Available online at http://scik.org

Adv. Fixed Point Theory, 6 (2016), No. 3, 277-286

ISSN: 1927-6303

COMMON COUPLED FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR A PAIR OF HYBRID MAPS IN COMPLEX VALUED METRIC SPACES

K.P.R. RAO^{1,*}, P. RANGA SWAMY², A. AZAM³

¹Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar-522 510, A.P., India

²Department of Mathematics, St.Ann's college of Engineering and Technology, Chirala-523 187, A.P., India

³Department of Mathematics, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan

Copyright © 2016 Rao, Swamy and Azam. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In this paper, we obtain a common coupled fixed point theorem for a pair of hybrid maps in complex valued metric spaces. Also we give an example to illustrate our main theorem.

Keywords: complex valued metric; w-compatible maps; hybrid pair; g.l.b property.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54E50, 54H25.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Azam et al.[1] introduced the notion of a complex valued metric space which is a generalization of the classical metric space and obtained sufficient conditions for the existence of common fixed points of a pair of mappings satisfying a rational contractive conditions. Later on several authors proved fixed and common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces, for example, we refer the readers to [3,4,5,12,14,16,17,18,20,21,22,25,27]. Recently, Azam et al. [2] and Ahmad et al. [6] obtained some new fixed point results for multi-valued mappings in the setting of complex valued metric spaces.

The purpose of this paper is to study the common coupled fixed points for a pair of hybrid mappings satisfying a rational inequality in the frame work of a complex valued metric space. We also give an example to illustrate our

*Corresponding author

E-mail addresses: kprrao2004@yahoo.com, puligeti@gmail.com, akbarazam@yahoo.com

Received January 30, 2016

277

main theorem. The proved result generalizes and extends the Theorem 2.1 of [23].

To begin with, we recall some basic definitions, notations and results.

Throughout this paper \mathcal{R}^+ , \mathcal{N} and \mathbb{C} denote the set of all non-negative real numbers, positive integers and complex numbers respectively. First we refer the following preliminaries.

Let $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Define a partial order \lesssim on \mathbb{C} as follows:

$$z_1 \lesssim z_2$$
 if and only if $Re(z_1) \leq Re(z_2)$, $Im(z_1) \leq Im(z_2)$.

Thus $z_1 \lesssim z_2$ if one of the following holds:

- (1). $Re(z_1) = Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) = Im(z_2)$,
- (2). $Re(z_1) < Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) = Im(z_2)$,
- (3). $Re(z_1) = Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) < Im(z_2)$,
- (4). $Re(z_1) < Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) < Im(z_2)$.

Clearly $z_1 \lesssim z_2 \Rightarrow |z_1| \leq |z_2|$.

We will write $z_1 \nleq z_2$ if $z_1 \neq z_2$ and one of (2), (3) and (4) is satisfied. Also we will write $z_1 \prec z_2$ if only (4) is satisfied.

Remark 1.1.One can easily check the following statements:

- (i) if $0 \lesssim z_1 \lesssim z_2$ then $|z_1| < |z_2|$;
- (ii) if $z_1 \lesssim z_2$ and $z_2 \prec z_3$, then $z_1 \prec z_3$.

Definition 1.2.([1]) Let X be a non empty set. A function $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{C}$ is called a complex valued metric on X if for all $x, y, z \in X$ the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $0 \leq d(x, y)$ and d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y;
- (ii) d(x, y) = d(y, x);
- (iii) $d(x,y) \lesssim d(x,z) + d(z,y)$.

The pair (X,d) is called a complex valued metric space.

Remark 1.3.Let (X,d) be a complex valued metric space. Then

- (i) $|d(x,y)| or |d(u,v)| < |1 + d(x,y) + d(u,v)|, \forall x, y, u, v \in X.$
- (ii) |d(x,y)| > 0, if $x \neq y$.

Definition 1.4.([1]) Let (X,d) be a complex valued metric space.

- (i) A point $x \in X$ is called an interior point of a set $A \subseteq X$ whenever there exists $0 \prec r \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $B(x,r) = \{y \in X : d(x,y) \prec r\} \subseteq A$.
- (ii) A point $x \in X$ is called a limit point of a set $A \subseteq X$ whenever there exists $0 \prec r \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $B(x,r) \cap (X-A) \neq \phi$.
- (iii) A subset $B \subseteq X$ is called open whenever each point of B is an interior point of B.
- (iv) A subset $B \subseteq X$ is called closed whenever each limit point of B is in B.

(v) The family $F = \{B(x, r) : x \in X \text{ and } 0 \prec r\}$ is a sub basis for a topology on X. We denote this complex topology by τ_c . Indeed, the topology τ_c is Hausdorff.

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X and $x \in X$. If for every $c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 \le c$ there is $n_0 \in \mathcal{N}$ such that for all $n > n_0$, $d(x_n, x) \prec c$, then $\{x_n\}$ is said to be convergent to x and x is the limit point of $\{x_n\}$. We denote this by $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$ or $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$. If for every $c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 \prec c$ there is $n_0 \in \mathcal{N}$ such that for all $n > n_0$, $d(x_n, x_{n+m}) \prec c$, where $m \in \mathcal{N}$, then $\{x_n\}$ is called a Cauchy sequence in (X, d). If every Cauchy sequence is convergent in (X, d) then (X, d) is called a complete complex valued metric space. We require the following lemmas.

Lemma 1.5.([1]) Let (X,d) be a complex valued metric space and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X.Then $\{x_n\}$ converges to x if and only if $|d(x_n,x)| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Lemma 1.6.([1]) Let (X,d) be a complex valued metric space and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X.Then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $|d(x_n, x_{n+m})| \to 0$ as $n, m \to \infty$.

One can easily prove the following lemma.

Lemma 1.7.Let (X,d) be a complex valued metric space and let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be sequences in X converging to x and y respectively. Then $|d(x_n,y_n)| \to |d(x,y)|$ as $n \to \infty$.

Now, we follow the notations and definitions given in [6]. Let (X,d) be a complex valued metric space. We denote the family of nonempty, closed and bounded subsets of a complex valued metric space X by CB(X). From now onwards, we denote for $z_1 \in \mathbb{C}$, $s(z_1) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z_1 \preceq z\}$ and for $a \in X$ and $B \in CB(X)$, $s(a,B) = \bigcup_{b \in B} s(d(a,b)) = \bigcup_{b \in B} \{z \in \mathbb{C} : d(a,b) \preceq z\}$.

For
$$A, B \in CB(X)$$
, we denote $s(A, B) = \left(\bigcap_{a \in A} s(a, B)\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{b \in B} s(b, A)\right)$.

Remark 1.8.([6]): Let (X,d) be a complex valued metric space and $T: X \to CB(X)$ be a multivalued map. For $x \in X$ and $A \in CB(X)$, define $W_X(A) = \{d(x,a) : a \in A\}$.

Thus, for $x, y \in X$, we have $W_x(Ty) = \{d(x, u) : u \in Ty\}$.

Remark 1.9.([6]): Let (X,d) be a complex valued metric space. If $\mathbb{C} = \mathcal{R}$ then (X,d) is a metric space. Moreover, for $A,B \in CB(X)$, $H(A,B) = \inf\{s(A,B)\}$ is the Hausdorff distance induced by d.

Definition 1.10.([6]): Let (X,d) be a complex valued metric space. A nonempty subset A of X is called bounded from below if there exists some $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $z \preceq a$ for all $a \in A$.

A multivalued mapping $F: X \to 2^{\mathbb{C}}$ is called bounded from below if for each $x \in X$ there exists $z_x \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $z_x \preceq u$ for all $u \in Fx$.

Definition 1.11.([6]) The multivalued mapping $T: X \to CB(X)$ is said to have the lower bound proerty(l.b.Property) on (X,d) if for any $x \in X$, the multi-valued mapping $F_x: X \to 2^{\mathbb{C}}$ defined by $F_x(y) = W_x(Ty)$ is bounded from below. That is, for $x,y \in X$, there exists an element $l_x(Ty) \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $l_x(Ty) \preceq u$, for all $u \in W_x(Ty)$, where $l_x(Ty)$ is called a lower bound of T associated with (x,y).

Definition 1.12.([6]) Let (X,d) be a complex valued metric space. The multivalued mapping $T: X \to CB(X)$ is said to have the greatest lower bound proerty (g.l.b.Property) on (X,d) if the greatest lower bound of $W_x(Ty)$ exists in \mathbb{C} for all $x, y \in X$. We denote d(x, Ty) by the g.l.b.Property of $W_x(Ty)$. That is $d(x, Ty) = \inf\{d(x, u) : u \in Ty\}$.

Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [24] introduced the concept of coupled fixed points and Lakshmikantham and Ciric [26] defined the common coupled fixed points. Later on several authors obtained coupled fixed point theorems in various spaces, for example, [7,8,9,10,11,13] and the references therein.

Definition 1.13.(Hussain and Alotaibi,[19]) Let the mappings $F: X \times X \to CB(X)$ and $f: X \to X$ be given. An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called

- (i) a coupled coincidence point of a pair (F, f) if $fx \in F(x, y)$ and $fy \in F(y, x)$,
- (ii) a common coupled fixed point of a pair (F, f) if $x = fx \in F(x, y)$ and $y = fy \in F(y, x)$.

Definition 1.14. (Abbas et al., [15]) Let $F: X \times X \to 2^X$ be a multivalued map and f be a self map on X. The hybrid pair (F, f) is called w-compatible if $f(F(x, y)) \subseteq F(fx, fy)$ whenever (x, y) is a coupled coincidence point of F and f.

Now we prove our main result.

2. Main result

Theorem 2.1. Let (X,d) be a complex valued metric space and $F: X \times X \to CB(X)$ be a multi-valued mapping with g.l.b property and $f: X \to X$ be a mapping satisfying the following

$$(2.1.1)$$
 $F(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$,

(2.1.2) f(X) is a complete subspace of X and

(2.1.2)
$$f(X)$$
 is a complete subspace of X and
$$\alpha \ d(fx, fu) + \beta \ d(fy, fv) + \gamma \ \frac{d(fx, F(x, y)) \ d(fu, F(u, v))}{1 + d(fx, fu) + d(fy, fv)} + \delta \ \frac{d(fx, F(u, v)) \ d(fu, F(x, y))}{1 + d(fx, fu) + d(fy, fv)} \in s(F(x, y), F(u, v))$$
for all $x, y, u, v \in X$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are non-negative real numbers such that $\alpha + \beta$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are non-negative real numbers such that $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta < 1$.

Then F and f have a coupled coincidence point in $X \times X$.

(2.1.4) Further if we assume that (F, f) is w-compatible and there exist $p, q \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} f^n x = p$ and $\lim f^n y = q$, whenever (x,y) is a coupled coincidence point of F and f and f is continuous at p and q, then F and f have a common coupled fixed point.

Proof.Let $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times X$. From (2.1.1), we can choose $fx_1 \in F(x_0, y_0)$ and $fy_1 \in F(y_0, x_0)$ for some $x_1, y_1 \in X$. From (2.1.3), we have

$$\alpha d(fx_0, fx_1) + \beta d(fy_0, fy_1) + \gamma \frac{d(fx_0, F(x_0, y_0)) \ d(fx_1, F(x_1, y_1))}{1 + d(fx_0, fx_1) + d(fy_0, fy_1)}$$

$$+ \delta \frac{d(fx_0, F(x_1, y_1)) \ d(fx_1, F(x_0, y_0))}{1 + d(fx_0, fx_1) + d(fy_0, fy_1)} \in s(F(x_0, y_0), F(x_1, y_1))$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{split} \alpha d(fx_0,fx_1) + \beta d(fy_0,fy_1) + \gamma \, \frac{d(fx_0,F(x_0,y_0)) \, d(fx_1,F(x_1,y_1))}{1 + d(fx_0,fx_1) + d(fy_0,fy_1)} \\ + \delta \, \frac{d(fx_0,F(x_1,y_1)) \, d(fx_1,F(x_0,y_0))}{1 + d(fx_0,fx_1) + d(fy_0,fy_1)} \in \bigcap_{x \in F(x_0,y_0)} s(x,F(x_1,y_1)). \end{split}$$

Since $fx_1 \in F(x_0, y_0)$, we have

$$\begin{split} \alpha d(fx_0,fx_1) + \beta d(fy_0,fy_1) + \gamma \, & \frac{d(fx_0,F(x_0,y_0)) \, d(fx_1,F(x_1,y_1))}{1 + d(fx_0,fx_1) + d(fy_0,fy_1)} \\ + \delta \, & \frac{d(fx_0,F(x_1,y_1)) \, d(fx_1,F(x_0,y_0))}{1 + d(fx_0,fx_1) + d(fy_0,fy_1)} \in s(fx_1,F(x_1,y_1)) = \bigcup_{y \in F(x_1,y_1)} s(d(fx_1,y)). \end{split}$$

From (2.1.1), there exists $x_2 \in X$ with $fx_2 \in F(x_1, y_1)$ such that

$$\begin{split} \alpha d(fx_0,fx_1) + \beta d(fy_0,fy_1) + \gamma \, & \frac{d(fx_0,F(x_0,y_0)) \, d(fx_1,F(x_1,y_1))}{1 + d(fx_0,fx_1) + d(fy_0,fy_1)} \\ \\ + \delta \, & \frac{d(fx_0,F(x_1,y_1)) \, d(fx_1,F(x_0,y_0))}{1 + d(fx_0,fx_1) + d(fy_0,fy_1)} \in s(d(fx_1,fx_2)). \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} d(fx_1,fx_2) & \precsim \alpha d(fx_0,fx_1) + \beta d(fy_0,fy_1) + \gamma \, \frac{d(fx_0,F(x_0,y_0)) \, d(fx_1,F(x_1,y_1))}{1+d(fx_0,fx_1)+d(fy_0,fy_1)} \\ & + \delta \, \frac{d(fx_0,F(x_1,y_1)) \, d(fx_1,F(x_0,y_0))}{1+d(fx_0,fx_1)+d(fy_0,fy_1)}. \end{split}$$

By using the g.l.b property of F, we get

$$\begin{split} d(fx_1,fx_2) \lesssim \alpha d(fx_0,fx_1) + \beta d(fy_0,fy_1) + \gamma \, \frac{d(fx_0,fx_1)}{1+d(fx_0,fx_1)+d(fy_0,fy_1)} \\ + \delta \, \frac{d(fx_0,fx_2)}{1+d(fx_0,fx_1)+d(fy_0,fy_1)} \end{split}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &|d(fx_{1},fx_{2})|\\ &\leq \alpha |d(fx_{0},fx_{1})| + \beta |d(fy_{0},fy_{1})| + \gamma \frac{|d(fx_{0},fx_{1})| |d(fx_{1},fx_{2})|}{|1+d(fx_{0},fx_{1})+d(fy_{0},fy_{1})|}\\ &< \alpha |d(fx_{0},fx_{1})| + \beta |d(fy_{0},fy_{1})| + \gamma |d(fx_{1},fx_{2})|, \ from \ Remark \ 1.3(i). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(1) |d(fx_1, fx_2)| \le \frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \gamma} \max\{|d(fx_0, fx_1)|, |d(fy_0, fy_1)|\}$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$(2) |d(fy_1, fy_2)| \le \frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \gamma} \max\{|d(fx_0, fx_1)|, |d(fy_0, fy_1)|\}$$

From (1) and (2), we have

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} |d(fx_1, fx_2)|, \\ |d(fy_1, fy_2)| \end{array} \right\} \leq \lambda \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} |d(fx_0, fx_1)|, \\ |d(fy_0, fy_1)| \end{array} \right\}, where \ \lambda = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \gamma} < 1.$$

Continuing in this way, we get the sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$(3) \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |d(fx_{n}, fx_{n+1})|, \\ |d(fy_{n}, fy_{n+1})| \end{array} \right\} \leq \lambda \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |d(fx_{n-1}, fx_{n})|, \\ |d(fy_{n-1}, fy_{n})| \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\leq \lambda^{n} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |d(fx_{0}, fx_{1})|, \\ |d(fy_{0}, fy_{1})| \end{array} \right\}.$$

For m > n and using (3) we get

$$|d(fx_{n}, fx_{m})| \leq |d(fx_{n}, fx_{n+1})| + |d(fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+2})| + \dots + |d(fx_{m-1}, fx_{m})|$$

$$\leq (\lambda^{n} + \lambda^{n+1} + \dots + \lambda^{m-1}) \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} |d(fx_{0}, fx_{1})|, \\ |d(fy_{0}, fy_{1})| \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\leq \frac{\lambda^{n}}{1 - \lambda} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} |d(fx_{0}, fx_{1})|, \\ |d(fy_{0}, fy_{1})| \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\to 0 \text{ as } n, m \to \infty.$$

Thus $\{fx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. Similarly, we can show that $\{fy_n\}$ is also a Cauchy sequence in X. Since f(X) is a complete subspace of X, there exist $u, v \in X$ such that $fx_n \to fu$ and $fy_n \to fv$ as $n \to \infty$. From (2.1.3), we have

$$\alpha d(fx_n, fu) + \beta d(fy_n, fv) + \gamma \frac{d(fx_n, F(x_n, y_n)) \ d(fu, F(u, v))}{1 + d(fx_n, fu) + d(fy_n, fv)}$$

$$+ \delta \frac{d(fx_n, F(u, v)) \ d(fu, F(x_n, y_n))}{1 + d(fy_n, fv)} \in s(F(x_n, y_n), F(u, v)).$$

$$\alpha d(fx_n, fu) + \beta d(fy_n, fv) + \gamma \frac{d(fx_n, F(x_n, y_n)) \ d(fu, F(u, v))}{1 + d(fx_n, fu) + d(fy_n, fv)}$$

$$+ \delta \frac{d(fx_n, F(u, v)) \ d(fu, F(x_n, y_n))}{1 + d(fx_n, fu) + d(fy_n, fv)} \in \bigcap_{x \in F(x_n, y_n)} s(x, F(u, v)).$$

Since $fx_{n+1} \in F(x_n, y_n)$, we have

$$\begin{split} \alpha d(fx_n, fu) + \beta d(fy_n, fv) + \gamma \, & \frac{d(fx_n, F(x_n, y_n)) \, d(fu, F(u, v))}{1 + d(fx_n, fu) + d(fy_n, fv)} \\ + \delta \, & \frac{d(fx_n, F(u, v)) \, d(fu, F(x_n, y_n))}{1 + d(fx_n, fu) + d(fy_n, fv)} \in s(fx_{n+1}, F(u, v)) = \bigcup_{u' \in F(u, v)} s(d(fx_{n+1}, u')). \end{split}$$

From (2.1.1), there exists $u_n \in X$ with $fu_n \in F(u, v)$ such that

$$\alpha d(fx_n, fu) + \beta d(fy_n, fv) + \gamma \frac{d(fx_n, F(x_n, y_n)) d(fu, F(u, v))}{1 + d(fx_n, fu) + d(fy_n, fv)}$$

$$+ \delta \frac{d(fx_n, F(u, v)) d(fu, F(x_n, y_n))}{1 + d(fx_n, fu) + d(fy_n, fv)} \in s(d(fx_{n+1}, fu_n)).$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} d(fx_{n+1}, fu_n) \lesssim \alpha d(fx_n, fu) + \beta d(fy_n, fv) + \gamma \, \frac{d(fx_n, F(x_n, y_n)) \, d(fu, F(u, v))}{1 + d(fx_n, fu) + d(fy_n, fv)} \\ + \delta \, \frac{d(fx_n, F(u, v)) \, d(fu, F(x_n, y_n))}{1 + d(fx_n, fu) + d(fy_n, fv)}. \end{split}$$

From g.l.b property of F, we get

$$\begin{split} d(fx_{n+1}, fu_n) \lesssim \alpha d(fx_n, fu) + \beta d(fy_n, fv) + \gamma \, \frac{d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \, d(fu, fu_n)}{1 + d(fx_n, fu) + d(fy_n, fv)} \\ + \delta \, \frac{d(fx_n, fu_n)) \, d(fu, fx_{n+1})}{1 + d(fx_n, fu) + d(fy_n, fv)} \end{split}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{split} |d(fx_{n+1},fu_n)| &\leq \alpha \, |d(fx_n,fu)| + \beta \, |d(fy_n,fv)| + \gamma \, \frac{|d(fx_n,fx_{n+1})| \, |d(fu,fu_n)|}{|1+d(fx_n,fu)+d(fy_n,fv)|} \\ &+ \delta \, \frac{|d(fx_n,fu_n)| \, |d(fu,fx_{n+1})|}{|1+d(fx_n,fu)+d(fy_n,fv)|} \, . \end{split}$$

Now consider

$$\begin{split} |d(fu,fu_n)| &\leq |d(fu,fx_{n+1})| + |d(fx_{n+1},fu_n)| \\ &\leq |d(fu,fx_{n+1})| + \alpha |d(fx_n,fu)| + \beta |d(fy_n,fv)| \\ &+ \gamma \frac{|d(fx_n,fx_{n+1})| |d(fu,fu_n)|}{|1+d(fx_n,fu)+d(fy_n,fv)|} + \delta \frac{|d(fx_n,fu_n)| |d(fu,fx_{n+1})|}{|1+d(fx_n,fu)+d(fy_n,fv)|}. \end{split}$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we obtain

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} |d(fu,fu_n)| \le 0$ so that $fu_n \to fu$ as $n\to\infty$. Since F(u,v) is closed and $fu_n \in F(u,v)$, it follows that $fu \in F(u,v)$.

Similarly, we have $fv \in F(v,u)$. Thus (u,v) is a coupled coincidence point of F and f.

Since (u,v) is a coupled coincidence point of F and f, from (2.1.4) there exist $p,q \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} f^n u = p$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} f^n v = q$.

Since f is continuous at p and q, we have fp = p and fq = q.

Since the pair (F, f) is w-compatible, $fu \in F(u, v)$ and $fv \in F(v, u)$, we have $f^2u \in f(F(u, v)) \subseteq F(fu, fv)$ and $f^2v \in f(F(v, u)) \subseteq F(fv, fu)$. Thus (fu, fv) is a coupled coincidence point of F and f.

Continuing in this way, we can show that $(f^n u, f^n v)$ is a coupled coincidence point of F and f.

It is also clear that $f^n u \in F(f^{n-1}u, f^{n-1}v)$ and $f^n v \in F(f^{n-1}v, f^{n-1}u)$.

From (2.1.3), we have

$$\begin{split} \alpha d(f^n u, fp) + \beta d(f^n v, fq) + \gamma \, \frac{d(f^n u, F(f^{n-1} u, f^{n-1} v)) \, d(fp, F(p, q))}{1 + d(f^n u, fp) + d(f^n v, fq)} \\ + \delta \, \frac{d(f^n u, F(p, q)) \, d(fp, F(f^{n-1} u, f^{n-1} v))}{1 + d(f^n u, fp) + d(f^n v, fq)} \in s(F(f^{n-1} u, f^{n-1} v), F(p, q)) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \alpha d(f^n u, fp) + \beta d(f^n v, fq) + \gamma \, \frac{d(f^n u, F(f^{n-1} u, f^{n-1} v)) \, d(fp, F(p, q))}{1 + d(f^n u, fp) + d(f^n v, fq)} \\ + \delta \, \frac{d(f^n u, F(p, q)) \, d(fp, F(f^{n-1} u, f^{n-1} v))}{1 + d(f^n u, fp) + d(f^n v, fq)} \in \bigcap_{x \in F(f^{n-1} u, f^{n-1} v)} s(x, F(p, q)). \end{split}$$

Since $f^n u \in F(f^{n-1}u, f^{n-1}v)$, we have

$$\begin{split} \alpha d(f^n u, fp) + \beta d(f^n v, fq) + \gamma \, & \frac{d(f^n u, F(f^{n-1} u, f^{n-1} v)) \, d(fp, F(p,q))}{1 + d(f^n u, fp) + d(f^n v, fq)} \\ + \delta \, & \frac{d(f^n u, F(p,q)) \, d(fp, F(f^{n-1} u, f^{n-1} v))}{1 + d(f^n u, fp) + d(f^n v, fq)} \in s(f^n u, F(p,q)) = \bigcup_{z \in F(p,q)} s(d(f^n u, z)). \end{split}$$

From (2.1.1), there exists $fz_n \in F(p,q)$ such that

$$\begin{split} \alpha d(f^n u, fp) + \beta d(f^n v, fq) + \gamma \, \frac{d(f^n u, F(f^{n-1} u, f^{n-1} v)) \, d(fp, F(p, q))}{1 + d(f^n u, fp) + d(f^n v, fq)} \\ + \delta \, \frac{d(f^n u, F(p, q)) \, d(fp, F(f^{n-1} u, f^{n-1} v))}{1 + d(f^n u, fp) + d(f^n v, fq)} \in s(d(f^n u, fz_n)). \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} d(f^n u, f z_n) \lesssim \alpha d(f^n u, f p) + \beta d(f^n v, f q) + \gamma \, & \frac{d(f^n u, F(f^{n-1} u, f^{n-1} v)) \, d(f p, F(p, q))}{1 + d(f^n u, f p) + d(f^n v, f q)} \\ & + \delta \, \, \frac{d(f^n u, F(p, q)) \, d(f p, F(f^{n-1} u, f^{n-1} v))}{1 + d(f^n u, f p) + d(f^n v, f q)}. \end{split}$$

By g.l.b property of F, we obtain

$$\begin{split} d(f^n u, f z_n) & \precsim \alpha d(f^n u, f p) + \beta d(f^n v, f q) + \gamma \, \frac{d(f^n u, f^n u) \, d(f p, f z_n))}{1 + d(f^n u, f p) + d(f^n v, f q)} \\ & + \delta \, \frac{d(f^n u, f z_n)) \, d(f p, f^n u)}{1 + d(f^n u, f p) + d(f^n v, f q)} \\ & = \alpha d(f^n u, f p) + \beta d(f^n v, f q) + \delta \, \frac{d(f^n u, f z_n)) \, d(f p, f^n u)}{1 + d(f^n u, f p) + d(f^n v, f q)}. \end{split}$$

which implies that

$$|d(f^n u, f z_n)| \le \alpha |d(f^n u, f p)| + \beta |d(f^n v, f q)| + \delta \frac{|d(f^n u, f z_n))| |d(f p, f^n u)|}{|1 + d(f^n u, f p) + d(f^n v, f q)|}.$$

Now,we consider

$$|d(fp, fz_n)| \le |d(fp, f^n u)| + |d(f^n u, fz_n)|$$

$$\le |d(fp, f^n u)| + \alpha |d(f^n u, fp)| + \beta |d(f^n v, fq)|$$

$$+ \delta \frac{|d(f^n u, fz_n)| |d(fp, f^n u)|}{|1 + d(f^n u, fp) + d(f^n v, fq)|}$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we obtain $\lim_{n \to \infty} |d(fp, fz_n)| \le 0$ so that $fz_n \to fp = p$ as $n \to \infty$. Since F(p,q) is closed and $fz_n \in F(p,q)$, it follows that $p \in F(p,q)$. Similarly, we have $q \in F(q,p)$. Thus (p,q) is a common coupled fixed point of F and f. This completes the proof.

Now we give an example to support Theorem 2.1.

Example 2.2. Let
$$X = [0, 1]$$
, $d(x, y) = |x - y|e^{i\theta}$, $\forall x, y \in X$, where $\theta = tan^{-1}\frac{y}{x}$. Define $F : X \times X \to X$ by $F(x, y) = [0, \frac{x + 2y}{8}]$ and $f : X \to X$ by $fx = \frac{7x}{8}$.

Then we have
$$d(fx, fu) = \frac{7}{8}|x - u|e^{i\theta}, d(fy, fv) = \frac{7}{8}|y - v|e^{i\theta}$$
 and $s(F(x,y), F(u,v)) = s(\left|\frac{(x+2y)-(u+2v)}{8}\right|e^{i\theta}).$

As,
$$\frac{1}{7}d(fx, fu) + \frac{2}{7}d(fy, fv)$$

= $\frac{1}{8}|x - u|e^{i\theta} + \frac{1}{8}|2y - 2v|e^{i\theta} \ge e^{i\theta}\left|\frac{x - u + 2y - 2v}{8}\right| = e^{i\theta}\left|\frac{x + 2y - (u + 2v)}{8}\right|$, we have

$$\alpha d(fx, fu) + \beta d(fy, fv) + \gamma \frac{d(fx, F(x, y))}{1 + d(fx, fu) + d(fy, fv)} \frac{d(fu, F(u, v))}{1 + d(fx, fu) + d(fy, fv)}$$

$$+\delta \frac{d(fx,F(u,v))}{1+d(fx,fu)+d(fy,fv)} \in s(F(x,y),F(u,v))$$

 $+\delta \frac{d(fx,F(u,v))}{1+d(fx,fu)+d(fy,fv)} \in s(F(x,y),F(u,v))$ with $\alpha=\frac{1}{7}$, $\beta=\frac{2}{7},\gamma=\delta=0$. One can easily verify the remaining conditions of Theorem 2.1. Clearly (0,0) is a common coupled fixed point of F and f. .

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.Azam, B.Fisher and M.Khan, Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces, Numer.Funct.Anal.Optim.32(3),(2011),243-253.
- [2] A.Azam , J.Ahmad and P.Kumam, Common fixed point theorems for multi-valued mappings in complex-valued metric spaces, J. Inequal. appl. ,2013,12 pages, Article ID 578.
- [3] C.Klin-eam and C.Suanoom, Some common fixed point theorems for generalized contractive type mappings on complex valued metric spaces, Abstr. Appl. Anal., 2013, 6 pages, Article ID 604215, doi.10.1155/2013/ 604215.
- [4] F. Rouzkard and M. Imdad, Some common fixed point theorems on complex valued metric spaces, Comp. Math.Appls., 64,(2012), 1866-1874.
- [5] H. K. Nashine, M. Imdad and M. Hasan, Common fixed point theorems under rational contractions in complex valued metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 7, (2014), 42-50.
- [6] J.Ahmad, C.Klin-Eam and A.Azam, Common fixed points for multi valued mappings in complex valued metric spaces with applications, Abstract and Applied Analysis, 2013, 12 pages, Article ID 854965.
- [7] K.P.R.Rao , Ishak Altun and S.Hima Bindu , Common coupled fixed point theorems in generalized fuzzy metric spaces, Advances in fuzzy systems , Volume 2011, Article ID 986748, (2011)6 pages , doi: 10.1155/2011/986748 .
- [8] K.P.R.Rao , S.Hima Bindu and Md.Mustaq Ali, Coupled fixed point theorems in d-Complete topological spaces, J.Nonlinear Sci.Appl.5(2012),186-194.
- [9] K.P.R.Rao , G.N.V.Kishore and V.C.C.Raju, A coupled fixed point theorem for two pairs of W-compatible maps using altering distance function in partial metric spaces, Journal of Advanced Research in Pure Mathematics, Vol.4, Issue 4.(2012), 96-114. (doi:10.4373/jarpm.1186.112211)
- [10] K.P.R.Rao, G.N.V.Kishore and Nguyen Van Luong, A Unique Common Coupled fixed point theorem for four maps under $\psi \phi$ contractive condition in partial metric spaces, CUBO A Mathematical Journal ,Vol.14, No. 03 (2012), 115-127.
- [11] K.P.R.Rao, K.R.K.Rao and S.Sedghi, Common coupled fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, Gazi University Journal of Science, 27(2),(2014),739-745.
- [12] K.Sitthikul and S.Saejung, Some fixed points in complex valued metric spaces, Fixed point theory Appl., 2012,11 pages, Article ID 189.
- [13] M.Abbas, M.Ali Khan and S.Radenovic, Common coupled fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces for w-compatible mappings, Appl. Math. Comput, 217(2010), 195-202.
- [14] M.Abbas, B.Fisher and T.Nazir, Well-posedness and peroidic point property of mappings satisfying a rational inequality in an ordered complex valued-metric spaces, Sci. Stud. Res., Ser. Math. Inform. 22(1),(2012),5-24.

- [15] M.Abbas, Lj.Ciric, B.Damjanovic and M.Ali Khan, Coupled coincidence and common fixed point theorems for hybrid pair of mappings, Fixed point theory Appl.,2012,11 pages,doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2012-4.
- [16] M. Abbas, M. Arshad and A.Azam, Fixed points of asymptoically regular mappings in complex valued metric spaces, Georgian Math.J.,20,(2013), 213-221(2013):10.1515/gmj-2013-0013.
- [17] M. A. Kutbi, A. Azam, J. Ahmad, and C.Di Bari, Some common fixed point results for generalized contraction in complex-valued metric spaces, J. Appl. Math., 2013,10 pages, ArticleID 35297.
- [18] M.Kumar,P. Kumar and S. Kumar,Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces,J. Ana. Num. Theor. 2(2),(2014), 103-109.
- [19] N. Hussain and A. Alotaibi, Coupled coincidences for multi-valued contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Fixed point theory and Applications, 2011,15 pages, Article 82.
- [20] N. Singh, D. Singh, A. Badal and V. Joshi, Fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces, Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society, (2015), 1-8 (In Press).
- [21] R. K. Verma and H. K. Pathak, Common fixed point theorems for a pair of mappings in complex-Valued metric spaces, Journal of mathematics and computer Science, 6 (2013), 18-26.
- [22] S. Chandok and D.Kumar, Some common fixed point results for rational type contraction mappings in complex valued metric spaces, Journal of Operators, 2013,6 pages, Article ID 813707.
- [23] S.Min Kang, Manoj Kumar, Pankaj Kumar and Sanjay Kumar, Coupled fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces, Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, 7(46), (2013), 2269 2277.
- [24] T.G. Bhaskar and V. Lakshmikantham, Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, Nonlinear Analysis, 65(7) (2006), 1379 1393.
- [25] T.Senthil Kumar and R.Jahir Hussain, Common coupled fixed point theorem for contractive type mappings in closed ball of complex valued metric spaces, Adv. Inequal. Appl. 2014, 1-7, 2014:34.
- [26] V. Lakshmikantham and Lj. Ciric, Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Method. Appl., 70(12)(2009), 4341-4349.
- [27] W.Sintunavarat and P.Kumam, Generalized common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces and applications, J.Inequal.appl.,2012, 11 pages, Article ID 84.