Available online at http://scik.org

Adv. Fixed Point Theory, 5 (2015), No. 3, 342-358

ISSN: 1927-6303

COINCIDENCE POINT AND COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN CONE METRIC TYPE SPACES

YING HAN*, RUIDONG WANG

College of Science, Tianjin University of Technology, Tianjin 300384, China

Copyright © 2015 Han and Wang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which

permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In this paper, we prove coincidence point and common fixed point results of two, three and four self

mappings in normal cone metric type spaces. The results presented in this paper generalize some recent results

announced by many authors.

Keywords: Cone metric type space; Normal cone; Common fixed point; Coincidence point; Weakly compatible

mappings.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

1. Introduction

In 1989, The concept of b-metric space was introduced by Bakht, who used it to prove the

Banach contraction mapping principle [1-5]. In 2007, Huang and Zhang introduced cone metric

spaces and established fixed point theorems of nonlinear operators [8]. Since 2007, fixed point

problem in the framework of cone metric spaces have been extensive investigated by many

authors; see, for example, [2-12] and the references therein. As a generalization and unification

of cone metric spaces and b-metric spaces, Khamsi and Husssain defined a new type of spaces

*Corresponding author

Received April 26, 2015

342

which was called cone metric type spaces. For the results in the framework of cone metric type spaces, we refer authors to [13-15] and the references therein.

The aim of this paper is to obtain coincidence points and common fixed points for two, three and four self nonlinear mappings in a normal cone metric type spaces. The results presented in this paper generalize some recent results announced by many authors.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [7] A subset *P* of a real Banach space *E* is called a cone if it has the following properties:

- (1) *P* is non-empty, closed and $P \neq \{\theta\}$;
- (2) $0 \le a, b \in R$ and $x, y \in P \Rightarrow ax + by \in P$;
- (3) $P \cap (-P) = \{\theta\}.$

For a given cone $P \subseteq E$, a partial ordering \leq on E with respect to P by $x \leq y$ if and only if $y - x \in P$. We use $x \ll y$ for $y - x \in intP$, intP stands for the interior of P.

Definition 2.2. [7] A cone P is said to be normal if there exists a constant $\kappa > 0$ such that

$$||x|| \le \kappa ||y||$$
, for all $x, y \in E$, $\theta \le x \le y$.

The least number κ is called the normal constant of P.

Definition 2.3. [16,17] Let X be a nonempty set, $s \ge 1$ be a real number and E a real Banach space with cone P. Suppose that the mapping $d: X \times X \to E$ satisfies:

- (1) $d(x,y) \ge \theta$ for all $x,y \in X$ and $d(x,y) = \theta$ if and only if x = y;
- (2) d(x,y) = d(y,x) for all $x, y \in X$;
- (3) $d(x,z) \le s[d(x,y) + d(y,z)]$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then d is called a cone metric type on X and (X,d,s) is called a cone metric type space.

Example 2.4. [13] Let $B = \{e_i | i = 1, 2..., n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n with inner product (\cdot, \cdot) and p > 0. Define

$$X_p = \{[x]|x:[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^n, \int_0^1 |(x(t),e_j)|^p dt, \quad j = 1,2,...,n\},$$

where [x] represents the class of equivalence of x with respect to relation of functions equal almost everywhere. Let $E = \mathbb{R}^n$ and

$$P_B = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n | (y, e_i) \ge 0, i = 1, 2, ..., n \}$$

be a solid cone. Define $d: X_P \times X_P \to P_B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$d(f,g) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i \int_0^1 |((f-g)(t), e_i)|^p dt, \quad f, g \in X_p.$$

Then (X_P, d, s) is a cone metric type space with $s = 2^{p-1}$.

Definition 2.5. [16] Let (X,d,s) be a cone metric type space, x_n a sequence in X and $x \in X$.

- (1) $\{x_n\}$ converges to x if for $\forall c \in E$ with $0 \ll c$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_n, x) \ll c$ for all $n > n_0$, and we write $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, x) = \theta$.
- (2) $\{x_n\}$ is called a Cauchy sequence if for $\forall c \in E$ with $0 \ll c$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_n, x_m) \ll c$ for all $m, n > n_0$, and we write $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, x_m) = \theta$.

Lemma 2.6. [10] Let (X,d,s) be a cone metric type space and P a normal cone, then

- (1) $\{x_n\}$ converges to x if and only if $d(x_n, x) \to \theta$, as $n \to \infty$;
- (2) $\{x_n\}$ is called a Cauchy sequence if and only if $d(x_n, x_m) \to \theta$, as $n, m \to \infty$.

Definition 2.7. [18] Let f and g be self-mappings on a set X, if

$$w = fx = gx$$
 for some x in X ,

then x is called coincidence point of f and g, w is called a point of coincidence of f and g.

Definition 2.8. [18] Let f and g be self-mappings on a set X, if fgw = gfw for all coincidence points w, then the pair (f,T) is said to be weakly compatible.

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. Let (X,d,s) be a cone metric type space with coefficient $s \ge 1$ and P a normal cone with normal constant κ . Suppose the mappings $f,g:X \to X$ for all $x,y \in X$ satisfy:

$$d(fx, fy) \le a_1 d(gx, gy) + a_2 d(fx, gx) + a_3 d(fy, gy) + a_4 d(fx, gy) + a_5 d(fy, gx)$$

where $a_i \ge 0, i = 1, \dots, 5$ with

$$2sa_1 + (s+1)(a_2+a_3) + (s^2+s)(a_4+a_5) < 2. (3.1)$$

Also, suppose that $f(X) \subseteq g(X)$ and g(X) is a complete subspace of X. Then f and g have a unique point of coincidence. Moreover, if (f,g) is weakly compatible, then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X. Since $f(X) \subset g(X)$, we can choose a point x_1 in X such that $fx_0 = gx_1$. Similarly, choose a point x_2 in X such that $fx_1 = gx_2$. Continuing this process, we obtain the sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $fx_n = gx_{n+1}$ for all $n \ge 0$. Then

$$d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) \leq a_1 d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + a_2 d(fx_n, gx_n) + a_3 d(fx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1})$$

$$+ a_4 d(fx_n, gx_{n-1}) + a_5 d(fx_{n-1}, gx_n)$$

$$= a_1 d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + a_2 d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) + a_3 d(gx_n, gx_{n-1})$$

$$+ a_4 d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n-1}) + a_5 d(gx_n, gx_n)$$

$$\leq a_1 d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + a_2 d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) + a_3 d(gx_n, gx_{n-1})$$

$$+ sa_4 d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + sa_4 d(gx_n, gx_{n+1})$$

$$= (a_1 + a_3 + sa_4) d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + (a_2 + sa_4) d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n),$$

and

$$d(gx_{n}, gx_{n+1}) = d(fx_{n-1}, fx_{n})$$

$$\leq a_{1}d(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n}) + a_{2}d(fx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}) + a_{3}d(fx_{n}, gx_{n})$$

$$+ a_{4}d(fx_{n-1}, gx_{n}) + a_{5}d(fx_{n}, gx_{n-1})$$

$$= a_{1}d(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n}) + a_{2}d(gx_{n}, gx_{n-1}) + a_{3}d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n})$$

$$+ a_{4}d(gx_{n}, gx_{n}) + a_{5}d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n-1})$$

$$\leq a_{1}d(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n}) + a_{2}d(gx_{n}, gx_{n-1}) + a_{3}d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n})$$

$$+ sa_{5}d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n}) + sa_{5}d(gx_{n}, gx_{n-1})$$

$$= (a_{1} + a_{2} + sa_{5})d(gx_{n}, gx_{n-1}) + (a_{3} + sa_{5})d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n}).$$

Adding the last two inequalities, we have

$$2d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \le (2a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + sa_4 + sa_5)d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + (a_2 + a_3 + sa_4 + sa_5)d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}).$$

Then

$$d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \le \frac{2a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + sa_4 + sa_5}{2 - a_2 - a_3 - sa_4 - sa_5} d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}),$$

for all $n \ge 0$. Put

$$\lambda = \frac{2a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + sa_4 + sa_5}{2 - a_2 - a_3 - sa_4 - sa_5}.$$

It follows that $s\lambda < 1$ and $d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \le \lambda d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) \le \lambda^n d(gx_0, gx_1)$. Now for m > n, we have

$$d(gx_{n}, gx_{m}) \leq sd(gx_{n}, gx_{n+1}) + s^{2}d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) + \dots + s^{m-n-1}d(gx_{m-2}, gx_{m-1})$$

$$+ s^{m-n}d(gx_{m-1}, gx_{m})$$

$$\leq (s\lambda^{n} + s^{2}\lambda^{n+1} + \dots + s^{m-n-1}\lambda^{m-2} + s^{m-n}\lambda^{m-1})d(gx_{0}, gx_{1})$$

$$\leq \frac{s\lambda^{n}}{1 - s\lambda}d(gx_{0}, gx_{1}).$$

Since P is a normal cone with normal constant κ , we have

$$\parallel d(gx_n,gx_m) \parallel \leq \kappa \frac{s\lambda^n}{1-s\lambda} \parallel d(gx_0,gx_1) \parallel,$$

Thus, if $n, m \to \infty$, then $d(gx_n, gx_m) \to \theta$. Hence, $\{gx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since g(X) is complete, there exist $u, v \in X$ such that $gx_n \to v = gu$. Since

$$\begin{split} d(gx_n, fu) &= d(fx_{n-1}, fu) \\ &\leq a_1 d(gx_{n-1}, gu) + a_2 d(fx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}) + a_3 d(fu, gu) \\ &+ a_4 d(fx_{n-1}, gu) + a_5 d(fu, gx_{n-1}) \\ &= a_1 d(gx_{n-1}, v) + a_2 d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + a_3 d(fu, v) \\ &+ a_4 d(gx_n, v) + a_5 d(fu, gx_{n-1}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(gx_{n-1}, v) + a_2 d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + sa_3 d(fu, gx_n) + sa_3 d(gx_n, v) \\ &+ a_4 d(gx_n, v) + sa_5 d(fu, gx_n) + sa_5 d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}), \end{split}$$

we find that

$$d(gx_n, fu) \le \frac{1}{1 - sa_3 - sa_5} [a_1 d(gx_{n-1}, v) + (a_2 + sa_5) d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + (sa_3 + a_4) d(gx_n, v)].$$

Hence, we have

$$|| d(gx_n, fu) || \le \frac{\kappa}{1 - sa_3 - sa_5} || a_1 d(gx_{n-1}, v) + (a_2 + sa_5) d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + (a_4 + sa_3) d(gx_n, v) ||.$$

If $n \to \infty$, then we have $d(gx_n, fu) \to \theta$, Also, $d(gx_n, gu) \to \theta$ as $n \to \infty$. The uniqueness of a limit in a cone metric type space implies that fu = gu = v. Now we show that f and g have a unique point of coincidence. For this end, assume that there exists another point u^* in X such that $fu^* = gu^* = v^*$. Then

$$d(v,v^*) = d(fu,fu^*)$$

$$\leq a_1 d(gu,gu^*) + a_2 d(fu,gu) + a_3 d(fu^*,gu^*)$$

$$+ a_4 d(fu,gu^*) + a_5 d(fu^*,gu)$$

$$= a_1 d(v,v^*) + a_2 d(v,v) + a_3 d(v^*,v^*)$$

$$+ a_4 d(v,v^*) + a_5 d(v^*,v)$$

$$\leq (a_1 + a_4 + a_5) d(v,v^*),$$

which gives a contraction, Hence,we have $v = v^*$. If (f,g) is weakly compatible, then fv = fgu = gfu = gv. So u = v by uniqueness. Thus v is the unique common fixed point of f and g.

Corollary 3.2. Let (X,d,s) be a cone metric type space with coefficient $s \ge 1$ and P a normal cone with normal constant κ . Suppose the mappings f and g be self-mappings on X, such that $f(X) \subseteq g(X)$ and g(X) is a complete subspace of X. Suppose that one of the following conditions holds:

(1)
$$d(fx, fy) \le a_1 d(gx, gy) + a_2 d(fx, gx) + a_3 d(fy, gy)$$
,
for all $x, y \in X$, where $a_1, a_2, a_3 \ge 0$ and $2sa_1 + (s+1)(a_2 + a_3) < 2$.

$$(2) d(fx, fy) \le a_1 d(gx, gy) + a_2 d(fx, gy) + a_3 d(fy, gx),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $a_1, a_2, a_3 \ge 0$ and $2sa_1 + (s^2 + s)(a_2 + a_3) < 2$.

(3)
$$d(fx, fy) \le a_1 d(fx, gx) + a_2 d(fy, gy)$$
,

for all $x, y \in X$, where $a_1, a_2 \ge 0$ and $a_1 + a_2 < \frac{2}{s+1}$.

$$(4) d(fx, fy) \le a_1 d(fx, gy) + a_2 d(fy, gx),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $a_1, a_2 \ge 0$ and $a_1 + a_2 < \frac{2}{s^2 + s}$.

$$(5) d(fx, fy) \le a_1 d(gx, gy),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $0 < a_1 < \frac{1}{s}$.

Then f and g have a unique point of coincidence. Moreover, if (f,g) is weakly compatible, then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Putting $g = i_X$ in Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2, we get the following results.

Corollary 3.3. Let (X,d,s) be a cone metric type space with coefficient $s \ge 1$ and P a normal cone with normal constant κ .Let $f: X \to X$ be a map such that f(X) is a complete subspace of X. Suppose that one of the following conditions holds:

$$(1) d(fx, fy) \le a_1 d(x, y) + a_2 d(fx, x) + a_3 d(fy, y) + a_4 d(fx, y) + a_5 d(fy, x),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 \ge 0$ with $2sa_1 + (s+1)(a_2 + a_3) + (s^2 + s)(a_4 + a_5) < 2$.

$$(2) d(fx, fy) \le a_1 d(x, y) + a_2 d(fx, x) + a_3 d(fy, y),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $a_1, a_2, a_3 \ge 0$ and $2sa_1 + (s+1)(a_2 + a_3) < 2$.

$$(3) d(fx, fy) \le a_1 d(x, y) + a_2 d(fx, y) + a_3 d(fy, x),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $a_1, a_2, a_3 \ge 0$ and $2sa_1 + (s^2 + s)(a_2 + a_3) < 2$.

(4)
$$d(fx, fy) \le a_1 d(fx, x) + a_2 d(fy, y)$$
,

for all $x, y \in X$, where $a_1, a_2 \ge 0$ and $a_1 + a_2 < \frac{2}{s+1}$.

$$(5) d(fx, fy) \le a_1 d(fx, y) + a_2 d(fy, x),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $a_1, a_2 \ge 0$ and $a_1 + a_2 < \frac{2}{s^2 + s}$.

$$(6) d(fx, fy) \le a_1 d(x, y),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $0 < a_1 < \frac{1}{s}$.

Then f has a unique fixed point.

Theorem 3.4. Let(X,d,s) be a cone metric type space with coefficient $s \ge 1$ and P a normal cone with normal constant κ . Suppose the mappings S,T and f are three self-mappings on X,

satisfy: $d(Sx, Ty) \le a_1 d(fx, fy) + a_2 d(Sx, fx) + a_3 d(Ty, fy) + a_4 d(Sx, fy) + a_5 d(Ty, fx)$ for all $x, y \in X$, where $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 \ge 0$ with

$$2sa_1 + (s+1)(a_2+a_3) + (s^2+s)(a_4+a_5) < 2. (3.2)$$

Also, suppose that $S(X) \cup T(X) \subseteq f(X)$ and f(X) is a complete subspace of X. Then S,T and f have a unique point of coincidence. Moreover, if (S,f) and (T,f) are weakly compatible, then S,T and f have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X. Since $S(X) \cup T(X) \subseteq f(X)$, we can choose a point x_1 in X such that $Sx_0 = fx_1$. Similarly, choose a point x_2 in X such that $Tx_1 = fx_2$. Continuing this process, we obtain the sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $fx_{2k+1} = Sx_{2k}$, $fx_{2k+2} = Tx_{2k+1}$, for all $k \ge 0$. Then

$$\begin{split} d(fx_{2k+1},fx_{2k+2}) &\leq a_1 d(fx_{2k},fx_{2k+1}) + a_2 d(Sx_{2k},fx_{2k}) + a_3 d(Tx_{2k+1},fx_{2k+1}) \\ &\quad + a_4 d(Sx_{2k},fx_{2k+1}) + a_5 d(Tx_{2k+1},fx_{2k}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(fx_{2k},fx_{2k+1}) + a_2 d(fx_{2k+1},fx_{2k}) + a_3 d(fx_{2k+2},fx_{2k+1}) \\ &\quad + a_4 d(fx_{2k+1},fx_{2k+1}) + a_5 d(fx_{2k+2},fx_{2k}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(fx_{2k},fx_{2k+1}) + a_2 d(fx_{2k+1},fx_{2k}) + a_3 d(fx_{2k+2},fx_{2k+1}) \\ &\quad + sa_5 d(fx_{2k+2},fx_{2k+1}) + sa_5 d(fx_{2k+1},fx_{2k}), \end{split}$$

which implies that

$$d(fx_{2k+1}, fx_{2k+2}) \le \frac{a_1 + a_2 + sa_5}{1 - a_3 - sa_5} d(fx_{2k}, fx_{2k+1}).$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{split} d(fx_{2k+3},fx_{2k+2}) &\leq a_1 d(fx_{2k+2},fx_{2k+1}) + a_2 d(Sx_{2k+2},fx_{2k+2}) + a_3 d(Tx_{2k+1},fx_{2k+1}) \\ &\quad + a_4 d(Sx_{2k+2},fx_{2k+1}) + a_5 d(Tx_{2k+1},fx_{2k+2}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(fx_{2k+2},fx_{2k+1}) + a_2 d(fx_{2k+3},fx_{2k+2}) + a_3 d(fx_{2k+2},fx_{2k+1}) \\ &\quad + a_4 d(fx_{2k+3},fx_{2k+1}) + a_5 d(fx_{2k+2},fx_{2k+2}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(fx_{2k+2},fx_{2k+1}) + a_2 d(fx_{2k+3},fx_{2k+2}) + a_3 d(fx_{2k+2},fx_{2k+1}) \\ &\quad + sa_4 d(fx_{2k+3},fx_{2k+2}) + sa_4 d(fx_{2k+2},fx_{2k+1}). \end{split}$$

Hence, we have

$$d(fx_{2k+2}, fx_{2k+3}) \le \frac{a_1 + a_3 + sa_4}{1 - a_2 - sa_4} d(fx_{2k+1}, fx_{2k+2}).$$

Let

$$\lambda = \frac{a_1 + a_2 + sa_5}{1 - a_3 - sa_5}, \mu = \frac{a_1 + a_3 + sa_4}{1 - a_2 - sa_4}.$$

By induction, we have

$$d(fx_{2k+1}, fx_{2k+2}) \le \lambda d(fx_{2k}, fx_{2k+1})$$

$$\le \lambda \mu d(fx_{2k-1}, fx_{2k})$$

$$\le \lambda \mu \lambda d(fx_{2k-2}, fx_{2k-1})$$

$$\le \dots \le \lambda (\mu \lambda)^k d(fx_{0}, fx_{1})$$

and

$$d(fx_{2k+2}, fx_{2k+3}) \le \mu d(fx_{2k+1}, fx_{2k+2})$$

$$\le \mu \lambda d(fx_{2k}, fx_{2k+1})$$

$$\le \dots \le (\mu \lambda)^{k+1} d(fx_0, fx_1)$$

for all $k \ge 0$. From the condition (2.2), we have $\lambda \mu < \frac{1}{s^2}$. Now, for p < q, we have

$$\begin{split} d(fx_{2p}, fx_{2q+1}) &\leq sd(fx_{2p}, fx_{2p+1}) + s^2d(fx_{2p+1}, fx_{2p+2}) + s^3d(fx_{2p+2}, fx_{2p+3}) \\ &+ \dots + s^{2q-2p+1}d(fx_{2q}, fx_{2q+1}) \\ &\leq s(\lambda\mu)^p d(fx_0, fx_1) + s^2\lambda(\lambda\mu)^p d(fx_0, fx_1) + s^3(\lambda\mu)^{p+1}d(fx_0, fx_1) \\ &+ \dots + s^{2q-2p+1}(\lambda\mu)^{q+1}d(fx_0, fx_1) \\ &\leq [\frac{s(\lambda\mu)^p}{1 - s^2(\lambda\mu)} + \frac{s^2\lambda(\lambda\mu)^p}{1 - s^2(\lambda\mu)}]d(fx_0, fx_1) \\ &\leq (1 + s\lambda)\frac{s(\lambda\mu)^p}{1 - s^2(\lambda\mu)}d(fx_0, fx_1). \end{split}$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$d(fx_{2p}, fx_{2q}) \le (1 + s\lambda) \frac{s(\lambda \mu)^p}{1 - s^2(\lambda \mu)} d(fx_0, fx_1),$$

$$d(fx_{2p+1}, fx_{2q}) \le (1+s\mu) \frac{s\lambda(\lambda\mu)^p}{1-s^2(\lambda\mu)} d(fx_0, fx_1),$$

$$d(fx_{2p+1}, fx_{2q+1}) \le (1+s\mu) \frac{s\lambda(\lambda\mu)^p}{1-s^2(\lambda\mu)} d(fx_0, fx_1).$$

Hence, for 0 < n < m, there exists p < n < m such that $p \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, and

$$d(fx_n, fx_m) \leq \max\{(1+s\lambda)\frac{s(\lambda\mu)^p}{1-s^2(\lambda\mu)}, (1+s\mu)\frac{s\lambda(\lambda\mu)^p}{1-s^2(\lambda\mu)}\}d(fx_0, fx_1).$$

Since P is a normal cone with normal constant κ , we have

$$\| d(fx_n, fx_m) \| \le \kappa \max\{(1+s\lambda) \frac{s(\lambda\mu)^p}{1-s^2(\lambda\mu)}, (1+s\mu) \frac{s\lambda(\lambda\mu)^p}{1-s^2(\lambda\mu)} \} \| d(fx_0, fx_1) \|.$$

Since $\lambda \mu < \frac{1}{s^2}$, we have if $n, m \to \infty$. Then

$$\max\{(1+s\lambda)\frac{s(\lambda\mu)^p}{1-s^2(\lambda\mu)},(1+s\mu)\frac{s\lambda(\lambda\mu)^p}{1-s^2(\lambda\mu)}\}\to 0.$$

So $d(fx_n, fx_m) \to \theta$. Hence, $\{fx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since f(X) is complete, there exist $u, v \in X$ such that $fx_n \to fu = v$. Since

$$\begin{split} d(Su,fu) &\leq sd(fu,fx_{2n}) + sd(fx_{2n},Su) \\ &= sd(fu,fx_{2n}) + sd(Tx_{2n-1},Su) \\ &\leq sd(fu,fx_{2n}) + sa_1d(fu,fx_{2n-1}) + sa_2d(Su,fu) + sa_3d(Tx_{2n-1},fx_{2n-1}) \\ &+ sa_4d(Su,fx_{2n-1}) + sa_5d(Tx_{2n-1},fu) \\ &\leq sd(fu,fx_{2n}) + sa_1d(fu,fx_{2n-1}) + sa_2d(Su,fu) + sa_3d(fx_{2n},fx_{2n-1}) \\ &+ sa_4d(Su,fx_{2n-1}) + sa_5d(fx_{2n},fu) \\ &\leq sd(fu,fx_{2n}) + sa_1d(fu,fx_{2n-1}) + sa_2d(Su,fu) + sa_3d(fx_{2n},fx_{2n-1}) \\ &+ s^2a_4d(Su,fu) + s^2a_4d(fu,fx_{2n-1}) + sa_5d(fx_{2n},fu), \end{split}$$

we find that

$$d(Su, fu)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{1 - sa_2 - s^2 a_4} [sd(fu, fx_{2n}) + sa_1 d(fu, fx_{2n-1}) + sa_3 d(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n-1}) + s^2 a_4 d(fu, fx_{2n-1}) + sa_5 d(fx_{2n}, fu)].$$

Hence, we have

$$\| d(Su, fu) \|$$

$$\leq \frac{\kappa}{1 - sa_2 - s^2 a_4} \| sd(fu, fx_{2n}) + sa_1 d(fu, fx_{2n-1}) + sa_3 d(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n-1})$$

$$+ s^2 a_4 d(fu, fx_{2n-1}) + sa_5 d(fx_{2n}, fu) \| .$$

If $n \to \infty$, then we have ||d(fu,Su)|| = 0. Hence, fu = Su. Similarly, we can show that fu = Tu, that is, v = fu = Su = Tu. Now we show that S,T and f have a unique point of coincidence. For this, assume that there exists another point u^* in X such that $fu^* = Su^* = Tu^* = v^*$. Then

$$d(v,v^*) = d(Su,Tu^*)$$

$$\leq a_1 d(fu,fu^*) + a_2 d(Su,fu) + a_3 d(Tu^*,fu^*)$$

$$+ a_4 d(Su,fu^*) + a_5 d(Tu^*,fu)$$

$$= a_1 d(v,v^*) + a_2 d(v,v) + a_3 d(v^*,v^*)$$

$$+ a_4 d(v,v^*) + a_5 d(v^*,v)$$

$$\leq (a_1 + a_4 + a_5) d(v,v^*),$$

which gives a contraction, Hence, we have $v = v^*$. If (S, f) and (T, f) are weakly compatible, then Sv = Sfu = fSu = fv and Tv = Tfu = fTu = fv It implies that Sv = Tv = fv. So u = v by uniqueness. Thus v is the unique common fixed point of S, T and f.

Corollary 3.5. Let (X,d,s) be a cone metric type space with coefficient $s \ge 1$ and P a normal cone with normal constant κ . Suppose the mappings S,T and f be self-mappings on X, such that $S(X) \bigcup T(X) \subseteq f(X)$ and f(X) is a complete subspace of X. Suppose that one of the following two conditions holds:

$$(1) \ d(Sx,Ty) \leq a_1 d(fx,fy) + a_2 d(Sx,fx) + a_3 d(Ty,fy)$$

$$for \ all \ x,y \in X, \ where \ a_1,a_2,a_3 \geq 0 \ and \ 2sa_1 + (s+1)(a_2+a_3) < 2.$$

$$(2) \ d(Sx,Ty) \leq a_1 d(fx,fy) + a_2 d(Sx,fy) + a_3 d(Ty,fx)$$

$$for \ all \ x,y \in X, \ where \ a_1,a_2,a_3 \geq 0 \ and \ 2sa_1 + (s^2+s)(a_2+a_3) < 2.$$

$$(3) \ d(Sx,Ty) \leq a_1 d(Sx,fx) + a_2 d(Ty,fy)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $a_1, a_2 \ge 0$ and $a_1 + a_2 < \frac{2}{s+1}$.

$$(4) d(Sx, Ty) \le a_1 d(Sx, fy) + a_2 d(Ty, fx)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $a_1, a_2 \ge 0$ and $a_1 + a_2 < \frac{2}{s^2 + s}$.

$$(5) d(Sx, Ty) \le a_1 d(fx, fy)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $a_1 \ge 0$ and $a_1 < \frac{1}{s}$.

Then S,T and f have a unique point of coincidence. Moreover, if (S,f) and (T,f) are weakly compatible, then S,T and f have a unique common fixed point.

Theorem 3.6. Let (X,d,s) be a cone metric type space with coefficient $s \ge 1$ and P a normal cone with normal constant κ . Suppose the mappings f,g,S and T be self-mappings on X, satisfying:

$$d(fx,gy) \le a_1 d(Sx,Ty) + a_2 d(fx,Sx) + a_3 d(gy,Ty) + a_4 d(fx,Ty) + a_5 d(gy,Sx)$$

for all $x,y \in X$, where $a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4,a_5 \ge 0$ with

$$2sa_1 + (s+1)(a_2+a_3) + (s^2+s)(a_4+a_5) < 2$$
(3.3).

Also, suppose that $f(X) \subset T(X)$, $g(X) \subset S(X)$ and one of f(X), g(X), S(X), T(X) is a complete subspace of X. Then (f,S) and (g,T) have a common point of coincidence. Moreover, if (f,S) and (g,T) are weakly compatible, then f,g,S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X. Since $f(X) \subset T(X), g(X) \subset S(X)$, we can choose a point x_1 in X such that $fx_0 = Tx_1$. Similarly, choose a point x_2 in X such that $gx_1 = Sx_2$. Continuing this process, we obtain the sequence $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ by $y_{2n-1} = fx_{2n-2} = Tx_{2n-1}, y_{2n} = gx_{2n-1} = Sx_{2n}$, for all $n \ge 0$. Then

$$d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) = d(fx_{2n-2}, gx_{2n-1})$$

$$\leq a_1 d(Sx_{2n-2}, Tx_{2n-1}) + a_2 d(fx_{2n-2}, Sx_{2n-2}) + a_3 d(gx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1})$$

$$+ a_4 d(fx_{2n-2}, Tx_{2n-1}) + a_5 d(gx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n-2})$$

$$\leq a_1 d(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1}) + a_2 d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n-2}) + a_3 d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})$$

$$+ a_4 d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n-1}) + a_5 d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-2})$$

$$\leq a_1 d(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1}) + a_2 d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n-2}) + a_3 d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})$$

$$+ sa_5 d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + sa_5 d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n-2}),$$

which implies that $d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \le \frac{a_1 + a_2 + sa_5}{1 - a_3 - sa_5} d(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1})$. Similarly we can show that

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \le \frac{a_1 + a_3 + sa_4}{1 - a_2 - sa_4} d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}).$$

Letting $\lambda = \max\{\frac{a_1 + a_2 + sa_5}{1 - a_3 - sa_5}, \frac{a_1 + a_3 + sa_4}{1 - a_2 - sa_4}\}$, we know that $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{s}$. Therefore $d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \le \lambda d(y_{n-1}, y_n) \le \lambda^n d(y_0, y_1)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, for m > n we have

$$d(y_{n}, y_{m}) \leq sd(y_{n}, y_{n+1}) + s^{2}d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + s^{m-n-1}d(y_{m-2}, y_{m-1})$$

$$+ s^{m-n}d(y_{m-1}, y_{m})$$

$$\leq (s\lambda^{n} + s^{2}\lambda^{n+1} + \dots + s^{m-n-1}\lambda^{m-2} + s^{m-n}\lambda^{m-1})d(y_{0}, y_{1})$$

$$\leq \frac{s\lambda^{n}}{1 - s\lambda}d(y_{0}, y_{1})$$

Since P is a normal cone with normal constant κ , we have $\|d(y_n, y_m)\| \le \kappa \frac{s\lambda^n}{1-s\lambda} \|d(y_0, y_1)\|$ Thus, if $n, m \to \infty$, then $d(y_n, y_m) \to 0$. Hence, $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose that S(X) is complete. Then there exist $u, v \in X$ such that $Sx_{2n} = y_{2n} \to v = Su$. We claim that fu = v. For this end, consider

$$\begin{split} d(fu,v) &\leq sd(fu,gx_{2n-1}) + sd(gx_{2n-1},v) \\ &\leq sa_1d(Su,Tx_{2n-1}) + sa_2d(fu,Su) + sa_3d(gx_{2n-1},Tx_{2n-1}) \\ &+ sa_4d(fu,Tx_{2n-1}) + sa_5d(gx_{2n-1},Su) + sd(gx_{2n-1},v) \\ &= sa_1d(v,y_{2n-1}) + sa_2d(fu,v) + sa_3d(y_{2n},y_{2n-1}) \\ &+ sa_4d(fu,y_{2n-1}) + sa_5d(y_{2n},v) + sd(y_{2n},v) \\ &\leq sa_1d(v,y_{2n-1}) + sa_2d(fu,v) + sa_3d(y_{2n},y_{2n-1}) \\ &+ s^2a_4d(fu,v) + s^2a_4d(v,y_{2n-1}) + sa_5d(y_{2n},v) + sd(y_{2n},v). \end{split}$$

It implies that

$$d(fu,v)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{1-sa_2-s^2a_4}[sa_1d(v,y_{2n-1})+sa_3d(y_{2n},y_{2n-1}) + s^2a_4d(v,y_{2n-1})+(sa_5+s)d(y_{2n},v)].$$

Hence, we have

$$|| d(fu,v) ||$$

$$\leq \frac{\kappa}{1 - sa_2 - s^2 a_4} || sa_1 d(v, y_{2n-1}) + sa_3 d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + s^2 a_4 d(v, y_{2n-1}) + (sa_5 + s) d(y_{2n}, v) || .$$

If $n \to \infty$, then we have ||d(fu,v)|| = 0. Hence, fu = v = Su. Since $v \in f(X) \subset T(X)$, there exists a point $w \in X$ such that Tw = v. Now we will show that gw = v. Since

$$d(gw,v) \leq sd(fx_{2n},gw) + sd(fx_{2n},v)$$

$$\leq sa_1d(Sx_{2n},Tw) + sa_2d(fx_{2n},Sx_{2n}) + sa_3d(gw,Tw)$$

$$+ sa_4d(fx_{2n},Tw) + sa_5d(gw,Sx_{2n}) + sd(fx_{2n},v)$$

$$= sa_1d(y_{2n},v) + sa_2d(y_{2n+1},y_{2n}) + sa_3d(gw,v)$$

$$+ sa_4d(y_{2n+1},v) + sa_5d(gw,y_{2n}) + sd(y_{2n+1},v)$$

$$\leq sa_1d(y_{2n},v) + sa_2d(y_{2n+1},y_{2n}) + sa_3d(gw,v)$$

$$+ sa_4d(y_{2n+1},v) + s^2a_5d(y_{2n},v) + s^2a_5d(gw,v) + sd(y_{2n+1},v).$$

It implies that

$$d(gw,v)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{1-sa_3-s^2a_5}[sa_1d(y_{2n},v)+sa_2d(y_{2n},y_{2n+1})$$

$$+(sa_4+s)d(y_{2n+1},v)+s^2a_5d(y_{2n},v)].$$

Hence, we have

$$|| d(gw,v) ||$$

$$\leq \frac{\kappa}{1 - sa_3 - s^2 a_5} || sa_1 d(v, y_{2n}) + sa_2 d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + (sa_4 + s) d(v, y_{2n+1}) + s^2 a_5 d(y_{2n}, v) || .$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ in the above equality, we get ||d(gw, v)|| = 0. Hence, gw = v = Tw. Thus (f, S) and (g, T) have a common point of coincidence in X. Now if (f, S) and (g, T) are weakly

compatible, then $fv = fSu = Sfu = Sv = w_1$ (say) and $gv = gTw = Tgw = Tv = w_2$ (say). Since

$$\begin{split} d(w_1,w_2) &= d(fv,gv) \\ &\leq a_1 d(Sv,Tv) + a_2 d(fv,Sv) + a_3 d(gv,Tv) + a_4 d(fv,Tv) + a_5 d(gv,Sv) \\ &\leq a_1 d(w_1,w_2) + a_2 d(w_1,w_1) + a_3 d(w_2,w_2) + a_4 d(w_1,w_2) + a_5 d(w_2,w_1) \\ &\leq (a_1 + a_4 + a_5) d(w_1,w_2), \end{split}$$

we find a contradiction. Thus we have $d(w_1, w_2) = 0$, that is, $w_1 = w_2$. Hence, we have fv = gv = Sv = Tv. Now we shall show that fv = v. Since

$$d(fv,v) = d(fv,gw)$$

$$\leq a_1 d(Sv,Tw) + a_2 d(fv,Sv) + a_3 d(gw,Tw) + a_4 d(fv,Tw) + a_5 d(gw,Sv)$$

$$\leq a_1 d(fv,v) + a_2 d(fv,fv) + a_3 d(v,v) + a_4 d(fv,v) + a_5 d(v,fv)$$

$$\leq (a_1 + a_4 + a_5) d(fv,v),$$

which is a contradiction. Thus we have fv = v, and v is a common fixed point of f, g, S and T. Next we prove the uniqueness. Let v^* be another fixed point. Then

$$d(v, v^*) = d(fv, gv^*)$$

$$\leq a_1 d(Sv, Tv^*) + a_2 d(fv, Sv) + a_3 d(gv^*, Tv^*) + a_4 d(fv, Tv^*) + a_5 d(gv^*, Sv)$$

$$\leq a_1 d(v, v^*) + a_2 d(v, v) + a_3 d(v^*, v^*) + a_4 d(v, v^*) + a_5 d(v^*, v)$$

$$\leq (a_1 + a_4 + a_5) d(v, v^*),$$

which is a contradiction. Thus we have $v = v^*$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 3.7. Let (X,d,s) be a cone metric type space with coefficient $s \ge 1$ and P a normal cone with normal constant κ . Suppose the mappings f,g,S and T be self-mappings on X, such that $f(X) \subset T(X), g(X) \subset S(X)$ and one of f(X), g(X), S(X), T(X) is a complete subspace of X. Suppose that one of the following conditions holds:

(1)
$$d(fx,gy) \le a_1d(Sx,Ty) + a_2d(fx,Sx) + a_3d(gy,Ty)$$
,
where $a_1,a_2,a_3 \ge 0$ and $2sa_1 + (s+1)(a_2+a_3) < 2$.

(2)
$$d(fx, gy) \le a_1 d(Sx, Ty) + a_2 d(fx, Ty) + a_3 d(gy, Sx)$$
,

where
$$a_1, a_2, a_3 \ge 0$$
 and $2sa_1 + (s^2 + s)(a_2 + a_3) < 2$.

(3)
$$d(fx, gy) \le a_1 d(fx, Sx) + a_2 d(gy, Ty)$$
,

where
$$a_1, a_2, a_3 \ge 0$$
 and $a_1 + a_2 < \frac{2}{s+1}$.

$$(4)d(fx,gy) \le a_1d(fx,Ty) + a_2d(gy,Sx),$$

where
$$a_1, a_2, a_3 \ge 0$$
 and $a_1 + a_2 < \frac{2}{s^2 + s}$.

$$(5) d(fx, gy) \le a_1 d(Sx, Ty)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $a_1 \ge 0$ and $a_1 < \frac{1}{s}$.

Then (f,S) and (g,T) have a common point of coincidence. Moreover, if (f,S) and (g,T) are weakly compatible, then f,g,S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces, Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav.1 (1993), 5-11.
- [2] IA. Bakhtin, The contraction mapping principle in quasi metric spaces, Funct. Anal. Ulyanovsk Gos. Ped. Inst. 30 (1989), 26-37.
- [3] S. Czerwik, Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces, Atti Semin. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena.46 (1998), 263-276.
- [4] V. Berinde, Generalized contractions in quasi metric spaces, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory 3 (1993), 3-9.
- [5] M. Boriceanu, M. Bota, A. Petru, Multi valued fractals in b-metric spaces, Cent. Eur. J. Math. 8 (2010), 367-377.
- [6] M. Abbas, G. Jungck, Common fixed point results for non commuting mappings without continuity in cone metric spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 341 (2008), 416-420.
- [7] M. Abbas, B.E. Rhoades, Fixed and periodic point results in cone metric spaces, Appl. Math. Lett. 22 (2009), 511-515.
- [8] L.G. Huang, X. Zhang, Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 332 (2007), 1468-1476.
- [9] D. Ilic, V. Rakocevic, Common fixed points for maps on cone metric space, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 341 (2008), 876-882.

- [10] P. Raja, S.M. Vaezpour, Some extensions of Banach's contraction principle in complete cone metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2008 (2008), 768294.
- [11] Sh. Rezapour, R. Hamlbarani, Some notes on paper cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 345 (2008), 719-724.
- [12] P. Vetro, Common fixed points in cone metric spaces, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 56 (2007),464-468.
- [13] A.S. Cvetkovic, M.P. Stanic, S. Dimitrijevic, S. Simic, Common fixed point theorems for four mappings on cone metric type space, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2011 (2011), 15-25.
- [14] M. Jovanovic, Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenovic, common fixed point results in metric-type spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 332 (2007), 1468-1476.
- [15] H. Rahimi, G. S. Rad, Some fixed point results in metric type space, J. Basic Appl. Sci. Res. 2(2012), 9301-9308.
- [16] M. A. Khamsi, N. Hussain, KKM mappings in metric type spaces, Nonlinear Anal. 73 (2010), 3123-3129.
- [17] M. A. Khamsi,Remarks on cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings,Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2010 (2010), 7.
- [18] G. Jungck, B. E. Rhoades, Fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings, Fixed Point Theory 7 (2006), 287-296.
- [19] A. Azam , M.Arshad, Common fixed points of generalized contractive maps in cone metric spaces, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. 35 (2009) ,255-264.