

Available online at http://scik.org J. Math. Comput. Sci. 2022, 12:89 https://doi.org/10.28919/jmcs/6907 ISSN: 1927-5307

WOVEN K-G-FRAMES IN HILBERT C*-MODULES

HAMID FARAJ^{1,*}, MOHAMED DEROUICH² AND MOHAMED ROSSAFI³

¹Laboratory of Partial Differential Equations, Spectral Algebra and Geometry Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University Ibn Tofail, Kenitra, Morocco ²Laboratory Analysis, Geometry and Applications Department of Mathematics, Faculty Of Sciences, University of Ibn Tofail, Kenitra, Morocco ³LaSMA Laboratory Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences Dhar El Mahraz,

University Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah, B. P. 1796 Fes Atlas, Morocco

Copyright © 2022 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to introduce woven K-g-frames in Hilbert C^* -modules, to characterize them in term of atomic system for K, and to discuss the erasures and perturbations of weaving of K-g-frames in Hilbert C^* -modules.

Keywords: *K*-g-frames; woven *K*-g-frames; *C**-algebra; Hilbert *C**-modules.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 42C15, 46L06.

1. INTRODUCTION

As a generalization of bases in Hilbert spaces, frames were first introduced in 1952 by Duffin and Schaefer [2] in the study of nonharmonic fourier series. Frames possess many nice properties which make them very useful in wavelet analysis, irregular sampling theory, signal processing and many other fields.

^{*}Corresponding author

E-mail address: farajham19@gmail.com

Received October 18, 2021

The notion of weaving was recently proposed by Bemrose et al. [1] to simulate a question in distributed signal processing and wireless sensor networks.

K-g-frames, which are more general than ordinary g-frames, naturally have become one of the most active fields in frame theory in recent years. K-g-frames share many properties with g-frames, but they have their own properties, like the inversibility of frame operator of K-g-frames for more see [4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12].

Hilbert C^* -modules are generalization of Hilbert spaces in that they allow the inner product to take values in a C^* -algebra rather than the field of complex numbers. There are many differences between Hilbert C^* -modules and Hilbert spaces. For example, we know that any closed subspace in a Hilbert space has an orthogonal complement, but it is not true for Hilbert C^* -modules. And the Riesz representation theorem of continuous functionals in Hilbert C^* modules is invalid in general.

In this paper, we introduce the weaving of K-g-frames in Hilbert C^* -modules, we will characterize them in term of atomic system for K, and we will discuss the erasures and perturbations of weaving of K-g-frames in Hilbert C^* -modules.

A frame in a separable Hilbert space *H* is a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ for which there exist positive constants *A*, *B* > 0 such that:

$$A\|x\|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in I} |\langle x, x_i \rangle|^2 \leq B\|x\|^2,$$

for all $x \in H$. The constants A, B are respectively called lower and upper bounds. If A = B, it is called a tight frame and it is said to be a normalized tight or Parseval frame if A = B = 1. The collection $\{x_i\}_{i \in I} \subset H$ is called Bessel if the above second inequality holds. In this case, B is called the Bessel bound.

2. BACKGROUND MATERIAL

Let *I* and *J* be finite or countable index sets and let \mathbb{N} be the set of natural numbers. Throughout this paper, we assume that \mathscr{U} and \mathscr{V} are finitely or countably generated Hilbert *A*-modules, where A is a complex C^* -algebra with the norm $\|.\|_{\mathscr{A}}$, and $\{\mathscr{V}_i : i \in I\}$ is a sequence of closed Hilbert submodules of \mathscr{V} . $End^*_A(\mathscr{U}, \mathscr{V}_i)$ is the collection of all adjointable \mathscr{A} -linear maps from \mathscr{U} to \mathscr{V}_i and $End^*_A(\mathscr{U})$ is abbreviated for $End^*_A(\mathscr{U}, \mathscr{U})$. In this section, we recall the definitions of g-frames, K - g-frames in Hilbert C^* -modules and some lemmas which are needed later.

Definition 2.1. [5] A sequence $\{\Lambda_i \in End^*_A(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}_i), i \in I\}$ is called a *g*-frame or a generalized frame in *U* with respect to $\{\mathcal{V}_i : i \in I\}$ if there exist constants C; D > 0 such that for every $f \in \mathcal{U}$,

$$C\langle f,f\rangle \leq \sum_{i\in I} \langle \Lambda_i f,\Lambda_i f\rangle \leq D\langle f,f\rangle$$

Definition 2.2. [13] Let $K \in End_A^*(\mathcal{U})$, a sequence $\{\Lambda_i \in End_A^*(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}_i), i \in I\}$ is called a *K*-*g*-frame if there exist constants C; D > 0 such that for every $f \in \mathcal{U}$,

$$C\langle K^*f, K^*f \rangle \leq \sum_{i \in I} \langle \Lambda_i f, \Lambda_i f \rangle \leq D\langle f, f \rangle$$

Lemma 2.3. [3] Let U, V and W be Hilbert A-moduls, let $S \in End^*_A(W,V)$ and $T \in End^*_A(U,V)$ with $\overline{\mathscr{R}}(T^*)$ orthogonally complemented. The following statements are equivalent.

- (i) $SS^* \leq \lambda TT^*$ for some $\lambda > 0$
- (ii) There exists $\mu > 0$ such that $||S^*z|| \le \mu ||T^*z||$, $\forall z \in V$
- (iii) There exists a $D \in End^*_A(W, V)$ such that S = TD, i.e :TX = S has a solution.
- (iv) $\mathscr{R}(S) \subset \mathscr{R}(T)$

Lemma 2.4. [7] Let \mathscr{U} and \mathscr{V} be Hilbert A-modules over a C^* -algebra A, and let $T : \mathscr{U} \longrightarrow \mathscr{V}$ be a linear map. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1. The operator T is bounded and A-linear.
- 2. There exists $k \ge 0$ such that $\langle Tx, Tx \rangle \le k \langle x, x \rangle$ for all $x \in \mathscr{U}$.

One of the advantages of this equivalent definition of K-g-frames is that it is much easier to compare the norms of two elements than to compare two elements in C^* -algebras.

Theorem 2.5. Let $K \in End_A^*(\mathcal{U})$, a sequence $\{\Lambda_i \in End_A^*(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}_i), i \in I\}$ is a K-g-frame if and only if there exists $0 < C; D < \infty$ such that;

$$C \|\langle K^*f, K^*f
angle\| \le \|\sum_{i \in I} \langle \Lambda_i f, \Lambda_i f
angle\| \le D \|\langle f, f
angle\|$$

for every $f \in \mathscr{U}$.

Proof. (\Longrightarrow) immediate.

(\Leftarrow) Assume that there exist constants $0 < C; D < \infty$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{U}$

$$C \|\langle K^*f, K^*f \rangle\| \le \|\sum_{i \in I} \langle \Lambda_i f, \Lambda_i f \rangle\| \le D \|\langle f, f
angle\|$$

Let *S* the frame operator of the bessel *g*-sequence $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$.

S is a bounded positive self-adjoint operator, hence *S* has a unique positive square root, denoted by $S^{\frac{1}{2}}$. then

$$\sqrt{C} \|K^* f\| \le \|S^{\frac{1}{2}} f\| \le \sqrt{D} \|f\|.$$

By lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\langle S^{\frac{1}{2}}f, S^{\frac{1}{2}}f \rangle = \langle Sf, f \rangle \le B \langle f, f \rangle$$

From (*i*) \Leftrightarrow (*ii*) in lemma (2.3) there exist some $\lambda > 0$ such that:

$$KK^* \leq \lambda S^{\frac{1}{2}} (S^{\frac{1}{2}})^*.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \langle K^* f, K^* f \rangle \leq \langle Sf, f \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \langle \Lambda_i f, \Lambda_i f \rangle, \quad \forall f \in \mathscr{U}.$$

Lemma 2.6. Let *H* be an Hilbert A-module, let $T, P, Q \in End^*_A(H)$ with $\overline{\mathscr{R}}(P^*)$ and $\overline{\mathscr{R}}(Q^*)$ are orthogonally complemented. The following statements are equivalent:

(i)
$$\mathscr{R}(T) \subset \mathscr{R}(P) + \mathscr{R}(Q)$$

- (ii) $TT^* \leq \lambda (PP^* + QQ^*)$ for some $\lambda > 0$
- (iii) There exists $X, Y \in End_A^*(H)$ such that T = PX + QY.

3. WOVEN K-G-FRAMES

Definition 3.1. Two *K*-*g*-frames $\Lambda = {\Lambda_i}_{i \in I}$ and $\Gamma = {\Gamma_i}_{i \in I}$ for \mathscr{U} are said to be woven *K*-*g*-frames if there exist universal positive constants *A* and *B* such that for any partition σ of *I*, the family ${\Lambda_i}_{i \in \sigma} \cup {\Gamma_i}_{i \in \sigma^c}$ is a *K*-g-frame for \mathscr{U} with lower and upper *K*-*g*-frame bounds *A* and *B*, respectively, that is

$$A\langle K^*f, \langle K^*f
angle \leq \sum_{i \in \sigma} \langle \Lambda_i f, \Lambda_i f
angle + \sum_{i \in \sigma^c} \langle \Gamma_i f, \Gamma_i f
angle \leq B\langle f, f
angle, \quad orall f \in \mathscr{U}.$$

Definition 3.2. A family of *K*-*g*-frames $\{\Lambda_j = \{\Lambda_{ij}\}_{i \in I}, j \in [m]\}$ for \mathscr{U} are said to be woven *K*-*g*-frames if there exist universal positive constants *A* and *B* such that for any partition $\{\sigma_j\}_{j \in [m]}$ of *I*, the family $\{\Lambda_{ij}\}_{i \in \sigma_j, j \in [m]}$ is a *K*-g-frame for \mathscr{U} with lower and upper *K*-*g*-frame bounds *A* and *B*, respectively, that is

$$A\langle K^*f, \langle K^*f \rangle \leq \sum_{j \in [m]} \sum_{i \in \sigma_j} \langle \Lambda_{ij}f, \Lambda_{ij}f \rangle \leq B\langle f, f \rangle, \quad \forall f \in \mathscr{U}.$$

Suppose that $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in I}$ is a K-g-Bessel sequence for \mathscr{U} , then the synthesis operator of $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in I}$ is defined by $T_{\Lambda}: \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathscr{V}_i \longrightarrow \mathscr{U}$,

$$T_{\Lambda}(\{f_i\}_{i\in I}) = \sum_{i\in I} \Lambda_i^* f_i, \quad \forall \{f_i\}_{i\in I} \in \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathscr{V}_i.$$

Its adjoint operator, which is called the analysis operator $T_{\Lambda}^* : \mathscr{U} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathscr{V}_i$,

$$T^*_{\Lambda}(f) = \{\Lambda_i f\}_{i \in I}, \quad \forall f \in \mathscr{U}.$$

And the *K*-g-frame operator $S_{\Lambda} : \mathscr{U} \longrightarrow \mathscr{U}$,

$$S_{\Lambda}f = T_{\Lambda}T_{\Lambda}^*f = \sum_{i\in I}\Lambda_i^*\Lambda_i f, \quad \forall f\in \mathscr{U}.$$

For any partition $\{\sigma_j\}_{j\in[m]}$ of *I*, we define these operators,

$$\begin{split} T^{\sigma_j}_{\Lambda}(\{f_i\}_{i\in I}) &= \sum_{i\in\sigma_j} \Lambda^*_i f_i, \quad \forall \{f_i\}_{i\in I} \in \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathscr{V}_i, \quad j\in[m], \\ (T^{\sigma_j}_{\Lambda})^*(f) &= \{\Lambda_i f\}_{i\in\sigma_j}, \quad \forall f\in\mathscr{U}, \quad j\in[m], \\ S^{\sigma_j}_{\Lambda}f &= T_{\Lambda}T^*_{\Lambda}f = \sum_{i\in\sigma_j} \Lambda^*_i\Lambda_i f, \quad \forall f\in\mathscr{U}. \end{split}$$

Theorem 3.3. Let $K \in End_A^*(\mathcal{U})$, $\Lambda = {\Lambda_i}_{i \in I}$ and $\Gamma = {\Gamma_i}_{i \in I}$ be two K-g-frames for \mathcal{U} with respect to ${\mathscr{V}_i : i \in I}$. Then for every partition σ of I, Λ and Γ are woven K-g-frames for \mathcal{U} with universal lower and upper K-g-frame bounds A and B, respectively, if and only if

$$A\|\langle K^*f, \langle K^*f\rangle\| \leq \|\sum_{i\in\sigma} \langle \Lambda_i f, \Lambda_i f\rangle + \sum_{i\in\sigma^c} \langle \Gamma_i f, \Gamma_i f\rangle\| \leq B\|\langle f, f\rangle\|, \quad \forall f\in\mathscr{U}.$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem (2.4)

Proposition 3.4. Let $K \in L(\mathcal{U})$ and $\{\Lambda_{ij}\}_{i \in \sigma_j, j \in [m]}$ be a family of woven K-g-frames for \mathcal{U} . Then the frame operator S is self adjoint, positive, bounded on \mathcal{U} , and $KK^* \leq \lambda S$ for some $\lambda > 0$.

Proof. For every $f \in \mathscr{U}$

$$Sf = \sum_{j \in [m]} \sum_{i \in \sigma_j} \Lambda_{ij}^* \Lambda_{ij} f$$

then

$$\langle Sf, f \rangle = \langle \sum_{j \in [m]} \sum_{i \in \sigma_j} \Lambda_{ij}^* \Lambda_{ij} f, f \rangle = \sum_{j \in [m]} \sum_{i \in \sigma_j} \langle \Lambda_{ij} f, \Lambda_{ij} f \rangle$$

then

$$A\langle K^*f, K^*f\rangle \leq \langle Sf, f\rangle \leq B\langle f, f\rangle$$

hence

$$AKK^* \leq S \leq BI$$

So, the frame operator *S* is bounded and positive.

Therefore, $S^* = (TT^*)^* = TT^* = S$ then S is self adjoint.

Proposition 3.5. Suppose for every $j \in [m]$; $\{\Lambda_j = \{\Lambda_{ij}\}_{i \in I}\}$ is a g-Bessel sequence for \mathscr{U} with bound B_j . Then every weaving $\{\Lambda_{ij}\}_{i \in \sigma_j, j \in [m]}$ is a g-Bessel sequence with bound $\sum_{j \in [m]} B_j$.

Proof.

$$\sum_{j\in[m]}\sum_{i\in\sigma_j}\langle\Lambda_{ij}f,\Lambda_{ij}f\rangle\leq\sum_{j=1}^m\sum_{i\in\sigma}\langle\Lambda_{ij}f,\Lambda_{ij}f\rangle$$
$$=\sum_{j=1}^mB_j\langle f,f\rangle.$$

The following theorem gives a characterization for weaving K-g-frames in term of atomic system for K

Definition 3.6. Let $K \in End_A^*(\mathcal{U})$, then the family $\{\Lambda_i \in End_A^*(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}_i), i \in I\}$ is called an atomic system for *K*, if the following conditions are satisfied

(i) The family $\{\Lambda_i \in End^*_A(\mathscr{U}, \mathscr{V}_i), i \in I\}$ is a *g*-Bessel sequence,

(ii) For every $f \in \mathscr{U}$, there exists $f_i \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathscr{V}_i$ such that $||\{f_i\}_{i \in I}|| \le C ||f||$ for some C > 0and $Kf = \sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_i^* f_i$.

Theorem 3.7. Let $K \in End_A^*(\mathcal{U})$, the families $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in I}$ be two K-g-frames for \mathcal{U} . The the following statements are equivalent

- (i) The families $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in I}$ are woven K-g-frames.
- (ii) The family $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in \sigma} \cup \{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in \sigma^c}$ is an atomic system for K, where σ is any subset of I.

Proof. i) \Longrightarrow ii). Suppose that the families $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in I}$ are woven *K*-g-frames with bounds *A* and *B*.

For every partition $[\sigma, \sigma^c]$ of *I*, we have

$$A\langle K^*f, \langle K^*f\rangle \leq \sum_{i\in\sigma} \langle \Lambda_i f, \Lambda_i f\rangle + \sum_{i\in\sigma^c} \langle \Gamma_i f, \Gamma_i f\rangle \leq B\langle f, f\rangle, \quad \forall f\in\mathscr{U}.$$

Then the family $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in \sigma} \cup \{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in \sigma^c}$ is g-Bessel sequence with bound *B*.

On the other hand

$$A\langle K^*f, \langle K^*f \rangle \leq \langle S^{\sigma}_{\Lambda}f, f \rangle + \langle S^{\sigma^{\iota}}_{\Gamma}f, f \rangle$$

This imply that

$$AKK^* \leq T^{\sigma}_{\Lambda}(T^{\sigma}_{\Lambda})^* + T^{\sigma^c}_{\Gamma}(T^{\sigma^c}_{\Gamma})^*$$

by lemma (2.6), there exist two bounded operators $L_1, L_2 : \mathscr{U} \Longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathscr{V}_i$ such that

$$Kf = T_{\Lambda}^{\sigma}L_1f + T_{\Gamma}^{\sigma^c}L_2f, \quad \forall f \in \mathscr{U}.$$

Let $L_1 f = \{f_i\}_{i \in I} \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathscr{V}_i$ and $L_2 f = \{g_i\}_{i \in I} \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathscr{V}_i$, then

$$egin{aligned} &Kf = T_\Lambda^{\sigma} L_1 f + T_\Gamma^{\sigma^c} L_2 f \ &= T_\Lambda^{\sigma} \{f_i\}_{i\in I} + T_\Gamma^{\sigma^c} \{g_i\}_{i\in I}. \ &= \sum_{i\in\sigma} \Lambda_i^* f_i + \sum_{i\in\sigma^c} \Gamma_i^* g_i. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\{f_i\}_{i \in I}\| = \|L_1 f\| \le \|L_1\| \|f\|$$
$$\|\{g_i\}_{i \in I}\| = \|L_2 f\| \le \|L_2\| \|f\|.$$

So $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in \sigma} \cup \{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in \sigma^c}$ is an atomic system for *K*.

 $ii) \Longrightarrow i$). Suppose that the family $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in \sigma} \cup \{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in \sigma^c}$ is an atomic system for K, for any partition $[\sigma, \sigma^c]$ of I, then the family $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in \sigma} \cup \{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in \sigma^c}$ is a g-Bessel sequence for \mathscr{U} , then for any $g \in \mathscr{U}$, there exist $\{f_i\}_{i \in I} \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathscr{V}_i$ such that

$$Kg = \sum_{i \in \sigma} \Lambda_i^* f_i + \sum_{i \in \sigma^c} \Gamma_i^* f_i$$

where

$$\|\{f_i\}_{i\in I}\| \le C\|g\|$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \|K^*f\|^2 &= \sup_{g \in \mathscr{U}, \|g\|=1} \|\langle K^*f, g \rangle \| \\ &= \sup_{g \in \mathscr{U}, \|g\|=1} \|\langle f, Kg \rangle \|. \\ &= \sup_{g \in \mathscr{U}, \|g\|=1} \|\langle f, \sum_{i \in \sigma} \Lambda_i^*f_i + \sum_{i \in \sigma^c} \Gamma_i^*f_i \rangle \|. \\ &= \sup_{g \in \mathscr{U}, \|g\|=1} \|\langle \sum_{i \in \sigma} \Lambda_i f + \sum_{i \in \sigma^c} \Gamma_i f, f_i \rangle \|. \\ &\leq \sup_{g \in \mathscr{U}, \|g\|=1} \|\sum_{i \in I} \langle f_i, f_i \rangle \| \|\langle \sum_{i \in \sigma} \Lambda_i f + \sum_{i \in \sigma^c} \Gamma_i f, \sum_{i \in \sigma} \Lambda_i f + \sum_{i \in \sigma^c} \Gamma_i f \rangle \|. \\ &\leq C \|\langle \sum_{i \in \sigma} \Lambda_i f + \sum_{i \in \sigma^c} \Gamma_i f, \sum_{i \in \sigma} \Lambda_i f + \sum_{i \in \sigma^c} \Gamma_i f \rangle \|. \\ &\leq C \|\langle \sum_{i \in \sigma} \Lambda_i f, \sum_{i \in \sigma} \Lambda_i f \rangle + \langle \sum_{i \in \sigma^c} \Gamma_i f, \sum_{i \in \sigma^c} \Gamma_i f \rangle \|. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{C} \|K^*f\|^2 \le \|\langle \sum_{i \in \sigma} \Lambda_i f, \sum_{i \in \sigma} \Lambda_i f \rangle + \langle \sum_{i \in \sigma^c} \Gamma_i f, \sum_{i \in \sigma^c} \Gamma_i f \rangle \|.$$

Therefore, the family $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in \sigma} \cup \{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in \sigma^c}$ is a g-Bessel sequence, then t he families $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in I}$ are woven *K*-g-frames.

Proposition 3.8. Let $\Lambda = {\Lambda_i}_{i \in I}$ and $\Gamma = {\Gamma_i}_{i \in I}$ be two g-Bessel sequences in \mathscr{U} with respect to ${\mathscr{V}_i : i \in I}$ with g-Bessel bounds B_1 , B_2 , respectively. If for $J \subset I$; $\Lambda_J = {\Lambda_i}_{i \in J}$ and $\Gamma_J = {\Gamma_i}_{i \in J}$ are woven K-g-frames, then Λ and Γ are woven K-g-frames for \mathscr{U} .

Proof. Let *A* be universal lower bound for the woven K-g-frame Λ_J and Γ_J , and let $\sigma \subset I$ be a subset of *I*. Then,

$$egin{aligned} A\langle K^*f,K^*f
angle &\leq \sum_{j\in\sigma\cap J}\langle\Lambda_jf,\Lambda_jf
angle + \sum_{j\in\sigma^c\cap J}\langle\Gamma_jf,\Gamma_jf
angle \ &\leq \sum_{j\in\sigma}\langle\Lambda_jf,\Lambda_jf
angle + \sum_{j\in\sigma^c}\langle\Gamma_jf,\Gamma_jf
angle. \ &\leq (B_1+B_2)\langle f,f
angle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, Λ and Γ are woven K-g-frames for \mathscr{U} .

Theorem 3.9. Let $\Lambda = {\Lambda_i}_{i \in I}$ and $\Gamma = {\Gamma_i}_{i \in I}$ be woven K-g-frames for \mathscr{U} with respect to ${\mathscr{V}_i : i \in I}$ with universal K-g-frame bounds A and B. If for all $f \in \mathscr{U} \sum_{j \in J} \langle \Lambda_j f, \Lambda_j f \rangle \leq D\langle K^*f, K^*f \rangle$ for some 0 < D < A and some $J \subset I$ Then $\Lambda_0 = {\Lambda_i}_{i \in I \setminus J}$ and $\Gamma_0 = {\Gamma_i}_{i \in I \setminus J}$ are woven K-g-frames for \mathscr{U} with universal K-g-frame boundsA - D and B.

Proof. Let σ be a subset of $I \setminus J$, then

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j\in\sigma} \langle \Lambda_j f, \Lambda_j f \rangle + \sum_{j\in\backslash (J\cup\sigma)} \langle \Gamma_j f, \Gamma_j f \rangle &= (\sum_{j\in\sigma\cup J} \langle \Lambda_j f, \Lambda_j f \rangle - \sum_{j\in J} \langle \Lambda_j f, \Lambda_j f \rangle) + \sum_{j\in\backslash (J\cup\sigma)} \langle \Gamma_j f, \Gamma_j f \rangle) \\ &= (\sum_{j\in\sigma\cup J} \langle \Lambda_j f, \Lambda_j f \rangle + \sum_{j\in\backslash (J\cup\sigma)} \langle \Gamma_j f, \Gamma_j f \rangle) - \sum_{j\in J} \langle \Lambda_j f, \Lambda_j f \rangle. \\ &\geq A \langle K^* f, K^* f \rangle - D \langle K^* f, K^* f \rangle \\ &= (A-D) \langle K^* f, K^* f \rangle, \quad \forall f \in \mathscr{U}. \end{split}$$

And for the upper bound

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j\in\sigma} \langle \Lambda_j f, \Lambda_j f \rangle + \sum_{j\in \backslash (J\cup\sigma)} \langle \Gamma_j f, \Gamma_j f \rangle &\leq \sum_{j\in\sigma\cup J} \langle \Lambda_j f, \Lambda_j f \rangle + \sum_{j\in \backslash (J\cup\sigma)} \langle \Gamma_j f, \Gamma_j f \rangle \\ &\leq B \langle f, f \rangle. \end{split}$$

It follows that, Λ_0 and Γ_0 are woven K-g-frames for U with the universal lower and upper K-g-frame bounds A - D and B, respectively

Theorem 3.10. Let $\Lambda = {\Lambda_i}_{i \in I}$ and $\Gamma = {\Gamma_i}_{i \in I}$ be a pair of K-g-frames for \mathscr{U} with respect to ${\mathscr{V}_i : i \in I}$ with universal K-g-frame bounds A_1 , B_1 and A_2 , B_2 , respectively. Assume that there are constants $0 < \alpha, \beta, \mu < 1$ such that

$$\alpha\sqrt{B_1}+\beta\sqrt{B_2}+\mu<\frac{A_1}{2(\sqrt{B_1}+\sqrt{B_1})}$$

and

$$\|\sum_{i\in I} \langle (\Lambda_{i}^{*} - \Gamma_{i}^{*})f_{i}, (\Lambda_{i}^{*} - \Gamma_{i}^{*})f_{i} \rangle \|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \alpha \|\sum_{i\in I} \langle \Lambda_{i}^{*}f, \Lambda_{i}^{*}f \rangle \|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \beta \|\sum_{i\in I} \langle \Gamma_{i}^{*}f, \Gamma_{i}^{*}f \rangle \|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mu \| \langle \{f_{i}\}, \{f_{i}\} \rangle \|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \beta \| \sum_{i\in I} \langle \Gamma_{i}^{*}f, \Gamma_{i}^{*}f \rangle \|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mu \| \langle \{f_{i}\}, \{f_{i}\} \rangle \|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \beta \| \sum_{i\in I} \langle \Gamma_{i}^{*}f, \Gamma_{i}^{*}f \rangle \|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mu \| \langle \{f_{i}\}, \{f_{i}\} \rangle \|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \beta \| \sum_{i\in I} \langle \Gamma_{i}^{*}f, \Gamma_{i}^{*}f \rangle \|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mu \| \langle \{f_{i}\}, \{f_{i}\} \rangle \|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \beta \| \sum_{i\in I} \langle \Gamma_{i}^{*}f, \Gamma_{i}^{*}f \rangle \|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mu \| \langle \{f_{i}\}, \{f_{i}\} \rangle \|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \beta \| \sum_{i\in I} \langle \Gamma_{i}^{*}f, \Gamma_{i}^{*}f \rangle \|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mu \| \langle \{f_{i}\}, \{f_{i}\} \rangle \|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \beta \| \sum_{i\in I} \langle \Gamma_{i}^{*}f, \Gamma_{i}^{*}f \rangle \|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mu \| \langle \{f_{i}\}, \{f_{i}\} \rangle \|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mu \| \| \langle \{f_{i}\}, \{f_{i}\} \rangle \|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mu \| \| \| \| \|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mu \| \| \| \|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all $\{f_i\} \in (\oplus \mathscr{V}_i)_{i \in I}$. Then, Λ and Γ are woven K-g-frames with universal lower and upper frame bounds $A_1 - \frac{A_1}{2} \|K^{\dagger}\|$ and $B_1 + B_2$, respectively.

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \|\sum_{i\in\sigma} \Lambda_{i}^{*}\Lambda_{i}f - \sum_{i\in\sigma} \Gamma_{i}^{*}\Gamma_{i}f\| &= \|T_{\Lambda}^{\sigma}(\{\Lambda_{i}f\}_{i\in\sigma}) - T_{\Gamma}^{\sigma}(\{\Gamma_{i}f\}_{i\in\sigma})\| \\ &= \|T_{\Lambda}^{\sigma}(T_{\Lambda}^{\sigma})^{*}f - T_{\Gamma}^{\sigma}(T_{\Gamma}^{\sigma})^{*}f\| \\ &= \|T_{\Lambda}^{\sigma}(T_{\Lambda}^{\sigma})^{*}f - T_{\Lambda}^{\sigma}(T_{\Gamma}^{\sigma})^{*}f + T_{\Lambda}^{\sigma}(T_{\Gamma}^{\sigma})^{*}f - T_{\Gamma}^{\sigma}(T_{\Gamma}^{\sigma})^{*}f\| \\ &\leq \|T_{\Lambda}^{\sigma}(T_{\Lambda}^{\sigma})^{*}f - T_{\Lambda}^{\sigma}(T_{\Gamma}^{\sigma})^{*}f\| + \|T_{\Lambda}^{\sigma}(T_{\Gamma}^{\sigma})^{*}f - T_{\Gamma}^{\sigma}(T_{\Gamma}^{\sigma})^{*}f\| \\ &\leq \|T_{\Lambda}^{\sigma}\|\|(T_{\Lambda}^{\sigma})^{*}f - (T_{\Gamma}^{\sigma})^{*}f\| + \|T_{\Lambda}^{\sigma}(T_{\Gamma}^{\sigma})^{*}f - T_{\Gamma}^{\sigma}(T_{\Gamma}^{\sigma})^{*}f\| \\ &\leq \|T_{\Lambda}\|\|T_{\Lambda} - T_{\Gamma}\|\|f\| + \|T_{\Lambda} - T_{\Gamma}^{\sigma}\|\|(T_{\Gamma}^{\sigma})^{*}\|\|f\| . \\ &\leq \|T_{\Lambda}\|\|T_{\Lambda} - T_{\Gamma}\|\|K^{\dagger}\|\|K^{*}f\| + \|T_{\Lambda} - T_{\Gamma}\|\|K^{\dagger}\|\|K^{*}f\| . \\ &\leq (\alpha\|T_{\Lambda}\| + \beta\|T_{\Gamma}\| + \mu)(\|T_{\Lambda}\| + \|T_{\Gamma}\|)\|K^{\dagger}\|\|K^{*}f\| . \\ &\leq \frac{A_{1}}{2(\sqrt{B_{1}} + \sqrt{B_{1}})}(\sqrt{B_{1}} + \sqrt{B_{1}})\|K^{\dagger}\|\|K^{*}f\| . \\ &= \frac{A_{1}}{2}\|K^{\dagger}\|\|K^{*}f\| . \end{split}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{split} \|\sum_{i\in\sigma^{c}}\Lambda_{i}^{*}\Lambda_{i}f + \sum_{i\in\sigma}\Gamma_{i}^{*}\Gamma_{i}f\| &= \|\sum_{i\in\sigma^{c}}\Lambda_{i}^{*}\Lambda_{i}f + \sum_{i\in\sigma}\Lambda_{i}^{*}\Lambda_{i}f - \sum_{i\in\sigma}\Lambda_{i}^{*}\Lambda_{i}f + \sum_{i\in\sigma}\Gamma_{i}^{*}\Gamma_{i}f\| \\ &= \|\sum_{i\in I}\Lambda_{i}^{*}\Lambda_{i}f + \sum_{i\in\sigma}\Gamma_{i}^{*}\Gamma_{i}f - \sum_{i\in\sigma}\Lambda_{i}^{*}\Lambda_{i}f\|. \\ &\geq \|\sum_{i\in I}\Lambda_{i}^{*}\Lambda_{i}f\| - \|\sum_{i\in\sigma}\Lambda_{i}^{*}\Lambda_{i}f - \sum_{i\in\sigma}\Gamma_{i}^{*}\Gamma_{i}f\|. \\ &\geq A_{1}\|K^{*}f\| - \|\sum_{i\in\sigma}\Lambda_{i}^{*}\Lambda_{i}f - \sum_{i\in\sigma}\Gamma_{i}^{*}\Gamma_{i}f\|. \\ &\geq A_{1}\|K^{*}f\| - \frac{A_{1}}{2}\|K^{\dagger}\|\|K^{*}f\|. \end{split}$$

$$= (A_1 - \frac{A_1}{2} \| K^{\dagger} \|) \| K^* f \|$$

So $(A_1 - \frac{A_1}{2} || K^{\dagger} ||)$ is an universal lower bound, and one can see that $B_1 + B_2$ is an universal upper bound.

Theorem 3.11. Let $\Lambda = {\Lambda_i}_{i \in I}$ and $\Gamma = {\Gamma_i}_{i \in I}$ be woven K-g-frames for \mathscr{U} with respect to ${\mathscr{V}_i : i \in I}$ with universal K-g-frame bounds A_1 , B_1 and A_2 , B_2 , respectively. Assume that there are constants $0 < \alpha, \beta, \mu < 1$ such that

$$\alpha B_1 \|K^{\dagger}\| + \beta B_2 \|K^{\dagger}\| + \mu \|K^{\dagger}\| < A_1$$

and

$$\begin{split} \|\sum_{i\in\sigma} \langle (\Lambda_i^*\Lambda_i - \Gamma_i^*\Gamma_i)f_i, (\Lambda_i^*\Lambda_i - \Gamma_i^*\Gamma_i)f_i \rangle \|^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq \alpha \|\sum_{i\in\sigma} \langle \Lambda_i^*\Lambda_i f, \Lambda_i^*\Lambda_i f \rangle \|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \beta \|\sum_{i\in\sigma} \langle \Gamma_i^*\Gamma_i f, \Gamma_i^*\Gamma_i f \rangle \|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ \mu (\sum_{i\in\sigma} \|\Lambda_i f\|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{split}$$

for all $f \in \mathscr{U}$ and $\sigma \subset I$. Then, Λ and Γ are woven K-g-frames with universal lower and upper frame bounds $(A_1 - \alpha B_1 || K^{\dagger} || - \beta B_2 || K^{\dagger} || - \mu ||$ and $(B_1 + \alpha B_1 + \beta B_2 + \mu \sqrt{B_1})$, respectively.

Proof. For any $\sigma \in I$, we have by hypothesis

$$\|\sum_{i\in\sigma}(\Lambda_i^*\Lambda_i\|\leq\alpha\|\sum_{i\in\sigma}\Lambda_i^*\Lambda_if\|+\beta\|\sum_{i\in\sigma}\Gamma_i^*\Gamma_if\|+\mu(\sum_{i\in\sigma}\|\Lambda_if\|)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

then

$$\begin{split} \|\sum_{i\in\sigma^{c}}\Lambda_{i}^{*}\Lambda_{i}f + \sum_{i\in\sigma}\Gamma_{i}^{*}\Gamma_{i}f\| &= \|\sum_{i\in\sigma^{c}}\Lambda_{i}^{*}\Lambda_{i}f + \sum_{i\in\sigma}\Lambda_{i}^{*}\Lambda_{i}f - \sum_{i\in\sigma}\Lambda_{i}^{*}\Lambda_{i}f + \sum_{i\in\sigma}\Gamma_{i}^{*}\Gamma_{i}f\| \\ &= \|\sum_{i\in I}\Lambda_{i}^{*}\Lambda_{i}f + \sum_{i\in\sigma}\Gamma_{i}^{*}\Gamma_{i}f - \sum_{i\in\sigma}\Lambda_{i}^{*}\Lambda_{i}f\|. \\ &\geq \|\sum_{i\in I}\Lambda_{i}^{*}\Lambda_{i}f\| - \|\sum_{i\in\sigma}\Lambda_{i}^{*}\Lambda_{i}f - \sum_{i\in\sigma}\Gamma_{i}^{*}\Gamma_{i}f\|. \\ &\geq A_{1}\|K^{*}f\| - \|\sum_{i\in\sigma}\Lambda_{i}^{*}\Lambda_{i}f - \sum_{i\in\sigma}\Gamma_{i}^{*}\Gamma_{i}f\|. \\ &\geq A_{1}\|K^{*}f\| - \alpha\|\sum_{i\in\sigma}\Lambda_{i}^{*}\Lambda_{i}f\| - \beta\|\sum_{i\in\sigma}\Gamma_{i}^{*}\Gamma_{i}f\| - \mu(\sum_{i\in\sigma}\|\Lambda_{i}f\|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\geq (A_{1} - \alpha B_{1}\|K^{\dagger}\| - \beta B_{2}\|K^{\dagger}\| - \mu\|K^{\dagger}\|)\|K^{*}f\| \end{split}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{split} \|\sum_{i\in\sigma^{c}}\Lambda_{i}^{*}\Lambda_{i}f + \sum_{i\in\sigma}\Gamma_{i}^{*}\Gamma_{i}f\| &= \|\sum_{i\in I}\Lambda_{i}^{*}\Lambda_{i}f + \sum_{i\in\sigma}\Gamma_{i}^{*}\Gamma_{i}f - \sum_{i\in\sigma}\Lambda_{i}^{*}\Lambda_{i}f\|.\\ &\leq \|\sum_{i\in I}\Lambda_{i}^{*}\Lambda_{i}f\| + \|\sum_{i\in\sigma}\Lambda_{i}^{*}\Lambda_{i}f - \sum_{i\in\sigma}\Gamma_{i}^{*}\Gamma_{i}f\|.\\ &\leq B_{1}\|f\| + \alpha\|\sum_{i\in\sigma}\Lambda_{i}^{*}\Lambda_{i}f\| + \beta\|\sum_{i\in\sigma}\Gamma_{i}^{*}\Gamma_{i}f\| + \mu(\sum_{i\in\sigma}\|\Lambda_{i}f\|)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\\ &\leq (B_{1} + \alpha B_{1} + \beta B_{2} + \mu\sqrt{B_{1}})\|f\|. \end{split}$$

Then, Λ and Γ are woven k-g-frames with the universal lower and upper bounds $(A_1 - \alpha B_1 \| K^{\dagger} \| - \beta B_2 \| K^{\dagger} \| - \mu \| K^{\dagger} \|)$ and $(B_1 + \alpha B_1 + \beta B_2 + \mu \sqrt{B_1})$, respectively.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The author(s) declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- T. Bemrose, P. G. Casazza, K. Grochenig, M. C. Lammers, R. G. Lynch, Weaving frames, Oper. Matrices 10 (4) (2016), 1093-1116.
- [2] R. J. Duffin, A. C. Schaeffer, A class of nonharmonic fourier series, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1952), 341-366.
- [3] X. Fang, J. Yu, H. Yao, Solutions to operator equations on Hilbert C*--modules, Linear Algebra. Appl. 11 (431) (2009), 2142-2153.
- [4] S. Kabbaj, M. Rossafi, *-operator Frame for $End^*_{\mathscr{A}}(\mathscr{H})$, Wavelet Linear Algebra, 5 (2) (2018), 1-13.
- [5] A. Khosravi, B. Khosravi, Fusion frames and g-frames in Hilbert C*-modules, Int. J. Wavelets Multiresolut. Inf. Process. 6 (2008), 433–466.
- [6] F. D. Nhari, R. Echarghaoui, M. Rossafi, K g–fusion frames in Hilbert C*–modules, Int. J. Anal. Appl. 19 (6) (2021), 836-857.
- [7] W. Paschke, Inner product modules over B*-algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 182 (1973), 443-468.
- [8] M. Rossafi, S. Kabbaj, *-K-operator Frame for $End^*_{\mathscr{A}}(\mathscr{H})$, Asian-Eur. J. Math. 13 (2020), 2050060.
- [9] M. Rossafi, S. Kabbaj, Operator frame for $End^*_{\mathscr{A}}(\mathscr{H})$, J. Linear Topol. Algebra, 8 (2019), 85-95.
- [10] M. Rossafi, S. Kabbaj, *-K-g-frames in Hilbert A-modules, J. Linear Topol. Algebra, 7 (2018), 63-71.
- [11] M. Rossafi, S. Kabbaj, *-g-frames in tensor products of Hilbert C*-modules, Ann. Univ. Paedagog. Crac. Stud. Math. 17 (2018), 17-25.
- [12] M. Rossafi, S. Kabbaj, Generalized frames for $B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$, Iran. J. Math. Sci. Inf. accepted.
- [13] Z. Q. XIANG, Y. M. LI, G-frames for operators in Hilbert C*-modules, Turk J. Math. 40 (2016), 453-469.