



Available online at <http://scik.org>
Adv. Fixed Point Theory, 2024, 14:28
<https://doi.org/10.28919/afpt/8531>
ISSN: 1927-6303

CERTAIN APPLICATIONS VIA b -SIMULATION FUNCTION OF (α, β) - Z_b -GERAGHTY TYPE CONTRACTION IN C^* -ALGEBRA VALUED S_b -METRIC SPACES

N. NARSIMHA^{1,2}, G. UPENDER REDDY^{2,*}, P. NARESH³, B. SRINUVASA RAO⁴

¹ Department of Mathematics, Nagarjuna Govt.College (A), Nalgonda,508001, Telangana, India

² Department of Mathematics, Mahatma Gandhi University, Nalgonda,508254, Telangana, India

³ Department of Mathematics, Sreenidhi Institute of Science and Technology, Ghatkesar, Hyderabad, 501301, Telangana, India

⁴ Department of Mathematics, Dr. B. R. Ambedkar University, Srikakulam, Etcherla, 532410, Andhra Pradesh, India

Copyright © 2024 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to develop a common fixed point theorem for a pair of self-mappings in the setting of (α, β) - Z_b -Geraghty type contraction via b -simulation function in C^* -algebra valued S_b -metric spaces. We also discuss various applications for integral equations and homotopy. Furthermore, we present instances to support our key findings.

Keywords: (α, β) - Z_b -Geraghty type mapping; common fixed point; b -simulation function; C^* -algebra valued S_b -metric spaces.

2020 AMS Subject Classification: 55M20, 54E50, 47H09, 14F35, 55P10.

1. INTRODUCTION

A metric space is suitable for those interested in analysis, mathematical physics, and applied sciences. Several extensions of metric spaces have been explored, and several results about the

*Corresponding author

E-mail address: upendermathsmgu@gmail.com

Received March 08, 2024

existence of fixed points were obtained (see [1]-[5]).

In 2014, Ma *et al.* introduced C^* -algebra-valued metric spaces [6]. In 2015, they introduced the idea of C^* -algebra-valued b -metric spaces and examined some findings [7]. Razavi and Masiha also studied C^* -algebra-valued b -metric spaces [8] to identify common principles.

Sedghi *et al.* [9] created S_b -metric spaces by combining the concepts of S and b -metric spaces, and demonstrated common fixed point findings in these spaces. To improve, numerous authors developed many results on S_b -metric spaces (e.g., [10]-[14]).

Inspired by the work of Souayah and Mlaiki in [10], in 2023, Razavi *et al.* presented the idea of C^* -algebra valued S_b -metric space [15], and established some common fixed point results in this space [16].

In 2015, Khojasteh *et al.* [17] proposed the use of simulation functions to expand the class of mappings that give a fixed point and present a novel approach for proving fixed point theorems. Demma *et al.* [18] developed a b -simulation function and demonstrated fixed point outcomes in b -metric space. Several academics have studied simulation functions in broader contexts (e.g., [19]-[21]).

Geraghty, on the other hand, generalised the Banach contraction principle, coining the term “Geraghty contraction”. S. Chandok [22] proposed the notion of (α, β) -admissible Geraghty type contractive mapping in metric space. Several authors later used this strategy, giving fascinating results (e.g., [23]-[25] and their references).

Inspired and motivated by the results of Demma *et al.* [18] and S.Chandok [22], S.Negi *et al.* [26] introduce the notion of (α, β) - Z_b -Geraghty type contraction for a pair of mappings using b -simulation function and construct a common fixed point theorem in the setting of b -metric-like spaces.

The current study aims to offer common fixed point theorems by employing (α, β) - Z_b -Geraghty type contraction mappings via b -simulation function in the context of C^* -algebra valued S_b -metric spaces. We can also present examples that are acceptable and relevant to both integral equations and homotopy.

First we recall some basic results.

2. PRELIMINARIES

This section provides a short introduction to some realities about the theory of C^* -algebras [27]. First, suppose that \mathfrak{A} is a unital C^* algebra with the unit $1_{\mathfrak{A}}$. Set $\mathfrak{A}_h = \{s \in \mathfrak{A} : s = s^*\}$. The element $s \in \mathfrak{A}$ is said to be positive, and we write $s \succeq 0_{\mathfrak{A}}$ if and only if $s = s^*$ and $\sigma(s) \subseteq [0, \infty)$, in which $0_{\mathfrak{A}}$ in \mathfrak{A} is the zero element and the spectrum of s is $\sigma(s)$. On \mathfrak{A}_h , we can find a natural partial ordering given by $\ell \preceq \wp$ if and only if $\wp - \ell \succeq 0_{\mathfrak{A}}$. We denote with $\mathfrak{A}_+ = \{s \in \mathfrak{A} : s \succeq 0_{\mathfrak{A}}\}$ and $\mathfrak{A}' = \{s \in \mathfrak{A} : st = ts \ \forall t \in \mathfrak{A}\}$.

Definition 2.1. ([15]) *Let \mathcal{G} be a non-empty set and $\kappa \in \mathfrak{A}'$ with $\|\kappa\| \geq 1$. Suppose that a mapping $S_b : \mathcal{G}^3 \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ be a function satisfying the following properties :*

$$(S_{b_1}) \ S_b(\ell, \beta, \gamma) \succeq 0_{\mathfrak{A}} \text{ for all } \ell, \beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{G},$$

$$(S_{b_2}) \ S_b(\ell, \beta, \gamma) = 0_{\mathfrak{A}} \Leftrightarrow \ell = \beta = \gamma,$$

$$(S_{b_3}) \ S_b(\ell, \beta, \gamma) \preceq \kappa(S_b(\ell, \ell, \theta) + S_b(\beta, \beta, \theta) + S_b(\gamma, \gamma, \theta)) \text{ for all } \ell, \beta, \gamma, \theta \in \mathcal{G}.$$

Then the function S_b is called a C^ -algebra valued S_b -metric on \mathcal{G} and the pair $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{A}, S_b)$ is called a C^* -algebra valued S_b -metric space (C^* -AV- S_b MS) with a coefficient κ .*

Example 2.2. ([15]). *Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathfrak{A} = M_2(\mathbb{R})$ be all 2×2 matrices with the usual operations of addition, scalar multiplication, and matrix multiplication. It is clear that $\|P\| = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^2 |p_{ij}|^2}$ defines a norm on \mathfrak{A} , where $P = (p_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{A}$. $*$: $\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ defines an involution on \mathfrak{A} and where $\mathfrak{A}^* = \mathfrak{A}$. Then, \mathfrak{A} is a C^* -algebra. For $P = (p_{ij})$ and $Q = (q_{ij})$ in \mathfrak{A} , a partial order on \mathfrak{A} can be given as follows:*

$$P \preceq Q \iff p_{ij} - q_{ij} \leq 0 \ \forall i, j = 1, 2.$$

Let (\mathcal{G}, d) be a b -metric space where, $\|\kappa\| \geq 1$ and $S_b : \mathcal{G}^3 \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{R})$, fulfilling

$$S_b(p, q, r) = \begin{bmatrix} d(p, q) + d(q, r) + d(r, p) & 0 \\ 0 & d(p, q) + d(q, r) + d(r, p) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, clearly $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{A}, S_b)$ is a C^ -AV- S_b MS.*

Definition 2.3. ([15]) *A C^* -AV- S_b MS S_b is symmetric if*

$$S_b(\ell, \ell, \beta) = S_b(\beta, \beta, \ell) \ \forall \ell, \beta \in \mathcal{G}$$

Definition 2.4. ([15]) *Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{A}, S_b)$ be a C^* -AV- S_b MS and $\{\chi_n\}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{G} :*

- (1) If for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|S_b(\chi_{n+p}, \chi_{n+p}, \chi_n)\| \rightarrow 0$, where $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\{\chi_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{G} .
- (2) If $\|S_b(\chi_n, \chi_n, \chi)\| \rightarrow 0$, where $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\{\chi_n\}$ converges to χ , and we present it with
$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_n = \chi.$$
- (3) If every Cauchy sequence is convergent in \mathcal{G} , then $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{A}, S_b)$ is a complete C^* -AV- S_b MS.

Definition 2.5. ([15]) Suppose that $(\mathcal{G}_1, \mathfrak{A}_1, S_{b1})$ and $(\mathcal{G}_2, \mathfrak{A}_2, S_{b2})$ are C^* -AV- S_b MS, and let $\Gamma : (\mathcal{G}_1, \mathfrak{A}_1, S_{b1}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{G}_2, \mathfrak{A}_2, S_{b2})$ be a function. Then, Γ is continuous at a point $\chi \in \mathcal{G}_1$ if, for every sequence, $\{\chi_n\}$ in \mathcal{G}_1 , $S_b(\chi_n, \chi_n, \chi) \rightarrow 0_{\mathfrak{A}}$, ($n \rightarrow \infty$) implies $S_b(\Gamma(\chi_n), \Gamma(\chi_n), \Gamma(\chi)) \rightarrow 0_{\mathfrak{A}'}$ where $n \rightarrow \infty$. A function Γ is continuous at \mathcal{G}_1 if and only if it is continuous at all $\chi \in \mathcal{G}_1$.

Definition 2.6. ([29]) Let \mathcal{G} be a non-empty set, $\Gamma, \Lambda : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be two mappings and $\alpha, \beta : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_+$ be two functions then (Γ, Λ) is called a pair of (α, β) -admissible mappings, if for all $\ell, \mathfrak{x} \in \mathcal{G}$

$$\alpha(\ell, \mathfrak{x}) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}, \beta(\ell, \mathfrak{x}) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}} \text{ implies } \alpha(\Gamma\ell, \Lambda\mathfrak{x}) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}, \alpha(\Lambda\ell, \Gamma\mathfrak{x}) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$$

$$\text{and } \beta(\Gamma\ell, \Lambda\mathfrak{x}) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}, \beta(\Lambda\ell, \Gamma\mathfrak{x}) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$$

Lemma 2.7. ([28]) Suppose that \mathfrak{A} is a unital C^* -algebra with a unit $1_{\mathfrak{A}}$:

- (1) If $\{\chi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_n = 0_{\mathfrak{A}}$, then for any $\chi \in \mathfrak{A}$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi^* \chi_n \chi = 0_{\mathfrak{A}}$
- (2) If $\chi, \xi \in \mathfrak{A}_h$ and $s \in \mathfrak{A}'_+$ then $\chi \preceq \xi$ yields $s\chi \preceq s\xi$ in which $\mathfrak{A}'_+ = \mathfrak{A}_+ \cap \mathfrak{A}'$.
- (3) If $\chi \in \mathfrak{A}_+$ with $\|\chi\| < \frac{1}{2}$ then $1_{\mathfrak{A}} - \chi$ is invertible, and $\|\chi(1_{\mathfrak{A}} - \chi)^{-1}\| < 1$.
- (4) If $\chi, \xi \in \mathfrak{A}_+$ such that $\chi\xi = \xi\chi$, then $\chi\xi \succeq 0_{\mathfrak{A}}$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, first we introduce (α, β) - Z_b -Geraghty type contraction and then prove our main result.

Definition 3.1. Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{A}, S_b)$ be a C^* -AV- S_b MS, $\Gamma, \Lambda : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be two mappings and $\alpha, \beta : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_+$ be two functions then (Γ, Λ) is called a pair of (α, β) -admissible mappings, if for all $\ell, \mathfrak{x} \in \mathcal{G}$

$$\alpha(\ell, \ell, \mathfrak{x}) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}, \beta(\ell, \ell, \mathfrak{x}) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}} \text{ implies } \alpha(\Gamma\ell, \Gamma\ell, \Lambda\mathfrak{x}) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}, \alpha(\Lambda\ell, \Lambda\ell, \Gamma\mathfrak{x}) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$$

$$\text{and } \beta(\Gamma\ell, \Gamma\ell, \Lambda\mathfrak{x}) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}, \beta(\Lambda\ell, \Lambda\ell, \Gamma\mathfrak{x}) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$$

Definition 3.2. Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{A}, S_b)$ be a C^* -AV- S_b MS, $\Gamma, \Lambda : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be two mappings and $\alpha, \beta : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_+$ be two functions then \mathcal{G} is said to be (α, β) -regular, if $\{\chi_n\}$ is a sequence in \mathcal{G} such that $\chi_n \rightarrow \chi \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\alpha(\chi_n, \chi_n, \chi_{n+1}) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}, \beta(\chi_n, \chi_n, \chi_{n+1}) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists a subsequence $\{\chi_{n_k}\}$ of $\{\chi_n\}$ such that $\alpha(\chi_{n_k}, \chi_{n_k}, \chi_{n_{k+1}}) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}, \beta(\chi_{n_k}, \chi_{n_k}, \chi_{n_{k+1}}) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}} \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. Also $\alpha(\chi, \chi, \Gamma\chi) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\beta(\chi, \chi, \Lambda\chi) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$.

Definition 3.3. Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{A}, S_b)$ be a C^* -AV- S_b MS. A b -simulation function is a function $\eta_b : \mathfrak{A}_+ \times \mathfrak{A}_+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$(\eta_{b_1}) \quad \eta_b(\chi, \xi) \prec \xi - \chi \text{ for all } \chi, \xi \succ 0_{\mathfrak{A}};$$

$$(\eta_{b_2}) \text{ if } \{\chi_n\} \text{ and } \{\xi_n\} \text{ are sequences in } \mathfrak{A}_+ \text{ such that}$$

$$0 < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\chi_n\| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\xi_n\| \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\xi_n\| \leq \kappa \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\chi_n\| < \infty$$

$$\text{then } \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\eta_b(\kappa\chi_n, \xi_n)\| < 0.$$

Throughout the paper Z_b will represent the family of all b -simulation functions.

Definition 3.4. Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{A}, S_b)$ be a C^* -AV- S_b MS with coefficient $\|\kappa\| > 1$,

$\Gamma, \Lambda : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be two mappings and $\alpha, \beta : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_+$ be two functions such that for all $\ell, \mathfrak{x} \in \mathcal{G}$ with $r = 1, 2, 3, 4$, then we say that the pair of mappings (Γ, Λ) is (α, β) - Z_b -Geraghty type generalized contraction if for $\eta_b \in Z_b$, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \eta_b(\kappa\alpha(\ell, \ell, \Gamma\ell)\beta(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{x}, \Lambda\mathfrak{x})S_b(\Gamma\ell, \Gamma\ell, \Lambda\mathfrak{x}), \varphi(M^r(\ell, \mathfrak{x}))a^*M^r(\ell, \mathfrak{x})a) \succeq 0_{\mathfrak{A}}$$

where $\varphi : \mathfrak{A}_+ \rightarrow [0, 1)$ is a Geraghty function, $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ in which $\|a\| < 1$ and

$$M^1(\ell, \mathfrak{x}) = S_b(\ell, \ell, \mathfrak{x})$$

$$M^2(\ell, \mathfrak{x}) = \max \left\{ S_b(\ell, \ell, \mathfrak{x}), S_b(\Lambda\ell, \Lambda\ell, \Gamma\mathfrak{x}), \right\}$$

$$M^3(\ell, \mathfrak{x}) = \max \left\{ S_b(\ell, \ell, \mathfrak{x}), \frac{S_b(\ell, \ell, \Gamma\ell) + S_b(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{x}, \Lambda\mathfrak{x})}{2\kappa^4}, \frac{S_b(\ell, \ell, \Lambda\mathfrak{x}) + S_b(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{x}, \Gamma\ell)}{2\kappa^4}, \right\}$$

$$M^4(\ell, \mathfrak{x}) = \max \left\{ S_b(\ell, \ell, \mathfrak{x}), S_b(\ell, \ell, \Gamma\ell), S_b(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{x}, \Lambda\mathfrak{x}), \frac{S_b(\ell, \ell, \Lambda\mathfrak{x}) + S_b(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{x}, \Gamma\ell)}{2\kappa^4} \right\}$$

Theorem 3.5. Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{A}, S_b)$ be a complete C^* -AV- S_b MS, $\ell, \beta : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_+$ be two functions and $\Gamma, \Lambda : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be two mappings with the following assumptions:

- (i) (Γ, Λ) is a pair of (α, β) -admissible mappings;
- (ii) (Γ, Λ) is a pair of (α, β) - Z_b -Geraghty type generalized contraction mappings with $r = 4$;
- (iii) there exist $\ell_0 \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\alpha(\ell_0, \ell_0, \Gamma \ell_0) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$, $\beta(\ell_0, \ell_0, \Lambda \ell_0) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$;
- (iv) either Γ and Λ are continuous or \mathcal{G} is (α, β) -regular space.

Then Γ and Λ have a unique common fixed point in \mathcal{G} .

Proof. From (iii) hypotheses, there exists $\varkappa_0 \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\alpha(\varkappa_0, \varkappa_0, \Gamma \varkappa_0) \geq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$, $\beta(\varkappa_0, \varkappa_0, \Gamma \varkappa_0) \geq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$. We construct a sequence $\{\varkappa_p\}$ by setting $\varkappa_{p+1} = \Gamma \varkappa_p$ and $\varkappa_{p+2} = \Lambda \varkappa_{p+1} \forall p \in N \cup \{0\}$. Since (Γ, Λ) is a pair of (α, β) -admissible mappings, then $\alpha(\varkappa_0, \varkappa_0, \varkappa_1) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$ implies $\alpha(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_1, \varkappa_2) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\beta(\varkappa_0, \varkappa_0, \varkappa_1) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$ implies $\beta(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_1, \varkappa_2) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$. By repeating similar process, we obtain $\alpha(\varkappa_p, \varkappa_p, \varkappa_{p+1}) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\beta(\varkappa_p, \varkappa_p, \varkappa_{p+1}) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$ for every $p \in N$. From Equation 3.1, we have

$$\eta_b(\kappa\alpha(\varkappa_p, \varkappa_p, \Gamma \varkappa_p)\beta(\varkappa_{p+1}, \varkappa_{p+1}, \Lambda \varkappa_{p+1})S_b(\Gamma \varkappa_p, \Gamma \varkappa_p, \Lambda \varkappa_{p+1}), \varphi(M^4(\varkappa_p, \varkappa_{p+1}))a^*M^4(\varkappa_p, \varkappa_{p+1})a) \succeq 0_{\mathfrak{A}}$$

From (η_{b_1}) , we have

$$\kappa\alpha(\varkappa_p, \varkappa_p, \Gamma \varkappa_p)\beta(\varkappa_{p+1}, \varkappa_{p+1}, \Lambda \varkappa_{p+1})S_b(\Gamma \varkappa_p, \Gamma \varkappa_p, \Lambda \varkappa_{p+1}) \prec \varphi(M^4(\varkappa_p, \varkappa_{p+1}))a^*M^4(\varkappa_p, \varkappa_{p+1})a$$

Now from above inequality, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} S_b(\varkappa_{p+1}, \varkappa_{p+1}, \varkappa_{p+2}) &= S_b(\Gamma \varkappa_p, \Gamma \varkappa_p, \Lambda \varkappa_{p+1}) \\ &\preceq \kappa\alpha(\varkappa_p, \varkappa_p, \Gamma \varkappa_p)\beta(\varkappa_{p+1}, \varkappa_{p+1}, \Lambda \varkappa_{p+1})S_b(\Gamma \varkappa_p, \Gamma \varkappa_p, \Lambda \varkappa_{p+1}) \\ &\prec \varphi(M^4(\varkappa_p, \varkappa_{p+1}))a^*M^4(\varkappa_p, \varkappa_{p+1})a \\ (3.2) \quad &\preceq a^*M^4(\varkappa_p, \varkappa_{p+1})a \end{aligned}$$

Now, by simple computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M^4(\varkappa_p, \varkappa_{p+1}) &= \max \left\{ S_b(\varkappa_p, \varkappa_p, \varkappa_{p+1}), S_b(\varkappa_p, \varkappa_p, \Gamma \varkappa_p), \right. \\ &\quad \left. S_b(\varkappa_{p+1}, \varkappa_{p+1}, \Lambda \varkappa_{p+1}), \frac{S_b(\varkappa_p, \varkappa_p, \Lambda \varkappa_{p+1}) + S_b(\varkappa_{p+1}, \varkappa_{p+1}, \Gamma \varkappa_p)}{2\kappa^4} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ S_b(\varkappa_p, \varkappa_p, \varkappa_{p+1}), S_b(\varkappa_p, \varkappa_p, \varkappa_{p+1}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. S_b(\varkappa_{p+1}, \varkappa_{p+1}, \varkappa_{p+2}), \frac{S_b(\varkappa_p, \varkappa_p, \varkappa_{p+2}) + S_b(\varkappa_{p+1}, \varkappa_{p+1}, \varkappa_{p+1})}{2\kappa^4} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_{p+1}), \\ S_b(\mathcal{X}_{p+1}, \mathcal{X}_{p+1}, \mathcal{X}_{p+2}), \frac{S_b(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_{p+2}) + S_b(\mathcal{X}_{p+1}, \mathcal{X}_{p+1}, \mathcal{X}_{p+1})}{2\kappa^4} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S_b(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_{p+2}) + S_b(\mathcal{X}_{p+1}, \mathcal{X}_{p+1}, \mathcal{X}_{p+1})}{2\kappa^4} &\leq \frac{2\kappa S_b(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_{p+1}) + \kappa S_b(\mathcal{X}_{p+2}, \mathcal{X}_{p+2}, \mathcal{X}_{p+1})}{2\kappa^4} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_{p+1}), \\ S_b(\mathcal{X}_{p+1}, \mathcal{X}_{p+1}, \mathcal{X}_{p+2}) \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

From (3.2), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \quad S_b(\mathcal{X}_{p+1}, \mathcal{X}_{p+1}, \mathcal{X}_{p+2}) &\preceq a^* M^4(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_{p+1})a \\ &\preceq a^* \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_{p+1}), \\ S_b(\mathcal{X}_{p+1}, \mathcal{X}_{p+1}, \mathcal{X}_{p+2}) \end{array} \right\} a \end{aligned}$$

If we take $\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_{p+1}), \\ S_b(\mathcal{X}_{p+1}, \mathcal{X}_{p+1}, \mathcal{X}_{p+2}) \end{array} \right\} = S_b(\mathcal{X}_{p+1}, \mathcal{X}_{p+1}, \mathcal{X}_{p+2})$, since $\|a\| < 1$ then (3.3) gives a contradiction. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_b(\mathcal{X}_{p+1}, \mathcal{X}_{p+1}, \mathcal{X}_{p+2}) &\preceq a^* S_b(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_{p+1})a \\ &\preceq (a^*)^2 S_b(\mathcal{X}_{p-1}, \mathcal{X}_{p-1}, \mathcal{X}_p)(a)^2 \\ &\vdots \\ &\preceq (a^*)^{p+1} S_b(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_1)(a)^{p+1} \end{aligned}$$

By remembering the property where if $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}_h$ then $a \preceq b$ yields $u^* a u \preceq u^* b u$, we see the following for each $p \in N$,

$$S_b(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_{p+1}) \preceq (a^*)^p S_b(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_1)(a)^p$$

Let $S_b(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_1) = X_0$ for some $X_0 \in \mathfrak{A}_+$. For any $l \in N$, we achieve

$$\begin{aligned} S_b(\mathcal{X}_{p+l}, \mathcal{X}_{p+l}, \mathcal{X}_p) &\preceq \kappa (S_b(\mathcal{X}_{p+l}, \mathcal{X}_{p+l}, \mathcal{X}_{p+l-1}) + S_b(\mathcal{X}_{p+l}, \mathcal{X}_{p+l}, \mathcal{X}_{p+l-1}) + S_b(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_{p+l-1})) \\ &\preceq 2\kappa S_b(\mathcal{X}_{p+l}, \mathcal{X}_{p+l}, \mathcal{X}_{p+l-1}) + \kappa S_b(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_{p+l-1}) \\ &= 2\kappa S_b(\mathcal{X}_{p+l}, \mathcal{X}_{p+l}, \mathcal{X}_{p+l-1}) + \kappa S_b(\mathcal{X}_{p+l-1}, \mathcal{X}_{p+l-1}, \mathcal{X}_p) \\ &\preceq 2\kappa S_b(\mathcal{X}_{p+l}, \mathcal{X}_{p+l}, \mathcal{X}_{p+l-1}) + 2\kappa^2 S_b(\mathcal{X}_{p+l-1}, \mathcal{X}_{p+l-1}, \mathcal{X}_{p+l-2}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +\kappa^2 S_b(\varkappa_{p+l-2}, \varkappa_{p+l-2}, \varkappa_p) \\
& \vdots \\
& \preceq 2\kappa S_b(\varkappa_{p+l}, \varkappa_{p+l}, \varkappa_{p+l-1}) + 2\kappa^2 S_b(\varkappa_{p+l-1}, \varkappa_{p+l-1}, \varkappa_{p+l-2}) \\
& \quad + 2\kappa^3 S_b(\varkappa_{p+l-2}, \varkappa_{p+l-2}, \varkappa_{p+l-3}) + \dots + 2\kappa^l S_b(\varkappa_{p+1}, \varkappa_{p+1}, \varkappa_p) \\
& \preceq 2\kappa(a^*)^{p+l-1} S_b(\varkappa_0, \varkappa_0, \varkappa_1)(a)^{p+l-1} + 2\kappa^2(a^*)^{p+l-2} S_b(\varkappa_0, \varkappa_0, \varkappa_1)(a)^{p+l-2} \\
& \quad + 2\kappa^3(a^*)^{p+l-3} S_b(\varkappa_0, \varkappa_0, \varkappa_1)(a)^{p+l-3} + \dots + 2\kappa^l(a^*)^p S_b(\varkappa_0, \varkappa_0, \varkappa_1)(a)^p \\
& \preceq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \kappa^i (a^*)^{p+l-i} S_b(\varkappa_0, \varkappa_0, \varkappa_1)(a)^{p+l-i} \\
& = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \kappa^i (a^*)^{p+l-i} X_0(a)^{p+l-i} \\
& = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \left((a^*)^{p+l-i} \kappa^{\frac{i}{2}} X_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left(X_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \kappa^{\frac{i}{2}} (a)^{p+l-i} \right) \\
& \preceq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \left(X_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \kappa^{\frac{i}{2}} a^{p+l-i} \right)^* \left(X_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \kappa^{\frac{i}{2}} (a)^{p+l-i} \right) \\
& \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \|X_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \kappa^{\frac{i}{2}} a^{p+l-i}\|^2 1_{\mathfrak{A}} \\
& \leq 2 \|X_0\| \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \|a\|^{2(p+l-i)} \|\kappa\|^i 1_{\mathfrak{A}} \\
& \leq 2 \|X_0\| \frac{\|\kappa\|^l \|a\|^{2(p+1)}}{\|\kappa\| - \|a\|^2} 1_{\mathfrak{A}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } p \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

in which $1_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is the unit element in \mathfrak{A} . As $\{\varkappa_p\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{G} , and \mathcal{G} is complete, there exists $\varkappa \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \varkappa_p = \varkappa = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \varkappa_{p+1}$ and

$$(3.4) \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\varkappa_p, \varkappa_p, \varkappa) = S_b(\varkappa, \varkappa, \varkappa) = \lim_{p, l \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\varkappa_p, \varkappa_p, \varkappa_l) = 0.$$

Now, we shall show that $\Gamma\varkappa = \varkappa = \Lambda\varkappa$

By hypotheses (iv), First we assume that Γ and Λ are continuous, then using (3.4), we have

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\varkappa_{p+1}, \varkappa_{p+1}, \varkappa) = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\Gamma\varkappa_p, \Gamma\varkappa_p, \varkappa) = S_b(\Gamma\varkappa, \Gamma\varkappa, \varkappa) = 0.$$

Similarly,

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\varkappa_{p+2}, \varkappa_{p+2}, \varkappa) = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\Lambda\varkappa_{p+1}, \Lambda\varkappa_{p+1}, \varkappa) = S_b(\Lambda\varkappa, \Lambda\varkappa, \varkappa) = 0.$$

This implies that $\Gamma \varkappa = \Lambda \varkappa = \varkappa$. Hence, the pair (Γ, Λ) has a common fixed point $\varkappa \in \mathcal{G}$.

Now, consider that \mathcal{G} is (α, β) -regular space then there exists a subsequence $\{\varkappa_{p_z}\}$ of $\{\varkappa_p\}$ such that $\alpha(\varkappa_{p_z}, \varkappa_{p_z}, \varkappa_{p_{z+1}}) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\beta(\varkappa_{p_z}, \varkappa_{p_z}, \varkappa_{p_{z+1}}) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$ for each $z \in N$ and $\alpha(\varkappa, \varkappa, \Gamma \varkappa) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$, $\beta(\varkappa, \varkappa, \Lambda \varkappa) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$.

From (3.1), we have

$$\eta_b(\kappa\alpha(\varkappa_{p_z}, \varkappa_{p_z}, \Gamma \varkappa_{p_z})\beta(\varkappa, \varkappa, \Lambda \varkappa)S_b(\Gamma \varkappa_{p_z}, \Gamma \varkappa_{p_z}, \Lambda \varkappa), \varphi(M^4(\varkappa_{p_z}, \varkappa))a^*M^4(\varkappa_{p_z}, \varkappa)a) \succeq 0_{\mathfrak{A}}$$

From (η_{b_1}) and (η_{b_2}) , we have

$$\kappa\alpha(\varkappa_{p_z}, \varkappa_{p_z}, \Gamma \varkappa_{p_z})\beta(\varkappa, \varkappa, \Lambda \varkappa)S_b(\Gamma \varkappa_{p_z}, \Gamma \varkappa_{p_z}, \Lambda \varkappa) \prec \varphi(M^4(\varkappa_{p_z}, \varkappa))a^*M^4(\varkappa_{p_z}, \varkappa)a$$

This further implies that

$$\begin{aligned} S_b(\varkappa_{p_{z+1}}, \varkappa_{p_{z+1}}, \Lambda \varkappa) &= S_b(\Gamma \varkappa_{p_z}, \Gamma \varkappa_{p_z}, \Lambda \varkappa) \\ &\preceq \kappa\alpha(\varkappa_{p_z}, \varkappa_{p_z}, \Gamma \varkappa_{p_z})\beta(\varkappa, \varkappa, \Lambda \varkappa)S_b(\Gamma \varkappa_{p_z}, \Gamma \varkappa_{p_z}, \Lambda \varkappa) \\ &\prec \varphi(M^4(\varkappa_{p_z}, \varkappa))a^*M^4(\varkappa_{p_z}, \varkappa)a \\ (3.5) \quad &\preceq a^*M^4(\varkappa_{p_z}, \varkappa)a \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M^4(\varkappa_{p_z}, \varkappa) &= \max \left\{ S_b(\varkappa_{p_z}, \varkappa_{p_z}, \varkappa), S_b(\varkappa_{p_z}, \varkappa_{p_z}, \Gamma \varkappa_{p_z}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. S_b(\varkappa, \varkappa, \Lambda \varkappa), \frac{S_b(\varkappa_{p_z}, \varkappa_{p_z}, \Lambda \varkappa) + S_b(\varkappa, \varkappa, \Gamma \varkappa_{p_z})}{2\kappa^4} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ S_b(\varkappa_{p_z}, \varkappa_{p_z}, \varkappa), S_b(\varkappa_{p_z}, \varkappa_{p_z}, \varkappa_{p_{z+1}}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. S_b(\varkappa, \varkappa, \Lambda \varkappa), \frac{S_b(\varkappa_{p_z}, \varkappa_{p_z}, \Lambda \varkappa) + S_b(\varkappa, \varkappa, \varkappa_{p_{z+1}})}{2\kappa^4} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $z \rightarrow \infty$ in above expression, we get

$$(3.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M^4(\varkappa_{p_z}, \varkappa) = \max \left\{ S_b(\varkappa, \varkappa, \Lambda \varkappa), \frac{S_b(\varkappa, \varkappa, \Lambda \varkappa)}{2\kappa^4} \right\} = S_b(\varkappa, \varkappa, \Lambda \varkappa)$$

Therefore, taking limit as $z \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.5) and using (3.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_b(\varkappa, \varkappa, \Lambda \varkappa)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S_b(\varkappa_{p_{z+1}}, \varkappa_{p_{z+1}}, \Lambda \varkappa)\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi(M^4(\varkappa_{p_z}, \varkappa))a^*M^4(\varkappa_{p_z}, \varkappa)a\| \\ &\leq \|a\|^2 \|S_b(\varkappa, \varkappa, \Lambda \varkappa)\| \end{aligned}$$

since $\|a\| < 1$, this implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi(M^4(x_{p_n}, x))\| = 1$ and therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|M^4(x_{p_n}, x)\| = 0$. Thus, we obtain $\|S_b(x, x, \Lambda x)\| = 0$ implies that $\Lambda x = x$. Similarly, we get $\Gamma x = x$ and we conclude that x is a common fixed point of Γ and Λ .

Now, for the uniqueness part, let x and ℓ are two common fixed points of Γ and Λ and $x \neq \ell$. Also $\alpha(x, x, \Gamma x) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$, $\alpha(\ell, \ell, \Gamma \ell) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\beta(x, x, \Lambda x) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$, $\beta(\ell, \ell, \Lambda \ell) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$. By (3.1), we have

$$\eta_b(\kappa\alpha(x, x, \Gamma x)\beta(\ell, \ell, \Lambda \ell)S_b(\Gamma x, \Gamma x, \Lambda \ell), \varphi(M^4(x, \ell))a^*M^4(x, \ell)a) \succeq 0_{\mathfrak{A}}.$$

From (η_{b_1}) , we have

$$(3.7) \quad \kappa\alpha(x, x, \Gamma x)\beta(\ell, \ell, \Lambda \ell)S_b(\Gamma x, \Gamma x, \Lambda \ell) \prec \varphi(M^4(x, \ell))a^*M^4(x, \ell)a$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M^4(x, \ell) &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(x, x, \ell), S_b(x, x, \Gamma x), \\ S_b(\ell, \ell, \Lambda \ell), \frac{S_b(x, x, \Lambda \ell) + S_b(\ell, \ell, \Gamma x)}{2\kappa^4} \end{array} \right\} \\ &= S_b(x, x, \ell) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by using (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_b(x, x, \ell) &= S_b(\Gamma x, \Gamma x, \Lambda \ell) \preceq \kappa\alpha(x, x, \Gamma x)\beta(\ell, \ell, \Lambda \ell)S_b(\Gamma x, \Gamma x, \Lambda \ell) \\ &\prec \varphi(M^4(x, \ell))a^*M^4(x, \ell)a \\ &\preceq a^*M^4(x, \ell)a \\ (3.8) \quad &\preceq a^*S_b(x, x, \ell)a. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|S_b(x, x, \ell)\| \leq \|a\|^2 \|S_b(x, x, \ell)\|$$

This is incongruous. Consequently, $\vartheta = \ell$. Therefore, the UCFP of Γ is ϑ . \square

Theorem 3.6. A complete C^* -AV- S_b MS with the coefficient $\|\kappa\| > 1$ is defined as $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{A}, S_b)$. Let $\Gamma, \Lambda : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a (α, β) - Z_b -Geraghty type generalized contraction mappings with $r = 3, 2, 1$, assuming that all the requirements in Theorem (3.5) are true. Then, in \mathcal{G} , there is a UCFP of Γ and Λ .

Proof. If we substitute $M^3(\ell, \varkappa)$, $M^2(\ell, \varkappa)$ or $M^1(\ell, \varkappa)$ for $M^4(\ell, \varkappa)$ in Theorem (3.5), then follows in a manner similar to Theorem (3.5). \square

Theorem 3.7. *A complete C^* -AV- S_b MS with the coefficient $\|\kappa\| > 1$ is defined as $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{A}, S_b)$. Let $\Gamma : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a (α, β) - Z_b -Geraghty type generalized contraction mappings with $r = 3, 2, 1$, assuming that all the requirements in Theorem (3.5) are true. Then, in \mathcal{G} , there is a UCFP of Γ .*

Proof. If we substitute $M^3(\ell, \varkappa)$, $M^2(\ell, \varkappa)$ or $M^1(\ell, \varkappa)$ for $M^4(\ell, \varkappa)$ in Theorem (3.5), and take $\Lambda = I_{\mathcal{G}}$ then follows in a manner similar to Theorem (3.5). \square

Corollary 3.8. *Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{A}, S_b)$ be a complete C^* -AV- S_b MS, $\ell, \beta : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_+$ be two functions and $\Gamma : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a mapping with the following assumptions:*

- (i) Γ is a pair of (α, β) -admissible mappings;
- (ii) there exist $\ell, \varkappa \in \mathcal{G}$ and $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $\|a\| < 1$ such that

$$\eta_b(\kappa\alpha(\ell, \ell, \Gamma\ell)\beta(\varkappa, \varkappa, \Gamma\varkappa)S_b(\Gamma\ell, \Gamma\ell, \Gamma\varkappa), \varphi(S_b(\ell, \ell, \varkappa))a^*S_b(\ell, \ell, \varkappa)a) \succeq 0_{\mathfrak{A}}$$

where, φ is a Geraghty function and $\eta_b \in \mathcal{L}_b$;

- (iii) there exist $\ell_0 \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\alpha(\ell_0, \ell_0, \Gamma\ell_0) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$, $\beta(\ell_0, \ell_0, \Gamma\ell_0) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$;
- (iv) either Γ is continuous or \mathcal{G} is (α, β) -regular space.

Then Γ has a unique fixed point in \mathcal{G} .

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem (3.5) by taking $\Gamma = \Lambda$. \square

Example 3.9. *Let $\mathcal{G} = [0, \infty)$ and $\mathfrak{A} = M_2(\mathbb{R})$ be all 2×2 matrices whose norm is defined in Example (2.2) and define the mapping $d : \mathcal{G}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ as*

$$d(\ell, \varkappa) = (\ell - \varkappa)^2 \text{ for all } \ell, \varkappa \in \mathcal{G}. \text{ Then clearly, } (\mathcal{G}, d) \text{ is } b\text{-metric space with } \kappa = 2. \text{ Let } S_b : \mathcal{G}^3 \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{R}) \text{ be as } S_b(p, q, r) = \begin{bmatrix} d(p, q) + d(q, r) + d(r, p) & 0 \\ 0 & d(p, q) + d(q, r) + d(r, p) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, clearly $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{A}, S_b)$ is a complete C^* -AV- S_b MS with $\|\kappa\| = 2 \geq 1$.

Let $\eta_b : \mathfrak{A}_+ \times \mathfrak{A}_+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ defined by $\eta_b(\varkappa, \wp) = \frac{\wp}{1+\wp} - \varkappa$ and $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $\|a\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} < 1$. We define mappings $\Gamma, \Lambda : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, and Geraghty function $\varphi : \mathfrak{A}_+ \rightarrow [0, 1)$ as follows $\Gamma(\ell) = \frac{b\ell}{3+\ell}$, $\Lambda(\ell) = \frac{b\ell}{2+\ell}$ for all $\ell \in \mathcal{G}$ with $b \in (0, \frac{1}{6}]$

and $\varphi(\ell) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1+|\ell|} & \ell > 0_{\mathfrak{A}} \\ 0 & \ell = 0_{\mathfrak{A}} \end{cases}$. Also, we define $\alpha, \beta : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_+$ as $\alpha(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X}, \wp) =$

$$\beta(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X}, \wp) = \begin{cases} 1_{\mathfrak{A}} & \text{if } \mathfrak{X}, \wp \in [0, 1] \\ 0_{\mathfrak{A}} & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Now for $\alpha(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X}, \wp) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\beta(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X}, \wp) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$, then we have $\mathfrak{X}, \wp \in [0, 1]$, implies it follows that $\alpha(\Gamma\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma\mathfrak{X}, \Lambda\wp) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$, $\alpha(\Gamma\wp, \Gamma\wp, \Lambda\mathfrak{X}) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$, and $\beta(\Gamma\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma\mathfrak{X}, \Lambda\wp) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$, $\beta(\Gamma\wp, \Gamma\wp, \Lambda\mathfrak{X}) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$. Therefore, (Γ, Λ) is a pair of (α, β) -admissible mapping.

Furthermore, if $\{\wp_p\}$ is a sequence in \mathcal{G} such that $\alpha(\wp_p, \wp_p, \wp_{p+1}) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and

$\beta(\wp_p, \wp_p, \wp_{p+1}) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$ then $\{\wp_p\} \subseteq [0, 1]$. Suppose $\wp_p \rightarrow \wp$ then $\wp \in [0, 1]$ and implies that $\alpha(\wp, \wp, \Gamma\wp) = 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\beta(\wp, \wp, \Lambda\wp) = 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$.

Indeed, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \eta_b (\kappa\alpha(\ell, \ell, \Gamma\ell)\beta(\varkappa, \varkappa, \Lambda\varkappa)S_b(\Gamma\ell, \Gamma\ell, \Lambda\varkappa), \varphi(M^4(\ell, \varkappa))a^*M^4(\ell, \varkappa)a) \\ &= \frac{\varphi(M^4(\ell, \varkappa))a^*M^4(\ell, \varkappa)a}{1 + \varphi(M^4(\ell, \varkappa))a^*M^4(\ell, \varkappa)a} - \kappa\alpha(\ell, \ell, \Gamma\ell)\beta(\varkappa, \varkappa, \Lambda\varkappa)S_b(\Gamma\ell, \Gamma\ell, \Lambda\varkappa) \\ &= \frac{\frac{a^*M^4(\ell, \varkappa)a}{1+M^4(\ell, \varkappa)}}{1 + \frac{a^*M^4(\ell, \varkappa)a}{1+M^4(\ell, \varkappa)}} - \kappa\alpha(\ell, \ell, \Gamma\ell)\beta(\varkappa, \varkappa, \Lambda\varkappa)S_b(\Gamma\ell, \Gamma\ell, \Lambda\varkappa) \\ &= \frac{a^*M^4(\ell, \varkappa)a}{1 + M^4(\ell, \varkappa) + a^*M^4(\ell, \varkappa)a} - \kappa\alpha(\ell, \ell, \Gamma\ell)\beta(\varkappa, \varkappa, \Lambda\varkappa)S_b(\Gamma\ell, \Gamma\ell, \Lambda\varkappa) \\ &\preceq \frac{a^*S_b(\ell, \ell, \varkappa)a}{1 + S_b(\ell, \ell, \varkappa) + a^*S_b(\ell, \ell, \varkappa)a} - \kappa\alpha(\ell, \ell, \Gamma\ell)\beta(\varkappa, \varkappa, \Lambda\varkappa)S_b(\Gamma\ell, \Gamma\ell, \Lambda\varkappa) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\eta_b (\kappa\alpha(\ell, \ell, \Gamma\ell)\beta(\varkappa, \varkappa, \Lambda\varkappa)S_b(\Gamma\ell, \Gamma\ell, \Lambda\varkappa), \varphi(M^4(\ell, \varkappa))a^*M^4(\ell, \varkappa)a) \| \\ &\geq \left\| \frac{a^*S_b(\ell, \ell, \varkappa)a}{1 + S_b(\ell, \ell, \varkappa) + a^*S_b(\ell, \ell, \varkappa)a} - \kappa\alpha(\ell, \ell, \Gamma\ell)\beta(\varkappa, \varkappa, \Lambda\varkappa)S_b(\Gamma\ell, \Gamma\ell, \Lambda\varkappa) \right\| \\ &\geq \left\| \frac{a^*S_b(\ell, \ell, \varkappa)a}{1 + S_b(\ell, \ell, \varkappa) + a^*S_b(\ell, \ell, \varkappa)a} \right\| - \|\kappa\alpha(\ell, \ell, \Gamma\ell)\beta(\varkappa, \varkappa, \Lambda\varkappa)S_b(\Gamma\ell, \Gamma\ell, \Lambda\varkappa)\| \\ &\geq \frac{\|a\|^2\|S_b(\ell, \ell, \varkappa)\|}{1 + \|S_b(\ell, \ell, \varkappa)\| + \|a\|^2\|S_b(\ell, \ell, \varkappa)\|} - 2\|S_b(\Gamma\ell, \Gamma\ell, \Lambda\varkappa)\| \\ &\geq \frac{\sqrt{2}(\ell - \varkappa)^2}{1 + 3\sqrt{2}(\ell - \varkappa)^2} - 36\sqrt{2}b^2 \frac{(\ell - \varkappa)^2}{[(3 + \ell)(2 + \varkappa)]^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \frac{\sqrt{2}(\ell - \varkappa)^2}{1 + 3\sqrt{2}(\ell - \varkappa)^2} - 36\sqrt{2}b^2 \frac{(\ell - \varkappa)^2}{1 + 3\sqrt{2}(\ell - \varkappa)^2} \\ &\geq \frac{(1 - 36b^2)\sqrt{2}(\ell - \varkappa)^2}{1 + 3\sqrt{2}(\ell - \varkappa)^2} \geq 0 \quad \forall \ell, \varkappa \in \mathcal{G} \end{aligned}$$

Thus all the conditions of Theorem 3.5 are satisfied. Hence Γ and Λ have a common fixed point (at $\ell = 0$).

4. APPLICATION TO INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

Suppose that $\mathcal{E} = [0, 1]$ be a Lebesgue measurable set with $m(\mathcal{E}) < \infty$ such that $\mathcal{G} = L^\infty(\mathcal{E})$ and $B(L^2(\mathcal{E}))$ is a set of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space $L^2(\mathcal{E})$. We equip \mathcal{G} with $S_b : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow B(L^2(\mathcal{E}))$, which is ascertained by $S_b(\varkappa, \beta, \alpha) = \mathbb{M}_{(|\varkappa - \alpha| + |\beta - \alpha|)^p}$, where $\mathbb{M}_{(|\varkappa - \alpha| + |\beta - \alpha|)^p}$ is the multiplication operator on $L^2(\mathcal{E})$ ascertained by $\mathbb{M}_h(\alpha) = h \cdot \alpha$, $\alpha \in L^2(\mathcal{E})$. Therefore, $(\mathcal{G}, B(L^2(\mathcal{E})), S_b)$ is a complete C^* -AV- S_b MS with $\kappa = 2^{2(p-1)}$ where $p > 1$. Let us consider the two-point boundary value problem of the second order differential equation:

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{d^2 \ell(s)}{dt^2} = \mathfrak{f}(s, t, \ell(t)) \quad \forall s \in [0, 1] \text{ and } \ell(0) = 1 = \ell(1)$$

where $\mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is integrable.

The inequality (4.1) is equivalent to the following integral equation:

$$\ell(s) = \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}(s, t) \mathfrak{f}(s, t, \ell(t)) dt \quad \text{for all } s \in [0, 1].$$

where Green function associated to (4.1) is defined by $\mathcal{K}(s, t) = \begin{cases} s(1-t) & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq t \\ t(1-s) & \text{if } t \leq s \leq 1 \end{cases}$

Assume that the following conditions hold:

- (i₀) $\Phi, \Psi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_+$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that $|\mathfrak{f}(s, t, a)| + |\mathfrak{f}(s, t, b)| \leq \lambda \|a - b\|$ for all $s, t \in \mathcal{E}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\Phi(a, a, b) \succ 0_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\Psi(a, a, b) \succ 0_{\mathfrak{A}}$
- (i₁) $\exists \ell_0 \in \mathcal{G} \ni \Phi(\ell_0(s), \ell_0(s), \Gamma \ell_0(s)) \succeq 0_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\Psi(\ell_0(s), \ell_0(s), \Gamma \ell_0(s)) \succeq 0_{\mathfrak{A}}$ for all $s \in \mathcal{E}$ where $\Gamma : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is defined as

$$\Gamma\ell(s) = \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}(s,t)\mathfrak{f}(s,t,\ell(t))dt \text{ for all } s \in [0, 1].$$

(i₂) for each $s \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\ell, \varkappa \in \mathcal{G}$, $\Phi(\ell(s), \ell(s), \varkappa(s)) \succ 0_{\mathfrak{A}} \Rightarrow \Phi(\Gamma\ell(s), \Gamma\ell(s), \Gamma\varkappa(s)) \succ 0_{\mathfrak{A}}$
and $\Psi(\ell(s), \ell(s), \varkappa(s)) \succ 0_{\mathfrak{A}} \Rightarrow \Psi(\Gamma\ell(s), \Gamma\ell(s), \Gamma\varkappa(s)) \succ 0_{\mathfrak{A}}$

(i₃) for each $s \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\{\ell_p\} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ be a sequence such that $\ell_p \rightarrow \ell$ in \mathcal{G} and
 $\Phi(\ell_p(s), \ell_p(s), \ell_{p+1}(s)) \succ 0_{\mathfrak{A}}$, $\Psi(\ell_p(s), \ell_p(s), \ell_{p+1}(s)) \succ 0_{\mathfrak{A}}$ for all $p \in N$ then
 $\Phi(\ell_p(s), \ell_p(s), \ell(s)) \succ 0_{\mathfrak{A}}$, $\Psi(\ell_p(s), \ell_p(s), \ell(s)) \succ 0_{\mathfrak{A}}$ for all $p \in N$

Theorem 4.1. *Under the assumption (i₀)-(i₄), the equation (4.1) has a solution in $L^\infty(\mathcal{E})$.*

Proof. Define $\Gamma : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ by $\Gamma\ell(s) = \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}(s,t)\mathfrak{f}(s,t,\ell(t))dt$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$.

Now for all $\ell, \varkappa \in \mathcal{G}$, $\Phi(\ell(s), \ell(s), \varkappa(s)) \succ 0_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\Psi(\ell(s), \ell(s), \varkappa(s)) \succ 0_{\mathfrak{A}} \quad \forall s \in [0, 1]$. we have

$$S_b(\Gamma\ell, \Gamma\ell, \Gamma\varkappa) = \mathbb{M}_{(2|\Gamma\ell - \Gamma\varkappa|)^p}$$

We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_b(\Gamma\ell, \Gamma\ell, \Gamma\varkappa)\| &= \sup_{\|h\|=1} \langle \mathbb{M}_{(2|\Gamma\ell - \Gamma\varkappa|)^p} h, h \rangle \\ &= \sup_{\|h\|=1} \langle 2^p \mathbb{M}_{|\Gamma\ell - \Gamma\varkappa|^p} h, h \rangle \\ &= \sup_{\|h\|=1} \int_0^1 (2^p |\Gamma\ell(t) - \Gamma\varkappa(t)|^p) h(t) \overline{h(t)} dt \\ &\leq 2^p \sup_{\|h\|=1} \int_0^1 \left[\left| \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}(s,t)\mathfrak{f}(s,t,\ell(t)) - \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}(s,t)\mathfrak{f}(s,t,\varkappa(t)) \right| \right]^p |h(t)|^2 dt \\ &\leq 2^p \sup_{\|h\|=1} \int_0^1 \left[\int_0^1 \mathcal{K}(s,t) (|\mathfrak{f}(s,t,\ell(t))| + |\mathfrak{f}(s,t,\varkappa(t))|) \right]^p |h(t)|^2 dt \\ &\leq 2^p \sup_{\|h\|=1} \int_0^1 \left[\int_0^1 \mathcal{K}(s,t) dt \right]^p |h(t)|^2 \lambda^p \|\ell - \varkappa\|_\infty^p \\ &\leq \lambda \sup_{s \in [0,1]} \left[\int_0^1 \mathcal{K}(s,t) dt \right]^p \sup_{\|h\|=1} \int_0^1 |h(t)|^2 \|2(\ell - \varkappa)\|_\infty^p \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \lambda \sup_{s \in [0,1]} \left[\int_0^1 \mathcal{K}(s,t) dt \right]^p \|\mathbb{M}_{(|\ell-\varkappa|+|\ell-\varkappa|)^p}\| \\ &\leq \|a\|^2 \sup_{s \in [0,1]} \left[\int_0^1 \mathcal{K}(s,t) dt \right]^p \|\mathcal{S}_b(\ell, \ell, \varkappa)\| \end{aligned}$$

By setting $a = \lambda 1_{B(L^2(\mathcal{E}))}$, then $a \in B(L^2(\mathcal{E}))$ and $\|a\| = \lambda < 1$,

since $\int_0^1 \mathcal{K}(s,t) dt = -\frac{s^2}{2} + \frac{s}{2}$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$, we have $\sup_{s \in [0,1]} \left[\int_0^1 \mathcal{K}(s,t) dt \right]^p = (\frac{1}{8})^p$, then it follows that

$$(4.2) \quad \|\mathcal{S}_b(\Gamma\ell, \Gamma\ell, \Gamma\varkappa)\| \leq \|a\|^2 (\frac{1}{8})^p \|\mathcal{S}_b(\ell, \ell, \varkappa)\|$$

Let $\eta_b : \mathfrak{A}_+ \times \mathfrak{A}_+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ as $\eta_b(x,y) = \frac{5}{6}y - x \forall x,y \in \mathfrak{A}_+$ and Geraghty function $\varphi : \mathfrak{A}_+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$

is defined by $\|\varphi(x)\| = \frac{3}{4}$, for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}_+$. For $t \in [0, 1]$ the following is defined: $\alpha, \beta : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \times$

$$\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_+ \text{ as } \alpha(\ell, \ell, \varkappa) = \begin{cases} 1_{\mathfrak{A}} & \text{if } \Phi(\ell(t), \ell(t), \varkappa(t)) \succ 0_{\mathfrak{A}} \\ 0_{\mathfrak{A}} & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{and } \beta(\ell, \ell, \varkappa) = \begin{cases} 1_{\mathfrak{A}} & \text{if } \Psi(\ell(t), \ell(t), \varkappa(t)) \succ 0_{\mathfrak{A}} \\ 0_{\mathfrak{A}} & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

From (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\kappa \mathcal{S}_b(\Gamma\ell, \Gamma\ell, \Gamma\varkappa)\| &= \|2^{2(p-1)} \mathcal{S}_b(\Gamma\ell, \Gamma\ell, \Gamma\varkappa)\| \leq 2^{2(p-1)} \|a\|^2 (\frac{1}{8})^p \|\mathcal{S}_b(\ell, \ell, \varkappa)\| \\ (4.3) \quad &\leq \frac{5}{8} \|a\|^2 \|\mathcal{S}_b(\ell, \ell, \varkappa)\| \end{aligned}$$

Now, using (4.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{5}{6} \|\varphi(\mathcal{S}_b(\ell, \ell, \varkappa))\| \|a\|^2 \|\mathcal{S}_b(\ell, \ell, \varkappa)\| - \|\alpha(\ell, \ell, \Gamma\ell)\| \|\beta(\varkappa, \varkappa, \Gamma\varkappa)\| \|\kappa \mathcal{S}_b(\Gamma\ell, \Gamma\ell, \Gamma\varkappa)\| \\ &= \frac{5}{8} \|a\|^2 \|\mathcal{S}_b(\ell, \ell, \varkappa)\| - \|\kappa \mathcal{S}_b(\Gamma\ell, \Gamma\ell, \Gamma\varkappa)\| \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\eta_b(\kappa \alpha(\ell, \ell, \Gamma\ell) \beta(\varkappa, \varkappa, \Gamma\varkappa) \mathcal{S}_b(\Gamma\ell, \Gamma\ell, \Gamma\varkappa), \varphi(\mathcal{S}_b(\ell, \ell, \varkappa)) a^* \mathcal{S}_b(\ell, \ell, \varkappa) a) \succeq 0_{\mathfrak{A}}.$$

Therefore the mapping Γ is (α, β)- Z_b -Geraghty type contraction. From (i_1) , there exists $\ell_0 \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\alpha(\ell_0(s), \ell_0(s), \Gamma\ell_0(s)) \succeq 0_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\beta(\ell_0(s), \ell_0(s), \Gamma\ell_0(s)) \succeq 0_{\mathfrak{A}}$.

Now using (i_2) , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\ell, \ell, \varkappa) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}} &\Rightarrow \Phi(\ell(t), \ell(t), \varkappa(t)) \succ 0_{\mathfrak{A}} \\ &\Rightarrow \Phi(\Gamma\ell(t), \Gamma\ell(t), \Gamma\varkappa(t)) \succ 0_{\mathfrak{A}} \\ &\Rightarrow \alpha(\Gamma\ell, \Gamma\ell, \Gamma\varkappa) \succ 1_{\mathfrak{A}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\ell, \ell, \varkappa) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}} &\Rightarrow \Psi(\ell(t), \ell(t), \varkappa(t)) \succ 0_{\mathfrak{A}} \\ &\Rightarrow \Psi(\Gamma\ell(t), \Gamma\ell(t), \Gamma\varkappa(t)) \succ 0_{\mathfrak{A}} \\ &\Rightarrow \beta(\Gamma\ell, \Gamma\ell, \Gamma\varkappa) \succ 1_{\mathfrak{A}}. \end{aligned}$$

So, the mapping Γ is (α, β) -admissible. Therefore, all the hypotheses of Corollary 3.8 are satisfied. Hence, Γ must have a fixed point in $L^\infty(\mathcal{E})$ (say ℓ), which is a solution of (4.1). \square

5. APPLICATION TO HOMOTOPY

In this section, we investigate whether homotopy could have a unique solution.

Theorem 5.1. *If $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{A}, S_b)$ is a complete C^* -AV- S_b MS, then \mathfrak{U} and $\overline{\mathfrak{U}}$ are open and closed subsets of \mathcal{G} , respectively, such that $\mathfrak{U} \subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{U}}$. Let $\mathfrak{H}_b : \overline{\mathfrak{U}} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be an homotopy operator meeting the requirements listed below.*

(τ_0) $\ell \neq \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s)$, for each $\ell \in \partial\mathfrak{U}$ and $s \in [0, 1]$ (here $\partial\mathfrak{U}$ is boundary of \mathfrak{U} in \mathcal{G})

(τ_1) there exist $\ell, \varkappa \in \overline{\mathfrak{U}}$ and $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $\|a\| < 1$ such that

$$\eta_b(2\kappa^2\alpha(\ell, \ell, \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s))\beta(\varkappa, \varkappa, \mathfrak{H}_b(\varkappa, s))S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s), \mathfrak{H}_b(\varkappa, s)), \varphi(S_b(\ell, \ell, \varkappa))a^*S_b(\ell, \ell, \varkappa)a) \succeq 0_{\mathfrak{A}}$$

where, φ is a Geraghty function and $\eta_b \in \mathcal{Z}_b$ and $s \in [0, 1]$;

(τ_2) $\exists M_b \succeq 0_{\mathfrak{A}} \ni S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, t)) \leq \|M_b\| |s - t|$ for every $\ell \in \overline{\mathfrak{U}}$ and $s, t \in [0, 1]$;

(τ_3) \mathfrak{H}_b is a pair of (α, β) -admissible mappings and \mathcal{G} is (α, β) regular space;

(τ_4) $\exists \ell_0 \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\alpha(\ell_0, \ell_0, \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_0, s)) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$, $\beta(\ell_0, \ell_0, \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_0, s)) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$.

Then $\mathfrak{H}_b(\cdot, 0)$ has a fixed point $\iff \mathfrak{H}_b(\cdot, 1)$ has a fixed point.

Proof. Take into account the set $\mathfrak{B} = \{s \in [0, 1] : \ell = \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s) \text{ for some } \ell \in \mathfrak{U}\}$.

Due to the fact that $\mathfrak{H}_b(\cdot, 0)$ has a FP in Δ , we have that $0 \in \mathfrak{B}$. The set \mathfrak{B} is not empty as a result. We will prove that $\mathfrak{B} = [0, 1]$ by establishing that \mathfrak{B} is both open and closed in $[0, 1]$. $\mathfrak{H}_b(\cdot, 1)$ has a FP in \mathfrak{U} as a result. The first thing we do is show that \mathfrak{B} is closed in $[0, 1]$. To observe this, assign $s_p \rightarrow s \in [0, 1]$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ and let $\{s_p\}_{p=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$. We must show that s is in \mathfrak{B} . Given that s_p in \mathfrak{B} for $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, there is ℓ_p in \mathfrak{U} with $\ell_{p+1} = \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_p, s_p)$. The proof is successful if $p \in N$ exist such that $S_b(\ell_p, \ell_p, \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_p, s_p)) = 0$.

Since \mathfrak{H}_b is a pair of (α, β) -admissible mappings, then $\alpha(\ell_0, \ell_0, \ell_1) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$ implies $\alpha(\mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_0, s_0), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_0, s_0), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_1, s_1)) = \alpha(\ell_1, \ell_1, \ell_2) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\beta(\ell_0, \ell_0, \ell_1) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$ implies $\beta(\mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_0, s_0), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_0, s_0), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_1, s_1)) = \beta(\ell_1, \ell_1, \ell_2) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$. By repeating similar process, we obtain $\alpha(\ell_p, \ell_p, \ell_{p+1}) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\beta(\ell_p, \ell_p, \ell_{p+1}) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$ for every $p \in N$. So, we assume that

$$\eta_b \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\kappa^2 \alpha(\ell_p, \ell_p, \ell_{p+1}) \beta(\ell_{p+1}, \ell_{p+1}, \ell_{p+2}) S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_p, s_p), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_p, s_p), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{p+1}, s_p)), \\ \varphi(S_b(\ell_p, \ell_p, \ell_{p+1})) a^* S_b(\ell_p, \ell_p, \ell_{p+1}) a \end{array} \right) \succeq 0_{\mathfrak{A}}.$$

From (η_{b_1}) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\kappa^2 \alpha(\ell_p, \ell_p, \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_p, s_p)) \beta(\ell_{p+1}, \ell_{p+1}, \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{p+1}, s_{p+1})) S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_p, s_p), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_p, s_p), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{p+1}, s_p)) \\ & \prec \varphi(S_b(\ell_p, \ell_p, \ell_{p+1})) a^* S_b(\ell_p, \ell_p, \ell_{p+1}) a. \end{aligned}$$

Now from above inequality, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & S_b(\ell_{p+1}, \ell_{p+1}, \ell_{p+2}) = S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_p, s_p), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_p, s_p), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{p+1}, s_{p+1})) \\ & \preceq 2\kappa S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_p, s_p), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_p, s_p), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{p+1}, s_p)) + \kappa S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{p+1}, s_{p+1}), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{p+1}, s_{p+1}), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{p+1}, s_p)) \\ & \preceq 2\kappa^2 \alpha(\ell_p, \ell_p, \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_p, s_p)) \beta(\ell_{p+1}, \ell_{p+1}, \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{p+1}, s_{p+1})) S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_p, s_p), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_p, s_p), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{p+1}, s_p)) \\ & \quad + \kappa M_b \|s_{p+1} - s_p\| \\ & \prec \varphi(S_b(\ell_p, \ell_p, \ell_{p+1})) a^* S_b(\ell_p, \ell_p, \ell_{p+1}) a + \kappa \|M_b\| \|s_{p+1} - s_p\| \\ & \preceq a^* S_b(\ell_p, \ell_p, \ell_{p+1}) a + \kappa \|M_b\| \|s_{p+1} - s_p\|. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $p \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\ell_{p+1}, \ell_{p+1}, \ell_{p+2}) & \preceq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} a^* S_b(\ell_p, \ell_p, \ell_{p+1}) a \\ & \preceq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} (a^*)^2 S_b(\varkappa_{p-1}, \varkappa_{p-1}, \varkappa_p)(a)^2 \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$$

$$\preceq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} (a^*)^{p+1} S_b(\varkappa_0, \varkappa_0, \varkappa_1)(a)^{p+1}$$

which, together with the property, if $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}_h$ and $a \preceq b$ implies $u^*au \preceq u^*bu$, yields that for each $p \in N \cup \{0\}$, put $\delta_p = S_b(\ell_{p+1}, \ell_{p+1}, \ell_{p+2})$, we have

$$0_{\mathfrak{A}} \preceq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \delta_p = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\ell_{p+1}, \ell_{p+1}, \ell_{p+2}) \preceq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} (a^*)^{p+1} \delta_0(a)^{p+1}.$$

Since $\|a\| < 1$, it follows that $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\ell_{p+1}, \ell_{p+1}, \ell_{p+2}) = 0_{\mathfrak{A}}$. It is now time to demonstrate the C^* -AV- S_b -CS $\{\ell_p\}$ in $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{A}, S_b)$. On the other hand, suppose $\{\ell_p\}$ is not a C^* -AV- S_b -CS. Natural numbers $\{q_k\}$ and $\{p_k\}$ can be arranged in a monotone increasing sequence with $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $p_k > q_k$,

$$(5.1) \quad S_b(\ell_{q_k}, \ell_{q_k}, \ell_{p_k}) \succeq \varepsilon$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad S_b(\ell_{q_k}, \ell_{q_k}, \ell_{p_{k-1}}) \prec \varepsilon.$$

From (5.1) and (5.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\preceq S_b(\ell_{q_k}, \ell_{q_k}, \ell_{p_k}) \\ &\preceq 2\kappa S_b(\ell_{q_k}, \ell_{q_k}, \ell_{q_{k+1}}) + \kappa S_b(\ell_{q_{k+1}}, \ell_{q_{k+1}}, \ell_{p_k}) \\ &\leq 2\kappa S_b(\ell_{q_k}, \ell_{q_k}, \ell_{q_{k+1}}) + \kappa S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{q_k}, s_{q_k}), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{q_k}, s_{q_k}), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{p_{k-1}}, s_{p_{k-1}})) \\ &\leq 2\kappa S_b(\ell_{q_k}, \ell_{q_k}, \ell_{q_{k+1}}) + 2\kappa^2 S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{q_k}, s_{q_k}), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{q_k}, s_{q_k}), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{p_{k-1}}, s_{q_k})) \\ &\quad + \kappa^2 S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{p_{k-1}}, s_{q_k}), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{p_{k-1}}, s_{q_k}), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{p_{k-1}}, s_{p_{k-1}})). \\ &\leq 2\kappa S_b(\ell_{q_k}, \ell_{q_k}, \ell_{q_{k+1}}) + \kappa^2 \|M_b\| |s_{q_k} - s_{p_{k-1}}| \\ &\quad + 2\kappa^2 \left(\begin{array}{c} \alpha(\ell_{q_k}, \ell_{q_k}, \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{q_k}, s_{q_k})) \beta(\ell_{p_{k-1}}, \ell_{p_{k-1}}, \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{p_{k-1}}, s_{p_{k-1}})) \\ S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{q_k}, s_{q_k}), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{q_k}, s_{q_k}), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{p_{k-1}}, s_{q_k})) \end{array} \right) \\ &\prec \varphi(S_b(\ell_{q_k}, \ell_{q_k}, \ell_{p_{k-1}})) a^* S_b(\ell_{q_k}, \ell_{q_k}, \ell_{p_{k-1}}) a + 2\kappa S_b(\ell_{q_k}, \ell_{q_k}, \ell_{q_{k+1}}) + \kappa^2 \|M_b\| |s_{q_k} - s_{p_{k-1}}| \\ &\preceq a^* S_b(\ell_{q_k}, \ell_{q_k}, \ell_{p_{k-1}}) a + 2\kappa S_b(\ell_{q_k}, \ell_{q_k}, \ell_{q_{k+1}}) + \kappa^2 \|M_b\| |s_{q_k} - s_{p_{k-1}}|. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain that by setting $k \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \varepsilon &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|a^* S_b(\ell_{q_k}, \ell_{q_k}, \ell_{p_{k-1}}) a\| \\ &\leq \|a\| \varepsilon < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to the conclusion that $\|a\| < 1$, it contradicts itself. In the C^* -AV- S_b MS $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{A}, S_b)$, the sequence $\{\ell_p\}$ is a C^* -AV- S_b -CS. The sequence $\{\ell_p\} \rightarrow v \in (\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{A}, S_b)$ comes from the completeness of $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{A}, S_b)$.

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \ell_{p+1} = \varkappa = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \ell_p.$$

We can prove $\varkappa = \mathfrak{H}_b(\varkappa, s)$.

Now, consider that \mathcal{G} is (α, β) -regular space then there exists a subsequence $\{\ell_{p_k}\}$ of $\{\ell_p\}$ such that $\alpha(\ell_{p_k}, \ell_{p_k}, \ell_{p_{k+1}}) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\beta(\ell_{p_k}, \ell_{p_k}, \ell_{p_{k+1}}) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$ for each $k \in N$ and $\alpha(\varkappa, \varkappa, \mathfrak{H}_b(\varkappa, s)) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$, $\beta(\varkappa, \varkappa, \mathfrak{H}_b(\varkappa, s)) \succeq 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$.

From (τ_1) , we have

$$\eta_b \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\kappa^2 \alpha(\ell_{p_k}, \ell_{p_k}, \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{p_k}, s)) \beta(\varkappa, \varkappa, \mathfrak{H}_b(\varkappa, s)) S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{p_k}, s), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{p_k}, s), \mathfrak{H}_b(\varkappa, s)), \\ \varphi(S_b(\ell_{p_k}, \ell_{p_k}, \varkappa)) a^* S_b(\ell_{p_k}, \ell_{p_k}, \varkappa) a \end{array} \right) \succeq 0_{\mathfrak{A}}.$$

From (η_{b_1}) , and (η_{b_2}) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &2\kappa^2 \alpha(\ell_{p_k}, \ell_{p_k}, \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{p_k}, s)) \beta(\varkappa, \varkappa, \mathfrak{H}_b(\varkappa, s)) S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{p_k}, s), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{p_k}, s), \mathfrak{H}_b(\varkappa, s)) \\ &\prec \varphi(S_b(\ell_{p_k}, \ell_{p_k}, \varkappa)) a^* S_b(\ell_{p_k}, \ell_{p_k}, \varkappa) a \\ &\preceq a^* S_b(\ell_{p_k}, \ell_{p_k}, \varkappa) a. \end{aligned}$$

Now from above inequality, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \|S_b(\varkappa, \varkappa, \mathfrak{H}_b(\varkappa, s))\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{p_k}, s), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{p_k}, s), \mathfrak{H}_b(\varkappa, s))\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|2\kappa^2 \alpha(\ell_{p_k}, \ell_{p_k}, \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{p_k}, s)) \beta(\varkappa, \varkappa, \mathfrak{H}_b(\varkappa, s)) S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{p_k}, s), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_{p_k}, s), \mathfrak{H}_b(\varkappa, s))\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \|a^* S_b(\ell_{p_k}, \ell_{p_k}, \varkappa) a\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \|a^* S_b(\ell_{p_k}, \ell_{p_k}, \varkappa) a\| \leq \|a\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \|S_b(\ell_{p_k}, \ell_{p_k}, \varkappa)\| = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, $\varkappa = \mathfrak{H}_b(\varkappa, s)$ indicates that $S_b(\varkappa, \varkappa, \mathfrak{H}_b(\varkappa, s)) = 0$. So, s in \mathfrak{B} . It is obvious that \mathfrak{B} is closed in $[0, 1]$. Let \mathfrak{B} be s_0 . Then, ℓ_0 exists in \mathfrak{A} such that $\ell_0 = \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_0, s_0)$. Because \mathfrak{A} is open,

$\delta > 0$ must exist for $B_{S_b}(\ell_0, \delta) \subseteq \mathfrak{U}$. Select the value of $s \in (s_0 - \varepsilon, s_0 + \varepsilon)$ such that $|s - s_0| \leq \frac{1}{\|M_b\|^p} < \varepsilon$. Consequently, for $\overline{B_b(\ell_0, \delta)} = \{\ell \in \mathcal{G} : \|S_b(\ell, \ell, \ell_0)\| \leq \delta + \kappa^2 \|S_b(\ell_0, \ell_0, \ell_0)\|\}$.

Now

$$\eta_b \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\kappa^2 \alpha(\ell, \ell, \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s_0)) \beta(\ell_0, \ell_0, \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_0, s_0)) S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s_0), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s_0), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_0, s_0)), \\ \varphi(S_b(\ell, \ell, \ell_0)) a^* S_b(\ell, \ell, \ell_0) a \end{array} \right) \succeq 0_{\mathfrak{A}}.$$

From (η_{b_1}) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\kappa^2 \alpha(\ell, \ell, \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s_0)) \beta(\ell_0, \ell_0, \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_0, s_0)) S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s_0), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s_0), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_0, s_0)) \\ & \prec \varphi(S_b(\ell, \ell, \ell_0)) a^* S_b(\ell, \ell, \ell_0) a \\ & \preceq a^* S_b(\ell, \ell, \ell_0) a. \end{aligned}$$

Now from above inequality, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (5.3) \quad & S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s), \ell_0) \\ & = S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_0, s_0)) \\ & \preceq 2\kappa S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s_0)) + \kappa S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s_0), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s_0), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_0, s_0)) \\ & \preceq 2\kappa M_b |s - s_0| \\ & + 2\kappa^2 \alpha(\ell, \ell, \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s_0)) \beta(\ell_0, \ell_0, \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_0, s_0)) S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s_0), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s_0), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell_0, s_0)) \\ & \preceq 2\kappa M_b |s - s_0| + a^* S_b(\ell, \ell, \ell_0) a \\ & \preceq \frac{2\kappa}{\|M_b\|^{p-1}} + a^* S_b(\ell, \ell, \ell_0) a. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $p \rightarrow \infty$, we get that

$$\|S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s), \ell_0)\| \leq \|a\|^2 \|S_b(\ell, \ell, \ell_0)\|.$$

Since $\|a\| < 1$ implies that

$$\|S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s), \mathfrak{H}_b(\ell, s), \ell_0)\| < \|S_b(\ell, \ell, \ell_0)\| \leq \delta + \kappa^2 \|S_b(\ell_0, \ell_0, \ell_0)\|.$$

Thus for each fixed $s \in (s_0 - \varepsilon, s_0 + \varepsilon)$, $\mathfrak{H}_b(\cdot, 0) : \overline{B_b(\ell_0, \delta)} \rightarrow \overline{B_b(\ell_0, \delta)}$. Then, all the conditions of Theorem (5.1) holds. Thus, we conclude that $\mathfrak{H}_b(\cdot, 0)$ has a FP in $\overline{\mathfrak{U}}$. But this must be in \mathfrak{U} .

Therefore, $s \in \mathfrak{B}$ for $s \in (s_0 - \varepsilon, s_0 + \varepsilon)$. Hence $(s_0 - \varepsilon, s_0 + \varepsilon) \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$. Clearly \mathfrak{B} is open in $[0, 1]$. A similar procedure can be used to demonstrate the opposite. \square

6. CONCLUSION

This paper uses contractive mappings of the (α, β) - Z_b -Geraghty type via b -simulation functions to demonstrate certain FPT in the context of complete C^* -algebra valued S_b -metric spaces, along with appropriate examples that highlight the main findings. Applications for integral equations and homotopy are also given.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Janković, Z. Golubović, S. Radenović, Compatible and weakly compatible mappings in cone metric spaces, *Math. Computer Model.* 52 (2010), 1728–1738. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcm.2010.06.043>.
- [2] M. Bina Devi, N. Priyobarta, Y. Rohen, Fixed point theorems for $(\alpha, \beta) - (\phi, \psi)$ -rational contractive type mappings, *J. Math. Comput. Sci.* 11 (2021), 955–969. <https://doi.org/10.28919/jmcs/5244>.
- [3] B. Khomdram, M. Bina Devi, Y. Rohen, Fixed point of theorems of generalised α -rational contractive mappings on rectangular b -metric spaces, *J. Math. Comput. Sci.* 11 (2021), 991–1010. <https://doi.org/10.28919/jmcs/5255>.
- [4] Z. Mustafa, M.M.M. Jaradat, H.M. Jaradat, Some common fixed point results of graphs on b -metric space, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 9 (2016), 4838–4851. <https://doi.org/10.22436/jnsa.009.06.119>.
- [5] B. Samet, C. Vetro, P. Vetro, Fixed point theorems for $\alpha - \psi$ -contractive type mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.: Theory Methods Appl.* 75 (2012), 2154–2165. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2011.10.014>.
- [6] Z. Ma, L. Jiang, H. Sun, C^* -algebra-valued metric spaces and related fixed point theorems, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2014 (2014), 206. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2014-206>.
- [7] Z. Ma, L. Jiang, C^* -Algebra-valued b -metric spaces and related fixed point theorems, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2015 (2015), 222. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13663-015-0471-6>.
- [8] S.S. Razavi, H.P. Masiha, Common fixed point theorems in C^* -algebra valued b -metric spaces with applications to integral equations, *Fixed Point Theory*, 20 (2019), 649–662. <https://doi.org/10.24193/fpt-ro.2019.2.43>.
- [9] S. Sedghi, A. Gholidahneh, T. Dosenovic, et al. Common fixed point of four maps in S_b -metric spaces, *J. Linear Topol. Algebra*, 5 (2016), 93–104.

- [10] N. Souayah, N. Mlaiki A fixed point theorems in S_b -metric spaces, *J. Math. Computer Sci.* 16 (2016), 131–139.
- [11] N. Souayah, A fixed point in partial S_b -metric spaces, *An. Univ. “Ovidius” Constanța, Ser. Mat.* 24 (2016), 351–362. <https://doi.org/10.1515/auom-2016-0062>.
- [12] N. Mlaiki, A. Mukheimer, Y. Rohen, et al. Fixed point theorems for α -contractive mapping in S_b -metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal.* 8 (2017), 40–46.
- [13] Y. Rohen, T. Dosenović, S. Radenović, A note on the paper “A fixed point theorems in S_b -metric spaces”, *Filomat*, 31 (2017), 3335–3346. <https://doi.org/10.2298/fil1711335r>.
- [14] G. Kishore, K. Rao, D. Panthi, et al. Some applications via fixed point results in partially ordered S_b -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2017 (2016), 10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13663-017-0603-2>.
- [15] S.S. Razavi, H.P. Masiha, C^* -algebra-valued S_b -metric spaces and applications to integral equations, *AUT J. Math. Comput.* (2023). <https://doi.org/10.22060/ajmc.2023.22211.1140>.
- [16] S.S. Razavi, H.P. Masiha, M. De La Sen, Applications in integral equations through common results in C^* -algebra-valued S_b -metric spaces, *Axioms*, 12 (2023), 413. <https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms12050413>.
- [17] F. Khojasteh, S. Shukla, S. Radenovic, A new approach to the study of fixed point theory for simulation functions, *Filomat*, 29 (2015), 1189–1194. <https://doi.org/10.2298/fil1506189k>.
- [18] M. Demmaa, R. Saadatib, P. Vetroa, Fixed point results on b -metric space via Picard sequences and b -simulation functions, *Iran. J. Math. Sci. Inform.* 11 (2016), 123–136. <https://doi.org/10.7508/ijmsi.2016.01.011>.
- [19] M. Imdad, A.Perveen, R. Gubran, Some common fixed point results via b -simulation function, *TWMS J. Appl. Eng. Math.* 10 (2020), 606–615. <https://hdl.handle.net/11729/2846>.
- [20] B. Rodjanadid, P. Cherachapridi, J. Tanthanch, Some fixed point theorems in b -metric spaces with b -simulation functions, *Thai J. Math.* 19 (2021), 1625–1636.
- [21] G.S. Rad, D. Dolicanin-Dekic, A shorter and simple approach to study fixed point results via b -simulation functions, *Iran. J. Math. Sci. Inform.* 13(2018), 97–102. <https://doi.org/10.7508/ijmsi.2018.1.009>.
- [22] S. Chandok, Some fixed point theorems for (α, β) -admissible Geraghty type contractive mappings and related results, *Math. Sci.* 9 (2015), 127–135. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40096-015-0159-4>.
- [23] H. Afshari, H. Alsulami, E. Karapinar, On the extended multivalued Geraghty type contractions, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 9 (2016), 4695–4706. <https://doi.org/10.22436/jnsa.009.06.108>.
- [24] H. Afshari, H. Aydi, E. Karapinar, On generalized $\alpha - \psi$ -Geraghty contractions on b -metric spaces, *Georgian Math. J.* 27 (2018), 9–21. <https://doi.org/10.1515/gmj-2017-0063>.
- [25] H. Aydi, A. Felhi, H. Afshari, New Geraghty type contractions on metric-like spaces, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 10 (2017), 780–788. <https://doi.org/10.22436/jnsa.010.02.38>.

- [26] S. Negi, S. Antal, U.C. Gairola, (α, β) – \mathcal{Z}_b -Geraghty type contraction in b -metric-like spaces via b -simulation function, TWMS J. Appl. Eng. Math. 14 (2024), 268–283. <https://hdl.handle.net/11729/5869>.
- [27] G.J. Murphy, C^* -algebras and operator theory, Academic Press, London, (1990).
- [28] Q. Xin, L. Jiang, Z. Ma, Common fixed point theorems in C^* -algebra-valued metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 9 (2016), 4617–4627.
- [29] M. Arshad, M. Mohammed, E. Ameer, et al. Common fixed point theorems for generalized F -Geraghty type contraction in partial b -metric-like Spaces, Adv. Fixed Point Theory, 10 (2020), 7. <https://doi.org/10.28919/aftp/4155>.