

Available online at http://scik.org Adv. Fixed Point Theory, 2024, 14:15 https://doi.org/10.28919/afpt/8523 ISSN: 1927-6303

MIN-PHASE-ISOMETRIES ON THE UNIT SPHERE OF \mathscr{L}_p -TYPE SPACES LU YUAN*

College of Science, Tianjin University of Technology, Tianjin 300384, China

Copyright © 2024 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Abstract. Let X, Y be two real L_p -spaces (p > 0), then a surjective map $f : S_X \to S_Y$ satisfies

$$\min\{\|f(x) + f(y)\|, \|f(x) - f(y)\|\} = \min\{\|x + y\|, \|x - y\|\} \quad (x, y \in S_X),$$

if and only if f is a multiplication of a linear isometry and a map with rang $\{-1,1\}$. It can be regarded as a new Wigner's theorem for real L_p -spaces (p > 0).

keywords: L_p -spaces(p > 0); Wigner's theorem; phase-equivalent; min-phase-isometry. 2020 AMS Subject Classification: 46B20.

1. INTRODUCTION

The metric structure of normed space affects the linear structure to some extent and has been a topic of concern for many scholars. The classical Mazur-Ulam [17] states that every surjective isometry between real normed spaces is automatically affine. In 1972, P. Mankiewiz [16] showed that every surjective isometry between the open connected subsets of normed space can be extended to a surjective affine isometry on the whole space. This means that the metric spaces on the unit sphere of a real normed space constrains the linear structure of the whole space. We are interested in whether the sphere can be raised for a particular space. In 1987,

^{*}Corresponding author

E-mail address: 1464530998@qq.com

Received March 07, 2024

Tingley [12] first studied isometry on the unit sphere and proposed the following problem: let *X* and *Y* be normed spaces with the unit spheres S_X and S_Y , Assume that $T : S_X \to S_Y$ is a surjective isometry. Does there exist a linear isometry $\tilde{T} : S_X \to S_Y$ such that $\tilde{T}|_{S_X} = T$. Subsequently, Wang [14] appears to be the first to sove the space-specific Tingley problem and have a positive answer.

In addition, Wigner's [2, 5, 6, 13] theorem is associated with linear isometry mappings. The famous wigner's [1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 10, 11] theorem plays an important role in quantum mechanics. It can be described in several ways, one of which is as follows: Let H and K be real or complex Hilbert spaces and let $f : H \rightarrow K$ be a mapping. Then f satisfies the functional equation

(1.1)
$$| < f(x), f(y) > | = | < x, y > | \quad (x, y \in H)$$

if and only if f is phase equivalent to a linear or conjugate linear isometry. Later, G. Maksa[6] and Z. Pales proved the expression of wigner's theorem on real version: let X and Y be two real inner produce spaces. Suppose that $f : X \to Y$ is a surjective mapping satisfying

(1.2)
$$\{ \|f(x) + f(y)\|, \|f(x) - f(y)\| \} = \{ \|x + y\|, \|x - y\| \} \quad (x, y \in X),$$

if and only if *f* is phase equivalent to a surjective linear isometry. And They asked the following question, does it still hold true when X and Y be two normed spaces but not inner product spaces. Recently, there was a positive answer to the above question when X and Y are real atomic \mathscr{L}_P spaces (P > 0).

Since in the following lemma we prove that f is a max-phase-isometry but cannot be phase equivalent to a surjective linear isometry. By Xujian Huang and Dongni[18] Tan explored minphase-isometries and Wigner's theorem on real normed spaces and Xihong Jin[15] explored for the unit sphere for \mathscr{L}_P -type space. In this article we will prove that a surjective map $f : S_X \to S_Y$ satisfies if and only if f is phase equivalent to linear isometries and it can be extended to the whole space.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this section, we consider the spaces all over the real field and denote by \mathbb{R} the set of of reals. This paper mainly discusses the atomic \mathcal{L}_p -spaces on \mathbb{R} with $p > 0, p \neq 2$. The

spaces X and Y are used to denote such spaces unless otherwise stated. We use S_X and S_Y to denote the unit spheres of X and Y respectively. Moreover, f denotes a mapping from S_X to S_Y . An atomic \mathscr{L}_p -space (p > 0) is linearly isometric to $l_p(\Gamma)$, where Γ is a nonempty index set. The atomic L_p -space is

$$l_p(\Gamma) = \{ x = \sum_{\gamma} \xi_{\gamma} e_{\gamma} \colon \|x\| = (\sum_{\gamma} |\xi_{\gamma}|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty, \ \xi_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{R}, \ \gamma \in \Gamma \} \}$$

where $e_{\gamma} : \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$ is the function for which $e_{\gamma}(\gamma) = 1, e_{\gamma}(\gamma') = 0, \forall \gamma' \in \Gamma, \gamma' \neq \gamma$. For every $x = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \xi_{\gamma} e_{\gamma} \in X$, we denote the support of *x* by Γ_x , i.e.,

$$\Gamma_x = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma : \xi_\gamma \neq 0 \}.$$

Then *x* can be rewritten in the form $x = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_x} \xi_{\gamma} e_{\gamma} \in X$. For all $x, y \in l_p(\Gamma)$, if $\Gamma_x \cap \Gamma_y = \emptyset$, then we say that *x* is orthogonal to *y* and write $x \perp y$. It should be noted that $l_p(\Gamma)$ for 0 is a quasi-normed space but not a normed space.

Definition 2.1. We say a mapping $f: S_X \to S_Y$ is a min-phase-isometry which satisfies

(2.1)
$$\min\{\|f(x) + f(y)\|, \|f(x) - f(y)\|\} = \min\{\|x + y\|, \|x - y\|\} \quad (x, y \in S_X).$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

Lemma 3.1. For any two real numbers ξ and η ,

$$|\xi + \eta|^p + |\xi - \eta|^p = 2(|\xi|^p + |\eta|^p) \Leftrightarrow \xi \cdot \eta = 0, \quad p > 0, \ p \neq 2.$$

By this lemma, one can conclude the following result whose proof is obvious, and thus omitted.

Lemma 3.2. [1] Let x, y be two elements in $l_p(\Gamma)$, where p > 1 and $p \neq 2$. Then, it exists two situations:

•
$$||x+y||^p + ||x-y||^p \ge 2(||x||^p + ||y||^p)$$
 for all $p > 2$;

• $||x+y||^p + ||x-y||^p \le 2(||x||^p + ||y||^p)$ for all 1 ;

The equal sign holds if and only if $x \perp y$ *.*

Lemma 3.3. Let $X = l_p(\Gamma)$ and $Y = l_p(\Delta)$, $1 . Suppose that <math>f: S_X \to S_Y$ is a surjective *min-phase-isometry. Then for any* $x, y \in S_X$, we have

$$x \perp y \Leftrightarrow f(x) \perp f(y).$$

Proof. Let $x, y \in S_X$ with $x = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \xi_{\gamma} e_{\gamma}, y = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \eta_{\gamma} e_{\gamma}$ and $x \perp y$. Since f is a min-phase-isometry, we have

$$\min\{\|f(x) + f(y)\|^{p}, \|f(x) - f(y)\|^{p}\} = \min\{\|x + y\|^{p}, \|x - y\|^{p}\} = 2.$$

Thus, $||f(x) + f(y)||^p + ||f(x) - f(y)||^p \ge 4$. By Lemma 3.2, we know $||f(x) + f(y)||^p + ||f(x) - f(y)||^p \le 4$. So we have $||f(x) + f(y)||^p + ||f(x) - f(y)||^p = 4$. In conclusion, $f(x) \perp f(y)$. The proof is complete.

Theorem 3.4. Let X and Y be inner spaces. Suppose that $f : S_X \to S_Y$ is a min-phase-isometry. Then there exists a function $\varepsilon : S_X \to \{-1, 1\}$ such that εf is an isometry.

Proof. For any $x, y \in S_X$, we have

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \frac{1}{4} (\|x+y\|^2 - \|x-y\|^2).$$

Since $x \perp y$, we have

$$||x+y||^2 + ||x-y||^2 = 2(||x||^2 + ||y||^2) = 4.$$

Therefore

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \frac{1}{4}(4-2||x-y||^2) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}||x-y||^2,$$

or

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \frac{1}{4}(2||x+y||^2 - 4) = \frac{1}{2}||x+y||^2 - 1.$$

Since $f(x) \perp f(y)$, we have

$$||f(x) + f(y)||^2 + ||f(x) - f(y)||^2 = 2(||f(x)||^2 + ||f(y)||^2) = 4.$$

Therefore

$$\langle f(x), f(y) \rangle = \frac{1}{4} (4 - 2 \|f(x) - f(y)\|^2) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \|f(x) - f(y)\|^2,$$

or

$$\langle f(x), f(y) \rangle = \frac{1}{4}(2\|f(x) + f(y)\|^2 - 4) = \frac{1}{2}\|f(x) + f(y)\|^2 - 1$$

Since

$$\min\{\|f(x) + f(y)\|, \|f(x) - f(y)\|\} = \min\{\|x + y\|, \|x - y\|\}, \|y - y\|\}$$

In conclusion, | < f(x), f(y) > | = | < x, y > |.

The proof is complete.

Lemma 3.5. Let $X = l_p(\Gamma)$ and $Y = l_p(\Delta)$, $p > 0, p \neq 2$. Suppose that $f : S_X \to S_Y$ is a surjective min-phase-isometry. Then

- (a). f(-x) = -f(x) for all $x \in S_X$.
- (b). *f* is injective for all $x \in S_X$.
- (c). there is a bijection $\sigma : \Gamma \to \Delta$ such that $f(-e_{\gamma}) \in \{e_{\sigma(\gamma)}, -e_{\sigma(\gamma)}\}$.

Proof. Since *f* is surjective, for each $x \in S_X$, there is $y \in S_X$ such that f(y) = -f(x). It implies that

$$\min\{\|y+x\|, \|x-y\|\} = \min\{\|f(x) + f(y)\|, \|f(x) - f(y)\|\}$$
$$= \min\{0, \|2f(x)\|\} = 0.$$

So x + y = 0 or x - y = 0, which implies that $y \in \{x, -x\}$. Since $f(x) \in S_Y$, then y = -x. Therefore, it is an odd mapping.

Let $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$, we have

$$\min\{\|x_1 + x_2\|, \|x_1 - x_2\|\} = \min\{\|f(x_1) + f(x_2)\|, \|f(x_1) - f(x_2\|\}\$$
$$= \min\{0, \|2f(x_1)\|\} = 0.$$

So $x_1 + x_2 = 0$ or $x_1 - x_2 = 0$, which implies that $x_1 \in \{x_2, -x_2\}$. Since f(-x) = -f(x), then $x_1 = x_2$. Hence, *f* is injective.

Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and denote by $\Delta_{f(e_{\gamma})}$ the support of $f(e_{\gamma})$. For any $\delta \in \Delta_{f(e_{\gamma})}$, we can find $x \in S_X$ such that $f(x) = e_{\delta}$. For any $\gamma' \in \Gamma$ with $\gamma' \neq \gamma$, by Lemma 3.3

$$f(e_{\gamma}) \bot f(e_{\gamma'}) \Rightarrow f(x) \bot f(e_{\gamma'}) \Rightarrow x \bot e_{\gamma'}.$$

This means $x \in \{e_{\gamma}, -e_{\gamma}\}$, and $\{f(e_{\gamma}), f(-e_{\gamma})\} \in \{e_{\delta}, -e_{\delta}\}$. So $\Delta_{f(e_{\gamma})}$ is a singleton. Now we define an injective mapping $\sigma : \Gamma \to \Delta$ by $\sigma(\gamma) = \delta$. We will show that σ is a surjective mapping. Suppose it is true, there is a $\delta_0 \in \Delta$ such that $\delta_0 \notin \sigma(\Gamma)$. As f is surjective, there exists $y \in S_X$ satisfying $f(y) = e_{\delta_0}$. By Lemma 3.3 again,

$$f(\mathbf{y}) \perp f(\mathbf{e}_{\gamma}) \Rightarrow \mathbf{y} \perp \mathbf{e}_{\gamma}, \ \forall \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

So y = 0, which is a contradiction.

Lemma 3.6. Let $X = l_p(\Gamma)$ and $Y = l_p(\Delta)$, $1 . Suppose that <math>f: S_X \to S_Y$ is a surjective min-phase-isometry. As Lemma 3.5, let $\sigma: \Gamma \to \Delta$ be the bijection. Then for any element $x = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \xi_{\gamma} e_{\gamma} \in S_X$, we have $f(x) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \eta_{\gamma} f(e_{\gamma})$, where $|\xi_{\gamma}| = |\eta_{\gamma}|$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Proof. We can assume that $x = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \xi_{\gamma} e_{\gamma} \in S_X$, $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\xi_{\gamma}|^p = 1$. It is easy to see that $f(x) \perp e_{\gamma'}$ for each $\gamma' \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_x$. We can write $f(x) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \eta_{\gamma} f(e_{\gamma})$, $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\eta_{\gamma}|^p = 1$. For any $\gamma \in \Gamma_x$, we have

$$\min\{\|f(x) + f(e_{\gamma})\|^{p}, \|f(x) - f(e_{\gamma})\|^{p}\}$$

=
$$\min\{\|x + e_{\gamma}\|^{p}, \|x - e_{\gamma}\|^{p}\}$$

=
$$\min\{(1 - |\xi_{\gamma}|^{p} + |\xi_{\gamma} + 1|^{p}), (1 - |\xi_{\gamma}|^{p} + |\xi_{\gamma} - 1|^{p})\}$$

=
$$1 - |\xi_{\gamma}|^{p} + ||\xi_{\gamma}| - 1|^{p}$$

On the other hand, $f(e_{\gamma}) = \pm e_{\sigma(\gamma)}$, we have

$$\min\{\|f(x) + f(e_{\gamma})\|^{p}, \|f(x) - f(e_{\gamma})\|^{p}\}$$

= min{(1 - |\eta_{\gamma}|^{p} + |\eta_{\gamma} + 1|^{p}), (1 - |\eta_{\gamma}|^{p} + |\eta_{\gamma} - 1|^{p})}
= 1 - |\eta_{\gamma}|^{p} + ||\eta_{\gamma}| - 1|^{p}

A short calculation shows that

$$||\xi_{\gamma}| - 1|^p - |\xi_{\gamma}|^p = ||\eta_{\gamma}| - 1|^p - |\eta_{\gamma}|^p$$

Since the function $\varphi(t) = (1-t)^p - t^p$ is strictly increasing (decreasing) on [0,1] for p > 1. Thus $|\xi_{\gamma}| = |\eta_{\gamma}|$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_x$.

Lemma 3.7. Let $X = l_p(\Gamma)$ and $Y = l_p(\Delta)$, $1 . Suppose that <math>f: S_X \to S_Y$ is a surjective min-phase-isometry. Then for all nonzero orthogonal vectors x, y in S_X , and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, there exist two real numbers α and β with absolute value 1 such that

$$f(ax+by) = \alpha a f(x) + \beta b f(y)$$
 where $ax+by \in S_X$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \{-1, 1\}$.

Proof. Let x and y be nonzero orthogonal vectors in S_X such that $x = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_x} \xi_{\gamma} e_{\gamma}$ and $y = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_y} \eta_{\gamma} e_{\gamma}$, and $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. By Lemma3.6, we can know

$$f(x) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_x} \xi'_{\gamma} f(e_{\gamma}), \quad f(y) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_y} \eta'_{\gamma} f(e_{\gamma}),$$
$$f(ax + by) = a \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_x} \xi''_{\gamma} f(e_{\gamma}) + b \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_y} \eta''_{\gamma} f(e_{\gamma}),$$

where $|\xi'_{\gamma}| = |\xi''_{\gamma}| = |\xi_{\gamma}|$ and $|\eta'_{\gamma}| = |\eta''_{\gamma}| = |\eta_{\gamma}|$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_x \cup \Gamma_y$. Since *f* is a min-phase-isometry,

$$(1 - |a|)^{p} + |b|^{p}$$

= min{ $(a + 1)^{p} + |b|^{p}, (1 - a)^{p} + |b|^{p}$ }
= min{ $||(ax + by) + x||^{p}, ||(ax + by) - x||^{p}$ }
= min{ $||f(ax + by) + f(x)||^{p}, ||f(ax + by) - f(x)||^{p}$ }
= min{ $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{x}} |a\xi''_{\gamma} + \xi'_{\gamma}|^{p} + |b|^{p}, \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{x}} |a\xi''_{\gamma} - \xi'_{\gamma}|^{p} + |b|^{p}$ }.

We can obtain

$$(1-|a|)^p = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_x} |a\xi''_{\gamma} + \xi'_{\gamma}|^p,$$

or

$$(1-|a|)^p = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_x} |a\xi''_{\gamma} - \xi'_{\gamma}|^p.$$

Then

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_x} |a \xi''_{\gamma} \pm \xi'_{\gamma}|^p \ge \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_x} (|\xi'_{\gamma}| - |a \xi''_{\gamma}|)^p = (1 - |a|)^p.$$

Due to strict convexity, it follows that $\xi''_{\gamma} + \xi'_{\gamma} = 0$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_x$, or $\xi''_{\gamma} - \xi'_{\gamma} = 0$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_x$. This implies that $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_x} \xi''_{\gamma} f(e_{\gamma}) \in \{f(x), -f(x)\}$. In the same way,

 $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_y} \eta''_{\gamma} f(e_{\gamma}) \in \{f(y), -f(y)\}. \quad \text{In conclusion, } f(ax + by) \in \{af(x) + bf(y), af(x) - bf(y), -af(x) + bf(y), -af(x) - bf(y)\} \text{ The proof is complete.} \qquad \Box$

Theorem 3.8. Let $X = l_p(\Gamma)$ and $Y = l_p(\Delta)$, $1 . Suppose that <math>f : S_X \to S_Y$ is a surjective *min-phase-isometry, Then f is phase equivalent to an isometry.*

Proof. Fix $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$, and let $Z := \{x \in X : x \perp e_{\gamma_0}\}, W := \{w \in Y : w \perp f(e_{\gamma_0})\}$. Then $S_X = \{\frac{z+\lambda e_{\gamma_0}}{\|z+\lambda e_{\gamma_0}\|} : z \in S_Z, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{\pm e_{\gamma_0}\}$. For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, put $a_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\|z+\lambda e_{\gamma_0}\|}, b_{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{\|z+\lambda e_{\gamma_0}\|}$. By Lemma 3.7, we can write

$$f(a_{\lambda}z+b_{\lambda}e_{\gamma_0})=\alpha(z,\lambda)a_{\lambda}f(z)+\beta(z,\lambda)b_{\lambda}f(e_{\gamma_0})\quad \alpha(z,\lambda),\beta(z,\lambda)\in\{-1,1\}$$

for any $z \in S_Z$.

Define a mapping $g : S_X \to S_Y$ as follows:

$$g(e_{\gamma_0}) = f(e_{\gamma_0}), \quad g(-e_{\gamma_0}) = -f(e_{\gamma_0}), \quad g(z) = \alpha(z,1)\beta(z,1)f(z)$$

$$g(a_{\lambda}z + b_{\lambda}e_{\gamma_0}) = \alpha(z,\lambda)\beta(z,\lambda)a_{\lambda}f(z) + b_{\lambda}f(e_{\gamma_0})$$

for all $z \in S_Z$ and $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then *g* is a min-phase-isometry, which is phase equivalent to *f*. Since $f(S_Z) = S_W$, by Lemma 3.6 we can know $g(S_Z) \subset S_W$. Next, we will show that $g: S_Z \to S_W$ is a surjective isometry. Let $z \in S_Z$ and $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Take $a_1 = b_1 = \frac{1}{\|z + e_{\gamma_0}\|} = \frac{1}{2^p}$. Since *g* is a min-phase-isometry, we have

$$\begin{split} \min\{|a_{1}+a_{\lambda}|^{p}+|a_{1}+b_{\lambda}|^{p},|a_{1}-a_{\lambda}|^{p}+|a_{1}-b_{\lambda}|^{p}\}\\ &=\min\{\|(a_{1}z+a_{1}e_{\gamma_{0}})+(a_{\lambda}z+b_{\lambda}e_{\gamma_{0}})\|^{p},\|(a_{1}z+a_{1}e_{\gamma_{0}})-(a_{\lambda}z+b_{\lambda}e_{\gamma_{0}})\|^{p}\}\\ &=\min\{\|g(a_{1}z+a_{1}e_{\gamma_{0}})+g(a_{\lambda}z+b_{\lambda}e_{\gamma_{0}})\|^{p},\|g(a_{1}z+a_{1}e_{\gamma_{0}})-g(a_{\lambda}z+b_{\lambda}e_{\gamma_{0}})\|^{p}\}\\ &=\min\{|a_{1}\alpha(z,1)\beta(z,1)+a_{\lambda}\alpha(z,\lambda)\beta(z,\lambda)|^{p}+|a_{1}+b_{\lambda}|^{p},\\ &|a_{1}\alpha(z,1)\beta(z,1)-a_{\lambda}\alpha(z,\lambda)\beta(z,\lambda)|^{p}+|a_{1}-b_{\lambda}|^{p}\}.\end{split}$$

If $\alpha(z,1)\beta(z,1) = -\alpha(z,\lambda)\beta(z,\lambda)$, Then we deduce that

$$\min\{|a_1 - a_{\lambda}|^p + |a_1 + b_{\lambda}|^p, |a_1 + a_{\lambda}|^p + |a_1 - b_{\lambda}|^p\}.$$

But

$$\min\{|a_1 - a_{\lambda}|^p + |a_1 + b_{\lambda}|^p, |a_1 + a_{\lambda}|^p + |a_1 - b_{\lambda}|^p\}$$

$$\neq \min\{|a_1 + a_{\lambda}|^p + |a_1 + b_{\lambda}|^p, |a_1 - a_{\lambda}|^p + |a_1 - b_{\lambda}|^p\}$$

It is contradiction. So we can obtain $\alpha(z, 1)\beta(z, 1) = \alpha(z, \lambda)\beta(z, \lambda)$, and

(3.1)
$$g(a_{\lambda}z + b_{\lambda}e_{\gamma_0}) = a_{\lambda}g(z) + b_{\lambda}g(e_{\gamma_0})$$

for all $z \in S_Z$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $z_1, z_2 \in S_Z$ and $2\lambda > ||z_1 + z_2||$. By (3.1), we can obtain

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{1+\lambda^{p}}\|g(z_{1})-g(z_{2})\|^{p} \\ = &\frac{1}{1+\lambda^{p}}\min\{\|g(z_{1})+g(z_{2})\|^{p}+(2\lambda)^{p},\|g(z_{1})-g(z_{2})\|^{p}\} \\ = &\min\{\|g(\frac{z_{1}+\lambda e_{\gamma_{0}}}{\|z_{1}+\lambda e_{\gamma_{0}}\|})+g(\frac{z_{2}+\lambda e_{\gamma_{0}}}{\|z_{2}+\lambda e_{\gamma_{0}}\|})\|^{p},\|g(\frac{z_{1}+\lambda e_{\gamma_{0}}}{\|z_{1}+\lambda e_{\gamma_{0}}\|})-g(\frac{z_{2}+\lambda e_{\gamma_{0}}}{\|z_{2}+\lambda e_{\gamma_{0}}\|})\|^{p}\} \\ = &\min\{\|\frac{z_{1}+\lambda e_{\gamma_{0}}}{\|z_{1}+\lambda e_{\gamma_{0}}\|}+\frac{z_{2}+\lambda e_{\gamma_{0}}}{\|z_{2}+\lambda e_{\gamma_{0}}\|}\|^{p},\|\frac{z_{1}+\lambda e_{\gamma_{0}}}{\|z_{1}+\lambda e_{\gamma_{0}}\|}-\frac{z_{2}+\lambda e_{\gamma_{0}}}{\|z_{2}+\lambda e_{\gamma_{0}}\|}\|^{p}\} \\ = &\frac{1}{1+\lambda^{p}}\min\{\|z_{1}+z_{2}\|^{p}+(2\lambda)^{p},\|z_{1}-z_{2}\|^{p}\} \\ = &\frac{1}{1+\lambda^{p}}\|z_{1}-z_{2}\|^{p} \end{split}$$

The implies that $||g(z_1) - g(z_2)||^p = ||z_1 - z_2||^p$ for any $z_1, z_2 \in S_Z$. On the other hand, we know $a_1 = b_1 = \frac{1}{||z + e_{\gamma_0}||} = \frac{1}{2^p}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \|g(z) + g(-z)\|^p \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \min\{\|g(z) + g(-z)\|^p, \|g(z) - g(-z)\|^p + 2^p\} \\ &= \min\{\|g(a_1z + a_1e_{\gamma_0}) + g(-a_1z - a_1e_{\gamma_0})\|^p, \|g(a_1z + a_1e_{\gamma_0}) + g(-a_1z - a_1e_{\gamma_0})\|^p\} \\ &= \min\{\|a_1z + a_1e_{\gamma_0} + (-a_1z - a_1e_{\gamma_0})\|^p, \|a_1z + a_1e_{\gamma_0} + (-a_1z - a_1e_{\gamma_0})\|^p\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\{0, 2^p\} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for any $z \in S_Z$. It is implies g(-z) = -g(z) for any $z \in S_Z$. Since g is phase equivalent to f, we see that $g : S_Z \to S_W$ is a surjective isometry. In conclusion, $g : S_X \to S_W$ is a isometry. The proof is complete.

Theorem 3.9. Let $X = l_p(\Gamma)$ and $Y = l_p(\Delta)$, $1 . Suppose that <math>f: S_X \to S_Y$ is a minphase-isometry, Then f is a phase-isometry.

Corollary 3.10. Let $X = l_p(\Gamma)$ and $Y = l_p(\Delta)$, $1 . Suppose that <math>f : S_X \to S_Y$ is a minphase-isometry, Its positive homogenous extension is a phase-isometry which is phase equivalent to a linear isometry.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The author declares that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] X. Zeng, X. Huang, Phase-isometries between two *l^p*(Γ,*H*)-type spaces, Aequat. Math. 94 (2020), 793–802. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00010-020-00723-4.
- [2] C.S. Sharma, D.F. Almeida, The first mathematical proof of Wigner's theorem. J. Nat. Geom. 2 (1992), 113–123.
- [3] S. Banach, Théorie des opérations linéaires, reprint of the 1932 original, Éditions Jacques Gabay, Sceaux, 1993.
- [4] G. Ding, On isometric extension problem between two unit spheres, Sci. China Ser. A-Math. 52 (2009), 2069–2083. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11425-009-0156-x.
- [5] Gy.P. Geher, An elementary proof for the non-bijective version of Wigner?s theorem, Phys. Lett. A. 378 (2014), 2054–2057. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2014.05.039.
- [6] G. Maksa, Z. Pales, Wigner's theorem revisited, Publ. Math. Debrecen 81 (2012), 243–249. https://doi.org/ 10.5486/pmd.2012.5359.
- [7] X. Huang, D. Tan, Wigner's theorem in atomic L_p -spaces (p > 0), Publ. Math. Debrecen. 92 (2018), 411–418. https://doi.org/10.5486/pmd.2018.8005.
- [8] J. Lamperti, On the isometries of certain function-spaces, Pacific J. Math. 8 (1958), 459–466.
- [9] L. Molnar, Orthogonality preserving transformations on indefinite inner product spaces: Generalization of Uhlhorn's version of Wigner's theorem, J. Funct. Anal. 194 (2002), 248–262. https://doi.org/10.1006/jfan.2 002.3970.

- [10] A.M. Peralta, M. Cueto-Avellaneda, The Mazur-Ulam property for commutative von Neumann algebras, preprint, (2018). http://arxiv.org/abs/1803.00604.
- [11] J. Ratz, On Wigner's theorem: Remarks, complements, comments, and corollaries, Aequat. Math. 52 (1996), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01818323.
- [12] D. Tingley, Isometries of the unit sphere, Geom. Dedicata 22 (1987), 371–378. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00 147942.
- [13] A. Turnšek, A variant of Wigner's functional equation, Aequat. Math. 89 (2014), 949–956. https://doi.org/10 .1007/s00010-014-0296-0.
- [14] W. Jia, D. Tan, Wigner's theorem in atomic L_P spaces (P > 0), Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 97 (2017), 279–284.
 https://doi.org/10.1017/s0004972717000910.
- [15] X. Huang, X. Jin, extension of phase-isometries between the unit spheres of atomic \mathscr{L}_P spaces (P > 0), Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 56 (2019), 1377–1384.
- [16] P. Mankiewiz, On extension of isometries in normed spaces, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. 20 (2011), 819-827.
- [17] T. Banakh, Every 2-dimensional Banach space has the Mazur–Ulam property, Linear Algebra Appl. 632 (2022), 268–280. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2021.09.020.
- [18] X. Huang, D. Tan, Min-phase-isometries and wigner's theorem on real normed spaces, Results Math. 77 (2022), 152. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00025-022-01702-8.