$
$p^{*}=4$ and error constant $c_{5}=\frac{23}{2816}$
$y_{n+\frac{7}{2}}=\frac{35}{384} h f_{n+3}-\frac{5 y_{n}}{576}+\frac{21 y_{n+1}}{256}-\frac{35 y_{n+2}}{64}+\frac{3395 y_{n+3}}{2304}+\frac{35}{128} h^{2} f^{\prime}{ }_{n+3}$
$p^{* *}=5$ and error constant $c_{6}=\frac{7}{3072}$
For $k=4$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{n+4}=y_{n+3}+h\left(\frac{881 f_{n}}{8968320}-\frac{853 f_{n+1}}{653940}+\frac{2141 f_{n+3}}{653940}+\frac{3103457 f_{n+4}}{2989440}+\frac{19136 f_{n+\frac{9}{2}}}{490455}\right)+ \\
& h^{2}\left(-\frac{456 f_{n+\frac{7}{2}}^{\prime}}{1211}-\frac{73723 f_{n+4}^{\prime}}{348768}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

of order $p=7$ and error constant $c_{8}=\frac{-116411}{8788953600}$
With hybrid formulas

$$
y_{n+\frac{7}{2}}=-\frac{23 y_{n}}{2560}+\frac{21 y_{1+n}}{320}-\frac{301 y_{2+n}}{1280}+\frac{259 y_{3+n}}{320}+\frac{189 y_{4+n}}{512}-\frac{21}{640} h^{2} f_{4+n}^{\prime}
$$

of order $p^{*}=5$ and error constant $c_{6}=\frac{343}{76800}$

$$
y_{n+\frac{9}{2}}=-\frac{105 h f_{4+n}}{2048}+\frac{35 y_{n}}{8192}-\frac{5 y_{1+n}}{128}+\frac{189 y_{2+n}}{1024}-\frac{105 y_{3+n}}{128}+\frac{13685 y_{4+n}}{8192}+\frac{315 h^{2} f_{4+n}^{\prime}}{1024}
$$

of order $p^{* *}=6$ and error constant $c_{7}=\frac{3}{2048}$
Method for $k=5$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{n + 5}}=h(- & \frac{116411 f_{n}}{2800413000}+\frac{36467 f_{1+n}}{69828480}-\frac{61937 f_{2+n}}{17820810}+\frac{4024217 f_{3+n}}{203666400}-\frac{1612007 f_{4+n}}{10183320} \\
& \left.+\frac{4498911341 f_{5+n}}{4073328000}+\frac{54477824 f_{\frac{11}{2}+n}}{1470216825}\right)+y_{4+n}+h^{2}\left(-\frac{181304 f^{\prime} \frac{9}{2}+n}{424305}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{14837593 f_{5+n}^{\prime}}{67888800}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

of order $p=9$
With hybrid formulas as

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n+\frac{9}{2}}=\frac{343 y_{n}}{70144} & -\frac{5445 y_{1+n}}{140288}+\frac{4977 y_{2+n}}{35072}-\frac{23835 y_{3+n}}{70144}+\frac{62055 y_{4+n}}{70144}+\frac{48699 y_{5+n}}{140288} \\
& -\frac{945 h^{2} f^{\prime}{ }_{5+n}}{35072} \tag{771}
\end{align*}
$$

of order $p^{*}=6$ and error constant $c_{7}=\frac{771}{280576}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{n+\frac{11}{2}}=-\frac{3927 h f_{5+n}}{20480}-\frac{63 y_{n}}{25600}+\frac{385 y_{1+n}}{16384}-\frac{55 y_{2+n}}{512}+\frac{693 y_{3+n}}{2048}-\frac{1155 y_{4+n}}{1024}+\frac{768383 y_{5+n}}{409600} \\
& \quad+\frac{693 h^{2} f_{5+n}^{\prime}}{2048}
\end{aligned}
$$

of order $p^{* *}=7$ and error constant $c_{8}=\frac{33}{32768}$

TABLE 3: Discrete Coefficients of the method (4)

| $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\beta_{0}$ | $\frac{2}{27}$ | $\frac{13}{1260}$ | $\frac{-67}{219240}$ | $\frac{881}{8968320}$ | $\frac{116411}{2800413000}$ |
| $\beta_{1}$ | $\frac{13}{15}$ | $\frac{37}{1260}$ | $\frac{26}{5075}$ | $\frac{853}{653940}$ | $\frac{3647}{69828480}$ |
| $\beta_{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{221}{240}$ | $\frac{180}{73080}$ | $\frac{2141}{653940}$ | $\frac{61937}{17820810}$ |
| $\beta_{3}$ | 0 | 0 | $\frac{382}{3915}$ | $\frac{19136}{490455}$ | $\frac{4024217}{203666400}$ |
| $\beta_{4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1234}{480440}$ | $\frac{-1612007}{10183320}$ |
| $\beta_{5}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{4498911341}{4073328000}$ |
| $\lambda_{v m}$ | $\frac{8}{135}$ | $\frac{76}{1575}$ | $\frac{1936}{45675}$ | $\frac{19136}{490455}$ | $\frac{54477824}{1470216825}$ |
| $\lambda_{v m-1}$ | $\frac{-11}{45}$ | $\frac{-2}{7}$ | $\frac{1004}{3045}$ | $-\frac{456}{1211}$ | $\frac{181304}{424305}$ |
| $\lambda_{k}$ | $\frac{19}{90}$ | $\frac{173}{840}$ | $\frac{7850}{36540}$ | $\frac{-73723}{345768}$ | $\frac{14837593}{67888800}$ |

TABLE 4: Discrete Coefficients of the Predictor (5)

| $k$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\alpha_{0}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{1}{16}$ | $\frac{7}{352}$ | $\frac{23}{2560}$ | $\frac{343}{70144}$ |
| $\alpha_{1}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{5}{8}$ | $\frac{25}{176}$ | $\frac{21}{320}$ | $\frac{5445}{140288}$ |
| $\alpha_{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{7}{16}$ | $\frac{255}{352}$ | $\frac{301}{1280}$ | $\frac{4977}{35072}$ |
| $\alpha_{3}$ | 0 | 0 | $\frac{35}{88}$ | $\frac{259}{320}$ | $-\frac{23835}{70144}$ |
| $\alpha_{4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{189}{512}$ | $\frac{62055}{70144}$ |
| $\alpha_{5}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{48699}{140288}$ |
| $\lambda^{\prime}$ | $\frac{-1}{8}$ | $-\frac{1}{16}$ | $-\frac{15}{352}$ | $-\frac{21}{640}$ | $\frac{945}{35072}$ |

## Derivation of proposed hybrid Method 2

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+k}=\alpha_{k-1} y_{n+k-1}+h \sum_{j=0}^{k} \varsigma_{j} f_{n+j}+h \lambda f_{n+\frac{1}{2}}+h^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \sigma_{j} f^{\prime}{ }_{n+k} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

of order $p_{1}{ }^{*}=2 k+3$
With predictor

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}=\sum_{j=0}^{k} \eta_{j} y_{n+j}+h \lambda_{k} f_{n+k}+h^{2} \zeta_{k} f_{n+k} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

of order $p^{* *}=k+2$
The coefficients $\left[\varsigma_{j}\right]_{j=0}^{k}, \lambda,\left[\sigma_{j}\right]_{j=0}^{k}, \alpha_{k-1}$ are to be determined. We normalized $\alpha_{k-1}=1$. The methods (18) have only one off-step point with a fixed parameter at $x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ for stability and for each value of $k$. This method differs from the methods (4) since it has only one fixed hybrid predictor unlike the latter has two off-step points with variable hybrid parameters. Interpolation and collocation approach is adopted in its derivation as in methods (4) above. We obtain the constant parameters of the methods for $\mathrm{k}=1$ below:

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{0}=y_{n} \\
a_{1}=f_{n} \\
a_{2}=\frac{f^{\prime}{ }_{n}}{2} \\
a_{3}=-\frac{11 f_{n}-16 f_{\frac{1}{2}+n}+5 f_{1+n}+4 h f^{\prime}{ }_{n}-h f^{\prime}{ }_{1+n}}{3 h^{2}} \\
a_{4}=-\frac{-18 f_{n}+32 f_{\frac{1}{2}+n}-14 f_{1+n}-5 h f^{\prime}{ }_{n}+3 h f^{\prime}{ }_{1+n}}{4 h^{3}} \\
a_{5}=-\frac{2\left(4 f_{n}-8 f_{\frac{1}{2}+n}+4 f_{1+n}+h f^{\prime}{ }_{n}-h f^{\prime}{ }_{1+n}\right)}{5 h^{4}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Member of family of methods in (56) and predictor for $k=1$ are as follows;

$$
y_{n+1}=h\left(\frac{7 f_{n}}{30}+\frac{8}{15} f_{\frac{1}{2}+n}+\frac{7 f_{1+n}}{30}\right)+y_{n}+h^{2}\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\prime}}{60}-\frac{f_{1+n}^{\prime}}{60}\right)
$$

of order $p_{1}{ }_{1}=5$ and error constant $c_{6}=\frac{1}{604800}$
With hybrid point

$$
\frac{h}{2}+y_{n}=\frac{3 h f_{n}}{16}+\frac{11 y_{n}}{16}+\frac{5 y_{1+n}}{16}-\frac{1}{32} h^{2} f_{1+n}^{\prime}
$$

of order $p^{* *}=3$
For $\mathrm{k}=2$ we have the method and its predictor as

$$
y_{n+2}=y_{n+1}+h\left(-\frac{81 f_{n}}{560}+\frac{512}{945} f_{n+\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{8 f_{n+1}}{35}+\frac{5659 f_{n+2}}{15120}\right)+h^{2}\left(-\frac{43 f_{n}^{\prime}}{1680}+\frac{67 f_{n+1}^{\prime}}{210}-\frac{209 f_{n+2}^{\prime}}{5040}\right) \text { of }
$$

order 7 and error constant $c_{8}=\frac{67}{4233600}$
With the predictor

$$
\frac{h}{2}+y_{n}=\frac{39}{64} h f_{2+n}+\frac{27 y_{n}}{128}+\frac{27 y_{1+n}}{16}-\frac{115 y_{2+n}}{128}-\frac{9}{64} h^{2} f_{2+n}^{\prime}
$$

of order 4 and error constant $c_{5}=\frac{9}{1280}$
Method for $k=3$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{n+3}=y_{n+2}+h\left(-\frac{36613 f_{n}}{272160}+\frac{4006 f_{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{70875}-\frac{167 f_{n+1}}{1440}+\frac{5947 f_{n+2}}{18144}+\frac{2432131 f_{n+3}}{6804000}\right)+ \\
& h^{3}\left(-\frac{403 f_{n}^{\prime}}{18144}+\frac{473 f_{n+1}^{\prime}}{1440}+\frac{10163 f_{n+2}^{\prime}}{30240}-\frac{16741 f_{n+3}^{\prime}}{453600}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

of order 9 and error constant
$c_{10}=\frac{649}{228614400}$
With hybrid predictor

$$
\frac{h}{2}+y_{n}=-\frac{335}{384} h f_{3+n}+\frac{125 y_{n}}{576}+\frac{375 y_{1+n}}{256}-\frac{125 y_{2+n}}{64}+\frac{2929 y_{3+n}}{2304}+\frac{25}{128} h^{2} f_{3+n}^{\prime}
$$

of order 5 and error constant $c_{6}=-\frac{25}{3072}$
Method for $k=4$
$y_{n+4}=y_{n+3}+h\left(-\frac{1955783 f_{n}}{15966720}+\frac{4513792 f_{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{7640325}+\frac{1097 f_{n+2}}{47520}-\frac{909679 f_{n+3}}{4989600}+\frac{8048951 f_{n+4}}{23708160}\right)+$
$h^{2}\left(-\frac{1873 f_{n}^{\prime}}{98560}+\frac{28067 f_{n+1}^{\prime}}{66528}+\frac{344719 f^{\prime}{ }_{n+2}}{665280}+\frac{3941 f^{\prime}{ }_{n+3}}{9504}-\frac{609869 f_{n+4}^{\prime}}{18627840}\right)$
of order 11 and error constant $c_{12}=\frac{36343}{73766246400}$
With hybrid predictor

$$
\frac{h}{2}+y_{n}=\frac{6965 h f_{4+n}}{6144}+\frac{1715 y_{n}}{8192}+\frac{1715 y_{1+n}}{1152}-\frac{1715 y_{2+n}}{1024}+\frac{343 y_{3+n}}{128}-\frac{125555 y_{4+n}}{73728}-\frac{245 h^{2} f_{4+n}^{\prime}}{1024}
$$

of order 6 and error constant $c_{7}=\frac{49}{6144}$
Method for $k=5$

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{n+5}=h(- & \frac{8328829 f_{n}}{67567500}+\frac{9048948736 f_{\frac{1}{2}+n}}{13408770375}+\frac{172675 f_{1+n}}{648648}+\frac{2036 f_{2+n}}{4455}+\frac{71156 f_{3+n}}{3378375} \\
& \left.+\frac{29962403 f_{4+n}}{79459380}+\frac{3579881423 f_{5+n}}{10945935000}\right)+y_{4+n} \\
& +h^{2}\left(-\frac{122671 f_{n}^{\prime}}{6756750}+\frac{1003 f_{1+n}^{\prime}}{1716}+\frac{445646 f_{2+n}^{\prime}}{405405}+\frac{804472 f_{3+n}^{\prime}}{675675}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{850151 f_{4+n}^{\prime}}{1891890}-\frac{3649783 f_{5+n}^{\prime}}{121621500}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

of order 13 and error constant $c_{14}=\frac{17317}{18261482250}$
With hybrid

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{h}{2}+y_{n}=-\frac{28413 h f_{5+n}}{20480}+\frac{5103 y_{n}}{25600}+\frac{25515 y_{1+n}}{16384}-\frac{945 y_{2+n}}{512}+\frac{5103 y_{3+n}}{2048}-\frac{3645 y_{4+n}}{1024} \\
+\frac{883477 y_{5+n}}{409600}+\frac{567 h^{2} f_{5+n}^{\prime}}{2048}
\end{gathered}
$$

of order 7 and error constant $c_{8}=\frac{-243}{32768}$
Zero-stability of the proposed methods: Given the first hybrid method as in equation (4)

$$
y_{n+k}=y_{n+k-1}+h\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_{j} f_{n+j}+\eta_{v m} f_{n+v m}\right)+h^{2}\left(\lambda_{v m-1} f_{n+v m-1}^{\prime}+\lambda_{k} f_{n+k}^{\prime}\right)
$$

With members for different k values are as follows:
For $\boldsymbol{k}=2$

$$
y_{n+2}=y_{n+1}+h\left(\frac{13 f_{n}}{8400}+\frac{37 f_{n+1}}{1260}+\frac{221 f_{n+2}}{240}+\frac{76 f_{n+\frac{5}{2}}}{1575}\right)+h^{2}\left(\frac{-2 f_{n+\frac{3}{2}}^{\prime}}{7}-\frac{173 f_{n+2}^{\prime}}{840}\right)
$$

The first characteristic polynomial can be obtain by applying the shift operator to obtain

$$
\boldsymbol{P}(\boldsymbol{r})=\boldsymbol{r}^{2}-\boldsymbol{r}
$$

Solving to obtain
$0=\boldsymbol{r}^{2}-\boldsymbol{r}$
$\Rightarrow \boldsymbol{r}(\boldsymbol{r}-1)=0$
$\boldsymbol{r}=0 \boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{r} \boldsymbol{r}=1$
Hence, the method is Zero-stable since a root lie inside the unit disc and a unit root on the disc.
Given the hybrid method 2 for $\boldsymbol{k}=2$
$y_{n+2}=y_{n+1}+h\left(-\frac{81 f_{n}}{560}+\frac{512}{945} f_{n+\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{8 f_{n+1}}{35}+\frac{5659 f_{n+2}}{15120}\right)+h^{2}\left(-\frac{43 f_{n}^{\prime}}{1680}+\frac{67 f_{n+1}^{\prime}}{210}-\frac{209 f^{\prime}{ }_{n+2}}{5040}\right)$
Taking the first characteristics polynomial
$\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{n}+2}-\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{n}+1}=0$
$\boldsymbol{r}^{2} \boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{n}}-\boldsymbol{r} \boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{n}}=0$
$\boldsymbol{r}^{2}-\boldsymbol{r}=0$
$\boldsymbol{r}=0, \boldsymbol{r}=1$
The hybrid method 2 is also zero-stable.
Stability structure and error constant of the proposed hybrid method: In this section, we shall investigate the stability properties of the hybrid linear multistep methods 1 and 2 for fixed value k . The resulting schemes are applied on the scalar test problem $\boldsymbol{y}^{\prime}=\lambda \boldsymbol{y}$ to obtain the stability polynomials. From (4) and (7), we can deduce the stability of the proposed hybrid methods as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{R}(z)=\boldsymbol{r}^{k}-\boldsymbol{r}^{k-1}-z\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_{j} \boldsymbol{r}^{j}+z \lambda_{\boldsymbol{k}} \boldsymbol{r}^{\boldsymbol{k}}\right)-z \eta_{v \boldsymbol{m}} \boldsymbol{R}(z v \boldsymbol{m})-z^{2} \lambda_{v m-1} \boldsymbol{R}(z v \boldsymbol{n}-1) \\
& R(z v n-1)=\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_{j} r^{j}+z^{2} \lambda^{\prime}{ }_{k} r^{k}\right) \\
& \boldsymbol{R}(\boldsymbol{z v m})=\sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_{j} \boldsymbol{r}^{j}+z \beta_{k} \boldsymbol{r}^{k}+z^{2} \boldsymbol{r}^{k} \lambda^{\prime \prime}{ }_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the stability polynomials we now investigate the $\boldsymbol{A}$-stability and $\boldsymbol{A}(\alpha)$-stability of the proposed methods.

Stability structure of the proposed hybrid method 1
Adopting the boundary locus techniques, the stability plots of method 1 are shown below.


FIG. 1: Parametric plot for $\boldsymbol{k}=1(\operatorname{method} 1)$


FIG. 2: Parametric plot for $k=2(\operatorname{method} 1)$


FIG. 3: Parametric plot for $\boldsymbol{k}=3(\operatorname{method} 1)$


FIG. 4: Parametric plot for $\boldsymbol{k}=4(\operatorname{method} 1)$


FIG. 5: Parametric plot for $k=5(\operatorname{method} 1)$

From the plots above, it is seen that the method1 is A-stable for step number k=1 to 2 and $\boldsymbol{A}(\alpha)-$ stable for step number 3 and 4. The method becomes unstable for step-number 5 and above.

Stability structure of the proposed hybrid method 2: From equation (56) we derived the general stability polynomial as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{R}_{2}(z)=\boldsymbol{r}^{k}-\boldsymbol{r}^{k-1}-z \sum_{j=0}^{k} c_{j} \boldsymbol{r}^{j}-z_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{R}\left(\frac{I}{2} z\right)+z^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \sigma_{j} \boldsymbol{r}^{j} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\boldsymbol{R}\left(\frac{I}{2} z\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{k} \eta_{j} \boldsymbol{r}^{j}+z \lambda_{k} \boldsymbol{r}^{k}+z^{2} \gamma_{k} \boldsymbol{r}^{k}
$$

From the stability polynomials we now investigate the $\boldsymbol{A}$-stability and $\boldsymbol{A}(\alpha)$-stability of the proposed hybrid method 2.

Adopting the boundary locus techniques, the stability plots of method 2 are shown below.


FIG. 6: Parametric plot for $\boldsymbol{k}=1(\operatorname{method} 2)$


FIG. 7: Parametric plot for $\boldsymbol{k}=2(\operatorname{method} 2)$


FIG. 8: Parametric plot for $\boldsymbol{k}=3(\operatorname{method} 2)$


FIG. 9: Parametric plot for $k=4(\operatorname{method} 2)$


FIG. 10: Parametric plot for $k=5(\operatorname{method} 2)$

STABLE LINEAR MULTISTEP METHODS WITH OFF-STEP POINTS


FIG. 11: Parametric plot for $k=6(\operatorname{method} 2)$
From the plots above, it is seen that the method is A-stable for step number $\mathrm{k}=1$ to 3 and $\boldsymbol{A}(\alpha)-$ stable for step number 4 to 6 . The method becomes unstable for step-number 7 and above. These methods are strong enough to be used for stiff differential equations.

### 4.3.3 Error constants of the proposed hybrid methods

Consider the operator,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L\left(y\left(x_{n}, h\right)\right)=y\left(x_{n}+k h\right)-y\left(x_{n}+(k-1) h\right)- \\
& h \sum_{j=0}^{k} \varsigma_{j} y^{\prime}\left(x_{n}+j h\right)-h \lambda_{n+\frac{1}{2}} y^{\prime}\left(x_{n}+\frac{1}{2} h\right)-h^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \sigma_{j} y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}+k h\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We expand term by term in Taylor series about $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ and obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y\left(x_{n}\right)+k h y^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+(k h)^{2} \frac{y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}{2!}+\ldots+\frac{(k h)^{p} y^{p}\left(x_{n}\right)}{p!}+\ldots \\
& -\left(y\left(x_{n}\right)+(k-1) h y^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+\frac{(k-1)^{2} h^{2} y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}{2!}+\ldots+\frac{(k-1)^{p} h^{p} y^{(p)}\left(\left(x_{n}\right)\right)}{p!} \ldots\right) \\
& -\left(h \sum_{j=0}^{k} \varsigma_{j} y^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+j h y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+\frac{(j h)^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}{2!}+\ldots+\frac{(j h)^{p} y^{p+1}\left(x_{n}\right)}{p!}+\ldots\right) \\
& -\boldsymbol{h} \lambda_{n+\frac{1}{2}}\left(y^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2} h y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+\frac{\frac{1}{4} h^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}{2!}+\ldots+\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} h\right)^{p} y^{(p+1)}\left(x_{n}\right)}{p!}\right)+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
-h^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \sigma_{j}\left(y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+k h y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+(k h)^{2} \frac{y^{i v}\left(x_{n}\right)}{2!}+\ldots+\frac{(k h)^{p} y^{(p+2)}\left(x_{n}\right)}{p!}+\ldots\right)
$$

Recall that

$$
L\left\{y\left(x_{n}\right) ; h\right\}=C_{0} y\left(x_{n}\right)+h C_{1} y^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+h^{2} C_{2} y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+\ldots+h^{p} C_{p} y^{p}\left(x_{n}\right)+O\left(h^{p+1}\right)
$$

Collecting terms in power of $h$ we obtain
$\boldsymbol{C}_{0}=0$
$C_{1}=1-\sum_{j=0}^{k} \varsigma_{j}-\lambda_{n+\frac{1}{2}}$
$\boldsymbol{C}_{2}=\frac{\boldsymbol{K}^{2}}{2!}-\frac{(\boldsymbol{K}-1)^{2}}{2!}-\left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{J}=0}^{\boldsymbol{K}} \varsigma_{j} \boldsymbol{j}+\sigma_{j} \boldsymbol{k}\right)-\frac{1}{2}$
-
-
$C_{p}=\frac{\boldsymbol{k}^{p}}{p!}-\frac{(\boldsymbol{k}-1)^{p}}{p!}-\frac{\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k} \varsigma_{j} \boldsymbol{j}^{p-1}+\sigma_{j} \boldsymbol{k}^{p-1}\right)}{(\boldsymbol{p}-1)!}$
$C_{p+1}=\frac{k^{p+1}}{p+1!}-\frac{(k-1)^{p+1}}{p+1!}-\frac{\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k} \varsigma_{j} j^{p}+\sigma_{j} k^{p}\right)}{(p)!}$
In similar manner, the error constant of the hybrid method 2 is obtain as
$\boldsymbol{c}_{p+1}=\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{p+1}}{p+1!}-\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{k} \eta_{j} j^{p+1}}{p+1!}-\frac{\lambda_{\boldsymbol{k}} \boldsymbol{k}^{p}}{\boldsymbol{p}!}-\frac{\boldsymbol{k}^{p-1}}{\boldsymbol{p}-1!}$

## 3. IMPLEMENTATION OF NUMERICAL SCHEME

The numerical implementation is carried out in fixed and variable step-sizes. The NewtonRalphson iterative method is adopted to resolve implicitness of the proposed method during the implementation.

We will consider three test problems for implementation with the proposed hybrid methods in this section.

## Problem 1

$y_{1}^{\prime}(x)=-\left(2+e^{-1}\right) y_{1}(x)+e^{-1} y_{2}^{2}(x), y_{1}(0)=1$
$y^{\prime}(x)=y_{1}(x)-y_{2}(x)-y_{2}^{2}(x), \quad y_{2}(0)=1$
$\boldsymbol{x} \in[0,1], \boldsymbol{h}=0.0001$
The exact solution is given as $\boldsymbol{y}_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})=\boldsymbol{e}^{-2 \boldsymbol{x}}$ and $\boldsymbol{y}_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})=\boldsymbol{e}^{-\boldsymbol{x}}$. This is a singular perturbed problem suggested in Rosenbrock (1981). These problems become stiff as $\boldsymbol{e} \rightarrow 0$. Applying the proposed hybrid method in (22) to the IVP above using Newton iterative scheme:
$\boldsymbol{Y}_{n+k}^{(\mu+1)}=\boldsymbol{y}_{n+k}^{(\mu)}-\boldsymbol{J}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{n+k}^{(\mu)}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{F}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{n}+\boldsymbol{k}}^{(\mu)}\right)$
In order to resolve the implicitness, where
$\boldsymbol{F}\left(y_{n+k}^{(\mu)}\right)=y_{n+k}^{(\mu)}-\alpha_{k-1} y_{n+k-1}-\boldsymbol{h} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_{j} f\left(x_{n+j}, \mathbf{y}_{n+j}\right)-h^{2} \lambda_{v m-1} f\left(x_{n+v m-1}, y_{n+v m-1}^{(\mu)}\right)-h^{2} \lambda_{k} f^{\prime}\left(x_{n+k}, y_{n+k}^{(\mu)}\right) \quad$ with
hybrid predictors
$\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{n}+v m-1}^{(\mu)}=\sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_{j} \boldsymbol{y}_{n+j}+\boldsymbol{h}^{2} \lambda^{\prime}{ }_{k} \boldsymbol{f}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}+\boldsymbol{k}}, \boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{n}+\boldsymbol{k}}^{(\mu)}\right) \quad$ and
$\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{n}+v \boldsymbol{m}}^{(\mu)}=\sum_{j=0}^{\boldsymbol{k}} \alpha^{\prime}{ }_{j} \boldsymbol{y}_{n+j}+\beta_{k} \boldsymbol{h f}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}+\boldsymbol{k}} \cdot \boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{n}+\boldsymbol{k}}^{(\mu)}\right)+\boldsymbol{h}^{2} \lambda^{\prime \prime}{ }_{k} f^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}+\boldsymbol{k}}, \boldsymbol{y}_{n+k}^{(\mu)}\right)$.
The Jacobian matrix is given as
$\boldsymbol{J}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{n}+\boldsymbol{k}}^{(\mu)}\right)=\left(\delta \boldsymbol{F}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{n}+\boldsymbol{k}}^{(\mu)}\right)\right) /\left(\delta \boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{n}+\boldsymbol{k}}^{(\mu)}\right)$.
We used the explicit Trapezoidal rule to generate the starting method. Result is tabulated below;

TABLE 5: Result of problem 1

|  | Proposed method 1 | Ode15s |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{e}$ | $\\|\boldsymbol{e}\\|_{2}$ | $\\|\boldsymbol{e}\\|_{2}$ |
| $10^{-1}$ | $4.3 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $10^{-2}$ | $4.3 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.0 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $10^{-3}$ | $4.4 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $10^{-4}$ | $4.4 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ |

## Problem 2

A stiff system of equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}^{\prime}(x)=-8 y_{1}(x)+7 y_{2}(x) \\
& y_{2}^{\prime}(x)=42 y_{1}(x)-43 y_{2}(x) \quad y(0)=\binom{1}{8}, \quad x \in[0,10] \\
& y_{1}(x)=2 e^{-x}-e^{-50 x} \\
& y_{2}(x)=2 e^{-x}+6 e^{-50 x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Implementing the problem with our proposed method for $\mathrm{k}=1$ in variable step-size techniques, we estimate the local error $\left\|\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{n}+1}^{(\boldsymbol{e})}-\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{n}+1}\right\|$ at each step and we controlled it by taking a new step-size as
$\boldsymbol{h}_{\text {new }}=\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{T O L}}{\left\|\boldsymbol{y}_{n+1}^{(e)}-\boldsymbol{y}_{n+1}\right\|}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \times \boldsymbol{h}_{\text {old }}$
where
$y_{n+1}^{(e)}=y_{n}+h\left(\frac{2 f_{n}}{27}+\frac{13 f_{n+1}}{15}+\frac{8 f_{n+\frac{3}{2}}}{135}\right)+h^{2}\left(\frac{-11 f_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^{\prime}}{45}-\frac{19 f_{n+1}^{\prime}}{90}\right), p=5$
With predictors
$y_{n+\frac{3}{2}}=\frac{3 h f_{n+1}}{8}-\frac{y_{n}}{8}+\frac{9 y_{n+1}}{8}+\frac{3 h^{2} f_{n+1}^{\prime}}{16}, \quad p=3$
$y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}=\frac{y_{n}}{2}+\frac{y_{n+1}}{2}-\frac{h^{2} f_{n+1}^{\prime}}{8}, \quad p=2$
If the local estimate is less than the tolerance i.e $\left\|\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{n}+1}^{(e)}-\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{n}+1}\right\| \leq \boldsymbol{T O L}$, we accept the current step.
We can now use $\left(^{(*)}\right.$ to predict the next step-size. But if $\left\|\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{n}+1}^{(\boldsymbol{e})}-\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{n}+1}\right\|>\boldsymbol{T O L}$, reject the step. The result of the above experiment is tabulated below:

TABLE 6: Result of problem 2

| Method | TOL | FC | FS | TS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SDMM $10^{-2}$ 96 12 73 <br> Proposed $10^{-2}$ 73 4 38 <br> method 1 $10^{-4}$ 284 14 132 <br> SDMM $10^{-4}$ 200 4 58 <br> Proposed <br> method 1 $10^{-6}$ 357 6 233 <br> SDMM <br> Proposed <br> method 1 $10^{-6}$ 270 4 140 |  |  |  |  |

## Problem 3

Consider the stiff system:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}^{\prime}=-y_{1}-30 y_{2}+30 e^{-x}, \\
& y^{\prime}{ }_{2}=30 y_{1}-y_{2}-30 e^{-x},
\end{aligned}
$$

With initial value $\boldsymbol{y}(0)=(1,1)^{\boldsymbol{T}}$ and exact solution $\boldsymbol{y}_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})=\boldsymbol{y}_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})=\boldsymbol{e}^{-\boldsymbol{x}}$. Using step-size $\boldsymbol{h}=0.002$, the numerical result is compared with the Hybrid Extended Backward Differentiation Formulas (HEBDF) for Stiff Systems proposed by Ezzzeddine \& Hojjatti (2011).the numerical solution is in the table below.

TABLE 7: Result of problem 3

| $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | Error in <br> HEBDF | Error in <br> method 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{0 . 0 4}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}_{1}$ | $4 \mathrm{E}-20$ | $4 \mathrm{E}-21$ |
|  | $\boldsymbol{y}_{2}$ | $1.81 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $1.33 \mathrm{E}-20$ |
| $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}_{1}$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-19$ | $2.33 \mathrm{E}-21$ |
|  | $\boldsymbol{y}_{2}$ | $6.2 \mathrm{E}-19$ | $7.1 \mathrm{E}-22$ |
| $\mathbf{2 . 0}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}_{1}$ | $2 \mathrm{E}-20$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-21$ |
|  | $\boldsymbol{y}_{2}$ | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-19$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-20$ |

## Problem 4.

Consider the nonlinear system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}^{\prime}=-1002 y_{1}-1000 y_{2}^{2} \\
& y_{2}^{\prime}=y_{1}-y_{2}\left(1+y_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

With initial value $\boldsymbol{y}(0)=(1,1)^{T}$, the theoretical solution is $\boldsymbol{y}_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})=\boldsymbol{e}^{-2 \boldsymbol{x}}, \boldsymbol{y}_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})=\boldsymbol{e}^{-\boldsymbol{x}}$ in the interval $[0,5]$. We integrate the system by our proposed methods with step-size $\boldsymbol{h}=0.005$ and obtain the following results:

TABLE 8: $\quad$ Result of problem 4

| $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | Error in <br> HEBDF | Error in our proposed <br> method 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{0 . 4}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}_{1}$ | $5.46 \mathrm{E}-17$ | $5.22 \mathrm{E}-17$ |
|  | $\boldsymbol{y}_{2}$ | $4.05 \mathrm{E}-17$ | $3.99 \mathrm{E}-17$ |
| $\mathbf{5 . 0}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}_{1}$ | $7.08 \mathrm{E}-20$ | $6.80 \mathrm{E}-20$ |
|  | $\boldsymbol{y}_{2}$ | $5.25 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $4.88 \mathrm{E}-19$ |

TABLE 9: Result of problem 4 in the interval $[0,30]$ with a step-size $h=0.01$

| $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | Exact Solution | Error in <br> HEBDF | Error in <br> method 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 0 . 0}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}_{1}$ | $2.0611536224385578280 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $3.88 \mathrm{E}-25$ | $3.66 \mathrm{E}-25$ |
|  | $\boldsymbol{y}_{2}$ | $4.539992972484851536 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $4.28 \mathrm{E}-21$ | $4.05 \mathrm{E}-22$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 . 0}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}_{1}$ | $4.2483542552915889953 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-33$ | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-34$ |
|  | $\boldsymbol{y}_{2}$ | $2.0611536224385578280 \mathrm{E}-27$ | $3.65 \mathrm{E}-25$ | $3.55 \mathrm{E}-25$ |
| $\mathbf{3 0 . 0}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}_{1}$ | $8.7565107626965203385 \mathrm{E}-27$ | $6.78 \mathrm{E}-32$ | $6.00 \mathrm{E}-32$ |
|  | $\boldsymbol{y}_{2}$ | $9.3576229688401746049 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $2.46 \mathrm{E}-29$ | $2.33 \mathrm{E}-29$ |

STABLE LINEAR MULTISTEP METHODS WITH OFF-STEP POINTS

## 4. Conclusion

This study is an extension and modification of linear multistep methods. The modification is done by introducing hybrid points and adding second derivative points to the conventional linear multistep methods. Two methods are derived each with hybrid collocation points. We employed the interpolation and collocation approach in the derivation using Mathematica software. The implementation is carried out in fixed and variable step-size. Mathematica and MATLAB programming software are used in the derivations and implementation of the two new classes of hybrid linear multistep methods with predictors. These methods have small error constants and are zero-stable and $A$-stable at higher orders which are important properties for numerical integrations.

The experimental results in table 5-9 show that the methods are competitive with other existing methods in literature.
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