



Available online at <http://scik.org>

Adv. Fixed Point Theory, 2025, 15:47

<https://doi.org/10.28919/afpt/9320>

ISSN: 1927-6303

NONLINEAR CONTRACTION IN A (θ, ρ) -METRIC SPACE

KARIM CHAIRA¹, MUSTAPHA KABIL¹, SAMIH LAZAIZ², YASSINE NEBRASS^{1,*}

¹Laboratory of Mathematics, Computer Science and Applications (LMCSA), Hassan II University of Casablanca, Morocco

²ENSAM Casablanca, Hassan II University of Casablanca, Morocco

Copyright © 2025 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. Motivated by the concepts of extended b -metric and suprametric space, we define the concepts of extended θ -metric and extended (θ, ρ) -metric spaces. We also show some fixed point theorems for self-mappings defined on such spaces. Our results extend the results in [1] and [2]. Further some examples are given.

Keywords: extended θ -metric space; extended (θ, ρ) -space; fixed point theorem; Banach contraction.

2020 AMS Subject Classification: 54A05, 47H10, 47H09.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The generalisation of metric spaces to more abstract spaces comes from the relaxation of the triangular inequality to a distance functions such as partial metric space and b -metric spaces. The partial metric space was defined by Matthews in [3]. Since then, further research has been carried out to explore the usefulness of this distance function, see for example [4, 5, 6, 7].

The b -metric space was introduced by Czerwik [8, 9]. Furthermore, a fixed point theorem for this space was also established. Because of the importance of this distance function, it has been

*Corresponding author

E-mail address: nebyassine123@gmail.com

Received April 28, 2025

spread in different ways and has been the subject of a number of enhancements and adaptations for extensive applications, such as [10, 11, 12, 13].

The concept of rectangular distance was first introduced by Branciari [14]. Subsequently, several fixed point results have been established in spaces equipped with a rectangular distance function, as illustrated in [15, 16, 17, 18].

Several new distance functions have been developed by combining, relaxing, or extending certain axioms of existing distance functions. In addition, numerous fixed-point results have been obtained in sets endowed with these generalized distances [19, 20, 21, 22, 23].

Recently, a new weaken triangular inequalities has appeared, named by suprametric, and the extended b -metric. These were introduced by the authors in [1] and [24].

In this paper, we generalize these two concepts by introducing the extended θ -metric and extended (θ, ρ) -metric spaces. Finally, we establish some fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying nonlinear contractions, moreover we extend theorem 3.2 in [2] to the extended θ -metric.

Definition 1.1. Let X be a non empty set and $\theta : X \times X \rightarrow [1, \infty)$. A function d_θ is called an extended b -metric ([1]) if for all $x, y, z \in X$ it satisfies:

- (d_1) $d_\theta(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (d_2) $d_\theta(x, y) = d_\theta(y, x)$
- (d_3) $d_\theta(x, y) \leq \theta(x, y)(d_\theta(x, z) + d_\theta(z, y))$

The pair (X, d_θ) is called an extended b -metric space.

The suprametric space introduced in [24] as follows.

Definition 1.2. Let X be a non empty set and $\theta : X \times X \rightarrow [1, \infty)$, $\rho \in \mathbb{R}^+$. A function d_θ is called a suprametric if for all $x, y, z \in X$ it satisfies:

- (d_1) $d_\theta(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$
- (d_2) $d_\theta(x, y) = d_\theta(y, x)$
- (d_3) $d_\theta(x, y) \leq d_\theta(x, z) + d_\theta(z, y) + \rho d_\theta(x, z)d_\theta(z, y)$

The pair (X, d_θ) is called a suprametric space.

Let us recall a example of such spaces see e.g [2, 1]:

Example 1.3. Let $\gamma > 0$, and $d : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a function given by:

$$d(x, y) = \gamma(e^{|x-y|} - 1) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}$$

Then (X, d) is an suprametric space with $\rho = \frac{1}{\gamma}$.

Example 1.4. Let $X = C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$, and $d(x, y) = \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |x(t) - y(t)|^2$

Then (X, d) is an extended b -metric space with $\theta(x, y) = |x(t)| + |y(t)| + 2$, where $\theta : X \times X \rightarrow [1, \infty)$.

Denote by \mathbb{M} the set of matkowski functions [25], $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ that satisfy:

- ψ is increasing on \mathbb{R}^+
- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^n(t) = 0$ for all $t > 0$.

Denote by \mathbb{M}_b ($b \geq 1$) the set of functions of \mathbb{M} that satisfy:

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi^{n+1}(t)}{\psi^n(t)} < \frac{1}{b} \quad , \quad \text{for all } t > 0$$

Recall next the result of Czerwik [8] and the result in [2]:

Theorem 1.5. Let (X, d_θ) be a complete b -metric space such that d_θ is a continuous functional, and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping, Assume there exists $\psi \in \mathbb{M}$ such that:

$$(1) \quad d_\theta(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(d_\theta(x, y)) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X$$

Then, T has precisely one fixed point ξ . Moreover for each $y \in X$, $T^n y \rightarrow \xi$.

Theorem 1.6. Let (X, d_θ) be a complete b -suprametric space such that d_θ is a continuous functional, and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping, Assume there exists $\psi \in \mathbb{M}_b$ such that:

$$(2) \quad d_\theta(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(d_\theta(x, y)) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X$$

Then, T has precisely one fixed point ξ . Moreover for each $y \in X$, $T^n y \rightarrow \xi$.

Now we introduce the concept of extended θ -metric space.

Definition 1.7. Let X be a non empty set and $\theta : X \times X \rightarrow [1, \infty)$, $\rho \in \mathbb{R}^+$. A function d_θ is called a extended θ -metric if for all $x, y, z \in X$ it satisfies:

$$(d_1) \quad d_\theta(x, y) = 0 \text{ if and only if } x = y,$$

$$(d_2) \quad d_\theta(x, y) = d_\theta(y, x)$$

$$(d_3) \quad d_\theta(x, y) \leq \theta(x, y)(d_\theta(x, z) + d_\theta(z, y)) + \rho d_\theta(x, z)d_\theta(z, y)$$

The pair (X, d_θ) is called a extended θ -metric space.

Example 1.8. Let $X = [0, \infty[$, define $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by:

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y \\ \frac{1}{2}(x + y + 1)^2 & \text{if } x \neq y, \end{cases}$$

and $\theta : X \times X \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ by $\theta(x, y) = \frac{x+y+1}{x+y}$, $\rho = 4$. Then (X, d, ρ) is an extend θ -metric space.

Proof. Clearly, conditions (d_1) and (d_2) holds.

Let x, y and $z \in X$,

Case 1: $x \neq y$, $y \neq z$ and $x \neq z$. For $x \neq y$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &= \frac{1}{2}(x + y + 1)^2 = \frac{1}{2}\left(x + \frac{1}{2} + y + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\left(x + \frac{1}{2} + z + \frac{1}{2} + y + \frac{1}{2} + z + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(x + z + 1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(z + y + 1)^2 + (x + z + 1)(z + y + 1) \\ &\leq d(x, z) + d(z, y) + 4\left(\frac{1}{2}(z + y + 1)^2\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}(z + x + 1)^2\right) \\ &\leq d(x, z) + d(z, y) + 4d(x, z)d(z, y) \\ &\leq \frac{x + y + 1}{x + y}(d(x, z) + d(z, y)) + 4d(x, z)d(z, y) \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $x \neq y$, $x = z$, the inequality is verified because $\theta(x, y) \geq 1$.

Case 3: $x = y$ the inequality is verified because $\theta(x, y) \geq 1$.

Therefore

$$d(x, y) \leq \theta(x, y)(d(x, z) + d(z, y)) + \rho d(x, z)d(z, y), \text{ for all } x, y \text{ and } z \in X.$$

Hence (X, d, ρ) is an extended θ -metric space. but not extended b -metric,
for

$$x = 4, y = 5 \text{ and } z = 1$$

we have

$$d(x, y) = \frac{100}{2}, d(x, z) = \frac{36}{2}, d(y, z) = \frac{49}{2} \text{ and } \theta(x, y) = \frac{10}{9},$$

so:

$$d(x, y) = \frac{100}{2} > \theta(x, y)(d(x, z) + d(y, z)) = \frac{425}{9}$$

□

Example 1.9. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$, define $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by: $d(x, y) = \gamma(e^{|x-y|} - 1)$ where $0 < \gamma < \frac{\sqrt{e}-1}{2}$ and $\theta : X \times X \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ by $\theta(x, y) = \gamma(x^2 + y^2) + 1$, $\rho = \frac{1}{\gamma}$.

Then (X, d, ρ) is an extend θ - metric space.

Proof. Clearly, conditions (d_1) and (d_2) holds .

Let x, y and $z \in X$,

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &= \gamma(e^{|x-y|} - 1) = \gamma(e^{|x-z+z-y|} - 1) \\ &\leq \gamma(e^{|x-z|+|z-y|} - 1) = \gamma(e^{|x-z|} - 1) + \gamma(e^{|z-y|} - 1) + \gamma(e^{|x-z|} - 1)(e^{|z-y|} - 1) \\ &\leq (\gamma(x^2 + y^2) + 1) \left(\gamma(e^{|x-z|} - 1) + \gamma(e^{|z-y|} - 1) \right) + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\gamma(e^{|x-z|} - 1) \gamma(e^{|z-y|} - 1) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$d(x, y) \leq \theta(x, y)(d(x, z) + d(z, y)) + \rho d(x, z)d(z, y), \text{ for all } x, y \text{ and } z \in X .$$

Hence (X, d, ρ) is an extended θ -metric space. □

Remark 1.10. (X, d, ρ) in example 1.9 is not an extended b -metric.

Proof. For

$$x = 1, \quad y = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad z = \frac{1}{2}$$

We have:

$$d(x, y) = \gamma(e^1 - 1), \quad d(x, z) = \gamma(e^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1), \quad d(y, z) = \gamma(e^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta(x, y) = \gamma + 1$$

so:

$$d(x, y) = \gamma(e^1 - 1) > \theta(x, y)(d(x, z) + d(y, z)) = (\gamma + 1)\gamma(2e^{\frac{1}{2}} - 2)$$

wich equivalent to:

$$(e^1 - 1) > (\gamma + 1)(2e^{\frac{1}{2}} - 2)$$

$$e - 2(\gamma + 1)e^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2\gamma + 1 > 0$$

The discriminant of this inequality: $\Delta = 4(\gamma + 1)^2 - 4(2\gamma + 1) = 4\gamma^2 > 0$. Then the polynomial $x^2 - 2(\gamma + 1)x + 2\gamma + 1$ have two roote 1 and $2\gamma + 1$, however $\gamma < \frac{\sqrt{e}-1}{2}$ therefore $2\gamma + 1 < \sqrt{e}$ thus $e - 2(\gamma + 1)e^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2\gamma + 1 > 0$ is verified, the proof is completed.

□

The concepts of convergence, Cauchy sequence and completeness can easily be extended to the case of an extended θ -metric space [26].

Definition 1.11. Let (X, d_θ) be a extended θ -metric space.

- A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to converge to $x \in X$, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N = N(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_n, x) < \varepsilon$, for all $n \geq N$. In this case, we write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.
- A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be cauchy, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N = N(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$, for all $n, m \geq N$.

Definition 1.12. An extended θ -metric space (X, d_θ) is complete if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

Remark 1.13. Assume that (X, d_θ) is an extended θ -metric space. If d_θ is continuous, then every convergent sequence has a unique limit.

2. MAIN RESULTS

The first main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, d_θ) be a complete extended θ -metric space such that d_θ is a continuous functional, and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping, Assume there exists $K \in [0, 1)$ such that:

$$(3) \quad d_\theta(Tx, Ty) \leq Kd_\theta(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X$$

where $K \in [0, 1)$ such that for each $x_0 \in X$,

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} K\theta(x_n, x_m)(\theta(x_{n+1}, x_m) + \rho K^n d(x_0, x_1)) < 1, \quad \text{where } x_n = T^n x_0, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

Then, T has precisely one fixed point ξ . Moreover for each $y \in X$, $T^n y \rightarrow \xi$.

Proof. We choose any $x_0 \in X$ be arbitrary, define the iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$ by:

$$x_0, \quad x_1 = Tx_0, \quad x_2 = Tx_1, \quad \dots, \quad x_n = T^n x_0$$

By triangular inequality and, for $m > n$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d_\theta(x_n, x_m) &\leq \theta(x_n, x_m)d_\theta(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \theta(x_n, x_m)d(x_{n+1}, x_m) + \rho d_\theta(x_n, x_{n+1})d(x_{n+1}, x_m) \\ &\leq \theta(x_n, x_m)d_\theta(x_n, x_{n+1}) + (\theta(x_n, x_m) + \rho d_\theta(x_n, x_{n+1}))d(x_{n+1}, x_m) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} d_\theta(x_{n+1}, x_m) &\leq \theta(x_{n+1}, x_m)d_\theta(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \theta(x_{n+1}, x_m)d(x_{n+2}, x_m) + \rho d_\theta(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})d(x_{n+2}, x_m) \\ &\leq \theta(x_{n+1}, x_m)d_\theta(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + (\theta(x_{n+1}, x_m) + \rho d_\theta(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}))d(x_{n+2}, x_m) \end{aligned}$$

then:

$$\begin{aligned} d_\theta(x_n, x_m) &\leq \theta(x_n, x_m)K^n d_\theta(x_0, x_1) + (\theta(x_n, x_m) + \rho K^n d_\theta(x_0, x_1))\theta(x_{n+1}, x_m)K^{n+1}d(x_0, x_1) \\ &\quad + (\theta(x_n, x_m) + \rho K^n d_\theta(x_0, x_1))(\theta(x_{n+1}, x_m) + \rho K^{n+1} d_\theta(x_0, x_1))d_\theta(x_{n+2}, x_m) \end{aligned}$$

And by induction we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} d_\theta(x_n, x_m) &\leq \theta(x_n, x_m)K^n d(x_0, x_1) \\ &\quad + K^n d_\theta(x_0, x_1) \sum_{i=1}^{m-n-1} \theta(x_{n+i}, x_m) K^i \prod_{\eta=0}^{i-1} (\theta(x_{n+\eta}, x_m) + \rho K^{n+\eta} d_\theta(x_0, x_1)) \end{aligned}$$

since $K \in [0, 1)$, it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} d_\theta(x_n, x_m) &\leq \theta(x_n, x_m)K^n d(x_0, x_1) \\ &\quad + K^n d_\theta(x_0, x_1) \sum_{i=1}^{m-n-1} \theta(x_{n+i}, x_m) K^i \prod_{\eta=0}^{i-1} (\theta(x_{n+\eta}, x_m) + \rho K^\eta d_\theta(x_0, x_1)) \end{aligned}$$

Let:

$$U_i = \theta(x_{n+i}, x_m) K^i \prod_{\eta=0}^{i-1} (\theta(x_{n+\eta}, x_m) + \rho K^\eta d_\theta(x_0, x_1)) \quad \text{and} \quad U_0 = \theta(x_n, x_m) K^n d(x_0, x_1)$$

By Ratio test $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} U_i$ is converges, since

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{U_{i+1}}{U_i} \right| \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} K\theta(x_{n+i+1}, x_m)(\theta(x_{n+i}, x_m) + \rho K^i d(x_0, x_1)) < 1$$

we can deduce that, $d_{\theta}(x_n, x_m)$ tends to zero as n, m tend to infinity, that suggests the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy. Therefore by completeness of X , as a result of this $\{x_n\}$ converges to some $x \in X$.

We have:

$$d(Tx_n, Tx) \leq Kd(x_n, x)$$

Therefore as $n \rightarrow \infty$, thus , we conclude $x = Tx$.

To prove uniqueness, let us assume x_a and x_b are two fixed points of T ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_a, x_b) &= d(Tx_a, Tx_b) \\ &\leq Kd(x_a, x_b) \\ &< d(x_a, x_b), \text{ a contadiction} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x_a = x_b$, This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Lemma 2.2. For all $x \in [0, \infty)$, we have : $(e^{\frac{x}{3} - \frac{y}{3}} - 1) \leq \frac{1}{3}(e^{|x-y|} - 1)$

Proof. Consider the function: $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{3}e^x - \frac{1}{3} - e^{\frac{x}{3}} + 1$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) &= \frac{1}{3}e^x - \frac{1}{3}e^{\frac{x}{3}} \\ &= \frac{1}{3}(e^x - e^{\frac{x}{3}}) \end{aligned}$$

since $e^x - e^{\frac{x}{3}} \geq 0$ for all $x \geq 0$ then f is a increasing function. Therefore $f(x) \geq f(0)$ for all $x \geq 0$, but $f(0) = 0$. Hence $f(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \geq 0$

(i.e) $(e^{\frac{x}{3}} - 1) \leq \frac{1}{3}(e^x - 1)$ for all $x \geq 0$ □

Example 2.3. Let $X = [0, 1]$, define $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by: $d(x, y) = \frac{1}{4}(e^{|x-y|} - 1)$ and $\theta : X \times X \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ by $\theta(x, y) = \frac{1}{4}(x^2 + y^2) + 1$, and $\rho = 4$. Then (X, d) be a complete extended θ -metric space.

Define $T : X \rightarrow X$ by $Tx = \frac{x}{3}$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, Ty) &= \frac{1}{4}(e^{|\frac{x}{3}-\frac{y}{3}|} - 1) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{12}(e^{|x-y|} - 1) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{4}(e^{|x-y|} - 1) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3}d(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

Note that for each $x \in X$, $T^n x = \frac{x}{3^n}$. Then we obtain:

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \theta(x_n, x_m) = \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{x^2}{3^{2m}} + \frac{x^2}{3^{2n}}\right) + 1 = 1$$

therefore:

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} K\theta(x_n, x_m)[\theta(x_{n+1}, x_m) + \rho K^n d(x_0, (x_1))] = \frac{1}{3} \cdot 1 \cdot [1 + 0] = \frac{1}{3} < 1$$

Therefore, all conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied hence T has a unique fixed point .

We introduce a new type of generalized metric space, which we call as an extended (θ, ρ) -metric space.

Definition 2.4. Let X be a non empty set and $\theta : X \times X \rightarrow [1, \infty)$, $\rho : X \times X \rightarrow [1, \infty)$. A function d_θ is called an extended (θ, ρ) -metric if for all $x, y, z \in X$ it satisfies:

- (d₁) $d_\theta(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (d₂) $d_\theta(x, y) = d_\theta(y, x)$
- (d₃) $d_\theta(x, y) \leq \theta(x, y)(d_\theta(x, z) + d_\theta(z, y)) + \rho(x, y)d_\theta(x, z)d_\theta(z, y)$

The pair (X, d_θ) is called an extended (θ, ρ) -metric.

Remark 2.5. if $\theta(x, y) = 1$, and $\rho(x, y) = s$ for some $s \geq 1$ then we obtain the definition of a suprametric metric space.

Remark 2.6. if $\rho(x, y) = 0$, then we obtain the definition of a extended b -metric space.

Remark 2.7. if $\rho(x, y) = s$ for some $s \geq 1$, then we obtain the definition of a extended θ -metric space.

Example 2.8. Let $X = [1, \infty[$, define $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by:

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y \\ \frac{1}{2}(x+y)^2 & \text{if } x \neq y, \end{cases}$$

, $\theta : X \times X \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ by $\theta(x, y) = \frac{x+y+1}{x+y}$ and $\rho : X \times X \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ by $\rho(x, y) = 4xy + 1$. Then (X, d) is an extended (θ, ρ) -metric space.

Lemma 2.9. Let (X, d_θ) be an extended (θ, ρ) -metric space, $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping, Assume there exists $K \in [0, 1)$ such that:

$$(4) \quad d_\theta(Tx, Ty) \leq Kd_\theta(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X$$

and for each $x_0 \in X$, define the sequence x_n by $x_n = T^n x_0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $m \geq n$:

$$d_\theta(x_n, x_m) \leq \theta(x_n, x_m)K^n d_\theta(x_0, x_1) + K^n d_\theta(x_0, x_1) \sum_{i=1}^{m-n-1} \theta(x_{n+i}, x_m) K^i \prod_{\eta=0}^{i-1} (\theta(x_{n+\eta}, x_m) + \rho K^{n+\eta} d_\theta(x_0, x_1))$$

Proof. By induction:

If:

$$d_n^m = d(x_n, x_m) \quad , \quad \theta_n^m = \theta(x_n, x_m) \quad , \quad \rho_n^m = \rho(x_n, x_m)$$

then it is natural to put

$$B_n^m = \theta_n^m K^n d_0 \quad \text{and} \quad A_n^m = \theta_n^m + \rho_n^m K^n d_0 \quad \text{for all } n < m$$

Then let's show by induction on $k = m - n + 1$ that:

$$d_n^m \leq B_n^m + A_n^m B_{n+1}^m + A_n^m A_{n+1}^m B_{n+2}^m + \dots + A_n^m A_{n+1}^m \dots A_{m-2}^m B_{m-1}^m$$

For $k = 0$ (i.e) $j = m - 1$ we have:

$$d_\theta(x_{m-1}, x_m) = d_{m-1}^m \leq K^{m-1} d_0$$

$$\leq \theta(x_{m-1}, x_m) K^{m-1} d_0 = B_{m-1}^m$$

then it is clear that the inequality is verified for $k = 0$.

Assume yhat this inequality holds for any $1 \leq k \leq m - n$ and and show that the inequality is verified for $k = m - n + 1$

By hypothesis,

$$d_{m-k+1}^m \leq B_{m-k+1}^m + A_{m-k+1}^m B_{m-k+2}^m + A_{m-k+1}^m A_{m-k+2}^m B_{m-k+3}^m + \dots + A_{m-k+1}^m A_{m-k+2}^m \dots A_{m-2}^m B_{m-1}^m$$

for any $1 \leq k \leq m - n$

then:

$$\begin{aligned} d_n^m &\leq B_n^m + A_n^m d_{n+1}^m \\ &\leq B_n^m + A_n^m (B_{n+1}^m + A_{n+1}^m B_{n+2}^m + A_{n+1}^m A_{n+2}^m B_{n+3}^m + \dots + A_{n+1}^m A_{n+2}^m \dots A_{m-2}^m B_{m-1}^m) \\ &\leq B_n^m + A_n^m B_{n+1}^m + A_n^m A_{n+1}^m B_{n+2}^m + A_n^m A_{n+1}^m A_{n+2}^m B_{n+3}^m + A_n^m A_{n+1}^m A_{n+2}^m \dots A_{m-2}^m B_{m-1}^m \end{aligned}$$

Finally the inequality is verified for $k = m - n + 1$.

□

Our second theorem is an analogue of Banach contraction principle in the setting of extended (θ, ρ) -metric space.

Theorem 2.10. *Let (X, d_θ) be a complete extended (θ, ρ) -metric space such that d_θ is a continuous functional, and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Assume there exists $K \in [0, 1)$ such that:*

$$(5) \quad d_\theta(Tx, Ty) \leq K d_\theta(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X$$

where $K \in [0, 1)$ such that for each $x_0 \in X$,

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} K \theta(x_n, x_m) \theta(x_{n+1}, x_m) \rho(x_n, x_m) < 1,$$

where $x_n = T^n x_0$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Then, T has precisely one fixed point ξ . Moreover for each $y \in X$, $T^n y \rightarrow \xi$.

Proof. We choose any $x_0 \in X$ be arbitrary, define the iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$ by:

$$x_0, \quad x_1 = Tx_0, \quad x_2 = Tx_1, \quad \dots, \quad x_n = T^n x_0$$

By triangular inequality and, for $m > n$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\theta}(x_n, x_m) &\leq \theta(x_n, x_m)d_{\theta}(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \theta(x_n, x_m)d_{\theta}(x_{n+1}, x_m) + \rho(x_n, x_m)d_{\theta}(x_n, x_{n+1})d_{\theta}(x_{n+1}, x_m) \\ &\leq \theta(x_n, x_m)d_{\theta}(x_n, x_{n+1}) + (\theta(x_n, x_m) + \rho(x_n, x_m)d_{\theta}(x_n, x_{n+1}))d_{\theta}(x_{n+1}, x_m) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\theta}(x_{n+1}, x_m) &\leq \theta(x_{n+1}, x_m)d_{\theta}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \\ &\quad + \theta(x_{n+1}, x_m)d_{\theta}(x_{n+2}, x_m) + \rho(x_{n+1}, x_m)d_{\theta}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})d_{\theta}(x_{n+2}, x_m) \\ &\leq \theta(x_{n+1}, x_m)d_{\theta}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + (\theta(x_{n+1}, x_m) + \rho(x_{n+1}, x_m)d_{\theta}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}))d_{\theta}(x_{n+2}, x_m) \end{aligned}$$

then:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\theta}(x_n, x_m) &\leq \theta(x_n, x_m)K^n d_{\theta}(x_0, x_1) \\ &\quad + (\theta(x_n, x_m) + \rho(x_n, x_m)K^n d_{\theta}(x_0, x_1))\theta(x_{n+1}, x_m)K^{n+1}d_{\theta}(x_0, x_1) \\ &\quad + (\theta(x_n, x_m) + \rho(x_n, x_m)K^n d_{\theta}(x_0, x_1))(\theta(x_{n+1}, x_m) \\ &\quad + \rho(x_{n+1}, x_m)K^{n+1}d_{\theta}(x_0, x_1))d_{\theta}(x_{n+2}, x_m) \end{aligned}$$

by lemma 2.9 we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\theta}(x_n, x_m) &\leq \theta(x_n, x_m)K^n d_{\theta}(x_0, x_1) \\ &\quad + K^n d_{\theta}(x_0, x_1) \sum_{i=1}^{m-n-1} \theta(x_{n+i}, x_m)K^i \prod_{\eta=0}^{i-1} (\theta(x_{n+\eta}, x_m) + \rho(x_{n+\eta}, x_m)K^{n+\eta}d_{\theta}(x_0, x_1)) \end{aligned}$$

since $K \in [0, 1]$, it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\theta}(x_n, x_m) &\leq \theta(x_n, x_m)K^n d_{\theta}(x_0, x_1) \\ &\quad + K^n d_{\theta}(x_0, x_1) \sum_{i=1}^{m-n-1} \theta(x_{n+i}, x_m)K^i \prod_{\eta=0}^{i-1} (\theta(x_{n+\eta}, x_m) + \rho(x_{n+\eta}, x_m)K^n d_{\theta}(x_0, x_1)) \end{aligned}$$

Let:

$$U_i = \theta(x_{n+i}, x_m)K^i \prod_{\eta=0}^{i-1} (\theta(x_{n+\eta}, x_m) + \rho(x_{n+\eta}, x_m)K^n d_{\theta}(x_0, x_1)) \quad \text{and} \quad U_0 = \theta(x_n, x_m)K^n d_{\theta}(x_0, x_1)$$

By Ratio test $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} U_i$ is converges, since

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{U_{i+1}}{U_i} \right| \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} K\theta(x_{n+i+1}, x_m)(\theta(x_{n+i}, x_m) + \rho(x_{n+i}, x_m)K^i d(x_0, x_1)) < 1$$

in fact , if

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} K\theta(x_n, x_m)\theta(x_{n+1}, x_m)\rho(x_n, x_m) < 1$$

then

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} K\theta(x_{n+i+1}, x_m)\rho(x_{n+i}, x_m)K^i d(x_0, x_1) = 0$$

it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{U_{i+1}}{U_i} \right| &\leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} K\theta(x_{n+i+1}, x_m)(\theta(x_{n+i}, x_m) + \rho(x_{n+i}, x_m)K^i d(x_0, x_1)) \\ &= \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} K\theta(x_n, x_m)\theta(x_{n+1}, x_m) \\ &< \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} K\theta(x_n, x_m)\theta(x_{n+1}, x_m)\rho(x_n, x_m) \\ &< 1 \end{aligned}$$

We can deduce that, $d_{\theta}(x_n, x_m)$ tends to zero as n, m tend to infinity, that suggests the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy. Therefore by completeness of X , as a result of this $\{x_n\}$ converges to some $x \in X$.

We have:

$$d(Tx_n, Tx) \leq Kd(x_n, x)$$

Therefore as $n \rightarrow \infty$, thus, we conclude $x = Tx$.

To prove uniqueness, let us assume x_a and x_b are two fixed points of T ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_a, x_b) &= d(Tx_a, Tx_b) \\ &\leq Kd(x_a, x_b) \\ &< d(x_a, x_b), \text{ a contradiction} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x_a = x_b$, This completes the proof of the theorem.

□

Example 2.11. Let $X = [0, 1]$, define: $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by:

$d(x, y) = \frac{1}{4}(e^{|x-y|} - 1)$ and $\theta : X \times X \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ by $\theta(x, y) = \frac{1}{4}(x^2 + y^2) + 1$, and $\rho : X \times X \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ by $\rho(x, y) = 4xy + 1$. Then (X, d) be a complete extended (θ, ρ) -metric space .

Define $T : X \rightarrow X$ by $Tx = \frac{x}{3}$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, Ty) &= \frac{1}{4}(e^{|\frac{x}{3}-\frac{y}{3}|} - 1) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{12}(e^{|x-y|} - 1) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{4}(e^{|x-y|} - 1) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3}d(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

Note that for each $x \in X$, $T^n x = \frac{x}{3^n}$. Then we obtain:

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \theta(x_n, x_m) = \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{x^2}{3^{2m}} + \frac{x^2}{3^{2n}}\right) + 1 = 1$$

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x_n, x_m) = 4 \cdot \frac{x}{3^n} \cdot \frac{x}{3^m} + 1 = 1$$

therefore:

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} K\theta(x_n, x_m)\theta(x_{n+1}, x_m)\rho(x_n, x_m) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 = \frac{1}{3} < 1$$

Therefore, all conditions of Theorem 2.10 are satisfied hence T has a unique fixed point.

We immediately derive the following corollary:

Corollary 2.12. Let (X, d_θ) be a complete extended (θ, ρ) -metric space such that d_θ is a continuous functional, and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping, Assume there exists $\psi \in \mathbb{M}_b$ such that:

$$(6) \quad d_\theta(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(d_\theta(x, y)) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X$$

such that for each $x_0 \in X$

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \theta(x_n, x_m)\theta(x_{n+1}, x_m)\rho(x_n, x_m)\psi^m(d_0) < b$$

, where $x_n = T^n x_0$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Then, T has precisely one fixed point ξ . Moreover for each $y \in X$, $T^n y \rightarrow \xi$.

Proof. The same as for the previous theorem, modifying K by $\psi(d_0)$. □

If $\psi \in \mathbb{M}_b$, then we can drive this results as in [2]:

Theorem 2.13. *Let (X, d_θ) be a complete extended θ -metric space such that d_θ is a continuous functional, and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping, Assume there exists $\psi \in \mathbb{M}_b$ such that:*

$$(7) \quad d_\theta(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(d_\theta(x, y)) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X$$

such that, $\limsup_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \theta(x_{i+1}, x_m) \theta(x_i, x_m) < b$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$

Then, T has precisely one fixed point ξ . Moreover for each $y \in X$, $T^n y \rightarrow \xi$.

Proof. We choose any $x_0 \in X$ be arbitrary, define the iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$ by:

$$x_0, \quad x_1 = Tx_0, \quad x_2 = Tx_1, \quad \dots, \quad x_n = T^n x_0$$

By triangular inequality and, for $m > n$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d_\theta(x_n, x_m) &\leq \theta(x_n, x_m) d_\theta(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \theta(x_n, x_m) d(x_{n+1}, x_m) \\ &\quad + \rho d_\theta(x_n, x_{n+1}) d(x_{n+1}, x_m) \\ &\leq \theta(x_n, x_m) d_\theta(x_n, x_{n+1}) + (\theta(x_n, x_m) + \rho d_\theta(x_n, x_{n+1})) d(x_{n+1}, x_m) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} d_\theta(x_{n+1}, x_m) &\leq \theta(x_{n+1}, x_m) d_\theta(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \theta(x_n, x_m) d(x_{n+2}, x_m) \\ &\quad + \rho d_\theta(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) d(x_{n+2}, x_m) \\ &\leq \theta(x_{n+1}, x_m) d_\theta(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \\ &\quad + (\theta(x_{n+1}, x_m) + \rho d_\theta(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) d(x_{n+2}, x_m) \end{aligned}$$

then:

$$\begin{aligned} d_\theta(x_n, x_m) &\leq \theta(x_n, x_m) \psi^n(d_\theta(x_0, x_1)) \\ &\quad + (\theta(x_n, x_m) + \rho \psi^n(d_\theta(x_0, x_1))) \theta(x_{n+1}, x_m) \psi^{n+1}(d_\theta(x_0, x_1)) \\ &\quad + (\theta(x_n, x_m) + \rho \psi^n(d_\theta(x_0, x_1))) (\theta(x_{n+1}, x_m) \\ &\quad + \rho \psi^{n+1}(d_\theta(x_0, x_1))) d_\theta(x_{n+2}, x_m) \end{aligned}$$

Performing this process repeatedly we obtain:

$$d_{\theta}(x_n, x_m) \leq \sum_{i=n}^m \theta(x_i, x_m) \psi^i(d_{\theta}(x_0, x_1)) \prod_{j=n}^{i-1} (\theta(x_j, x_m) + \rho \psi^j(d_{\theta}(x_0, x_1)))$$

$$\text{Let: } U_i = \theta(x_i, x_m) \psi^i(d_{\theta}(x_0, x_1)) \prod_{j=n}^{i-1} (\theta(x_j, x_m) + \rho \psi^j(d_{\theta}(x_0, x_1)))$$

To this end, observe that from $\psi^{i+1}(d_0) \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{u_{i+1}}{u_i} \leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\theta(x_{i+1}, x_m) \psi^{i+1}(d_0) (\theta(x_i, x_m) + \rho \psi^i(d_{\theta}(x_0, x_1)))}{\psi^i(d_0)} < 1$$

which implies that the series $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i$ converges, so $d_{n,m}$ tends to zero as n, m tend to infinity. Hence, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy, and by completeness of (X, d) we conclude that $\{x_n\}$ converges to some $x \in X$. We next show that x is a fixed point of T

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_*, fx_*) &\leq \theta(x_*, fx_*) (d(x_*, x_{k+1}) + d(x_{k+1}, fx_*)) + \rho d(x_*, x_{k+1}) d(x_{k+1}, fx_*) \\ &= \theta(x_*, fx_*) (d(x_*, x_{k+1}) + d(fx_k, fx_*)) + \rho d(x_*, fx_k) d(fx_k, fx_*) \\ &\leq \theta(x_*, fx_*) d(x_*, x_{k+1}) + \theta(x_*, fx_*) \psi(d(x_k, x_*)) + \rho d(x_*, fx_k) \psi(d(x_k, x_*)) \\ &\leq \theta(x_*, fx_*) d(x_*, x_{k+1}) + \theta(x_*, fx_*) d(x_k, x_*) + \rho d(x_*, fx_k) d(x_k, x_*) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, as k tends to infinity, we deduce that $x_* = Tx_*$. Finally, the uniqueness of the fixed point follows immediately \square

Immediatly we have the following corollary:

Corollary 2.14. *Let (X, d_{θ}) be a complete extended b -metric space such that d_{θ} is a continuous functional, and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping, Assume there exists $\psi \in \mathbb{M}_b$ such that:*

$$(8) \quad d_{\theta}(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(d_{\theta}(x, y)) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X$$

such that, $\limsup_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \theta(x_i, x_m) < b$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$

Then, T has precisely one fixed point ξ . Moreover for each $y \in X$, $T^n y \rightarrow \xi$.

Proof. The same as for the previous theorem, modifying K by $\psi(d_0)$ and using the same technique as for the theorem 2 in [1]. \square

As immediate consequences, we obtain the following propositions.

Proposition 2.15. *Theorem 2.12 generalizes Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 1.5.*

Proposition 2.16. *Theorem 2.10 generalizes Theorem 2.1.*

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Kamran, M. Samreen, Q. UL Ain, A Generalization of B-Metric Space and Some Fixed Point Theorems, *Mathematics* 5 (2017), 19. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math5020019>.
- [2] M. Berzig, Nonlinear Contraction in B-Suprametric Spaces, *J. Anal.* 32 (2024), 2401–2414. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41478-024-00732-5>.
- [3] S. Matthews, Partial Metric Spaces, in: *The 2008 Summer Conference, Universitaria de la UNAM, Mexico City, 2008*.
- [4] D. Bugajewski, P. Maćkowiak, R. Wang, On Compactness and Fixed Point Theorems in Partial Metric Spaces, *arXiv:2005.06327* (2020). <http://arxiv.org/abs/2005.06327v2>.
- [5] V. Gregori, J. Miñana, D. Miravet, Fuzzy Partial Metric Spaces, *Int. J. Gen. Syst.* 48 (2018), 260–279. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03081079.2018.1552687>.
- [6] S. Kumar, S. Luambano, On Some Fixed Point Theorems for Multivalued F-Contractions in Partial Metric Spaces, *Demonstr. Math.* 54 (2021), 151–161. <https://doi.org/10.1515/dema-2021-0012>.
- [7] N.H. Hoc, L.V. Nguyen, Existence of Minima of Functions in Partial Metric Spaces and Applications to Fixed Point Theory, *Acta Math. Hung.* 168 (2022), 345–362. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10474-022-01279-2>.
- [8] S. Czerwik, Contraction Mappings in b-Metric Spaces, *Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav.* 1 (1993), 5–11. <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120469>.
- [9] S. Kajántó, A. Lukács, A Note on the Paper "Contraction Mappings in B-Metric Spaces" by Czerwik, *Acta Univ. Sapient. Math.* 10 (2018), 85–89. <https://doi.org/10.2478/ausm-2018-0007>.
- [10] H. Afshari, E. Karapınar, A Discussion on the Existence of Positive Solutions of the Boundary Value Problems via ψ -Hilfer Fractional Derivative on b-Metric Spaces, *Adv. Differ. Equ.* 2020 (2020), 616. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-020-03076-z>.
- [11] M. Berzig, I. Kédim, A. Mannai, Multivalued Fixed Point Theorem in B-Metric Spaces and Its Application to Differential Inclusions, *Filomat* 32 (2018), 2963–2976. <https://doi.org/10.2298/fil1808963b>.
- [12] M. Boriceanu, M. Bota, A. Petruşel, Multivalued Fractals in b-Metric Spaces, *Open Math.* 8 (2010), 367–377. <https://doi.org/10.2478/s11533-010-0009-4>.

- [13] J.E. Lazreg, S. Abbas, M. Benchohra, E. Karapınar, Impulsive Caputo-Fabrizio Fractional Differential Equations In b -Metric Spaces, *Open Math.* 19 (2021), 363–372. <https://doi.org/10.1515/math-2021-0040>.
- [14] V. Gregori, J. Miñana, D. Miravet, Fuzzy Partial Metric Spaces, *Int. J. Gen. Syst.* 48 (2018), 260–279. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03081079.2018.1552687>.
- [15] P. Baradol, D. Gopal, S. Radenović, Computational Fixed Points in Graphical Rectangular Metric Spaces with Application, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 375 (2020), 112805. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2020.112805>.
- [16] L. Budhia, H. Aydi, A.H. Ansari, D. Gopal, Some New Fixed Point Results in Rectangular Metric Spaces with an Application to Fractional-Order Functional Differential Equations, *Nonlinear Anal.: Model. Control.* 25 (2020), 580–597. <https://doi.org/10.15388/namc.2020.25.17928>.
- [17] M. Turinici, *Ekeland Variational Principles in 2-Local Branciari Metric Spaces*, Springer, Cham, 2020. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-61732-5_23.
- [18] J. Patil, B. Hardan, A.A. Hamoud, A. Bachhav, H. Emadifar, A New Result on Branciari Metric Space Using (α, γ) -Contractive Mappings, *Topol. Algebr. Appl.* 10 (2022), 103–112. <https://doi.org/10.1515/taa-2022-0117>.
- [19] J.R.R. Roshan, V. Parvaneh, Z. Kadelburg, New Fixed Point Results in B -Rectangular Metric Spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.: Model. Control.* 21 (2016), 614–634. <https://doi.org/10.15388/na.2016.5.4>.
- [20] S. Shukla, Partial Rectangular Metric Spaces and Fixed Point Theorems, *Sci. World J.* 2014 (2014), 756298. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/756298>.
- [21] M. Younis, D. Singh, I. Altun, V. Chauhan, Graphical Structure of Extended b -Metric Spaces: An Application to the Transverse Oscillations of a Homogeneous Bar, *Int. J. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* 23 (2021), 1239–1252. <https://doi.org/10.1515/ijnsns-2020-0126>.
- [22] P. Gautam, V. Narayan Mishra, R. Ali, S. Verma, Interpolative Chatterjea and Cyclic Chatterjea Contraction on Quasi-Partial b -Metric Space, *AIMS Math.* 6 (2021), 1727–1742. <https://doi.org/10.3934/math.2021103>.
- [23] T. Abdeljawad, E. Karapınar, S.K. Panda, N. Mlaiki, Solutions of Boundary Value Problems on Extended-Branciari b -Distance, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2020 (2020), 103. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-020-02373-1>.
- [24] M. Berzig, First Results in Suprametric Spaces with Applications, *Mediterr. J. Math.* 19 (2022), 226. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-022-02148-6>.
- [25] J. Matkowski, *Integrable Solutions of Functional Equations*, Instytut Matematyczny Polskiej Akademi Nauk, Warszawa, 1975. <http://eudml.org/doc/268343>.
- [26] S.K. Panda, R.P. Agarwal, E. Karapınar, Extended Suprametric Spaces and Stone-Type Theorem, *AIMS Math.* 8 (2023), 23183–23199. <https://doi.org/10.3934/math.20231179>.