Available online at http://scik.org Adv. Fixed Point Theory, 7 (2017), No. 2, 296-303 ISSN: 1927-6303

COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM USING COMPATIBLE MAPPINGS OF TYPE (E)

V. SRINIVAS¹, RAVI SRIRAMULA^{2,*}

 ¹Department of Mathematics, University College of Science, Saifabad Osmania University, Hyderabad-500044(Telangana), India
 ²Department of Mathematics, Post Graduate College, Secunderabad Osmania University, Hyderabad-500003(Telangana), India

Copyright © 2017 V. Srinivas and Ravi Sriramula. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract: The purpose of this paper is to present a common fixed point theorem in a metric space which extends the result of Bijendra Singh and M.S.Chauhan using the weaker conditions such as compatible mappings of type (E) and associated sequence in place of compatibility and completeness of the metric.

Keywords: fixed point; self maps; compatible mappings; compatible mappings of type (E) and associated sequence. **2010 AMS Subject Classification:** 54H25, 47H10.

1. Introduction

Fixed point theory is an important area of functional analysis. The study of common fixed point of mappings satisfying contractive type condition has been a very active field of research. G.Jungck [1] introduced the concept of compatible maps which is weaker than weakly commuting maps. After wards Jungck and Rhoades [4] defined weaker class of maps known as weakly compatible maps. This concept has been frequently used to prove existence theorem in common fixed point theory.

M.R.Singh and Y.M.Singh [6] introduced the concept of compatible mappings of type (E). In this paper we prove a common fixed point theorem for four self maps in which two pairs are compatible mappings of type (E).

^{*}Corresponding author

Received November 25, 2016

2. Definitions and Preliminaries

2.1 Compatible mappings

Two self maps S and T of a metric space (X,d) are said to be compatible mappings if $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(STx_n, TSx_n) = 0$, whenever $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

2.2 Compatible mappings of type (A)

Two self maps S and T of a metric space (X,d) are said to be compatible mappings of type (A) if $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(STx_n, TTx_n) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} d(TSx_n, SSx_n) = 0 \text{ whenever } \langle x_n \rangle \text{ is a sequence in X such that}$ $\lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Tx_n = t \text{, for some } t \in X \text{.}$

2.3 Compatible mappings of type (B)

Two self maps S and T of a metric space (X,d) are said to be compatible mappings of type (B) if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(STx_n, TTx_n) \le \frac{1}{2} \left[\lim_{n \to \infty} d(STx_n, St) + \lim_{n \to \infty} d(St, SSx_n) \right] \text{ and}$$

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(TSx_n, SSx_n) \le \frac{1}{2} \left[\lim_{n \to \infty} d(TSx_n, Tt) + \lim_{n \to \infty} d(Tt, TTx_n) \right] \text{ whenever } < x_n > \text{ is a sequence in } X$

such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = t$, for some $t \in X$.

2.4 Compatible mappings of type (P)

Two self maps S and T of a metric space (X,d) are said to be compatible mappings of type (P) if $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(SSx_n, TTx_n) = 0$, whenever $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = t$, for some $t \in X$.

2.5 Compatible mappings of type (E)

Two self maps S and T of metric space (X,d) are said to be compatible mappings of type (E) if $\lim_{n \to \infty} SSx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} STx_n = Tt \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} TTx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} TSx_n = St \text{, whenever } \langle x_n \rangle \text{ is a sequence in X such}$ that $\lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Tx_n = t \text{ for some } t \in X.$

Bijenrda Singh and M.S.Chauhan[5] proved the following theorem.

2.6 Theorem: Let A,B,S and T be self mappings from a complete metric space (X,d) into itself satisfying the following conditions

297

(2.6.1) $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$

(2.6.2) one of A, B, S and T is continuous

$$(2.6.3) [d(Ax, By)]^{2} \leq k_{1}[d(Ax, Sx)d(By, Ty) + d(By, Sx)d(Ax, Ty)] +k_{2}[d(Ax, Sx)d(Ax, Ty) + d(By, Ty)d(By, Sx)] where 0 \leq k_{1} + 2k_{2} < 1, k_{1}, k_{2} \geq 0$$

(2.6.4) the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are compatible on X

further, if X is a complete metric space then A,B,S and T have a unique common fixed point in X. Now we generalize the theorem using compatible mappings of type (E) and associated sequence.

2.7 Associated sequence [7]: Suppose A, B, S and T are self maps of a metric space (X,d) satisfying the condition (2.6.1). Then for an arbitrary $x_0 \in X$ such that $Ax_0 = Tx_1$ and for this point x_1 , there exist a point x_2 in X such that $Bx_1 = Sx_2$ and so on. Proceeding in the similar manner, we can define a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ in X such that $y_{2n} = Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1}$ and $y_{2n+1} = Bx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2}$ for $n \ge 0$. We shall call this sequence as an "Associated sequence of x_0 " relative to four self maps A, B, S and T.

Now we prove a lemma which plays an important role in our main theorem.

2.8 Lemma: Let A, B, S and T be self mappings from a complete metric space (X, d) into itself satisfying the conditions (2.6.1) and (2.6.3). Then the associated sequence $\{y_n\}$ relative to four self maps is a Cauchy sequence in X.

Proof: From the conditions (2.6.1), (2.6.3) and from the definition of associated sequence, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) \end{bmatrix}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} d(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1}) \end{bmatrix}^2 \leq k_1 \begin{bmatrix} d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) & d(Bx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1}) + d(Bx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n}) & d(Ax_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}) \\ + k_2 \begin{bmatrix} d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) & d(Ax_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}) + d(Bx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1}) & d(Bx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n}) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= k_1 \Big[d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + 0 \Big] \\ + k_2 \Big[d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-1}) + 0 \Big]$$

This implies

$$d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) \le k_1 \ d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + k_2 [d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})]$$

$$d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) \le h \ d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})$$

where $h = \frac{k_1 + k_2}{1 - k_2} < 1$
for every int ever $n > 0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, y_{n+p}) &\leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + d(y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p}) \\ &\leq h^n d(y_0, y_1) + h^{n+1} d(y_0, y_1) + \dots + h^{n+p-1} d(y_0, y_1) \\ &\leq \left(h^n + h^{n+1} + \dots + h^{n+p-1}\right) d(y_0, y_1) \\ &\leq h^n \left(1 + h + h^2 + \dots + h^{p-1}\right) d(y_0, y_1) \end{aligned}$$

Since h<1, hⁿ \rightarrow 0 as n $\rightarrow \infty$, so that $d(y_n, y_{n+p}) \rightarrow 0$. This shows that the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X and since X is a complete metric space; it converges to a limit, say $z \in X$. The converse of the Lemma is not true, that is A,B,S and T are self maps of a metric space (X,d) satisfying (2.6.1) and (2.6.3), even if for $x_0 \in X$ and for associated sequence of x_0 converges, the metric space (X,d) need not be complete.

2.9 Example: Let $X = (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ with d(x, y) = |x - y|. Define self maps of A, B, S and T of X by

$$Ax = Bx = \begin{cases} \frac{1-3x}{2} & \text{if } x \in \left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right) - \left\{\frac{1}{8}\right\} \\ \frac{1}{16} & \text{if } x = \frac{1}{8} \\ \frac{5x-1}{2} & \text{if } x \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\right] \end{cases} \qquad Sx = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{2} & \text{if } x \in \left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right) - \left\{\frac{1}{8}\right\} \\ \frac{5x-1}{2} & \text{if } x \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\right] \end{cases}$$
$$Tx = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{2} & \text{if } x \in \left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right) - \left\{\frac{1}{8}\right\} \\ \frac{5}{16} & \text{if } x = \frac{1}{8} \\ \frac{4x-1} & \text{if } x \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\right] \end{cases}$$

Then $A(X) = B(X) = \left(\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cup \left\{\frac{1}{16}\right\} \cup \left[\frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{4}\right]$, $S(X) = \left(0, \frac{1}{8}\right) \cup \left\{\frac{5}{16}\right\} \cup \left[\frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{4}\right]$ and

 $T(X) = \left(0, \frac{1}{8}\right) \cup \left\{\frac{5}{16}\right\} \cup \left[0, 1\right] \text{ and so that the conditions } A(X) \subset T(X) \text{ and } B(X) \subset S(X) \text{ are}$

299

satisfied. The associated sequence $Ax_0, Bx_1, Ax_2, Bx_3, Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n}$ converges to the point $(\frac{1}{3})$,

but X is not a complete metric space.

We need the following proposition for the proof of our main result.

2.10 Proposition: If A and S be compatible mappings of type (E) on a metric space (X,d) and if one of function is continuous. Then we have

a) A(x) = S(x) and $\lim_{n \to \infty} AAx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} SSx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} ASx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} SAx_n$,

b) If there exist $u \in X$ such that Au = Su = x then ASu = SAu.

Whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = x$ for some x in X.

Proof: Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of X such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = x$, for some x in X. Then by definition of compatible of type (E), we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} AAx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} ASx_n = S(x)$. If A is a continuous mapping, then we get $\lim_{n \to \infty} AAx_n = A(\lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n) = A(x)$. This implies A(x) = S(x).

Also $\lim_{n\to\infty} AAx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} SSx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} ASx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} SAx_n$. Similarly, if S is continuous then, we get the same result. This is the proof of part (a).

Again, suppose Au = Su = x for some $u \in X$. Then, ASu = A(Su) = Ax and SAu = S(Au) = Sx. From (a), we have Ax = Sx. Hence ASu = SAu. This is the proof of part (b).

The following example establishes this.

3 Main Result

3.1 Theorem: Let A, B, S and T be self mappings from a metric space (X,d) into itself satisfying the following conditions

$$A(X) \subseteq T(X) \text{ and } B(X) \subseteq S(X) \tag{3.1.1}$$

$$[d(Ax, By)]^{2} \le k_{1}[d(Ax, Sx)d(By, Ty) + d(By, Sx)d(Ax, Ty)] +k_{2}[d(Ax, Sx)d(Ax, Ty) + d(By, Ty)d(By, Sx)]$$
(3.1.2)

for all x,y in X where $0 \le k_1 + 2k_2 < 1, k_1, k_2 \ge 0$

one of the mapping s of (A,S) and (B,T) of X is continuous (3.1.3)

the pairs (A,S) and (B,T) compatible mappings of type(E) (3.1.4)

the sequence $Ax_0, Bx_1, Ax_2, Bx_3, \dots, Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}\dots$ converges to $z \in X$. (3.1.5)

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in z in X.

Proof: From the condition (3.1.5), we have

$$Ax_{2n} \to z, Tx_{2n+1} \to z, Bx_{2n+1} \to z \text{ and } Sx_{2n} \to z \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

$$(3.1.6)$$

Suppose A is continuous. Then $AAx_{2n} \rightarrow Az, ASx_{2n} \rightarrow Az$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Since A and S are compatible of type (E) and one of the mapping of the pair (A, S) is continuous then by proposition 2.10, we have Az = Sz.

Since $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ implies that there exists $w \in X$ such that Az = Tw.

Put x = z, y = w in condition (3.1.2), we have

$$[d(Az, Bw)]^{2} \le k_{1}[d(Az, Sz)d(Bw, Tw) + d(Bw, Sz)d(Az, Tw)] + k_{2}[d(Az, Sz)d(Az, Tw) + d(Bw, Tw)d(Bw, Sz)]$$

Using the conditions Az = Tw and Az = Sz, then we get

$$[d(Az, Bw)]^{2} \le k_{1}[d(Az, Az)d(Bw, Az) + d(Bw, Az)d(Az, Az)] + k_{2}[d(Az, Az)d(Az, Az) + d(Bw, Az)d(Bw, Az)]$$

 $[d(Az, Bw)]^2 \le k_2 [d(Bw, Az)]^2$

 $(1-k_2)[d(Az, Bw)]^2 \le 0$, since $0 \le k_1 + 2k_2 < 1$

d(Az, Bw) = 0 implies that Az = Bw.

Hence Az = Bw = Tw = Sz.

Now put x = z, $y = x_{2n+1}$ in condition (3.1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Az, Bx_{2n+1})]^2 &\leq k_1 [d(Az, Sz)d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, Sz)d(Az, Tx_{2n+1})] \\ &+ k_2 [d(Az, Sz)d(Az, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})d(Bx_{2n+1}, Sz)] \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using the condition Az = Sz, we have

$$[d(Az, z)]^{2} \leq k_{1}[d(Az, Az)d(z, z) + d(z, Az)d(Az, z)] + k_{2}[d(Az, Az)d(Az, z) + d(z, z)d(z, Az)]$$

$$[d(Az, z)]^{2} \leq k_{1}[d(Az, z)]^{2}$$

(1-k₁)[d(Az, z)]² \le 0, since 0 \le k₁ + 2k₂ < 1
d(Az, z) = 0 implies Az = z.

Therefore Az = Sz = z and hence Az = Sz = Tw = Bw = z.

Again, if B and T are compatible of type (E) and one of mappings say B of the pair (B, T) is continuous, so we get Bw = Tw = Az = z. By using proposition 2.10, we get BBw = BTw = TBw = TTw. Thus, we get Bz = Tz.

Put $x = x_{2n}$, y = z in condition (3.1.2), we have

$$[d(Ax_{2n}, Bz)]^{2} \leq k_{1}[d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(Bz, Tz) + d(Bz, Sx_{2n})d(Ax_{2n}, Tz)] + k_{2}[d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(Ax_{2n}, Tz) + d(Bz, Tz)d(Bz, Sx_{2n})]$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using the conditions (3.1.6) and Bz = Tz, we get

$$[d(z, Bz)]^{2} \leq k_{1}[d(z, z)d(Bz, Bz) + d(Bz, z)d(z, Bz)] + k_{2}[d(z, z)d(z, Bz) + d(Bz, Bz)d(Bz, z)] [d(z, Bz)]^{2} \leq k_{1}[d(z, Bz)]^{2} (1-k_{1})[d(Bz, z)]^{2} \leq 0, \text{ since } 0 \leq k_{1} + 2k_{2} < 1 d(Bz, z) = 0 \text{ implies } Bz = z.$$

Therefore Tz = Bz = z.

Hence z is a common fixed point of B and T.

Since $B_z = T_z = A_z = S_z = z$, we get z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T. The uniqueness of the fixed point can be easily proved.

3.2 Remark: From the example given earlier, the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are compatible mappings of type (E). But the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are not any one of compatible, compatible mappings of type (A), compatible mappings of type (B), compatible mappings of type (P). For this, take a sequence $x_n = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{n}$, for $n \ge 1$, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = \frac{1}{8} = t$ (Say) and $\lim_{n \to \infty} AAx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} ASx_n = S(t) = \frac{5}{16}$ also $\lim_{n \to \infty} SSx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} SAx_n = A(t) = \frac{1}{16}$. Further the condition (3.1.2) holds for the values of $0 \le k_1 + 2k_2 < 1$, where $k_1, k_2 \ge 0$. It is also clear that A is continuous in the pair (A,S) and B is continuous in the pair (B,T). We also note that X is not a complete metric space. Also from the example 2.9, we observe that $\frac{1}{3}$ is a common fixed point of A, B, S

and T. In fact $(\frac{1}{3})$ is the unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and fixed points, Internat.J. Math. & Math. Sci., 9(1986), 771-778.
- [2] R.P. Pant, A common fixed point theorem under a new condition, Indian J. Pure App. Math., 30(2) (1999), 147-152.
- [3] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 11(1988), 285-288.
- [4] G. Jungck and B.E. Rhoades, Fixed point for se valued functions without continuity, Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math., 29(3) (1998), 227-238.
- [5] B. Singh and S. Chauhan, On common fixed points of four mappings, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 88(1998), 301-308.
- [6] M.R. Singh and Y.R. Singh, Compatible mappings of type (E) and common fixed point theorems of Meir-Keeler type, Int. J. Math. Sci. Engg. Appl. 12(2007), 299-315.
- [7] V. Srinivas, B.V.B. Reddy and R.U. Rao, A common fixed point theorem using A-Compatible and Scompatible mappings, International Journal of Theoretical & Applied sciences, 5(1) (2013), 154-161.
- [8] V. Srinivas and R.U. Rao, A common fixed point theorem under certain conditions, Gen. Math. Notes, 8(2)(2012), 28-33.