



Available online at <http://scik.org>

Advances in Fixed Point Theory, 3 (2013), No. 2, 248-262

ISSN: 1927-6303

ON A NEW GENERALIZED WEAKLY CONTRACTION MAPPING IN G - METRIC SPACES

MAHMOUD BOUSSELSAL^{1,2,*} AND ZEID I. AL-MUHIAMEED²

¹Ecole Normale Supérieure, Department of Mathematics, 16050, Vieux-Kouba, Algiers, (Algeria)

²Mathematics Department, College of Science, Qassim University, 51452 Bouraida, (KSA)

Abstract. In this paper, we establish some common fixed point results for two self-mappings f and g on a generalized metric space (X, G) . Our result generalizes and improves some known results in the literature.

Keywords: Fixed point, coincidence point, G - metric spaces, contraction.

2000 AMS Subject Classification: 47H10; 54H25

1. Introduction

The study of fixed points of mappings satisfying certain contractive conditions has been at the center of rigorous research activity, see [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26, 27, 40]. The notion of D-metric space is a generalization of usual metric spaces and it is introduced by Dhage [1, 2]. Recently, Mustafa and Sims [30, 31, 32] have shown that most of the results concerning Dhage's D-metric spaces are invalid. In [30, 33, 34, 35], they introduced a improved version of the generalized metric space structure which they called G-metric spaces. For more results on G-metric spaces and fixed point results, one can refer to the papers [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 19, 23, 28, 36, 37, 38, 39], some of them have

*Corresponding author

Received January 8, 2013

given some applications to matrix equations, ordinary differential equations, and integral equations.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. ([29]). Let X be a non-empty set, $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a function satisfying the following properties

- : (1) $G(x, y, z) = 0$ if $x = y = z$.
- (2) $0 < G(x, x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$
- (3) $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $y \neq z$.
- (4) $G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) =$ (symmetry in all three variables).
- (5) $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z, a \in X$ (rectangle inequality).

Then the function G is called a generalized metric, or, more specially, a G -metric on X and the pair (X, G) is called a G -metric space.

Definition 2.2. ([29]). Let (X, G) be a G -metric space, and let (x_n) be a sequence of points of X . We say that (x_n) is G -convergent to $x \in X$ if $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} G(x, x_n, x_m) = 0$, that is, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x, x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$, for all $n, m \geq N$. We call x the limit of the sequence x_n and write $x_n \rightarrow x$ or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

Proposition 2.1. ([29]) Let (X, G) be a G -metric space. The following are equivalent :

- (1) (x_n) is G -convergent to x
- (2) $G(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- (3) $G(x_n, x, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- (4) $G(x_n, x_m, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$

Definition 2.3. ([29]) Let (X, G) be a G -metric space. A sequence (x_n) is called a G -Cauchy sequence if, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \varepsilon$ for all $m, n, l \geq N$, that is $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m, l \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 2.2. ([29]) Let (X, G) be a G -metric space. Then the following are equivalent :

- (1) The sequence (x_n) is G -Cauchy

(2) For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \varepsilon$, for all $n, m \geq N$.

Proposition 2.3. ([31]) Let (X, G) be a G -metric space. Then for any $x, y, z, a \in X$, it follows that:

$$(1) G(x, y, z) \leq \frac{2}{3} [G(x, y, a) + G(x, a, z) + G(a, y, z)]$$

$$(2) G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(y, a, a) + G(z, a, a)$$

Proposition 2.4. ([29]) Let (X, G) be a G -metric space. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ is G -continuous at $x \in X$ if and only if it is G -sequentially continuous at x , that is, whenever (x_n) is G -convergent to x , $f(x_n)$ is G -convergent to $f(x)$.

Proposition 2.5. ([29]) Let (X, G) be a G -metric space. Then the function $G(x, y, z)$ is jointly continuous all three of its variables.

Definition 2.4. ([29]) A G -metric space (X, G) is called G -complete if every G -Cauchy sequence is G -convergent in (X, G) .

Definition 2.5. (weakly compatible mappings ([29])). Two mappings $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ are weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points, that is $ft = gt$ for some $t \in X$ implies that $fgt = gft$.

Proposition 2.6. ([29]) Let X be a non-empty set and S, T self-mappings of X . A point $x \in X$ is called a coincidence point of S and T if $Sx = Tx$. A point $w \in X$ is said to be a point of coincidence of S and T if there exists $x \in X$ so that $w = Sx = Tx$.

Definition 2.6. (g -Non decreasing Mapping ([29])). Suppose (X, \preceq) is a partially ordered set and $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ are mappings. f is said to be g -Non decreasing if for $x, y \in X$, $gx \preceq gy$ implies $fx \preceq fy$. Khan et al ([40]) introduced the concept of altering distance function that is a control function employed to alter the metric distance between two points enabling one to deal with relatively new classes of fixed point problems.

Let us denote by Ψ the class of the set of altering distance functions $\psi : [0, +\infty[\rightarrow [0, +\infty[$ which satisfies the following conditions:

(1) ψ is nondecreasing

(2) ψ is continuous

(3) $\psi(t) = 0 \iff t = 0$

and by Φ the class of the set of continuous functions $\varphi : [0, +\infty[\rightarrow [0, +\infty[$, nondecreasing.

3. Main results

We start with the following remark

Remark 3.1.

If $\psi \in \Psi$ and if $\varphi \in \Phi$ with the condition $\psi(t) > \varphi(t)$ for all $t > 0$, then $\varphi(0) = 0$.

Proof. Since $\varphi(t) < \psi(t)$ for all $t > 0$, then we have

$$0 \leq \varphi(0) \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi(t) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \psi(t) = \psi(0) = 0$$

This finishes the proof.

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, G) be a G -metric space and $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. If there exist $\psi \in \Psi$ and $\varphi \in \Phi$ with the condition $\psi(t) > \varphi(t)$ for all $t > 0$, such that

$$(1) \quad \psi(G(fx, fy, fz)) \leq \varphi\left(\frac{1}{3}(G(gx, fy, fy) + G(gy, fz, fz) + G(gz, fx, fx))\right)$$

If $f(X) \subset g(X)$, $g(X)$ is a complete subset of (X, G) and the pair $\{f, g\}$ is weakly compatible. Then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. By the fact that $f(X) \subset g(X)$, we can construct a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$fx_{n+1} = gx_n \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

If for some n , $gx_{n+1} = fx_n$, then $fx_n = gx_n$ that is f and g have a common fixed point.

Thus we may assume that $gx_{n+1} = fx_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then by (1) and by the rectangle inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2)\psi(G(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1})) &= \psi(G(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, fx_n)) \\
 &\leq \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{3}(G(gx_{n-1}, fx_n, fx_n)) \\ +G(gx_n, fx_n, fx_n) + G(gx_n, fx_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}) \end{array} \right) \\
 &= \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{3}(G(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n+1}, gx)) \\ +G(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) + G(gx_n, gx_n, gx_n) \end{array} \right) \\
 &\leq \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{3}(G(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n+1}, gx)) \\ +G(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) \end{array} \right) \\
 &\leq \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{3}(G(gx_{n-1}, gx_n, gx)) \\ +\frac{2}{3}G(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) \end{array} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

By the condition of the theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3) \quad G(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) &\leq \frac{1}{3}((G(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1})) + G(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1})) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{3}(G(gx_{n-1}, gx_n, gx_n)) + \frac{2}{3}G(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1})
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, it follows easily that

$$(4) \quad G(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) \leq G(gx_{n-1}, gx_n, gx_n) \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Therefore $\{G(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1})\}$ is a non-increasing sequence. Hence there exists $r \geq 0$ such that

$$(5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) = r$$

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3), we get

$$r \leq \frac{1}{3} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) + \frac{r}{3} \leq \frac{1}{3}r + \frac{2}{3}r = r$$

which implies

$$(6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) = 2r$$

Again from (2) we have

$$\psi(G(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1})) \leq \varphi\left(\frac{1}{3}\left(\begin{matrix} G(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) \\ +G(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) \end{matrix}\right)\right)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (5), (6) and the continuities of ψ and φ , we get

$$\psi(r) \leq \varphi(r)$$

using the condition of the theorem, we get $r = 0$, this means that

$$(7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) = 0$$

Next, we show that $\{gx_n\}$ is a G - Cauchy sequence. Suppose, on the contrary, that $\{gx_n\}$ is not a G - Cauchy sequence, that is

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} G(gx_m, gx_n, gx_n) \neq 0$$

then, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ for which we can find two sequences $\{gx_{m(i)}\}$ and $\{gx_{n(i)}\}$ of $\{gx_n\}$ such that $n(i)$ is the smallest index for which

$$(8) \quad n(i) > m(i) > i, \quad G(gx_{m(i)}, gx_{n(i)}, gx_{n(i)}) \geq \varepsilon$$

This means that

$$(9) \quad G(gx_{m(i)}, gx_{n(i)-1}, gx_{n(i)-1}) < \varepsilon$$

Now, from (8), (9), rectangular inequality and proposition 6, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon &\leq G(gx_{m(i)}, gx_{n(i)}, gx_{n(i)}) \\
&\leq G(gx_{m(i)}, gx_{m(i)+1}, gx_{m(i)+1}) \\
&\quad + G(gx_{m(i)+1}, gx_{n(i)}, gx_{n(i)}) \\
&\leq G(gx_{m(i)}, gx_{m(i)+1}, gx_{m(i)+1}) \\
&\quad + G(gx_{m(i)+1}, gx_{n(i)-1}, gx_{n(i)-1}) \\
&\quad + G(gx_{n(i)-1}, gx_{n(i)}, gx_{n(i)}) \\
&\leq 3G(gx_{m(i)}, gx_{m(i)+1}, gx_{m(i)+1}) \\
&\quad + G(gx_{m(i)}, gx_{n(i)-1}, gx_{n(i)-1}) \\
&\quad + G(gx_{n(i)-1}, gx_{n(i)}, gx_{n(i)}) \\
&< 3G(gx_{m(i)}, gx_{m(i)+1}, gx_{m(i)+1}) \\
&\quad + \varepsilon + G(gx_{n(i)-1}, gx_{n(i)}, gx_{n(i)})
\end{aligned}$$

letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequalities and using (7), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
(10) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} G(gx_{m(i)}, gx_{n(i)}, gx_{n(i)}) &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} G(gx_{m(i)+1}, gx_{n(i)}, gx_{n(i)}) \\
&= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} G(gx_{m(i)}, gx_{n(i)-1}, gx_{n(i)-1}) \\
&= \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

By (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(11) \quad &\psi \left(G(gx_{m(i)+1}, gx_{n(i)}, gx_{n(i)}) \right) \\
&= \psi \left(G(fx_{m(i)}, fx_{n(i)-1}, fx_{n(i)-1}) \right) \\
&\leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{3} \left(G(gx_{m(i)}, fx_{n(i)-1}, fx_{n(i)-1}) + G(gx_{n(i)-1}, fx_{n(i)-1}, fx_{n(i)-1}) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + G(gx_{n(i)-1}, fx_{m(i)}, fx_{m(i)}) \right) \\
&\leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{3} \left(G(gx_{m(i)}, gx_{n(i)}, gx_{n(i)}) + G(gx_{n(i)-1}, gx_{n(i)}, gx_{n(i)}) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + G(gx_{n(i)-1}, gx_{m(i)+1}, gx_{m(i)+1}) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Once again, from the condition of the theorem, we get

$$G(gx_{m(i)+1}, gx_{n(i)}, gx_{n(i)}) \leq \frac{1}{3} \left(\begin{array}{c} G(gx_{m(i)}, gx_{n(i)}, gx_{n(i)}) \\ +G(gx_{n(i)-1}, gx_{n(i)}, gx_{n(i)}) \\ +G(gx_{n(i)-1}, gx_{m(i)+1}, gx_{m(i)+1}) \end{array} \right)$$

Then, by the rectangular inequality and proposition 6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(gx_{m(i)+1}, gx_{n(i)}, gx_{n(i)}) &\leq \frac{1}{3} \left(\begin{array}{c} G(gx_{m(i)}, gx_{n(i)}, gx_{n(i)}) \\ +G(gx_{n(i)-1}, gx_{n(i)}, gx_{n(i)}) \\ +G(gx_{n(i)-1}, gx_{m(i)+1}, gx_{m(i)+1}) \end{array} \right) \\ &\frac{1}{3} \left(\begin{array}{c} G(gx_{m(i)}, gx_{n(i)}, gx_{n(i)}) \\ +G(gx_{n(i)-1}, gx_{n(i)}, gx_{n(i)}) \\ +2G(gx_{n(i)-1}, gx_{n(i)-1}, gx_{m(i)+1}) \end{array} \right) \\ &\frac{1}{3} \left(\begin{array}{c} G(gx_{m(i)}, gx_{n(i)}, gx_{n(i)}) \\ +G(gx_{n(i)-1}, gx_{n(i)}, gx_{n(i)}) \\ +2G(gx_{n(i)-1}, gx_{n(i)-1}, gx_{m(i)}) \\ +2G(gx_{m(i)}, gx_{m(i)}, gx_{m(i)+1}) \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequalities and using (7) and (10), we get that

$$(12) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} G(gx_{n(i)-1}, gx_{m(i)+1}, gx_{m(i)+1}) = 2\varepsilon$$

Now letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ in(11) and using (7), (10), (12) and the continuities of of ψ and φ , we have

$$\psi(\varepsilon) \leq \varphi(\varepsilon)$$

Therefore by using the condition of the theorem, we get $\varepsilon = 0$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\{gx_n\}$ is a G - Cauchy sequence in $g(X)$. Since $(g(X), G)$ is complete, then there exist $t, u \in X$ such that $\{gx_n\}$ converges to $t = gu$, that is

$$(13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(gx_n, gx_n, gu) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(gx_n, gu, gu) = 0$$

Since G is continuous on its variables, we have

$$(14) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(gx_n, gx_n, fu) = G(gu, gu, fu)$$

and

$$(15) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(gx_n, fu, fu) = G(gu, gu, fu)$$

Let us show that $fu = t$. By (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(G(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}, fu)) &= \psi(G(fx_n, fx_n, fu)) \\ &\leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{3} \left(\begin{array}{c} G(gx_n, fx_n, fx_n) \\ + G(gx_n, fu, fu) + G(gu, fx_n, fx_n) \end{array} \right) \right) \\ &\leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{3} \left(\begin{array}{c} G(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) \\ + G(gx_n, fu, fu) + G(gu, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) \end{array} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (7), (13), (15) and the continuities of ψ and φ , we get

$$(16) \quad \psi(G(gu, gu, fu)) \leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{3} G(gu, fu, fu) \right)$$

By proposition 6, we have $G(gu, fu, fu) \leq 2G(gu, gu, fu)$. Hence using the fact that φ is increasing, (16) becomes

$$\psi(G(gu, gu, fu)) \leq \varphi \left(\frac{2}{3} G(gu, fu, fu) \right)$$

Therefore from the condition of the theorem, it follows

$$G(gu, gu, fu) \leq \frac{2}{3} G(gu, fu, fu)$$

which implies that $G(gu, gu, fu) = 0$ and hence $fu = gu = t$. Then, u is a coincidence point of f and g , since the pair $\{f, g\}$ is weakly compatible, we have $ft = gt$

Now, we prove that $ft = gt = t$. By (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(G(gt, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1})) &= \psi(G(ft, fx_n, fx_n)) \\ &\leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{3} \left(\begin{array}{c} G(gt, fx_n, fx_n) \\ + G(gx_n, fx_n, fx_n) + G(gx_n, gt, gt) \end{array} \right) \right) \\ &= \varphi \left(\frac{1}{3} \left(\begin{array}{c} G(gt, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) \\ + G(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) + (G(gx_n, gt, gt)) \end{array} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi (G(gt, gu, gu)) \\ \leq & \varphi \left(\frac{1}{3}G(gt, gu, gu) + 0 + G(gu, gt, gt) \right) \\ \leq & \varphi \left(\frac{1}{3}G(gt, gu, gu) + \frac{2}{3}G(gt, gu, gu) \right) \\ = & \varphi (G(gt, gu, gu)) \end{aligned}$$

By the condition of the theorem, we have $G(gt, gu, gu) = 0$ which implies that $gt = gu = t$. We conclude that

$$gt = gu = t.$$

and so t is a common fixed point of f and g . To prove the uniqueness, let s be another common fixed point of f and g . By (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi (G(t, s, s)) &= \psi (G(ft, fs, fs)) \\ &\leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{3} (G(t, fs, fs) + G(s, fs, fs) + G(s, ft, ft)) \right) \\ &= \varphi \left(\frac{1}{3} (G(t, s, s) + G(s, t, t)) \right) \\ &\leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{3} (2G(t, t, s) + G(s, t, t)) \right) \\ &= \varphi (G(t, t, s)) \end{aligned}$$

By the condition of the theorem, we have $G(t, s, s) = 0$, which implies that $s = t$.

Example 3.1. Let $X = [0, 2]$, $G(x, y, z) = \max\{|x - y|, |y - z|, |z - x|\}$, $\psi(t) = t^2$, $\varphi(t) = \ln(1 + t^2)$, $fx = 1$, $gx = 2 - x$. It is easy to see $\psi(t) > \varphi(t)$ for all $t > 0$. We have

$$\psi (G(fx, fy, fz)) = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi \left(\frac{1}{3} (G(gx, fy, fy) + G(gy, fy, fy) + G(gz, fy, fy)) \right) \\ = & \ln ((1 + (|x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|)^2)) \end{aligned}$$

Now, condition (1) is trivially satisfied. Clearly, $f(X) \subset g(X)$, $g(X)$ is a complete subset of (X, G) and the pair $\{f, g\}$ is weakly compatible. Then all the hypothesis of Theorem are satisfied and so f and g have a unique common fixed point, that is $x = 1$.

Let S denotes the class of the continuous functions $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ which is non-increasing and satisfies the condition $\beta(t_n) \rightarrow 1$ implies $t_n \rightarrow 0$.

Corollary 3.1. Let (X, G) be a G -metric space and $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. If there exist $\psi \in \Psi$ and $\beta \in S$ with the condition $\psi(t) > \beta(t)t$ for all $t > 0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(G(fx, fy, fz)) \leq & \beta \left(\frac{1}{3} (G(gx, fy, fy) + G(gy, fz, fz) + G(gz, fx, fx)) \right) \\ & \left(\frac{1}{3} (G(gx, fy, fy) + G(gy, fz, fz) + G(gz, fx, fx)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

If $f(X) \subset g(X)$, $g(X)$ is a complete subset of (X, G) and the pair $\{f, g\}$ is weakly compatible. Then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Corollary 3.2. Let (X, G) be a G -metric space and $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. If there exist $\psi \in \Psi$, φ_1 and $-\psi_1 \in \Phi$ with the condition $\psi(t) > (\varphi_1 - \psi_1)(t)$ for all $t > 0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(G(fx, fy, fz)) \leq & \varphi_1 \left(\frac{1}{3} (G(gx, fy, fy) + G(gy, fz, fz) + G(gz, fx, fx)) \right) \\ & -\psi_1 \left(\frac{1}{3} (G(gx, fy, fy) + G(gy, fz, fz) + G(gz, fx, fx)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

If $f(X) \subset g(X)$, $g(X)$ is a complete subset of (X, G) and the pair $\{f, g\}$ is weakly compatible. Then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. It follows by replacing in Theorem14, $\varphi(t)$ by $\phi(t) = \varphi_1(t) - \psi_1(t)$.

From the previous obtained results, we deduce some coincidence point results for mappings satisfying a contraction of an integral type. For this purpose, let

$$Y = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \chi, \chi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+, \text{ satisfies that } \chi \text{ is Lebesgue integrable,} \\ \text{summable on each compact of subset of } \mathbb{R}^+ \\ \text{and } \int_0^\epsilon \chi(t) dt > 0 \text{ for each } \epsilon > 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

Theorem 3.2. Let (X, G) be a G -metric space and $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. If there exist $\psi \in \Psi$ and $\varphi \in \Phi$ with the condition $\psi(t) > \varphi(t)$ for all $t > 0$, such that

$$\int_0^{\psi(G(fx, fy, fz))} \chi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{\varphi\left(\frac{1}{3}(G(gx, fy, fy) + G(gy, fy, fy) + G(gz, fy, fy))\right)} \chi(t) dt$$

If $f(X) \subset g(X)$, $g(X)$ is a complete subset of (X, G) and the pair $\{f, g\}$ is weakly compatible. Then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. For $\chi \in Y$, consider the function $\Lambda : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ defined by $\Lambda(x) = \int_0^x \chi(t) dt$ we note that $\Lambda \in \Psi$. thus the inequality (??) becomes

$$(17) \quad \Lambda(\psi(G(fx, fy, fz))) \leq \Lambda\left(\varphi\left(\frac{1}{3}(G(gx, fy, fy) + G(gy, fy, fy) + G(gz, fy, fy))\right)\right)$$

Setting $\Lambda \circ \psi = \psi_1$, $\psi_1 \in \Psi$, and $\Lambda \circ \varphi = \varphi_1$, $\varphi_1 \in \Phi$, $\psi_1(t) > \varphi_1(t)$ for all $t > 0$. So, we obtain

$$\psi_1(G(fx, fy, fz)) \leq \varphi_1\left(\frac{1}{3}(G(gx, fy, fy) + G(gy, fy, fy) + G(gz, fy, fy))\right)$$

Therefore by Theorem 14 above, f and g have a unique common fixed point.

REFERENCES

- [1] B.C. Dhage, Generalized metric space and mapping with fixed point, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 84 (1992) 329-336.
- [2] B.C. Dhage, Generalized metric spaces and topological structure I, Annalele Stintifice ale Universitatii Al.I. Cuza, vol.46, No.1 (2000), 3-24.
- [3] Mujahid Abbas, Talat Nazir, and Stojan Radenovic, Some periodic point results in generalized metric spaces, Applied Mathematics and Computation 217 (2010) 4094-4099.
- [4] Zoran Kadelburg, Hemant Kumar Nasine, and Stojan Radenovic, Common coupled fixed point results in partially ordered G -metric spaces, Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Volume 4 Issue 2 (2012), Pages 51-63
- [5] Stojan Radenovic, Slavisa Pantelic, Peyman Salimi, and Jelena Vujakovic, A note on some tripled coincidence point results in G -metric spaces, International J. of Math. Sci. and Engg. Appls. (IJM-SEA), Vol. 6 No. VI (November, 2012)
- [6] Wei Long, Mujahid Abbas, Talat Nazir, and Stojan Radenovic, Common Fixed Point for Two Pairs of Mappings Satisfying (E.A) Property in Generalized Metric Spaces, Abstract and Applied Analysis Volume 2012, Article ID 394830, 15 pages, doi: 10.1155/2012/394830

- [7] B.S. Choudhury, P. Maity, Coupled fixed point results in generalized metric spaces, *Math. Comput. Modelling* 54 (1-2) (2011), 73-79.
- [8] H. Aydi, B. Damjanovic, B. Samet, W. Shatanawi, Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered G -metric spaces, *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* 54 (2011) 2443-2450. 11.
- [9] H. Aydi, W. Shatanawi and C. Vetro, On generalized weakly G -contraction mapping in G - metric spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 62 (2011) 4222-4229.
- [10] H. Aydi, A fixed point result involving a generalized weakly contractive condition in G -metric spaces, *Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 3 (4) (2011) 180-188.
- [11] H. Aydi, W. Shatanawi and M. Postolache, Coupled fixed point results for (ψ, ϕ) -weakly contractive mappings in ordered G -metric spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 63 (2012) 298-309.
- [12] H. Aydi, A common fixed point of integral type contraction in generalized metric spaces, *Journal of Advanced Mathematical Studies*, 5 (2012), No. 1, 111-117.
- [13] J.J. Nieto, R. Rodriguez-L.Opez, Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations. *Differential Equations j. Order* 22, (2005) 223-239.
- [14] J. Y. Cho, R. Saadati and Sh. Wang, Common fixed point theorems on generalized distance in ordered cone metric spaces. *Comput. Math. Appl.* 61 (2011) 1254-1260.
- [15] H. K. Nashine, Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenovic, J. K. Kim, Fixed point theorems under Hardy-Rogers contractive conditions on 0-complete ordered partial metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2012, 2012:180 doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2012-180
- [16] L. Gajic, Z.L. Crvenkovic, On mappings with contractive iterate at a point in generalized metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2010 (2010) doi:10.1155/2010/458086. Article ID 458086, 16 pages.
- [17] M. Abbas and B.E. Rhoades, Common fixed point results for non-commuting mappings with-out continuity in generalized metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 215 (2009) 262-269.
- [18] M. Abbas, A.R. Khan, T. Nazir, Coupled common fixed point results in two generalized metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 217 (2011) 6328-6336.
- [19] M. Eshaghi Gordji, M. Ramezani Yeol Je, C. Saeideh Pirbavafa A generalization of Geraghty's theorem in partially ordered metric space and application to ordinary differential equations. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2012, 2012:74 doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2012-74.
- [20] M.Geraghty: On contractive mappings. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 40, (1973) 604-608.
- [21] NV, Luong, NX, Thuan: Coupled fixed point in partially ordered metric spaces and applications. *Nonlinear Anal: Theory Methods Appl.* 74, 983-992 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.na.2010.09.055
- [22] R. Saadati, S.M. Vaezpour, Lj.B. ciric, Generalized distance and some common fixed point theorems. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* 12, No. 1A, (2010) 157-162.

- [23] R. Saadati, S.M. Vaezpour, P. Vetro, and B.E. Rhoades, Fixed point theorems in generalized partially ordered G -metric spaces, *Math. Comput. Modelling.*, 52 (2010) 797-801.
- [24] S. Gahler, 2-Metrische Raume and ihre Topologische Struktur, *Math. Nachr.* 26 (1963) 115-148.
- [25] S. Gahler, Zur Geometric 2-Metrische Raume, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* 11 (1966) 665-667.
- [26] T.G. Bhaskar, V. Lakshmikantham, Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, *Nonlinear Anal.* 65 (2006) 1379-1393.
- [27] V. Lakshmikantham, Lj. Ćirić, Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* 70 (2009) 4341-4349.
- [28] W. Shatanawi, Coupled fixed point theorems in generalized metric spaces, *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* 40 (2011) 441-447.
- [29] W. Shatanawi, Fixed point theory for contractive mappings satisfying \mathbb{C} -maps in G -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Vol 2010, Article ID 181650, 9 pages, (2010).
- [30] Z. Mustafa, A new structure for generalized metric spaces with applications to fixed point theory, Ph.D. thesis, The University of Newcastle, Callaghan, Australia, (2005).
- [31] Z. Mustafa, B. Sims, A new approach to generalized metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 7 (2006) 289-297.
- [32] Z. Mustafa, B. Sims, Some remarks concerning D -metric spaces, in: *Proc. Int. Conf. on Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Valencia, Spain, July 2003, pp. 189-198.
- [33] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete G - metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Vol 2009, Article ID 917175, 10 pages, (2009).
- [34] Z. Mustafa, H. Obiedat and F. Awawdeh, Some fixed point theorem for mapping on complete G -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Vol 2008, Article ID 189870, 12 pages, (2008).
- [35] Z. Mustafa, W. Shatanawi and M. Bataineh, Existence of fixed point results in G -metric spaces, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, Vol 2009, Article ID 283028, 10 pages, (2009).
- [36] M. Boussefian and Z. Mostefaoui, (ψ, α, β) -weak contraction in partially ordered G -metric spaces, Accepted in *Thai Journal of Mathematics*.
- [37] Y. Su, Q. Feng, J. Zhang, Q. Cheng, F. Yan, A new contraction mapping principle in partiall ordered metric spaces and applications to ordinary differential equations. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, doi: 10.1186/1697-1812-2012-152.
- [38] W. Shatanawi, M. Abas, H. Aydi, N. Tahat, Common coupled coincidence and coupled fixed points in G -metric spaces, *Nonlinear Analysis and Application*. Vol. 12, ID jnaa-00162, doi: 10.5899 / 2012.
- [39] H. Aydi, W. Shatanawi and C. Vetro: On generalized weakly G -contraction mapping in G -metric spaces. *Comp. math. appl.* 62 (2011), 4222-4229.

- [40] M.S. Khan, M. Swaleh, S. Sessa: Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points.
Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 30 (1984), 1-9.