



Available online at <http://scik.org>

Adv. Fixed Point Theory, 8 (2018), No. 3, 287-302

<https://doi.org/10.28919/afpt/3692>

ISSN: 1927-6303

PARAMETRIC GENERALIZED MIXED MULTI-VALUED IMPLICIT QUASI-VARIATIONAL INCLUSION PROBLEMS

FAIZAN A. KHAN*, ABDULLAH A. ALATAWI, M. DILSHAD

Department of Mathematics, University of Tabuk, Tabuk-71491, KSA

Copyright © 2018 Khan, Alatawi and Dilshad. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In this paper, by using a resolvent operator technique of maximal monotone mappings and the property of a fixed-point set of multi-valued contractive mapping, we study the behavior and sensitivity analysis of a solution set for a parametric generalized mixed multi-valued implicit quasi-variational inclusion problem in Hilbert space. Further, under some suitable conditions, we discuss the Lipschitz continuity (or continuity) of the solution set with respect to the parameter. By exploiting the technique of this paper, one can generalize and improve many known results in the literature.

Keywords: quasi-variational inclusion problem; sensitivity analysis; resolvent operator; Hausdorff metric; Hilbert space.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 47H05, 47J25, 49J53.

1. Introduction

Variational inequality theory has become very effective and powerful tool for studying a wide range of problems arising in mechanics, optimization, operation research, equilibrium problems

*Corresponding author

E-mail address: faizan_math@yahoo.com

Received March 8, 2018

and boundary value problems, etc. Variational inequalities have been generalized and extended in different directions using novel and innovative techniques. A useful and important generalization of variational inequality is called the variational inclusion. Hassouni and Moudafi [9], Agarwal *et al.* [2], Ding [5,6], Ding and Luo [7], Fang and Huang [8], Huang [10] and Noor [17,18] have used the resolvent operator technique to obtain some important extensions and generalizations in existence results for some classes of variational inequalities (inclusions).

In recent years, much attention has been given to develop general techniques for the sensitivity analysis of solution set of various classes of variational inequalities (inclusions). From the mathematical and engineering point of view, sensitivity properties of various classes of variational inequalities can provide new insight concerning the problem being studied and stimulate ideas for solving problems. The sensitivity analysis of solution set for variational inequalities have been studied extensively by many authors using quite different techniques. By using the projection technique, Dafermos [4], Mukherjee and Verma [15], Ding and Luo [7] and Yen [23] studied the sensitivity analysis of solution for some classes of variational inequalities with single-valued mappings. By using the implicit function approach that makes use of so-called normal mappings, Robinson [22] studied the sensitivity analysis of solutions for variational inequalities in finite-dimensional spaces. By using resolvent operator technique, Adly [1], Agarwal *et al.* [2], Lim [13], Liu *et al.* [14] and Noor [17] studied the sensitivity analysis of solution for some classes of quasi-variational inclusions involving single-valued mappings.

Recently, by using projection and resolvent techniques, Agarwal *et al.* [3], Ding [5,6], Kazmi and Alvi [11], Kazmi and Khan [12], Noor [18], Peng and Long [20] and Ram [21] studied the behavior and sensitivity analysis of solution set for some classes of parametric generalized variational inclusions involving multi-valued mappings.

Inspired and motivated by recent research work going in this direction, in this paper, we introduce the notion of resolvent operator of a maximal monotone mapping and discuss some of its properties. Further, we consider a parametric generalized mixed multi-valued implicit quasi-variational inclusion problem (PGMMIQVIP, for short) involving maximal monotone mapping

in Hilbert space. Further, by using a resolvent operator technique and the property of a fixed-point set of multi-valued contractive mapping, we study the behavior and sensitivity analysis of a solution set for the PGMMIQVIP. Furthermore, we discuss the Lipschitz continuity (or continuity) of the solution set with respect to the parameter. The results presented in this paper generalize and improve the results given in [3,6,11-13,18,20,21].

2. Preliminaries

We assume that H is a real Hilbert space equipped with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\| \cdot \|$; 2^H is the power set of H ; $C(H)$ is the family of all nonempty compact subsets of H ; $\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Hausdorff metric on $C(H)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{H}(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} \inf_{y \in B} d(x, y), \sup_{y \in B} \inf_{x \in A} d(x, y) \right\}, A, B \in C(H).$$

First, we review the following concepts and known results.

Definition 2.1[19]. Let $W : H \rightarrow 2^H$ be a maximal monotone mapping. For any fixed $\rho > 0$, the mapping $J_\rho^W : H \rightarrow H$, defined by

$$J_\rho^W(x) = (I + \rho W)^{-1}(x), \forall x \in H,$$

is said to be the resolvent operator of W where I is the identity mapping on H .

Lemma 2.1[19]. Let $W : H \rightarrow 2^H$ be a maximal monotone mapping. Then the resolvent operator $J_\rho^W : H \rightarrow H$ of W is nonexpansive, i.e.,

$$\|J_\rho^W(x) - J_\rho^W(y)\| \leq \|x - y\|, \forall x, y \in H.$$

Lemma 2.2[16]. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Suppose that $T : X \rightarrow C(X)$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{H}(T(x), T(y)) \leq \nu d(x, y), \forall x, y \in X,$$

where $\nu \in (0, 1)$ is a constant. Then the mapping T has fixed point in X .

Lemma 2.3[13]. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T_1, T_2 : X \rightarrow C(X)$ be θ - \mathcal{H} -contraction mappings, then

$$\mathcal{H}(F(T_1), F(T_2)) \leq (1 - \theta)^{-1} \sup_{x \in X} \mathcal{H}(T_1(x), T_2(x)),$$

where $F(T_1)$ and $F(T_2)$ are the sets of fixed points of T_1 and T_2 , respectively.

Definition 2.2[5,11,12]. A multi-valued mapping $R : H \times \Omega \rightarrow C(H)$ is said to be:

(i) δ -strongly monotone if there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\langle s_1 - s_2, x - y \rangle \geq \delta \|x - y\|^2, \forall (x, y, \lambda) \in H \times H \times \Omega, s_1 \in R(x, \lambda), s_2 \in R(y, \lambda);$$

(ii) L_R -Lipschitz continuous if there exists a constant $L_R > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}(R(x, \lambda), R(y, \lambda)) \leq L_R \|x - y\|, \forall (x, y, \lambda) \in H \times H \times \Omega.$$

Definition 2.3[11,12]. A multi-valued mapping $A : H \times \Omega \rightarrow C(H)$ is said to be (L_A, l_A) - \mathcal{H} -mixed Lipschitz continuous if there exist constants $L_A, l_A > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}(A(x_1, \lambda_1), A(x_2, \lambda_2)) \leq L_A \|x_1 - x_2\| + l_A \|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2\|, \forall (x_1, \lambda_1), (x_2, \lambda_2) \in H \times \Omega.$$

Definition 2.4[11,12,20]. Let $A, B, C : H \times \Omega \rightarrow C(H)$ be multi-valued mappings. A single-valued mapping $N : H \times H \times H \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ is said to be:

(i) α -strongly mixed monotone with respect to A, B and C if there exists a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\langle N(u_1, v_1, w_1, \lambda) - N(u_2, v_2, w_2, \lambda), x - y \rangle \geq \alpha \|x - y\|^2, \forall (x, y, \lambda) \in H \times H \times \Omega,$$

$$u_1 \in A(x, \lambda), u_2 \in A(y, \lambda), v_1 \in B(x, \lambda), v_2 \in B(y, \lambda), w_1 \in C(x, \lambda), w_2 \in C(y, \lambda);$$

(ii) $(L_{(N,1)}, L_{(N,2)}, L_{(N,3)}, l_N)$ -mixed Lipschitz continuous if there exist constants $L_{(N,1)}, L_{(N,2)}, L_{(N,3)}, l_N > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|N(x_1, y_1, z_1, \lambda_1) - N(x_2, y_2, z_2, \lambda_2)\| &\leq L_{(N,1)} \|x_1 - x_2\| + L_{(N,2)} \|y_1 - y_2\| \\ &\quad + L_{(N,3)} \|z_1 - z_2\| + l_N \|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2\|, \end{aligned}$$

$$\forall (x_1, y_1, z_1, \lambda_1), (x_2, y_2, z_2, \lambda_2) \in H \times H \times H \times \Omega.$$

3. Formulation of problem

Let Ω be a nonempty open subset of H in which the parameter λ takes values. Let $N : H \times H \times H \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ and $m, f : H \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ be single-valued mappings, and let $A, B, C, G, P, Q, R : H \times \Omega \rightarrow C(H)$ be multi-valued mappings. Suppose that $W : H \times H \times \Omega \rightarrow 2^H$ is a multi-valued mapping such that for each given $(z, \lambda) \in H \times \Omega$, $W(\cdot, z, \lambda) : H \rightarrow 2^H$ is a maximal monotone mapping with $(R(H, \lambda) - m(H, \lambda)) \cap \text{dom } W(\cdot, z, \lambda) \neq \emptyset$. In this paper, we will consider the following parametric generalized mixed multi-valued implicit quasi-variational inclusion problem (PGMMIQVIP):

For each fixed $\lambda \in \Omega$, find $x(\lambda) \in H$, $u(\lambda) \in A(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $v(\lambda) \in B(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $w(\lambda) \in C(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $z(\lambda) \in G(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $n(\lambda) \in P(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $t(\lambda) \in Q(x(\lambda), \lambda)$ and $s(\lambda) \in R(x(\lambda), \lambda)$ such that

$$0 \in W(s(\lambda) - m(n(\lambda), \lambda), z(\lambda), \lambda) - N(u(\lambda), v(\lambda), w(\lambda), \lambda) + f(t(\lambda), \lambda). \tag{3.1}$$

Some special cases:

- (1) If $N(u(\lambda), v(\lambda), w(\lambda), \lambda) \equiv N(u(\lambda), v(\lambda), \lambda)$, then the PGMMIQVIP (3.1) reduces to the following parametric generalized quasi-variational inclusion problem: for each fixed $\lambda \in \Omega$, find $x(\lambda) \in H$, $u(\lambda) \in A(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $v(\lambda) \in B(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $z(\lambda) \in G(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $n(\lambda) \in P(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $t(\lambda) \in Q(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $s(\lambda) \in R(x(\lambda), \lambda)$ such that

$$0 \in W(s(\lambda) - m(n(\lambda), \lambda), z(\lambda), \lambda) - N(u(\lambda), v(\lambda), \lambda) + f(t(\lambda), \lambda), \tag{3.2}$$

which has been considered and studied by Ram [21].

- (2) If $f(t(\lambda), \lambda) \equiv 0$, then the PGQVIP (3.2) reduces to the following parametric generalized quasi-variational inclusion problem: for each fixed $\lambda \in \Omega$, find $x(\lambda) \in H$, $u(\lambda) \in A(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $v(\lambda) \in B(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $z(\lambda) \in G(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $n(\lambda) \in P(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $s(\lambda) \in R(x(\lambda), \lambda)$ such that

$$0 \in W(s(\lambda) - m(n(\lambda), \lambda), z(\lambda), \lambda) - N(u(\lambda), v(\lambda), \lambda), \tag{3.3}$$

which has been introduced and studied by Ding [5].

- (3) If $R \equiv g : H \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ is a single-valued mapping and $P(x, \lambda) \equiv x$, for all $(x, \lambda) \in H \times \Omega$, then the PGQVIP (3.3) reduces to the following parametric generalized quasi-variational inclusion problem: for each fixed $\lambda \in \Omega$, find $x(\lambda) \in H$, $u(\lambda) \in A(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $v(\lambda) \in B(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $z(\lambda) \in G(x(\lambda), \lambda)$ such that

$$0 \in W(g(x(\lambda), \lambda) - m(x(\lambda), \lambda), z(\lambda), \lambda) - N(u(\lambda), v(\lambda), \lambda)). \quad (3.4)$$

Similar type problems have been studied by many authors given in [5,11,12,18,20,21].

- (4) If $m(x(\lambda), \lambda) \equiv 0$, for all $(x, \lambda) \in H \times \Omega$, then the PGQVIP (3.4) reduces to the following parametric generalized quasi-variational inclusion problem: for each fixed $\lambda \in \Omega$, find $x(\lambda) \in H$, $u(\lambda) \in A(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $v(\lambda) \in B(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $z(\lambda) \in G(x(\lambda), \lambda)$ such that

$$0 \in W(g(x(\lambda), \lambda), z(\lambda), \lambda) - N(u(\lambda), v(\lambda), \lambda), \quad (3.5)$$

which has been introduced and studied by Noor [17,18].

In brief, for appropriate and suitable choices of the mappings $A, B, C, G, P, Q, R, N, W, m, f$, and the space H , it is easy to see that the PGMMIQVIP (3.1) includes a number of known classes of parametric variational inclusions studied by many authors given in [3,5,6,11-13,18,20,21].

Now, for each fixed $\lambda \in \Omega$, the solution set $S(\lambda)$ of the PGMMIQVIP (3.1) is denoted as

$$S(\lambda) := \left\{ x(\lambda) \in H : \exists u(\lambda) \in A(x(\lambda), \lambda), v(\lambda) \in B(x(\lambda), \lambda), w(\lambda) \in C(x(\lambda), \lambda), \right. \\ \left. z(\lambda) \in G(x(\lambda), \lambda), n(\lambda) \in P(x(\lambda), \lambda), t(\lambda) \in Q(x(\lambda), \lambda), s(\lambda) \in R(x(\lambda), \lambda) \text{ such that} \right. \\ \left. 0 \in W(s(\lambda) - m(n(\lambda), \lambda), z(\lambda), \lambda) - N(u(\lambda), v(\lambda), w(\lambda), \lambda) + f(t(\lambda), \lambda) \right\}. \quad (3.6)$$

The main aim of this paper is to study the behavior and sensitivity analysis of the solution set $S(\lambda)$, and the conditions on these mappings $A, B, C, G, P, Q, R, N, W, m, f$ under which the solution set $S(\lambda)$ of the PGMMIQVIP (3.1) is nonempty and Lipschitz continuous (or continuous) with respect to the parameter $\lambda \in \Omega$.

4. Sensitivity analysis of solution set $S(\lambda)$

First, we transfer the PGMMIQVIP (3.1) into a parametric fixed-point problem.

Theorem 4.1. For each fixed $\lambda \in \Omega$, $x(\lambda) \in S(\lambda)$ is a solution of the PGMMIQVIP (3.1) if and only if there exist $u(\lambda) \in A(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $v(\lambda) \in B(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $w(\lambda) \in C(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $z(\lambda) \in G(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $n(\lambda) \in P(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $t(\lambda) \in Q(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $s(\lambda) \in R(x(\lambda), \lambda)$ such that the following relation holds:

$$s(\lambda) = m(n(\lambda), \lambda) + J_{\rho}^{W(\cdot, z(\lambda), \lambda)}(s(\lambda) - m(n(\lambda), \lambda) - \rho N(u(\lambda), v(\lambda), w(\lambda), \lambda) + f(t(\lambda), \lambda)), \quad (4.1)$$

where $\rho > 0$ is a constant.

Proof. For each fixed $\lambda \in \Omega$, by the definition of the resolvent operator $J_{\rho}^{W(\cdot, z(\lambda), \lambda)}$ of $W(\cdot, z(\lambda), \lambda)$, we have that there exist $x(\lambda) \in H$, $u(\lambda) \in A(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $v(\lambda) \in B(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $w(\lambda) \in C(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $z(\lambda) \in G(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $n(\lambda) \in P(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $t(\lambda) \in Q(x(\lambda), \lambda)$ and $s(\lambda) \in R(x(\lambda), \lambda)$ such that (4.1) holds if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} s(\lambda) - m(n(\lambda), \lambda) - \rho N(u(\lambda), v(\lambda), w(\lambda), \lambda) + f(t(\lambda), \lambda) \\ \in s(\lambda) - m(n(\lambda), \lambda) + \rho W(s(\lambda) - m(n(\lambda), \lambda), z(\lambda), \lambda). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

The above relation holds if and only if

$$0 \in W(s(\lambda) - m(n(\lambda), \lambda), z(\lambda), \lambda) - N(u(\lambda), v(\lambda), w(\lambda), \lambda) + f(t(\lambda), \lambda).$$

By the definition of $S(\lambda)$, we obtain that $x(\lambda) \in S(\lambda)$ is a solution of the PGMMIQVIP (3.1) if and only if there exist $x(\lambda) \in H$, $u(\lambda) \in A(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $v(\lambda) \in B(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $w(\lambda) \in C(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $z(\lambda) \in G(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $n(\lambda) \in P(x(\lambda), \lambda)$, $t(\lambda) \in Q(x(\lambda), \lambda)$ and $s(\lambda) \in R(x(\lambda), \lambda)$ such that (4.1) holds.

Remark 4.1. Theorem 4.1 is a generalized variant of Lemma 3.1 of Adly [1], Lemma 2.1 of Agarwal *et al.* [2], Theorem 3.1 of Ding [5], Lemma 3.1 of Ding *et al.* [7], Lemma 4.1 of Kazmi *et al.* [11], Lemma 2.1 of Peng *et al.* [20], and Theorem 3.1 of Ram [21].

Theorem 4.2. Let $A, B, C, G, P, Q, R : H \times \Omega \rightarrow C(H)$ be multi-valued mappings such that A, B, C, G, P, Q and R are \mathcal{H} -Lipschitz continuous in the first arguments with constant $L_A, L_B, L_C, L_G, L_P, L_Q$ and L_R , respectively, and let $R : H \times \Omega \rightarrow C(H)$ be δ -strongly monotone. Let $m : H \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ be (L_m, l_m) -mixed Lipschitz continuous and $f : H \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ be (L_f, l_f) -mixed Lipschitz continuous. Let $N : H \times H \times H \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ be α -strongly mixed monotone with respect to A, B and C and $(L_{(N,1)}, L_{(N,2)}, L_{(N,3)}, l_N)$ -mixed Lipschitz continuous. Suppose that the multi-valued mapping $W : H \times H \times \Omega \rightarrow 2^H$ is such that for each fixed $(z, \lambda) \in$

$H \times \Omega$, $W(\cdot, z, \lambda) : H \rightarrow 2^H$ is a maximal monotone mapping satisfying $R(H, \lambda) - m(H, \lambda) \cap \text{dom } W(\cdot, z, \lambda) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that there exist constants $k_1, k_2 > 0$ such that

$$\|J_\rho^{W(\cdot, x_1, \lambda_1)}(t) - J_\rho^{W(\cdot, x_2, \lambda_2)}(t)\| \leq k_1 \|x_1 - x_2\| + k_2 \|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2\|, \forall x_1, x_2, t \in H; \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Omega, \tag{4.3}$$

and suppose for $\rho > 0$, the following condition holds:

$$\theta = k + t(\rho) < 1, \tag{4.4}$$

where $k := 2\sqrt{1 - 2\delta + \lambda_R^2} + 2L_m L_P + L_f L_Q + k_1 L_G$; $t(\rho) := \sqrt{1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2 L_N^2}$;

$$L_N := (L_A L_{(N,1)} + L_B L_{(N,2)} + L_C L_{(N,3)}).$$

Then, for each fixed $\lambda \in \Omega$, the solution set $S(\lambda)$ of the PGMMIQVIP (3.1) is nonempty and closed set in H .

Proof. Define a multi-valued mapping $F : H \times \Omega \rightarrow 2^H$ by

$$F(x, \lambda) = \bigcup_{u \in A(x, \lambda), v \in B(x, \lambda), w \in C(x, \lambda), z \in G(x, \lambda), n \in P(x, \lambda), t \in Q(x, \lambda), s \in R(x, \lambda)} \left[x - s + m(n, \lambda) + J_\rho^{W(\cdot, z, \lambda)}(s - m(n, \lambda) - \rho N(u, v, w, \lambda) + f(t, \lambda)) \right], \forall (x, \lambda) \in H \times \Omega. \tag{4.5}$$

For any $(x, \lambda) \in H \times \Omega$, since $A(x, \lambda), B(x, \lambda), C(x, \lambda), G(x, \lambda), P(x, \lambda), Q(x, \lambda), R(x, \lambda) \in C(H)$, and $m, f, J_\rho^{W(\cdot, z, \lambda)}$ are continuous, we have $F(x, \lambda) \in C(H)$. Now for each fixed $\lambda \in \Omega$, we prove that $F(x, \lambda)$ is a multi-valued contractive mapping. For any $(x, \lambda), (y, \lambda) \in H \times \Omega$ and any $a \in F(x, \lambda)$, there exist $u_1 \in A(x, \lambda), v_1 \in B(x, \lambda), w_1 \in C(x, \lambda), z_1 \in G(x, \lambda), n_1 \in P(x, \lambda), t_1 \in Q(x, \lambda)$ and $s_1 \in R(x, \lambda)$ such that

$$a = x - s_1 + m(n_1, \lambda) + J_\rho^{W(\cdot, z_1, \lambda)}(s_1 - m(n_1, \lambda) - \rho N(u_1, v_1, w_1, \lambda) + f(t_1, \lambda)). \tag{4.6}$$

Since $A(y, \lambda), B(y, \lambda), C(y, \lambda), G(y, \lambda), P(y, \lambda), Q(y, \lambda), R(y, \lambda) \in C(H)$, so there exist $u_2 \in A(y, \lambda), v_2 \in B(y, \lambda), w_2 \in C(y, \lambda), z_2 \in G(y, \lambda), n_2 \in P(y, \lambda), t_2 \in Q(y, \lambda)$ and $s_2 \in R(y, \lambda)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_1 - u_2\| &\leq \mathcal{H}(A(x, \lambda), A(y, \lambda)) \leq L_A \|x - y\|, \\ \|v_1 - v_2\| &\leq \mathcal{H}(B(x, \lambda), B(y, \lambda)) \leq L_B \|x - y\|, \\ \|w_1 - w_2\| &\leq \mathcal{H}(C(x, \lambda), C(y, \lambda)) \leq L_C \|x - y\|, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_1 - z_2\| &\leq \mathcal{H}(G(x, \lambda), G(y, \lambda)) \leq L_G \|x - y\|, \\ \|n_1 - n_2\| &\leq \mathcal{H}(P(x, \lambda), P(y, \lambda)) \leq L_P \|x - y\|, \\ \|t_1 - t_2\| &\leq \mathcal{H}(Q(x, \lambda), Q(y, \lambda)) \leq L_Q \|x - y\|, \\ \|s_1 - s_2\| &\leq \mathcal{H}(R(x, \lambda), R(y, \lambda)) \leq L_R \|x - y\|. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Let $b = y - s_2 + m(n_2, \lambda) + J_\rho^{W(\cdot, z_2, \lambda)}(s_2 - m(n_2, \lambda) - \rho N(u_2, v_2, w_2, \lambda) + f(t_2, \lambda))$, (4.8)

then we have $b \in F(y, \lambda)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|a - b\| &\leq \|x - y - (s_1 - s_2)\| + \|m(n_1, \lambda) - m(n_2, \lambda)\| \\ &\quad + \|J_\rho^{W(\cdot, z_1, \lambda)}(s_1 - m(n_1, \lambda) - \rho N(u_1, v_1, w_1, \lambda) + f(t_1, \lambda)) \\ &\quad - J_\rho^{W(\cdot, z_2, \lambda)}(s_2 - m(n_2, \lambda) - \rho N(u_2, v_2, w_2, \lambda) + f(t_2, \lambda))\|. \\ &\leq \|x - y - (s_1 - s_2)\| + \|m(n_1, \lambda) - m(n_2, \lambda)\| \\ &\quad + \|J_\rho^{W(\cdot, z_1, \lambda)}(s_1 - m(n_1, \lambda) - \rho N(u_1, v_1, w_1, \lambda) + f(t_1, \lambda)) \\ &\quad - J_\rho^{W(\cdot, z_1, \lambda)}(s_2 - m(n_2, \lambda) - \rho N(u_2, v_2, w_2, \lambda) + f(t_2, \lambda))\| \\ &\quad + \|J_\rho^{W(\cdot, z_1, \lambda)}(s_2 - m(n_2, \lambda) - \rho N(u_2, v_2, w_2, \lambda) + f(t_2, \lambda)) \\ &\quad - J_\rho^{W(\cdot, z_2, \lambda)}(s_2 - m(n_2, \lambda) - \rho N(u_2, v_2, w_2, \lambda) + f(t_2, \lambda))\| \\ &\leq \|x - y - (s_1 - s_2)\| + \|m(n_1, \lambda) - m(n_2, \lambda)\| \\ &\quad + \|s_1 - m(n_1, \lambda) - \rho N(u_1, v_1, w_1, \lambda) + f(t_1, \lambda) \\ &\quad - [s_2 - m(n_2, \lambda) - \rho N(u_2, v_2, w_2, \lambda) + f(t_2, \lambda)]\| + k_1 \|z_1 - z_2\| \\ &\leq 2\|x - y - (s_1 - s_2)\| + 2\|m(n_1, \lambda) - m(n_2, \lambda)\| + k_1 \|z_1 - z_2\| \\ &\quad + \|f(t_1, \lambda) - f(t_2, \lambda)\| + \|x - y - \rho(N(u_1, v_1, w_1, \lambda) - N(u_2, v_2, w_2, \lambda))\|. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Since N is α -strongly mixed monotone and $(L_{(N,1)}, L_{(N,2)}, L_{(N,3)}, l_N)$ -mixed Lipschitz continuous; A, B, C are \mathcal{H} -Lipschitz continuous, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|x - y - \rho(N(u_1, v_1, w_1, \lambda) - N(u_2, v_2, w_2, \lambda))\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2 - 2\rho \langle N(u_1, v_1, w_1, \lambda) - N(u_2, v_2, w_2, \lambda), x - y \rangle \\ &\quad + \rho^2 \|N(u_1, v_1, w_1, \lambda) - N(u_2, v_2, w_2, \lambda)\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2 - 2\rho\alpha \|x - y\|^2 + \rho^2 (L_A L_{(N,1)} + L_B L_{(N,2)} + L_C L_{(N,3)})^2 \|x - y\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \left(1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2(L_A L_{(N,1)} + L_B L_{(N,2)} + L_C L_{(N,3)})^2\right) \|x - y\|^2. \quad (4.10)$$

Since R is δ -strongly monotone and L_R -Lipschitz continuous, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - y - (s_1 - s_2)\|^2 &= \|x - y\|^2 - 2\langle x - y, s_1 - s_2 \rangle + \|s_1 - s_2\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2 - 2\delta\|x - y\|^2 + [\mathcal{H}(R(x, \lambda), R(y, \lambda))]^2 \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2 - 2\delta\|x - y\|^2 + L_R^2\|x - y\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$\|x - y - (s_1 - s_2)\| \leq \sqrt{1 - 2\delta + L_R^2} \|x - y\|. \quad (4.11)$$

By the mixed Lipschitz continuity of m and the \mathcal{H} -Lipschitz continuity of P , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|m(n_1, \lambda) - m(n_2, \lambda)\| &\leq L_m \|n_1 - n_2\| \leq L_m \mathcal{H}(P(x, \lambda), P(y, \lambda)) \\ &\leq L_m L_P \|x - y\|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

By the \mathcal{H} -Lipschitz continuity of G , we have

$$\|z_1 - z_2\| \leq \mathcal{H}(G(x, \lambda), G(y, \lambda)) \leq L_G \|x - y\|. \quad (4.13)$$

By the mixed Lipschitz continuity of f and the \mathcal{H} -Lipschitz continuity of Q , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(t_1, \lambda) - f(t_2, \lambda)\| &\leq L_f \|t_1 - t_2\| \leq L_f \mathcal{H}(Q(x, \lambda), Q(y, \lambda)) \\ &\leq L_f L_Q \|x - y\|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Combining (4.9)-(4.14), we obtain

$$\|a - b\| \leq \theta \|x - y\|, \quad (4.15)$$

where $\theta := k + t(\rho)$; $k := 2\sqrt{1 - 2\delta + L_R^2} + 2L_m L_P + L_f L_Q + k_1 L_G$;

$$t(\rho) := \sqrt{1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2 L_N^2}; \quad L_N := (L_A L_{(N,1)} + L_B L_{(N,2)} + L_C L_{(N,3)}).$$

It follows from condition (4.4) that $\theta < 1$. Hence, we have

$$d(a, F(y, \lambda)) = \inf_{b \in F(y, \lambda)} \|a - b\| \leq \theta \|x - y\|.$$

Since $a \in F(x, \lambda)$ is arbitrary, we obtain

$$\sup_{a \in F(x, \lambda)} d(a, F(y, \lambda)) \leq \theta \|x - y\|.$$

By using same argument, we can prove

$$\sup_{b \in F(y, \lambda)} d(F(x, \lambda), b) \leq \theta \|x - y\|.$$

By the definition of the Hausdorff metric \mathcal{H} on $C(H)$, and for all $(x, y, \lambda) \in H \times H \times \Omega$, we obtain that

$$\mathcal{H}(F(x, \lambda), F(y, \lambda)) \leq \theta \|x - y\|, \tag{4.16}$$

that is, $F(x, \lambda)$ is a uniform θ - \mathcal{H} -contraction mapping with respect to $\lambda \in \Omega$. Also, it follows from condition (4.4) that $\theta < 1$ and hence $F(x, \lambda)$ is a multi-valued contraction mapping which is uniform with respect to $\lambda \in \Omega$. By Lemma 2.2, for each $\lambda \in \Omega$, $F(x, \lambda)$ has a fixed point $x(\lambda) \in H$, that is, $x(\lambda) \in F(x(\lambda), \lambda)$ and hence Theorem 4.1 ensure that $x(\lambda) \in S(\lambda)$ is a solution of the PGMMIQVIP (3.1) and so $S(\lambda) \neq \emptyset$. Further, for each $\lambda \in \Omega$, let $\{x_n\} \subset S(\lambda)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0$, we have $x_n \in F(x_n, \lambda)$ for all $n \geq 1$. By virtue of (4.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_0, F(x_0, \lambda)) &\leq \|x_0 - x_n\| + \mathcal{H}(F(x_n, \lambda), F(x_0, \lambda)) \\ &\leq (1 + \theta) \|x_n - x_0\| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $x_0 \in F(x_0, \lambda)$ and hence $x_0 \in S(\lambda)$. Thus $S(\lambda)$ is closed set in H .

Now, we prove that the solution set $S(\lambda)$ of the PGMMIQVIP (3.1) is \mathcal{H} -Lipschitz continuous (or continuous) for each $\lambda \in \Omega$.

Theorem 4.3. Let the multi-valued mappings A, B, C, G, P, Q and R be \mathcal{H} -mixed Lipschitz continuous with pairs of constants $(L_A, l_A), (L_B, l_B), (L_C, l_C), (L_G, l_G), (L_P, l_P), (L_Q, l_Q)$ and (L_R, l_R) , respectively. Let the mappings m, f be same as in Theorem 4.2. Let N be α -strongly mixed monotone with respect to A, B and C and $(L_{(N,1)}, L_{(N,2)}, L_{(N,3)}, l_N)$ -mixed Lipschitz continuous. Suppose that the multi-valued mapping W is same as in Theorem 4.2 and condition (4.4) holds, then for each $\lambda \in \Omega$, the solution set $S(\lambda)$ of the PGMMIQVIP (3.1) is a \mathcal{H} -Lipschitz continuous (or continuous) mapping from Ω to H .

Proof. For each $\lambda, \bar{\lambda} \in \Omega$, it follows from Theorem 4.2 that $S(\lambda)$ and $S(\bar{\lambda})$ are both nonempty and closed subsets of H . It also follows from Theorem 4.2 that $F(x, \lambda)$ and $F(x, \bar{\lambda})$ are both multi-valued θ - \mathcal{H} -contraction mappings with same contractive constant $\theta \in (0, 1)$. By Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}(S(\lambda), S(\bar{\lambda})) \leq \left(\frac{1}{1-\theta} \right) \sup_{x \in H} \mathcal{H}(F(x, \lambda), F(x, \bar{\lambda})). \quad (4.17)$$

Taking any $a \in F(x, \lambda)$, there exist $u(\lambda) \in A(x, \lambda)$, $v(\lambda) \in B(x, \lambda)$, $w(\lambda) \in C(x, \lambda)$, $z(\lambda) \in G(x, \lambda)$, $n(\lambda) \in P(x, \lambda)$, $t(\lambda) \in Q(x, \lambda)$, $s(\lambda) \in R(x, \lambda)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} a = x - s(\lambda) + m(n(\lambda), \lambda) + J_{\rho}^{W(\cdot, z(\lambda), \lambda)}(s(\lambda) - m(n(\lambda), \lambda) \\ - \rho N(u(\lambda), v(\lambda), w(\lambda), \lambda) + f(t(\lambda), \lambda)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

It is easy to see that there exist $u(\bar{\lambda}) \in A(x, \bar{\lambda})$, $v(\bar{\lambda}) \in B(x, \bar{\lambda})$, $w(\bar{\lambda}) \in C(x, \bar{\lambda})$, $z(\bar{\lambda}) \in G(x, \bar{\lambda})$, $n(\bar{\lambda}) \in P(x, \bar{\lambda})$, $t(\bar{\lambda}) \in Q(x, \bar{\lambda})$ and $s(\bar{\lambda}) \in R(x, \bar{\lambda})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\lambda) - u(\bar{\lambda})\| &\leq \mathcal{H}(A(x, \lambda), A(x, \bar{\lambda})) \leq l_A \|\lambda - \bar{\lambda}\|, \\ \|v(\lambda) - v(\bar{\lambda})\| &\leq \mathcal{H}(B(x, \lambda), B(x, \bar{\lambda})) \leq l_B \|\lambda - \bar{\lambda}\|, \\ \|w(\lambda) - w(\bar{\lambda})\| &\leq \mathcal{H}(C(x, \lambda), C(x, \bar{\lambda})) \leq l_C \|\lambda - \bar{\lambda}\|, \\ \|z(\lambda) - z(\bar{\lambda})\| &\leq \mathcal{H}(G(x, \lambda), G(x, \bar{\lambda})) \leq l_G \|\lambda - \bar{\lambda}\|, \\ \|n(\lambda) - n(\bar{\lambda})\| &\leq \mathcal{H}(P(x, \lambda), P(x, \bar{\lambda})) \leq l_P \|\lambda - \bar{\lambda}\|, \\ \|t(\lambda) - t(\bar{\lambda})\| &\leq \mathcal{H}(Q(x, \lambda), Q(x, \bar{\lambda})) \leq l_Q \|\lambda - \bar{\lambda}\|, \\ \|s(\lambda) - s(\bar{\lambda})\| &\leq \mathcal{H}(R(x, \lambda), R(x, \bar{\lambda})) \leq l_R \|\lambda - \bar{\lambda}\|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} b = x - s(\bar{\lambda}) + m(n(\bar{\lambda}), \bar{\lambda}) + J_{\rho}^{W(\cdot, z(\bar{\lambda}), \bar{\lambda})}(s(\bar{\lambda}) - m(n(\bar{\lambda}), \bar{\lambda}) \\ - \rho N(u(\bar{\lambda}), v(\bar{\lambda}), w(\bar{\lambda}), \bar{\lambda}) + f(t(\bar{\lambda}), \bar{\lambda})), \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

then $b \in F(x, \bar{\lambda})$. In view of (4.3), (4.18)-(4.20) and with

$t = s(\bar{\lambda}) - m(n(\bar{\lambda}), \bar{\lambda}) - \rho N(u(\bar{\lambda}), v(\bar{\lambda}), w(\bar{\lambda}), \bar{\lambda}) + f(t(\bar{\lambda}), \bar{\lambda})$, we have

$$\|a - b\| \leq \|s(\lambda) - s(\bar{\lambda})\| + \|m(n(\lambda), \lambda) - m(n(\bar{\lambda}), \bar{\lambda})\|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \|J_\rho^{W(\cdot, z(\lambda), \lambda)}(s(\lambda) - m(n(\lambda), \lambda) - \rho N(u(\lambda), v(\lambda), w(\lambda), \lambda) + f(t(\lambda), \lambda)) - J_\rho^{W(\cdot, z(\lambda), \lambda)}(t))\| \\
 & + \|J_\rho^{W(\cdot, z(\lambda), \lambda)}(t) - J_\rho^{W(\cdot, z(\bar{\lambda}), \lambda)}(t)\| + \|J_\rho^{W(\cdot, z(\bar{\lambda}), \lambda)}(t) - J_\rho^{W(\cdot, z(\bar{\lambda}), \bar{\lambda})}(t)\| \\
 & \leq 2\|s(\lambda) - s(\bar{\lambda})\| + 2\|m(n(\lambda), \lambda) - m(n(\bar{\lambda}), \bar{\lambda})\| + \|f(t(\lambda), \lambda) - f(t(\bar{\lambda}), \bar{\lambda})\| + k_2\|\lambda - \bar{\lambda}\| \\
 & + k_1\|z(\lambda) - z(\bar{\lambda})\| + \rho\|N(u(\lambda), v(\lambda), w(\lambda), \lambda) - N(u(\bar{\lambda}), v(\bar{\lambda}), w(\bar{\lambda}), \bar{\lambda})\|. \tag{4.21}
 \end{aligned}$$

By the \mathcal{H} -Lipschitz continuity of R in $\lambda \in \Omega$, we have

$$\|s(\lambda) - s(\bar{\lambda})\| \leq \mathcal{H}(R(x, \lambda), R(x, \bar{\lambda})) \leq l_R\|\lambda - \bar{\lambda}\|. \tag{4.22}$$

By the mixed Lipschitz continuity of m and the \mathcal{H} -Lipschitz continuity of P , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|m(n(\lambda), \lambda) - m(n(\bar{\lambda}), \bar{\lambda})\| & \leq \|m(n(\lambda), \lambda) - m(n(\bar{\lambda}), \lambda)\| + \|m(n(\bar{\lambda}), \lambda) - m(n(\bar{\lambda}), \bar{\lambda})\| \\
 & \leq L_m\|n(\lambda) - n(\bar{\lambda})\| + l_m\|\lambda - \bar{\lambda}\| \\
 & \leq L_m \mathcal{H}(P(x, \lambda), P(x, \bar{\lambda})) + l_m\|\lambda - \bar{\lambda}\| \\
 & \leq (L_m l_P + l_m) \|\lambda - \bar{\lambda}\|. \tag{4.23}
 \end{aligned}$$

By the mixed Lipschitz continuity of f and the \mathcal{H} -Lipschitz continuity of Q , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|f(t(\lambda), \lambda) - f(t(\bar{\lambda}), \bar{\lambda})\| & \leq \|f(t(\lambda), \lambda) - f(t(\bar{\lambda}), \lambda)\| + \|f(t(\bar{\lambda}), \lambda) - f(t(\bar{\lambda}), \bar{\lambda})\| \\
 & \leq L_f\|t(\lambda) - t(\bar{\lambda})\| + l_f\|\lambda - \bar{\lambda}\| \\
 & \leq L_f \mathcal{H}(Q(x, \lambda), Q(x, \bar{\lambda})) + l_f\|\lambda - \bar{\lambda}\| \\
 & \leq (L_f l_Q + l_f) \|\lambda - \bar{\lambda}\|. \tag{4.24}
 \end{aligned}$$

By the mixed Lipschitz continuity of N , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|N(u(\lambda), v(\lambda), w(\lambda), \lambda) - N(u(\bar{\lambda}), v(\bar{\lambda}), w(\bar{\lambda}), \bar{\lambda})\| \\
 & \leq \|N(u(\lambda), v(\lambda), w(\lambda), \lambda) - N(u(\bar{\lambda}), v(\lambda), w(\lambda), \lambda)\| \\
 & \quad + \|N(u(\bar{\lambda}), v(\lambda), w(\lambda), \lambda) - N(u(\bar{\lambda}), v(\bar{\lambda}), w(\lambda), \lambda)\| \\
 & \quad + \|N(u(\bar{\lambda}), v(\bar{\lambda}), w(\lambda), \lambda) - N(u(\bar{\lambda}), v(\bar{\lambda}), w(\bar{\lambda}), \lambda)\| \\
 & \quad + \|N(u(\bar{\lambda}), v(\bar{\lambda}), w(\bar{\lambda}), \lambda) - N(u(\bar{\lambda}), v(\bar{\lambda}), w(\bar{\lambda}), \bar{\lambda})\| \\
 & \leq L_{(N,1)}\|u(\lambda) - u(\bar{\lambda})\| + L_{(N,2)}\|v(\lambda) - v(\bar{\lambda})\| + L_{(N,3)}\|w(\lambda) - w(\bar{\lambda})\| + l_N\|\lambda - \bar{\lambda}\| \\
 & \leq (l_A L_{(N,1)} + l_B L_{(N,2)} + l_C L_{(N,3)} + l_N) \|\lambda - \bar{\lambda}\|. \tag{4.25}
 \end{aligned}$$

By the \mathcal{H} -Lipschitz continuity of G , we have

$$\|z(\lambda) - z(\bar{\lambda})\| \leq \mathcal{H}(G(x, \lambda), G(x, \bar{\lambda})) \leq l_G \|\lambda - \bar{\lambda}\|. \quad (4.26)$$

Combining (4.21)-(4.26), we obtain

$$\|a - b\| \leq \theta_1 \|\lambda - \bar{\lambda}\|, \quad (4.27)$$

where,

$$\theta_1 := 2(l_R + L_m l_P + l_m) + \rho(l_A L_{(N,1)} + l_B L_{(N,2)} + l_C L_{(N,3)} + l_N) + L_f l_Q + l_f + k_1 l_G + k_2.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\sup_{a \in F(x, \lambda)} d(a, F(x, \bar{\lambda})) \leq \theta_1 \|\lambda - \bar{\lambda}\|.$$

By using a similar argument as above, we can obtain

$$\sup_{b \in F(x, \bar{\lambda})} d(F(x, \lambda), b) \leq \theta_1 \|\lambda - \bar{\lambda}\|.$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\mathcal{H}(F(x, \lambda), F(x, \bar{\lambda})) \leq \theta_1 \|\lambda - \bar{\lambda}\|.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}(S(\lambda), S(\bar{\lambda})) \leq \left(\frac{\theta_1}{1 - \theta} \right) \|\lambda - \bar{\lambda}\|.$$

This proves that $S(\lambda)$ is \mathcal{H} -Lipschitz continuous in $\lambda \in \Omega$. If, each mapping in this theorem is assumed to be continuous in $\lambda \in \Omega$, then by similar argument as above, we can show that $S(\lambda)$ is also continuous in $\lambda \in \Omega$. This completes the proof.

Remark 4.2. Since the PGMMIQVIP (3.1) includes many known classes of parametric generalized variational inclusion problems as special cases, Theorems 4.1-4.3 improve and generalize the known results given in [3,5,6,11-13,18,20,21].

Conflict of Interests:

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

Acknowledgements:

This work has been done under a Research Project (Project Grant Number: S-0114-1438) sanctioned by the Deanship of Scientific Research Unit of Tabuk University, Ministry of Higher Education, Kingdom of Saudia Arabia.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Adly, Perturbed algorithms and sensitivity analysis for a general class of variational inclusions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 201 (3) (1996) 609-630.
- [2] R.P. Agarwal, Y.-J. Cho and N.-J. Huang, Sensitivity analysis for strongly nonlinear quasi-variational inclusions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 13 (2002) 19-24.
- [3] R.P. Agarwal, N.-J. Huang and Y.-J. Cho, Generalized nonlinear mixed implicit quasi-variational inclusions with set-valued mappings, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 7 (6) (2002) 807-828.
- [4] S. Dafermos, Sensitivity analysis in variational inequalities, *Math. Oper. Res.* 13 (1998) 421-434.
- [5] X.-P. Ding, Sensitivity analysis for generalized nonlinear implicit quasi-variational inclusions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 17 (2004) 225-235.
- [6] X.-P. Ding, Parametric completely generalized mixed implicit quasi-variational inclusions involving h -maximal monotone mappings, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 182 (2005) 252-269.
- [7] X.-P. Ding and C.L. Luo, On parametric generalized quasi-variational inequalities, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* 100 (1999) 195-205.
- [8] Y.-P. Fang and N.-J. Huang, H -monotone operator and resolvent operator technique for variational inclusions, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 145 (2003) 795-803.
- [9] A. Hassouni and A. Moudafi, A perturbed algorithm for variational inclusion, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 185 (1994) 706-721.
- [10] N.J. Huang, A new completely general class of variational inclusions with noncompact set-valued mappings, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 35 (10) (1998) 9-14.
- [11] K.R. Kazmi and S.A. Alvi, Sensitivity analysis for parametric multi-valued implicit quasi-variational-like inclusion, *Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. Math. Stat.* 65 (2) (2016) 189-205.
- [12] K.R. Kazmi and F.A. Khan, Sensitivity analysis for parametric generalized implicit quasi-variational-like inclusions involving P - η -accretive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 337 (2008) 1198-1210.
- [13] T.C. Lim, On fixed point stability for set-valued contractive mappings with applications to generalized differential equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 110 (1985) 436-441.
- [14] Z. Liu, L. Debnath, S.M. Kang and J.S. Ume, Sensitivity analysis for parametric completely generalized nonlinear implicit quasi-variational inclusions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 277 (1) (2003) 142-154.

- [15] R.N. Mukherjee and H.L. Verma, Sensitivity analysis of generalized variational inequalities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 167 (1992) 299-304.
- [16] S.B. Nadler Jr., Multi-valued contractive mappings, *Pac. J. Math.* 30 (1969) 475-488.
- [17] M.A. Noor, Sensitivity analysis for quasi-variational inclusions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 236 (1999) 290-299.
- [18] M.A. Noor, Sensitivity analysis framework for general quasi-variational inclusions, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 44 (2002) 1175-1181.
- [19] D. Pascali and S. Sburlan, *Nonlinear mappings of monotone type*, Sijthoff and Noordhoff, Romania, (1978).
- [20] J.W. Peng and X.J. Long, Sensitivity analysis for parametric completely generalized strongly nonlinear implicit quasi-variational inclusions, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 50 (2005) 869-880.
- [21] T. Ram, Parametric generalized nonlinear quasi-variational inclusion problems, *Int. J. Math. Arch.* 3 (3) (2012) 1273-1282.
- [22] S.M. Robinson, Sensitivity analysis of variational inequalities by normal maps technique, In *variational inequalities and network equilibrium problems*, (Edited by F. Giannessi and A. Maugeri), Plenum Press, New York, (1995).
- [23] N.D. Yen, Hölder continuity of solutions to a parametric variational inequality, *Appl. Math. Optim.* 31 (1995) 245-255.