

Available online at http://scik.org J. Math. Comput. Sci. 2022, 12:160 https://doi.org/10.28919/jmcs/7401 ISSN: 1927-5307

COMMON FIXED-POINT THEOREM IN TRIANGULAR INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY METRIC SPACES

SHRUTI EKTARE*, AMIT KUMAR PANDEY

Department of Mathematics, Sarvepalli Radhakrishnan University,

Bhopal, P.O. Box 462047, Madhya Pradesh, India

Copyright © 2022 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract: The goal of this paper is to prove a new general common fixed-point theorem for two pairs of mappings under different conditions, based on the idea of weakly compatible mappings satisfying a general class of contractions defined by an implicit relation in the framework of triangular intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, which unifies, extends, and generalises most of the existing relevant common fixed-point theorems in the literature. There are also some related results and an illustrated case to demonstrate the realised improvements.

Keywords: triangular intuitionistic fuzzy metric space; common fixed point; implicit relation; weakly compatible mappings; contractions.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 47H10.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In 1965, Zadeh [45] proposed the concept of a fuzzy set. Kramosil and Michalek [23] proposed the fuzzy metric space concept in 1975, which can be thought of as a generalisation of the statistical (probabilistic) metric space. This work laid a solid foundation for the development of

^{*}Corresponding author

E-mail address: shrutiphd2021@gmail.com

Received March 30, 2022

fixed-point theory in fuzzy metric spaces. Grabiec [9] then defined the completeness of the fuzzy metric space (now called a G-complete fuzzy metric space) and extended the Banach contraction theorem to G-complete fuzzy metric spaces. Successively, George and Veeramani [7] modified the definition of the Cauchy sequence introduced by Grabiec. Meanwhile, George and Veeramani [7] somewhat modified Kramosil and Michalek's idea of a fuzzy metric space and constructed a Hausdorff and first countable topology on it. Since then, George and Veeramani's concept of a full fuzzy metric space has arisen as alternative characterisation of completeness, and various fixed-point theorems have been proved using this metric space. We can see from the above analysis that there are numerous studies connected to fixed-point theory based on the two types of complete fuzzy metric spaces mentioned above (see for more details [3-4, 7-9, 40, 43-45] and the references therein). He showed that, for each intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \circ)$, the topology generated by the intuitionistic fuzzy metric (M, N)coincides with the topology generated by the fuzzy metric M. For more details on intuitionistic fuzzy metric space and related results we refer the reader to [1, 12, 17, 25-29, 32, 36]. Throughout this paper \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}_+ will represents the set of real numbers and nonnegative real numbers, respectively.

The following two definitions are required in the sequel which can be found in [38].

Definition 1.1 A binary operation $*: [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is continuous t-norm if * satisfying the following conditions:

(1). * is commutative and associative;

- (2). * is continuous;
- (3). $a * 1 = a, \forall a \in [0, 1];$

(4). $a * b \le c * d$ whenever $a \le c$ and $b \le d, \forall a \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 1.2 A binary operation $\diamond : [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is continuous t-conorm if \diamond satisfying the following conditions:

(1). • is commutative and associative;

- (2). \diamond is continuous;
- (3). $a \diamond 0 = a, \forall a \in [0, 1];$
- (4). $a \diamond b \leq c \diamond d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d, \forall a \in [0, 1]$.

In 2004, Park [31] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space as follows.

Definition 1.3 A 5-tuple (*X*, *M*, *N*, *, \diamond) is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary set, * is a continuous t-norm, \diamond is a continuous t-conorm, and *M*, *N* are two fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions, for all $\xi, \eta, \sigma \in X$ and s, t > 0:

- (IFMS1). $M(\xi, \eta, t) + N(\xi, \eta, t) \le 1$;
- (IFMS2). $M(\xi, \eta, t) > 0;$
- (IFMS3). $M(\xi, \eta, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0 \Leftrightarrow \xi = \eta$;

(IFMS4). $M(\xi, \eta, t) = M(\eta, \xi, t);$

(IFMS5). $M(\xi, \eta, t) * M(\eta, \sigma, s) \le M(\xi, \sigma, t + s);$

- (IFMS6). $M(\xi, \eta, .): (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is left continuous;
- (IFMS7). $\lim_{t\to\infty} M(\xi,\eta,t) = 1;$
- (IFMS8). $N(\xi, \eta, t) > 0;$
- (IFMS9). $N(\xi, \eta, t) = 0$ for all $t > 0 \Leftrightarrow \xi = \eta$;
- (IFMS10). $N(\xi, \eta, t) = N(\eta, \xi, t);$

(IFMS11). $N(\xi, \eta, t) \diamond N(\eta, \sigma, s) \ge N(\xi, \sigma, t + s);$

- (IFMS12). $N(\xi, \eta, .): (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is right continuous;
- (IFMS13). $\lim_{t\to\infty} N(\xi,\eta,t) = 0;$

Then(M, N) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space on X. The functions $M(\xi, \eta, t)$ and $N(\xi, \eta, t)$ denote the degree of nearness and the degree on nonnearness between x and y with respect to t, respectively.

Definition 1.4 (see [31]) Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Then:

(1). A sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ is said to be Cauchy sequence whenever for all t > 0,

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty} M(\xi_n,\xi_m,t) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{m,n\to\infty} N(\xi_n,\xi_m,t) = 0.$$

That is, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and t > 0, there exists a natural number n_0 such that for all $n, m \ge n_0$,

$$M(\xi_n, \xi_m, t) > 1 - \varepsilon$$
 and $N(\xi_n, \xi_m, t) < \varepsilon$.

(2). (*X*, *M*, *N*, *, \diamond) is called complete whenever every Cauchy sequence is convergent with respect to the topology $\tau_{(M,N)}$.

Remark 1.5 Note that, if (M, N) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space on X and $\{\xi_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty} M(\xi_n,\xi_m,t) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{m,n\to\infty} N(\xi_n,\xi_m,t) = 0$$

for all t > 0 as from (IFMS1) of Definition 1.3, we know that $M(\xi, \eta, t) + N(\xi, \eta, t) \le 1$ for all $\xi, \eta \in X$ and t > 0.

Let $(X, M, N, *, \circ)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. According to [40, 43], the fuzzy metric (M, N) is called triangular whenever

(1.1)
$$\frac{1}{M(\xi,\eta,t)} - 1 \le \frac{1}{M(\xi,\sigma,t)} - 1 + \frac{1}{M(\sigma,\eta,t)} - 1 \text{ and}$$

(1.2)
$$N(\xi,\eta,t) \le N(\xi,\sigma,t) + N(\sigma,\eta,t)$$

for all ξ , η , $\sigma \in X$ and t > 0.

Example 1.6 Let $X = \{(0,0), (0,4), (4,0), (4,5), (5,4)\}$ endowed with the metric $d: X \times X \to [0, +\infty)$ given by

(1.3)
$$d((\xi_1,\xi_2),(\eta_1,\eta_2)) = |\xi_1 - \eta_1| + |\xi_2 - \eta_2|$$

for all $(\xi_1, \xi_2), (\eta_1, \eta_2) \in X$. Define intuitionistic fuzzy metric by

(1.4)
$$M((\xi_1,\xi_2),(\eta_1,\eta_2),t) = \frac{t}{t+d((\xi_1,\xi_2),(\eta_1,\eta_2))} \text{ and }$$

(1.5)
$$N((\xi_1,\xi_2),(\eta_1,\eta_2),t) = \frac{d((\xi_1,\xi_2),(\eta_1,\eta_2))}{t+d((\xi_1,\xi_2),(\eta_1,\eta_2))}$$

for all (ξ_1, ξ_2) , $(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in X$ and t > 0, where

$$a * b = min\{a, b\}$$
 and $a \diamond b = ma\xi\{a, b\}$.

Then *X* is a complete triangular intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

The following definitions will be needed in the sequel.

5

Definition 1.7 (see [20]) Let *F* and *G* be two self-mappings on a nonempty set *X*. Then *F* and T are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at all of their coincidence points; that is, $F\omega = G\omega$ for some $\omega \in X$ and then $FG\omega = GF\omega$.

Definition 1.8 (see [15]) Two finite families of self-mappings $\{F_i\}_{i=1}^m$ and $\{G_k\}_{k=1}^n$ of a nonempty set X are said to be pairwise commuting if

- 1. $F_i F_j = F_j F_i, i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$
- 2. $G_k G_l = G_l G_k, \ k, l \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$
- 3. $F_i G_k = G_k F_i, i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}, k \in \{1, 2, ..., p\}.$

The following lemma is helpful in proving our results which can be found in [2].

Lemma 1.9 Let F, G, and f be self-mappings on a nonempty set X with F, G, and f having a unique point of coincidence in X. If (F, f) and (G, f) are weakly compatible. Then F, G and f have a unique common fixed point.

Implicit relations: Simple and natural way to unify and prove in a simple manner several metrical fixed-point theorems is to consider an implicit contraction type condition instead of the usual explicit contractive conditions. Popa [33, 35] proved several fixed-point theorems satisfying suitable implicit relations. For proving such results, Popa [33, 35] considered Ψ to be the set of all continuous functions

$$\psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) \colon \mathbb{R}^6_+ \to \mathbb{R}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

 (ψ_1) . ψ is non-increasing in variables u_5 and u_6 .

(ψ_2). there exists $k \in (0,1)$ such that for $u, v \ge 0$ with (ψ_{2a}). $\psi(u, v, v, u, u + v, 0) \le 0$ or

$$(\Psi_{2b}). \psi(u, v, u, v, 0, u + v) \le 0 \Rightarrow u \le kv,$$

 $(\Psi_3). \psi(u, u, 0, 0, u, u) > 0.$

Some of the following examples of such functions ψ satisfying (ψ_1) , (ψ_2) and (ψ_3) are taken from Popa [35] and Imdad and Ali [13].

Example 1.10 Define $\psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) \colon \mathbb{R}^6_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ as:

(1.6)
$$\psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) = u_1 - k \max\left\{u_2, u_3, u_4, \frac{1}{2}(u_5 + u_6)\right\}$$

where $k \in (0,1)$.

(1.7)
$$\psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) = u_1^2 - u_1(au_2 + bu_3 + cu_4) - du_5u_6$$

where a > 0, $b, c, d \ge 0, a + b + c < 1, a + d < 1$.

(1.8)
$$\psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) = u_1^3 - a u_1^2 u_2 - b u_1 u_2 u_3 + c u_5^2 u_6 - d u_5 u_6^2$$

where $a > 0, b, c, d \ge 0, a + b < 1, a + c + d < 1$.

(1.9)
$$\psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) = u_1^3 - k \left(\frac{u_3^2 u_4^2 + u_5^2 u_6^2}{u_2 + u_3 + u_4 + 1} \right)$$

where $k \in (0,1)$.

(1.10)
$$\psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) = u_1^2 - au_2^2 - b\left(\frac{u_5u_6}{u_3^2 + u_4^2 + 1}\right)$$

where $a > 0, b \ge 0, a + b < 1$.

(1.11)
$$\psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) = u_1^2 - a \max\{u_2^2 u_3^2 u_4^2\} - b \max\{u_3 u_5, u_4 u_6\} - c u_5 u_6$$

where $a > 0, b, c \ge 0, a + 2b < 1, a + c < 1$.

(1.12)
$$\psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) = u_1 - k \max\left\{u_2, u_3, u_4, \frac{1}{2}u_5, \frac{1}{2}u_6\right\}$$

where $k \in (0,1)$.

(1.13)
$$\psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) = u_1 - k \max\left\{u_2, \frac{u_3 + u_4}{2}, \frac{u_5 + u_6}{2}\right\}$$

where $k \in (0,1)$.

(1.14)
$$\psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) = u_1 - (au_2 + bu_3 + cu_4 + du_5 + cu_6)$$

where $d, e \ge 0, a + b + c + d + e < 1$.

(1.15)
$$\psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) = u_1 - \frac{k}{2} ma\xi\{u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6\}$$

where $k \in (0,1)$.

(1.16)
$$\psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) = u_1 - [au_2 + bu_3 + cu_4 + d(u_5 + u_6)]$$

where $d \ge 0, a + b + c + 2d < 1$.

Since verifications of requirements $(\psi_1), (\psi_2)$ and (ψ_3) for Examples (2.6)-(2.16) are straightforward, hence details are omitted. Here one may further notice that some other well known contraction conditions ([10, 14, and 18]) can also be deduced as particular cases of implicit relation of Popa [35]. In order to strengthen this viewpoint, we add some more examples to this effect and utilize them to demonstrate how this implicit relation can cover several other known contractive conditions and is also good enough to yield further unknown natural contractive conditions as well.

Example 1.11 Define $\psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) \colon \mathbb{R}^6_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ as:

 $(1.17) \qquad \psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6)$

$$= \begin{cases} u_1 - a_1 \frac{u_3^2 + u_4^2}{u_3 + u_4} - a_2 u_2 - a_3 (u_5 + u_6), & \text{if } u_3 + u_4 \neq 0, \\ u_1, & \text{if } u_3 + u_4 = 0. \end{cases}$$

where $a_i \ge 0$ (i = 1,2,3) with at least one a_i non-zero and $a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 < 1$. (ψ_1) . Obviously, ψ is non-increasing in variables u_5 and u_6 . (ψ_{2a}) . Let u > 0. Then

$$\psi(u, v, v, u, u + v, 0) = u - a_1 \frac{v^2 + u^2}{v + u} - a_2 v - a_3 (u + v) \le 0.$$

If $u \ge v$, then

$$u \le (a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3)u < u$$

which is contradiction. Hence u < v and $u \le kv$ where $k \in (0,1)$. (ψ_{2b}) . Similar argument as in (ψ_{2a}) . (ψ_3) . $\psi(u, u, 0, 0, u, u) = u > 0$ for all u > 0.

Example 1.12 Define $\psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) \colon \mathbb{R}^6_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ as:

 $(1.18) \qquad \psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6)$

$$= \begin{cases} u_1 - a_1 u_2 - \frac{a_2 u_3 u_4 + a_3 u_5 u_6}{u_3 + u_4}, & \text{if } u_3 + u_4 \neq 0, \\ u_1, & \text{if } u_3 + u_4 = 0. \end{cases}$$

where $a_1, a_2, a_3 \ge 0$ such that $1 < 2a_1 + a_2 < 2$.

Example 1.13 Define $\psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) \colon \mathbb{R}^6_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ as:

(1.19)
$$\psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) = u_1 - a_1 \left[a_2 \max \left\{ u_2, u_3, u_4, \frac{1}{2} (u_5 + u_6) \right\} \right]$$

$$+(1-a_2)\left[ma\xi\left\{u_2^1,u_3u_4,u_5u_6,\frac{u_3u_6}{2},\frac{u_4u_5}{2}\right\}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]$$

where $a_1 \in (0,1)$ and $0 \le a_2 \le 1$.

Example 1.14 Define $\psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) \colon \mathbb{R}^6_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ as:

(1.20) $\psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6)$ = $u_2^1 - a_1 \max\{\{u_2^2, u_3^2, u_4^2\} - a_2 \max\{\{\frac{u_3 u_6}{2}, \frac{u_4 u_5}{2}\} - a_3 u_5 u_6\}$

where $a_1, a_2, a_3 \ge 0$ and $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 < 1$.

Very recently, Popa et al. [34] proved several fixed-point theorems satisfying suitable implicit relations in which Husain and Sehgal [11] type contraction conditions ([6, 22, 30, 41]) can be deduced from similar implicit relations in addition to all earlier ones if there is a slight modification in condition (ψ_1) as follows:

 (ψ_1) 'Obviously, ψ is decreasing in variables u_2, \ldots, u_6 .

Hereafter, let $\psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) : \mathbb{R}^6_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function which satisfies the conditions $(\psi_1)'$, (ψ_2) and (ψ_3) and \mathcal{F} be the family of such functions. In this paper, we employ such implicit relation to prove our results. But before we proceed further, let us furnish some examples to highlight the utility of the modifications instrumented herein.

Example 1.15 Define $\psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) \colon \mathbb{R}^6_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ as:

(1.21)
$$\psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) = u_1 - \phi\left(ma\xi\left\{u_2, u_3, u_4, \frac{1}{2}(u_5 + u_6)\right\}\right)$$

where $\phi: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is an increasing upper semi-continuous function with $\phi(0) = 0$ and $\phi(u) < u$ for each u > 0.

 $(\psi_1)'$ Obviously, ψ is decreasing in variables u_2, \ldots, u_6 .

 (ψ_{2a}) . Let u > 0. Then

$$\psi(u, v, v, u, u + v, 0) = u - \phi\left(ma\xi\left\{v, v, u, \frac{1}{2}(v + u)\right\}\right) < 0.$$

If $u \ge v$, then

$$u \leq \phi(u) < u$$

which is contradiction. Hence u < v and $u \le kv$ where $k \in (0,1)$.

 (ψ_{2b}) . Similar argument as in (ψ_{2a}) .

$$(\psi_3). \quad \psi(u, u, 0, 0, u, u) = u - \phi\left(ma\xi\left\{u, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}(u+u)\right\}\right)$$
$$= u - \phi(u) > 0 \text{ for all } u > 0.$$

Example 1.16 Define $\psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) \colon \mathbb{R}^6_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ as:

(1.22)
$$\psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) = u_1 - \phi(u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6)$$

where $\phi: \mathbb{R}^5_+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is an upper semi-continuous and non-decreasing function in each coordinate variable such that $\phi(u, u, au, bu, cu) < u$ for each u > 0 and $a, b, c \ge 0$ with $a + b + c \le 3$.

Example 1.17 Define $\psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6)$: $\mathbb{R}^6_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ as:

(1.23)
$$\psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) = u_1^2 - \phi(u_2^2, u_3 u_4, u_5 u_6, u_3 u_6, u_4 u_5),$$

where $\phi: \mathbb{R}^5_+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is an upper semi-continuous and non-decreasing function in each coordinate variable such that $\phi(u, u, au, bu, cu) < u$ for each u > 0 and $a, b, c \ge 0$ with $a + b + c \le 3$.

Here it may be noticed that all earlier mentioned examples continue to enjoy the format of modified implicit relation as adopted herein. Motivated by the fact that a fixed-point of any map on metric spaces can always be viewed as a common fixed-point of that map and identity map on the space. Jungck [20] proved the interesting generalization of celebrated Banach contraction principle. While proving his result, Jungck [20] replaced identity map with a continuous mapping. In [30], Imdad and Ali established a general common fixed-point theorem for a pair of mappings using a suitable implicit function without the requirement of the containment of ranges.

In this paper, we present a new general common fixed-point theorem for two pair of mappings under a different set of conditions using the idea of weakly compatible mappings satisfying a general class of contractions defined by an implicit relation in the frame work of triangular intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, which unify, extend and generalize most of the existing relevant common fixed-point theorems from the literature. Some related results and illustrative an example to highlight the realized improvements is also furnished.

2. MAIN RESULTS

The following theorem is our main result.

Theorem 2.1 Let F, G, f and g be four self-maps of a triangular intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \circ)$ with $\overline{G(X)} \subseteq f(X)$ and $\overline{F(X)} \subseteq g(X)$ and for all $\xi, \eta \in X, t > 0$ and some $\psi \in \Psi$,

(2.1)
$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{M(F\xi,G\eta,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f\xi,g\eta,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f\xi,F\xi,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(g\eta,G\eta,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f\xi,G\eta,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(F\xi,g\eta,t)} - 1\right) \le 0$$

If one of $\overline{G(X)}$ and $\overline{F(X)}$ is a complete subspace of X, then (F, f) and (G, g) have a unique point of coincidence inX. Moreover, if (F, f) and (G, g) are weakly compatible, then F, G, f and g have a unique common fixed-point in X.

Proof Let $\xi_0 \in X$ be arbitrary point. Because $G(X) \subseteq \overline{G(X)}$ and $F(X) \subseteq \overline{F(X)}$, we have $F(X) \subseteq f(X)$ and $G(X) \subseteq g(X)$. Hence one can inductively define the sequences $\{\xi_n\} \subset X$ and $\{\eta_n\} \subset X$ in the following way:

(2.2)
$$\eta_{2n-1} = F\xi_{2n-1} = g\xi_{2n},$$
$$\eta_{2n} = G\xi_{2n} = f\xi_{2n+1}, \ \forall \ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From (2.1) with $\xi = \xi_{2n+1}$ and $\eta = \xi_{2n+2}$, we get for all t > 0 and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

(2.3)
$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{M(F\xi_{2n+1},G\xi_{2n+2},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f\xi_{2n+1},g\xi_{2n+2},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f\xi_{2n+1},F\xi_{2n+1},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(g\xi_{2n+2},G\xi_{2n+2},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f\xi_{2n+1},G\xi_{2n+2},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(F\xi_{2n+1},g\xi_{2n+2},t)}-1\right) \leq 0,$$

We have

$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n+1},\eta_{2n+2},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n},\eta_{2n+1},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n},\eta_{2n+1},t)}-1\right)$$

$$\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n+1},\eta_{2n+2},t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n},\eta_{2n+2},t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n+1},\eta_{2n+1},t)} - 1 \right) \le 0,$$

That is,

(2.4)
$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n+1},\eta_{2n+2},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n},\eta_{2n+1},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n},\eta_{2n+1},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n},\eta_{2n+1},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n},\eta_{2n+2},t)}-1,0\right) \leq 0,$$

Using the fact that ψ is non-decreasing in variable u_5 and u_6 , we have

(2.5)
$$\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n},\eta_{2n+2},t)} - 1 \le \frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n},\eta_{2n+1},t)} - 1 + \frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n+1},\eta_{2n+2},t)} - 1$$

From (2.4), we derive that

(2.6)
$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n+1},\eta_{2n+2},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n},\eta_{2n+1},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n},\eta_{2n+1},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n+1},\eta_{2n+2},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n+1},\eta_{2n+2},t)}-1,0\right) \le 0,$$

Now, using property (ψ_{2a}) , we have

(2.7)
$$\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n+1},\eta_{2n+2},t)} - 1 \le k \left(\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n},\eta_{2n+1},t)} - 1 \right).$$

Again, using (2.1), with $\xi = \xi_{2n}$ and $\eta = \xi_{2n+1}$, we get for all t > 0 and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

(2.8)
$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{M(F\xi_{2n},G\xi_{2n+1},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f\xi_{2n},g\xi_{2n+1},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f\xi_{2n},F\xi_{2n},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(g\xi_{2n+1},G\xi_{2n+1},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f\xi_{2n},G\xi_{2n+1},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(F\xi_{2n},g\xi_{2n+1},t)}-1\right) \leq 0,$$

That is,

(2.9)
$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n},\eta_{2n+1},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n-1},\eta_{2n},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n-1},\eta_{2n},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n-1},\eta_{2n},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n-1},\eta_{2n+1},t)}-1,0\right) \le 0,$$

Keeping in mind that ψ is non-decreasing in variable u_5 and u_6 , we have

(2.10)
$$\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n-1},\eta_{2n+1},t)} - 1 \le \frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n-1},\eta_{2n},t)} - 1 + \frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n},\eta_{2n+1},t)} - 1$$

From (2.9), we obtain

(2.11)
$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n},\eta_{2n+1},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n-1},\eta_{2n},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n-1},\eta_{2n},t)}-1\right)$$

$$\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n},\eta_{2n+1},t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n-1},\eta_{2n},t)} - 1 + \frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n},\eta_{2n+1},t)} - 1, 0 \le 0,$$

yielding thereby (due to (ψ_{2a})),

(2.12)
$$\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n},\eta_{2n+1},t)} - 1 \le k \left(\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n-1},\eta_{2n},t)} - 1\right).$$

Combining (2.7) and (2.12), we have

(2.13)
$$\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n+1},\eta_{2n+2},t)} - 1 \le k^2 \left(\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n-1},\eta_{2n},t)} - 1\right)$$

Now by induction, we obtain for each n = 0, 1, 2, ...

(2.14)
$$\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n+1},\eta_{2n+2},t)} - 1 \le k \left(\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n},\eta_{2n+1},t)} - 1 \right)$$
$$\le \dots \le k^{2n+1} \left(\frac{1}{M(\eta_0,\eta_1,t)} - 1 \right).$$

and by a routine calculation, we have,

(2.15)
$$\frac{1}{M(\eta_{n+1},\eta_{n+2},t)} - 1 \le k \left(\frac{1}{M(\eta_n,\eta_{n+1},t)} - 1\right)$$
$$\le \dots \le k^{n+1} \left(\frac{1}{M(\eta_0,\eta_1,t)} - 1\right).$$

Hence for each n > m, we obtain

$$(2.16) \qquad \frac{1}{M(\eta_n,\eta_m,t)} - 1 \le \frac{1}{M(\eta_n,\eta_{n-1},t)} - 1 + \frac{1}{M(\eta_{n-1},\eta_{n-2},t)} - 1 + \dots + \frac{1}{M(\eta_{m+1},\eta_m,t)} - 1$$
$$\le (k^{n-1} + k^{n-2} + \dots + k^m) \left(\frac{1}{M(\eta_0,\eta_1,t)} - 1\right)$$
$$\le \frac{k^m}{1-k} \left(\frac{1}{M(\eta_0,\eta_1,t)} - 1\right)$$

Therefore, $\{\eta_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Assume that $\overline{G(X)}$ is complete. Observe that the subsequence $\{\eta_{2n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence which is contained in $\overline{G(X)}$ must a limit ω^* in f(X), that is,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \eta_{2n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} G\xi_{2n}$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} f\xi_{2n+1} \in \overline{G(X)} \subseteq f(X) \subset X,$$
$$(2.17) \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} \eta_{2n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} G\xi_{2n}$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} f \xi_{2n+1} = \omega^* \in f(X).$$

It is easy to see

(2.18)
$$\omega^* = \lim_{n \to \infty} \eta_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} G\xi_{2n}$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} f x_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} G\xi_{2n}$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} f \xi_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} F\xi_{2n-1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} g\xi_{2n}$$

Consequently, we can find $\omega \in X$ such that $f\omega = \omega^*$. We assert that $F\omega = f\omega = \omega^*$. If not, then $M(F\omega, \omega^*, t) < 1$. Using (2.1), with $\xi = \omega$ and $\eta = \xi_{2n}$, we have

$$(2.19) \qquad \psi\left(\frac{1}{M(F\omega,G\xi_{2n},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f\omega,g\xi_{2n},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f\omega,F\omega,t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f\omega,F\omega,t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(g\xi_{2n},G\xi_{2n},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f\omega,G\xi_{2n},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(S\omega,g\xi_{2n},t)}-1\right) \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \psi\left(\frac{1}{M(F\omega,\eta_{2n},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f\omega,\eta_{2n-1},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f\omega,F\omega,t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f\omega,F\omega,t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(\eta_{2n-1},\eta_{2n},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f\omega,\eta_{2n},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(F\omega,\eta_{2n-1},t)}-1\right) \leq 0,$$

Letting $n \to +\infty$ in the above inequality, using (2.18) and the continuity of ψ , we have

(2.20)
$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{M(F\omega,\omega^*,t)} - 1,0,\frac{1}{M(\omega^*,F\omega,t)} - 1,0,0,\frac{1}{M(F\omega,\omega^*,t)} - 1\right) \le 0,$$

yielding thereby (due to (ψ_{2b})), $\frac{1}{M(F\omega,\omega^*,t)} - 1 \le 0$, that is $M(F\omega,\omega^*,t) = 1$, which is a contradiction. Then we have $F\omega = f\omega = \omega^*$, which shows that ω is a coincidence point of F and f, that is ω^* is a point of coincidence of F and f. Since $\omega^* = F\omega \in F(X) \subseteq \overline{F(X)} \subseteq g(X)$, there exists $\omega' \in X$ such that $g\omega' = \omega^*$. We claim that $G\omega' = \omega^*$. If not, then $M(G\omega', \omega^*, t) < 1$. Using (2.1), with $\xi = \omega$ and $\eta = \omega'$, we have

$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{M(F\omega,G\omega',t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f\omega,g\omega',t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f\omega,F\omega,t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f\omega,F\omega,t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(g\omega',G\omega',t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f\omega,G\omega',t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(F\omega,g\omega',t)}-1\right) \leq 0,$$

That is,

(2.21)
$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{M(\omega^*, G\omega', t)} - 1, 0, 0, \frac{1}{M(\omega^*, G\omega', t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(\omega^*, G\omega', t)} - 1, 0\right) \le 0,$$

yielding thereby (due to (ψ_{2a})), $\frac{1}{M(\omega^*, G\omega', t)} - 1 \le 0$, then $M(\omega^*, G\omega', t) = 1$. Thus, our supposition that $M(G\omega', \omega^*, t) < 1$ was wrong. Therefore $G\omega' = g\omega' = \omega^*$, which shows that ω' is a coincidence point of *G* and *g*, that is ω^* is a point of coincidence of *G* and *g*. Now, suppose that ω_* is another point of coincidence of *F* and *f*, that is $\omega_* = F\overline{\omega} = f\overline{\omega}$ for some $\overline{\omega} \in X$. Using (2.1), we have

(2.22)
$$\psi \frac{1}{M(F\bar{\omega},G\omega',t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f\bar{\omega},g\omega',t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f\bar{\omega},F\bar{\omega},t)} - 1,$$
$$\frac{1}{M(g\omega',G\omega',t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f\bar{\omega},G\omega',t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(F\bar{\omega},g\omega',t)} - 1 \right) \leq 0,$$

This implies that

$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{M(\omega_{*},\omega^{*},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(\omega_{*},\omega^{*},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(\omega_{*},\omega_{*},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(\omega_{*},\omega_{*},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(\omega_{*},\omega^{*},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(\omega_{*},\omega^{*},t)}-1\right) \leq 0$$

That is,

(2.23)
$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{M(\omega_{*},\omega^{*},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(\omega_{*},\omega^{*},t)}-1,0,\right.$$
$$0,\frac{1}{M(\omega_{*},\omega^{*},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(\omega_{*},\omega^{*},t)}-1\right) \le 0.$$

Due to (ψ_3) , we get a contradiction, if $\omega_* \neq \omega^*$. Hence point of coincidence of F and f is unique. Now, suppose that ω_1^* is another point of coincidence of g and G, that is $\omega_1^* = G\omega_1 = g\omega_1$ for some $\omega_1 \in X$. Using (2.1), we have

$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{M(F\omega,G\omega_{1},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f\omega,g\omega_{1},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f\omega,F\omega,t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(g\omega_{1},G\omega_{1},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f\omega,G\omega_{1},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(F\omega,g\omega_{1},t)}-1\right) \leq 0,$$

Thus,

$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{M(\omega^*,\omega_1^*,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(\omega^*,\omega_1^*,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(\omega^*,\omega^*,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(\omega^*,\omega_1^*,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(\omega^*,\omega_1^*,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(\omega^*,\omega_1^*,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(\omega^*,\omega_1^*,t)} - 1\right) \le 0,$$

That is,

(2.24)
$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{M(\omega^*,\omega_1^*,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(\omega^*,\omega_1^*,t)} - 1, 0, 0, \frac{1}{M(\omega^*,\omega_1^*,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(\omega^*,\omega_1^*,t)} - 1\right) \le 0,$$

which contradicts (ψ_3) , if $\omega_* \neq \omega^*$. Hence point of coincidence of *G* and *g* is unique. Then, we proved that ω^* is the unique point of coincidence of (F, f) and (G, g). Now, if (F, f) and (G, g) are weakly compatible, from $F\omega = f\omega = \omega^*$ and $G\omega' = g\omega' = \omega^*$, we have $F\omega^* = F(f\omega) = f(F\omega) = f\omega^*$ and $G\omega^* = G(g\omega') = g(G\omega') = g\omega^*$. Now, we prove that $F\omega^* = f\omega^* = G\omega^* = g\omega^*$. If not, then $F\omega^* \neq G\omega^*$ and from (2.1), we have

$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{M(F\omega^{*},G\omega^{*},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f\omega^{*},g\omega^{*},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f\omega^{*},F\omega^{*},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(g\omega^{*},G\omega^{*},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f\omega^{*},G\omega^{*},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(F\omega^{*},g\omega^{*},t)}-1\right) \leq 0,$$

That is,

(2.25)
$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{M(F\omega^*,G\omega^*,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(F\omega^*,G\omega^*,t)} - 1, 0, 0, \frac{1}{M(F\omega^*,G\omega^*,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(F\omega^*,G\omega^*,t)} - 1\right) \le 0$$

By property (ψ_3) , we deduce that $\frac{1}{M(F\omega^*,G\omega^*,t)} - 1 \le 0$ that is $M(F\omega^*,G\omega^*,t) = 1$ and then our assumption that $F\omega^* \ne G\omega^*$ was wrong. Hence $F\omega^* = f\omega^* = G\omega^* = g\omega^*$. Finally, we show that $F\omega^* = f\omega^* = G\omega^* = g\omega^* = \omega^*$. Again, from (2.1) and using $F\omega^* = f\omega^* = G\omega^* = g\omega^*$, we obtain that

$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{M(F\omega,G\omega^{*},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f\omega,g\omega^{*},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f\omega,F\omega,t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f\omega,F\omega,t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(g\omega^{*},G\omega^{*},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f\omega,G\omega^{*},t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(F\omega,g\omega^{*},t)}-1\right) \le 0,$$

That is,

$$(2.26) \qquad \psi\left(\frac{1}{M(\omega^*, G\omega^*, t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(\omega^*, G\omega^*, t)} - 1, 0, 0, \frac{1}{M(\omega^*, G\omega^*, t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(\omega^*, G\omega^*, t)} - 1\right) \le 0,$$

yielding thereby (due to (ψ_3)), $\frac{1}{M(\omega^*, G\omega^*, t)} - 1 \le 0$ and so $M(\omega^*, G\omega^*, t) = 1$, a contradiction if $\omega^* \ne G\omega^*$. Hence $F\omega^* = f\omega^* = G\omega^* = g\omega^* = \omega^*$. Then ω^* is the unique common fixedpoint of F, f, g and G. The proof for the case in which $\overline{F(X)}$ is complete is similar and is therefore omitted. This completes the proof.

For mapping $G: X \to X$, we denote $\mathcal{F}(G) = \{\xi \in X : \xi = G\xi\}$.

Theorem: 2.2 Let *F*, *G*, *f* and *g* be four self-maps of a triangular intuitionistic fuzzy metric space satisfying the conditions (2.1) for all $\xi, \eta \in X$ and t > 0, then

(2.27)
$$\mathcal{F}(F) \cap \mathcal{F}(f) \cap \mathcal{F}(g) = \mathcal{F}(G) \cap \mathcal{F}(f) \cap \mathcal{F}(g)$$

Proof: Let $\omega^* \in \mathcal{F}(F) \cap \mathcal{F}(f) \cap \mathcal{F}(g)$. Then using (2.1), we have

$$\begin{split} \psi \left(\frac{1}{M(F\omega^*, G\omega^*, t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(I\omega^*, g\omega^*, t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f\omega^*, F\omega^*, t)} - 1, \\ \frac{1}{M(g\omega^*, G\omega^*, t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f\omega^*, G\omega^*, t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(F\omega^*, g\omega^*, t)} - 1 \right) &\leq 0, \end{split}$$

That is,

$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{M(\omega^*,G\omega^*,t)}-1,0,0,\frac{1}{M(\omega^*,G\omega^*,t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(\omega^*,G\omega^*,t)}-1,0\right) \le 0,$$

By property (ψ_{2a}) , we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{M(\omega^*,G\omega^*,t)}-1\leq 0$$

and so $M(\omega^*, G\omega^*, t) = 1$, a contradiction if $M(\omega^*, G\omega^*, t) < 1$. This means that $\omega^* \in \mathcal{F}(G) \cap \mathcal{F}(f) \cap \mathcal{F}(g)$. Thus,

$$\mathcal{F}(F)\cap\mathcal{F}(f)\cap\mathcal{F}(\mathsf{J})\subset\mathcal{F}(G)\cap\mathcal{F}(f)\cap\mathcal{F}(g).$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$\mathcal{F}(G) \cap \mathcal{F}(f) \cap \mathcal{F}(g) \subset \mathcal{F}(F) \cap \mathcal{F}(f) \cap \mathcal{F}(g).$$

Thus, it follows that

$$\mathcal{F}(G) \cap \mathcal{F}(f) \cap \mathcal{F}(g) = \mathcal{F}(F) \cap \mathcal{F}(f) \cap \mathcal{F}(g).$$

From Theorem 2.1, we can deduce a host of corollaries which are embodied in the following:

Corollary 2.3 The conclusions of Theorem 2.1 remain true if for all $\xi, \eta \in X$; $(\xi \neq \eta)$ and t > 0, the implicit relation (2.1) is replaced by one of the following:

(2.28)
$$\frac{1}{M(F\xi,G\eta,t)} - 1 \le k \max\{\left\{\frac{1}{M(f\xi,g\eta,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f\xi,F\xi,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(g\eta,G\eta,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(g\eta,G\eta,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{1}{M(f\xi,G\eta,t)} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{M(F\xi,g\eta,t)} - 1\right)\right]\right\}$$

where $k \in (0,1)$.

(2.29)
$$\frac{1}{M(F\xi,G\eta,t)} - 1 \le k \max\{\frac{1}{M(f\xi,g\eta,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f\xi,F\xi,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(g\eta,G\eta,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{M(F\xi,g\eta,t)} - 1\right), \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{M(F\xi,g\eta,t)} - 1\right)\}$$

where $k \in (0,1)$.

$$(2.30) \qquad \frac{1}{M(F\xi,G\eta,t)} - 1 \le k \max\{\frac{1}{M(f\xi,g\eta,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{1}{M(f\xi,F\xi,t)} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{M(g\eta,G\eta,t)} - 1\right)\right] \\ \qquad \qquad \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{1}{M(f\xi,G\eta,t)} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{M(F\xi,g\eta,t)} - 1\right)\right]\},$$

where $k \in (0,1)$

$$(2.31) \qquad \frac{1}{M(F\xi,G\eta,t)} - 1 \le a\left(\frac{1}{M(I\xi,g\eta,t)} - 1\right) + b\left(\frac{1}{M(f\xi,F\xi,t)} - 1\right) + c\left(\frac{1}{M(g\eta,G\eta,t)} - 1\right) \\ + d\left(\frac{1}{M(f\xi,G\eta,t)} - 1\right) + e\left(\frac{1}{M(F\xi,g\eta,t)} - 1\right)$$

where a + b + c + d + e < 1, $d, e \ge 0$.

$$(2.32) \qquad \frac{1}{M(F\xi,G\eta,t)} - 1 \le \frac{k}{2} \max\{\frac{1}{M(f\xi,g\eta,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f\xi,F\xi,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(g\eta,G\eta,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f\xi,G\eta,t)} - 1,$$

where $k \in (0,1)$.

$$(2.33) \qquad \frac{1}{M(F\xi,G\eta,t)} - 1 \le a \left(\frac{1}{M(f\xi,g\eta,t)} - 1 \right) + b \left(\frac{1}{M(f\xi,F\xi,t)} - 1 \right) + c \left(\frac{1}{M(g\eta,G\eta,t)} - 1 \right) \\ + d \left[\left(\frac{1}{M(f\xi,G\eta,t)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{M(F\xi,g\eta,t)} - 1 \right) \right]$$

where a + b + c + 2d < 1, $d \ge 0$.

$$(2.34) \qquad \frac{1}{M(F\xi,G\eta,t)} - 1 \le \phi \left(ma\xi \left\{ \frac{1}{M(f\xi,g\eta,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f\xi,F\xi,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(g\eta,G\eta,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{1}{M(f\xi,G\eta,t)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{M(F\xi,g\eta,t)} - 1 \right) \right] \right\} \right)$$

where $\phi: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is an increasing upper semi-continuous function with $\phi(0) = 0$ and $\phi(v) < v$ for each v > 0.

(2.35)
$$\frac{1}{M(F\xi,G\eta,t)} - 1 \le \phi \left(\frac{1}{M(f\xi,g\eta,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f\xi,F\xi,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(g\eta,G\eta,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f\xi,G\eta,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(F\xi,g\eta,t)} - 1 \right)$$

where $\phi: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is an upper semi-continuous and non-decreasing function in each coordinate variable such that with $\phi(v, v, av, bv, cv) < v$ for each v > 0 and $a, b, c \ge 0$ with $a + b + c \le 3$.

Setting F = G and f = g in Theorem 2.1, we get the following corresponding fixed-point theorem.

Corollary 2.4 Let *F* and *g* be two self-maps of a triangular intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \circ)$ with $\overline{F(X)} \subseteq g(X)$ and for all $\xi, \eta \in X, t > 0$ and some $\psi \in \Psi$,

(2.36)
$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{M(F\xi,F\eta,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(g\xi,g\eta,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(g\xi,F\xi,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(g\xi,F\xi,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(g\eta,F\eta,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(F\xi,g\eta,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(F\xi,g\eta,t)} - 1\right) \le 0,$$

If $\overline{F(X)}$ is a complete subspace of X, then (F,g) has a unique point of coincidence in X. Moreover, if (F,g) is weakly compatible, then (F,g) has a unique common fixed-point in X. **Remark 2.5** A corollary similar to Corollary 2.4 can be outlined in respect of Corollary 2.3 yielding thereby a host of fixed-point theorems.

Setting $g = f_X$ (the identity mapping on X) in Corollary 2.1, we get the following corresponding fixed-point theorem.

Corollary 2.6 Let *F* be a self-maps of a triangular intuitionistic fuzzy metric space (*X*, *M*, *N*, *, \diamond) such that for all $\xi, \eta \in X, t > 0$ and some $\psi \in \Psi$,

(2.37)
$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{M(F\xi,F\eta,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(\xi,\eta,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(\xi,F\xi,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(\xi,F\xi,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(\eta,F\eta,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(F\xi,\eta,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(F\xi,\eta,t)} - 1\right) \le 0,$$

If $\overline{F(X)}$ is a complete subspace of X, then S has a unique common fixed-point in X.

Remark 2.7 A corollary similar to Corollary 2.6 can be outlined in respect of Corollary 2.3 yielding thereby a host of fixed-point theorems.

3. APPLICATION

As an application of Theorem 2.1, we prove a common fixed-point theorem for four finite families of mappings which runs as follows:

Theorem 3.1 Let $\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m\}$, $\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_p\}$, $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_q\}$ and $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r\}$ be four finite families of self-mappings of a triangular intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \circ)$ with

$$F = \prod_{i=1}^{m} F_i, G = \prod_{j=1}^{p} G_j,$$
$$f = \prod_{k=1}^{q} f_k, g = \prod_{l=1}^{r} g_l.$$

satisfying condition (2.1) of Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $\overline{G(X)} \subseteq f(X)$ and $\overline{F(X)} \subseteq g(X)$, wherein one of $\overline{G(X)}$ and $\overline{F(X)}$ is a complete subspace of X, then (F, f) and (G, g) have a point of coincidence in X.

Moreover, if

$$F_{o}F_{r} = F_{r}F_{o}, \ f_{u}f_{v} = f_{v}f_{u},$$
$$G_{s}G_{t} = G_{t}G_{s}, \ g_{e}g_{h} = g_{h}g_{e},$$
$$F_{o}f_{u} = f_{u}F_{o}, \ G_{s}g_{e} = G_{s}g_{e}$$

for all $o, r \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, $u, v \in \{1, 2, \dots, q\}$, $s, t \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$, and $e, h \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, then for all $o \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, $u \in \{1, 2, \dots, q\}$, $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ and $e \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, F_o, G_s, f_u and g_e have a common fixed point.

Proof The conclusions "(F, f) and (G, g) have a point of coincidence in X " are immediate as F, G, f and g satisfy all the conditions of theorem 2.1. In view of pairwise commutativity of various pairs of the families (F, f) and (G, g), the weak compatibility of pairs (F, f) and (G, g) are immediate. Thus all the conditions of theorem 2.1 (for mappings F, G, f and g) are satisfied ensuring the existence of a unique common fixed point, say ω^* . Now, one needs to show that ω^* remains the fixed-point of all the component maps. For this consider

(3.1)
$$F(F_o\omega^*) = (\prod_{i=1}^m F_i)(F_o\omega^*)$$

$$= (\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} F_i)(F_m F_o)\omega^*$$

$$= (\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} F_i)(F_m F_o\omega^*)$$

$$= (\prod_{i=1}^{m-2} F_i)(F_{m-1}F_o(F_m\omega^*))$$

$$= (\prod_{i=1}^{m-2} F_i)(F_o F_{m-1}(F_m\omega^*))$$

$$= \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots$$

$$= F_1 F_o(\prod_{i=2}^m F_i\omega^*)$$

$$= F_o F_1(\prod_{i=2}^m F_i(\omega^*))$$

$$= F_o(\prod_{i=1}^m F_i(\omega^*))$$

$$= F_o(F\omega^*) = F_o\omega^*$$

Similarly, one can show that,

$$(3.2) F(f_u\omega^*) = f_u(F\omega^*) = f_u\omega^*,$$

$$f(f_u\omega^*) = f_u(f\omega^*) = f_u\omega^*,$$

$$f(F_o\omega^*) = F_o(f\omega^*) = F_o\omega^*,$$

$$G(G_s\omega^*) = G_s(G\omega^*) = G_s\omega^*,$$

$$G(g_e\omega^*) = g_e(G\omega^*) = g_e\omega^*,$$

$$g(g_e\omega^*) = g_e(G\omega^*) = g_e\omega^*.$$

which show that (for all $o \in \{1,2,3,\ldots,m\}$, $u \in \{1,2,\ldots,q\}$, $s \in \{1,2,\ldots,p\}$ and $e \in \{1,2,\ldots,r\}$) $F_o\omega^*$ and $f_u\omega^*$ are other fixed points of the pair (F, f) whereas $G_s\omega^*$ and $g_e\omega^*$ are other fixed points of the pair (G, g).

Now in view of uniqueness of the fixed-point F, G, f and g (for all $o \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}, u \in \{1, 2, ..., q\}, s \in \{1, 2, ..., p\}$ and $e \in \{1, 2, ..., r\}$), one can write $F_o \omega^* = f_u \omega^* = G_s \omega^* = g_e \omega^* = \omega^*$.

This means that the point ω^* is a common fixed-point of F_o , f_u , G_s and g_e . for all $o \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, u \in \{1, 2, \dots, q\}, s \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ and $e \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$. By setting

(3.3)
$$F_{1} = F_{2} = \dots = F_{m} = F,$$
$$G_{1} = G_{2} = \dots = G_{p} = G,$$
$$f_{1} = f_{2} = \dots = f_{q} = f,$$
$$g_{1} = g_{2} = \dots = g_{r} = g.$$

One deduces the following corollary for various iterates of F, G, f and g, which can also be viewed as partial generalization of theorem 2.1.

Corollary: 3.2 Let (F, f) and (G, g) be two commuting pairs of self-mappings of a triangular intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \circ)$ with $\overline{G^p(X)} \subseteq f^q(X)$ and $\overline{F^m(X)} \subseteq g^r(X)$ and for all $\xi, \eta \in X, t > 0$ and some $\psi \in \Psi$,

(3.4)
$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{M(F^{m}\xi,G^{p}\eta,t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f^{q}\xi,g^{r}\eta,t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f^{q}\xi,F^{m}\xi,t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f^{q}\xi,F^{m}\xi,t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(g^{r}\eta,G^{p}\eta,t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(f^{q}\xi,G^{p}\eta,t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(F^{m}\xi,g^{r}\eta,t)}-1\right) \leq 0$$

If one of $\overline{G^p(X)}$ and $\overline{F^m(X)}$ is a complete subspace of X, then (F, f) and (G, g) have a unique point of coincidence in X. Moreover, if (F, f) and (G, g) are weakly compatible, then F, G, f and g have a unique common fixed-point in X.

Theorem 3.3 Let $\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m\}$ and $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r\}$ be two finite families of selfmappings of a triangular intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \circ)$ with $F = \prod_{i=1}^m F_i$, $g = \prod_{j=1}^r g_j$ satisfying condition (2.36) of Corollary 2.4. Suppose that $\overline{F(X)} \subseteq g(X)$, wherein $\overline{F(X)}$ is a complete subspace of X, then (F, g) have a unique point of coincidence.

Moreover, if $F_pF_q = F_qF_p$, $g_kg_l = J_lg_k$ and $F_ig_k = g_kF_i$ for all $p, q \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ and $k, l \in \{1, 2, ..., r\}$, then $(p \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ and $k \in \{1, 2, ..., p\})$ F_p and g_k have a common fixed-point in X.

Proof: The conclusion "(F, g) has a point of coincidence" is immediate as F and g satisfies all the conditions of Corollary 2.4. Now appealing to component wise commutativity of various pairs, one can immediately assert that Fg = gF and hence, obviously the pair (F, g) is

weakly compatible. Note that all the conditions (2.36) of Corollary 2.4 (for mappings *F* and *g*) are satisfied ensuring the existence of unique common fixed point, say ω^* . Now one need to show that ω^* remains the fixed-point of all the component mappings. For this consider

$$(3.5) \quad F(F_{p}\omega^{*}) = (\prod_{i=1}^{m}F_{i})(F_{p}\omega^{*})$$

$$= (\prod_{i=1}^{m-1}F_{i})(F_{m}F_{p})\omega^{*}$$

$$= (\prod_{i=1}^{m-1}F_{i})(F_{m}F_{p}\omega^{*})$$

$$= (\prod_{i=1}^{m-2}F_{i})(F_{m-1}F_{p}(F_{m}\omega^{*}))$$

$$= (\prod_{i=1}^{m-2}F_{i})(F_{p}F_{m-1}(F_{m}\omega^{*}))$$

$$= \cdots \cdots \cdots$$

$$= F_{1}F_{p}(\prod_{i=2}^{m}F_{i}\omega^{*})$$

$$= F_{p}F_{1}(\prod_{i=2}^{m}F_{i}(\omega^{*}))$$

$$= F_{p}(F\omega^{*}) = F_{p}\omega^{*}$$

Similarly, one can show that,

(2.43)
$$F(g_k\omega^*) = g_k(F\omega^*) = g_k\omega^*,$$
$$g(g_k\omega^*) = g_k(g\omega^*) = g_k\omega^*,$$
$$g(F_p\omega^*) = F_p(g\omega^*) = g_p\omega^*,$$

which show that (for all $p \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$, $k \in \{1, 2, ..., r\}$) $F_p \omega^*$ and $g_k \omega^*$ are other fixed points of the pair (F, g).

Now in view of uniqueness of the fixed-point F, G, f and g (for all $p \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$, $k \in \{1, 2, ..., r\}$), one can write $F_p \omega^* = g_k \omega^* = \omega^*$.

This means that the point ω^* is a common fixed-point of F_p and g_k . for all $p \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$, $k \in \{1, 2, ..., r\}$. By setting (2.44) $F_1 = F_2 = \cdots = F_m = F$,

$$g_1 = g_2 = \dots = g_r = g$$

One deduces the following corollary for various iterates of F and g, which can also be viewed as partial generalization of Corollary 2.1.

Corollary: 3.4 Let (F, g) be two commuting pairs of self-mappings of a triangular intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \circ)$ with $\overline{F^m(X)} \subseteq g^r(X)$ and for all $\xi, \eta \in X, t > 0$ and some $\psi \in \Psi$,

(2.45)
$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{M(F^{m}\xi,F^{m}\eta,t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(g^{r}\xi,g^{r}\eta,t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(g^{r}\xi,F^{m}\xi,t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(g^{r}y,F^{m}\eta,t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(g^{r}\xi,F^{m}\eta,t)}-1,\frac{1}{M(F^{m}\xi,g^{r}\eta,t)}-1\right) \leq 0$$

Assume that $\overline{F^m(X)}$ is a complete subspace of X, then (F, g) has a unique point of coincidence in X. Moreover, if (F, g) is weakly compatible, then (F, g) has a unique common fixed-point in X.

4. EXAMPLE

Now we furnish an example to demonstrate the validity of the hypotheses of generality of our result.

Example 4.1 Let $X = \{0,1,3,4\}$ be a set with usual metric. Define intuitionistic fuzzy metric by

$$M(\xi,\eta,t) = \frac{1}{1+|\xi-\eta|}, \quad N(\xi,\eta,t) = \frac{|\xi-\eta|}{1+|\xi-\eta|},$$

where

$$a * b = min\{a, b\}$$
 and $a \diamond b = ma\xi\{a, b\}.$

Also define the mappings *F*, *G*, *f*, $g: X \to X$ by

$$F\xi = 1, \ \forall \ \xi \in X,$$
$$G\xi = \begin{cases} 0, & \xi \in \{3\}\\ 1, & \xi \in \{0, 1, 2\}. \end{cases}$$

 $f\xi = g\xi = \xi, \ \forall \ \xi \in X$

and

that is, $f = g = f_X$ (the identity mapping on X). We can see that the mappings (F, f) and (G, J)

are commute at 1 which is their coincidence point. Obviously, (F, f) and (G, g) are weakly compatible.

Also
$$F(X) = \{1\}, G(X) = \{0,1\}$$
 and $f(X) = F(X) = \{0,1,3,4\}$. Clearly, $\overline{F(X)} = \{1\} \subset \{0,1,3,4\} = g(X)$ and $\overline{G(X)} = \{0,1\} \subset \{0,1,3,4\} = f(X)$ are complete subspace of X.

Now, we define $\psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) \colon \mathbb{R}^6_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ as:

$$\psi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6)$$

= $u_1 - a_1 \left(\frac{u_3^2 + u_4^2}{u_3 + u_4} \right) - a_2 u_2 - a_3 (u_5 + u_6),$

where $a_i \ge 0$ with at least one a_i non-zero and $a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 < 1$.

Now taking $a_1 = \frac{1}{5}$, $a_2 = a_3 = \frac{1}{4}$, we consider the following cases.

(1). Let
$$\xi = 0$$
 and $\eta = 1$. Then,

$$\begin{split} \psi \left(\frac{1}{M(F0,G1,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f1,g0,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f0,F0,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(g1,G1,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f0,G1,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(F0,g1,t)} - 1 \right) \\ &= \psi \left(\frac{1}{M(1,1,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(1,0,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(0,1,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(1,1,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(0,1,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(1,1,t)} - 1 \right) \\ &= \psi (0,1,1,0,1,1) \\ &= 0 - a_1 \left(\frac{1+0}{1+0}\right) - a_2 1 - a_3 (1+1) \\ &= \frac{-19}{20} < 0. \end{split}$$

(2). Let $\xi = 0$ and $\eta = 3$ Then,

$$\begin{split} \psi \left(\frac{1}{M(F0,G3,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f0,g3,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f0,F0,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(g3,G3,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f0,G3,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(F0,g3,t)} - 1 \right) \\ &= \psi \left(\frac{1}{M(1,0,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(0,3,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(0,1,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(3,0,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(0,0,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(1,3,t)} - 1 \right) \\ &= \psi (1,3,1,3,0,2) \\ &= 1 - a_1 \left(\frac{1+9}{1+3} \right) - 3a_2 - a_3(0+2) \\ &= \frac{-1}{2} < 0. \end{split}$$

(3). Let
$$\xi = 0$$
 and $\eta = 4$. Then,

$$\begin{split} \psi \left(\frac{1}{M(F0,G4,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f0,g4,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f0,F0,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(g4,G4,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f0,G4,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(F0,g4,t)} - 1 \right) \\ &= \psi \left(\frac{1}{M(1,1,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(0,4,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(0,1,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(4,1,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(0,1,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(1,4,t)} - 1 \right) \\ &= \psi (0,4,1,3,1,3) \\ &= 0 - a_1 \left(\frac{1+9}{1+3} \right) - 4a_2 - a_3(1+3) \\ &= \frac{-5}{2} < 0. \end{split}$$

(4). Let $\xi = 1$ and $\eta = 3$. Then,

$$\begin{split} \psi \left(\frac{1}{M(F1,G3,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f1,g3,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f1,F1,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(g3,G3,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f1,G3,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(F1,g3,t)} - 1 \right) \\ &= \psi \left(\frac{1}{M(1,0,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(1,3,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(1,1,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(3,0,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(1,0,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(1,3,t)} - 1 \right) \\ &= \psi (1,2,0,3,1,2) \\ &= 1 - a_1 \left(\frac{0+9}{0+3} \right) - a_2 2 - a_3 (1+2) \\ &= \frac{-17}{20} < 0. \end{split}$$

(5). Let $\xi = 1$ and $\eta = 4$. Then,

$$\begin{split} \psi \left(\frac{1}{M(F1,G4,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f1,g4,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f1,F1,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(g4,G4,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f1G4,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(F1,g4,t)} - 1 \right) \\ &= \psi \left(\frac{1}{M(1,1,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(1,4,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(1,1,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(4,1,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(1,1,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(1,4,t)} - 1 \right) \\ &= \psi (0,3,0,3,0,3) \\ &= 0 - a_1 \left(\frac{0+9}{0+3} \right) - 3a_2 - a_3(0+3) \\ &= \frac{-21}{10} < 0. \end{split}$$

(6). Let $\xi = 3$ and $\eta = 4$. Then,

$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{M(F3,G4,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f3,g4,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f3,F3,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(g4,G4,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(f3,G4,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(F3,g4,t)} - 1\right)$$
$$= \psi\left(\frac{1}{M(1,1,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(3,4,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(3,1,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(4,1,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(3,1,t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(3,4,t)} - 1\right)$$

$$= \psi(0,1,3,4,3,1)$$

= 0 - a₁ $\left(\frac{9+16}{3+4}\right)$ - a₂ - a₃(3 + 1)
= $\frac{-55}{28} < 0.$

Therefore, all condition of Theorem 2.1 hold and S, T, I and J have a unique common fixedpoint ($\omega^* = 1$).

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTIONS

Both authors contributed equally and significantly to writing this paper. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- C. Alaca, D. Turkoglu, C. Yildiz, Fixed points in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Chaos Solitons Fractals, 29 (2006), 1073–1078.
- M. Azamand, M. Arshad, Common fixed points of generalized contractive maps in cone metric space, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. 35 (2009), 255–264.
- [3] C.D. Bari, C. Vetro, A fixed-point theorem for a family of mappings in a fuzzy metric space, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo II, 52 (2003), 315–321.
- [4] C.D. Bari, C. Vetro, Fixed points, attractors and weak fuzzy contractive mappings in a fuzzy metric space, J. Fuzzy Math. 13 (2005), 973–982.
- [5] D. Coker, An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 88 (1997), 81-89.
- [6] J. Danes, Two fixed-point theorems in topological and metric spaces, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 14 (1976), 259-265.
- [7] A. George, P. Veeramani, On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 64 (1994), 395–399.
- [8] D. Gopal, M. Imdad, C. Vetro, M. Hasan, Fixed-point theory for cyclic weak φ-contraction in fuzzy metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 2012 (201), Article ID jnaa-00110.
- [9] M. Grabiec, Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 27 (1988), 385-389.
- [10] G.E. Hardy, T.D. Rogers, A generalization of a fixed-point theorem of Reich, Canad. Math. Bull. 16 (1973), 201-206.

- [11] S.A. Husain, V.M. Sehgal, On common fixed points for a family of mappings. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 13 (1975), 261-267.
- [12] N. Hussain, S. Khaleghizadeh, P. Salimi, A.A.N. Abdou, A new approach to fixed-point results in triangular intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2014 (2014), Article ID 690139.
- [13] M. Imdad, J. Ali, Jungck's common fixed point theorem and E.A property, Acta. Math. Sin.-English Ser. 24 (2007), 87– 94.
- [14] M. Imdad, J. Ali, Pairwise coincidentally commuting mappings satisfying a relation inequality, Italian J. Pure Appl. Math. 20 (2006), 87-96.
- [15] M. Imdad, J. Ali, M. Tanveer, Coincidence and common fixed-point theorems for nonlinear contractions in Menger PM spaces, Chaos Solitons Fractals. 42 (2009), 3121-3129.
- [16] M. Imdad, A. Sharma, S. Chauhan, Some common fixed-point theorems in metric spaces under a different set of conditions, Novi Sad J. Math. 44 (2014), 183-199.
- [17] C. Ionescu, S. Rezapour, M.E. Samei, Fixed points of some new contractions on intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013 (2013), 168.
- [18] G.S. Jeong, B.E. Rhoades, Some remarks for improving fixed-point theorems for more than two maps, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 28 (1976), 1177-1196.
- [19] G. Jungck, Fixed-point for non-continuous non-self mappings on non-metric space, Far East J. Math. Sci. 4 (1996), 199– 212.
- [20] G. Jungck, Commuting mappings and fixed points. Amer. Math. Mon. 83 (1976), 261-263.
- [21] G. Jungck, B.E. Rhoades, Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 29 (1998), 227–238.
- [22] M.S. Khan, M. Imdad, A common fixed-point theorem for a class of mappings, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 14 (1983), 1220-1227.
- [23] I. Kramosil, J. Michalek, Fuzzy metrics and statistical metric spaces, Kybern-etika, 11 (1975), 336–344.
- [24] P.S. Kumari, V.V. Kumar, I.R. Sharma, Common fixed-point theorems on weakly compatible maps on dislocated metric spaces, Math. Sci. 6 (2012), 71.
- [25] S. Manro, A common fixed-point theorem for four mappings in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, Gulf J. Math. 2 (2014), 78-86.
- [26] S. Manro, A fixed-point theorem for a Meir-Keeler type contractive condition on an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, Pan Amer. Math. J. 24 (2014), 53–64.
- [27] S. Manro, R.K. Bisht, Common fixed points of minimal contractive conditions in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst. 27(2014), 761–768.
- [28] S. Manro, S. Kumar, S.S. Bhatia, Common fixed-point theorems for weakly compatible maps satisfying common (E.A) like property in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces using implicit relation, J. Indian Math. Soc. 81 (2014), 123-133.
- [29] S. Manro, S. Kumar, S.S. Bhatia, K. Tas, Common fixed-point theorems in modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, J.

Appl. Math. 2013 (2013), Article ID 189321.

- [30] S.V.R. Naidu, J.R. Prasad, Common fixed points for four self maps on a metric space, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 16 (1985), 1089-1103.
- [31] J.H. Park, Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Chaos Solitons Fractals, 22 (2004), 1039–1046.
- [32] J.S. Park, Y.C. Kwun, J.H. Park, A fixed-point theorem in the intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Far East J. Math. Sci. 16 (2005), 137–149.
- [33] V. Popa, Fixed-point theorems for implicit contractive mappings. Stud. Cercet. Stiint. Ser. Mat. Univ. Bacau, 7 (1997), 127-133.
- [34] V. Popa, M. Imdad, J. Ali, Using implicit relations to prove unified fixed-point theorems in metric and 2-metric spaces.
 Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2) 33 (2010), 105-120.
- [35] V. Popa, Some fixed-point theorems for compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation, Demonstr. Math. 32 (1999), 157-163.
- [36] M. Rafi, M.S.M. Noorani, Fixed-point theorem on intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Iran. J. Fuzzy Syst. 3 (2006), 23–29.
- [37] P. Salimi, C. Vetro, P. Vetro, Some new fixed-point results in non-Archimedean fuzzy metric spaces, Nonlinear Anal.: Model. Control, 18 (2013), 344–358.
- [38] B. Schweizer, A. Sklar, Statistical metric spaces, Pac. J. Math. 10 (1960), 313-334.
- [39] B. Schweizer, A. Sklar, Probabilistic metric spaces, North Holland Amsterdam, 1983.
- [40] Shen, Y., Qiu, D., Chen, W., "Fixed-point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces," Applied Mathematics Letters, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 138–141, 2012.
- [41] Singh, S.P., Meade, B.A., On common fixed-point theorems. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 16(1) (1977), 49-53.
- [42] C. Vetro, Fixed points in weak non-Archimedean fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 162 (2011), 84-90.
- [43] C. Vetro, D. Gopal, M. Imdad, Common fixed-point theorems for (φ, ψ)-weak contractions in fuzzy metric spaces, Indian
 J. Math. 52 (2010), 573–590.
- [44] C. Vetro, P. Vetro, Common fixed points for discontinuous mappings in fuzzy metric spaces, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, 57 (2008), 295–303.
- [45] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform. Comput. 8 (1965), 338-353.