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#### Abstract

A new geometric inequality involving circumradius, inradius and medians of an acute triangle is established. Another similar inequality proposed by the author as an open problem many years ago is proved. Several conjectures are proposed after having been verified by the computer.
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## 1. Introduction and Main Result

Let $A B C$ be a triangle with circumradius $R$ and inradius $r$, and let $m_{a}, m_{b}, m_{c}$ be its medians.
In [2], the author proved the following inequality involving the reciprocal sum of the medians of an arbitrary triangle $A B C$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum \frac{1}{m_{a}} \leq \frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{1}{R}+\frac{1}{r}\right) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sum$ denotes the cyclic sum.
In [11], Wu and Shi considered improvements of inequality (1.1) and proved that the best constant $k$ for the following inequality:

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum \frac{1}{m_{a}} \leq \frac{1}{r}-k\left(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{2}{R}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

is the real root in the interval $\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{5}\right)$ of equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
354294 k^{6}-509571 k^{5}+1927260 k^{4}-2145600 k^{3}+133376 k^{2}+99328 k+12288=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, the constant $k$ is approximately equal to 0.3440653 .
In the recent paper [3], the author established the following reverse inequality of (1.1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum \frac{1}{m_{a}} \geq \frac{5}{2 R+r} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the combined coefficients of the denominator are the best possible.
In this paper, for the acute triangle we shall establish two inequalities involving the sums $\sum \frac{1}{m_{b}+m_{c}}$ and $\sum \frac{1}{\left(m_{b}+m_{c}\right)^{2}}$. Our main results are the following:

Theorem 1. In the acute triangle $A B C$ the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum \frac{1}{m_{b}+m_{c}} \leq \frac{2}{R+2 r} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if triangle $A B C$ is equilateral.

Theorem 2. In the acute triangle $A B C$ the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum \frac{1}{\left(m_{b}+m_{c}\right)^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{6 R r} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if triangle $A B C$ is equilateral.

In fact, inequality (1.6) was proposed by the author as one of conjectures in a Chinese paper [4], where most conjectures have been solved. However, the author has not seen that anyone has proved inequality (1.6).

The aim of this paper is to prove Theorem 1 and 2. We also propose several conjectures checked by the computer.

## 2. Preliminaries

In order to prove the main results, we need several lemmas.
As usual, we denote by $a, b, c$ the sides of triangle $A B C ; s$ and $S$ the semiperimeter and area respectively; $h_{a}, h_{b}, h_{c}$ the altitudes; $r_{a}, r_{b}, r_{c}$ the radii of excircles.

Lemma 1. In any triangle $A B C$ the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{a} m_{b} m_{c} \geq \frac{1}{8 R} \sum b^{2} c^{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if triangle $A B C$ is isosceles.

In [9], the author pointed that inequality (2.1) can be obtained from the following known result (see [11]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum h_{a}^{2} \sum \frac{1}{m_{a}^{2}} \leq 9 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2. In any triangle $A B C$ the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{a} \geq h_{a}+\frac{\left(b^{2}+c^{2}-a^{2}+14 b c\right)(b-c)^{2}}{64 a S} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $b=c$.

Inequality (2.3) is one of the equivalent form of Theorem 1.1 from the author's paper [7].

Lemma 3. With the above notations, we have the following identities:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum a^{2} & =2 s^{2}-8 R r-2 r^{2},  \tag{2.4}\\
\sum a^{3} & =2 s^{3}-\left(12 R r+6 r^{2}\right) s,  \tag{2.5}\\
\sum a^{4} & =2 s^{4}-4(4 R+3 r) r s^{2}+2(4 R+r)^{2} r^{2},  \tag{2.6}\\
\sum a^{5} & =2 s^{5}-20(R+r) r s^{3}+10(2 R+r)(4 R+r) r^{2} s,  \tag{2.7}\\
\sum a^{6} & =2 s^{6}-6(4 R+5 r) r s^{4}+6\left(24 R^{2}+24 R r+5 r^{2}\right) r^{2} s^{2} \\
& -2(4 R+r)^{3} r^{3}, \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum a^{7}= & 2 s^{7}-14(2 R+3 r) r s^{5}+14\left(16 R^{2}+20 R r+5 r^{2}\right) r^{2} s^{3} \\
& -14(2 R+r)(4 R+r)^{2} r^{3} s,  \tag{2.9}\\
\sum a^{8}= & 2 s^{8}-8(4 R+7 r) r s^{6}+20\left(16 R^{2}+24 R r+7 r^{2}\right) r^{2} s^{4} \\
& -8(4 R+r)\left(32 R^{2}+32 R r+7 r^{2}\right) r^{3} s^{2}+2(4 R+r)^{4} r^{4} . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 4. With the above notations, we have the following identities:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum b c & =s^{2}+4 R r+r^{2},  \tag{2.11}\\
\sum b^{2} c^{2} & =s^{4}-2(4 R-r) r s^{2}+(4 R+r)^{2} r^{2},  \tag{2.12}\\
\sum b^{3} c^{3} & =s^{6}-3(4 R-r) r s^{4}+3 r^{4} s^{2}+(4 R+r)^{3} r^{3}  \tag{2.13}\\
\sum b^{4} c^{4}= & s^{8}-4(4 R-r) r s^{6}+2\left(16 R^{2}-8 R r+3 r^{2}\right) r^{2} s^{4} \\
& +4(4 R+r) r^{5} s^{2}+(4 R+r)^{4} r^{4} \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

In Lemma 3 and 4, identities (2.4)-(2.6), (2.11) and (2.12) can be found in the monograph [11]. The others have been proved in [5] and [6].

Lemma 5. In any triangle $A B C$ the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0} \equiv\left(m_{b}+m_{c}\right)\left(m_{c}+m_{a}\right)\left(m_{a}+m_{b}\right) \geq \frac{K_{0}}{32 R s^{2}} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{0}= & 12 s^{6}+\left(86 R^{2}+55 R r+13 r^{2}\right) s^{4}-(53 R-4 r)(4 R+r)^{2} r s^{2} \\
& +3(4 R+r)^{4} r^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equality in (2.15) holds if and only if $\triangle A B C$ is equilateral.

Proof. First, we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0}=2 m_{a} m_{b} m_{c}+\sum m_{a}\left(m_{b}^{2}+m_{c}^{2}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the known formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{a}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2 b^{2}+2 c^{2}-a^{2}} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
4\left(m_{b}^{2}+m_{c}^{2}\right)=4 a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2} . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, from identity (2.16) using Lemma 1 and 2, we get

$$
P_{0} \geq \frac{1}{4 R} \sum b^{2} c^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \sum\left(4 a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right)\left[h_{a}+\frac{\left(b^{2}+c^{2}-a^{2}+14 b c\right)(b-c)^{2}}{64 a S}\right]
$$

Using $h_{a}=2 S / a$ again, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0} \geq \frac{1}{4 R} \sum b^{2} c^{2}+\frac{P_{1}}{256 a b c S} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
P_{1}=\sum b c\left(4 a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right)\left[128 S^{2}+\left(b^{2}+c^{2}-a^{2}+14 b c\right)(b-c)^{2}\right]
$$

Letting $d=a b c$ and applying the equivalent form of Heron's formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
16 S^{2}=2 \sum b^{2} c^{2}-\sum a^{4} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

we easily obtain the following identity:

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{1}= & \left(108 \sum a^{2}-10 \sum b c\right) d^{2}+\left(52 \sum a^{3} \sum a^{2}-13 \sum a \sum a^{4}-71 \sum a^{5}\right) d \\
& 12 \sum a^{8}-7 \sum a \sum a^{7}-17 \sum a^{3} \sum a^{5}+12 \sum a^{2} \sum a^{6}+24 \sum b^{4} c^{4} . \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Further, with the help of software Maple, using $\sum a=2 s$, Lemma 3, Lemma 4 and the following identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
a b c=4 R r s \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

we immediately obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{1}= & 32 r^{2}\left[4 s^{6}+\left(86 R^{2}+119 R r-3 r^{2}\right) s^{4}-(53 R+4 r)(4 R+r)^{2} r s^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+3(4 R+r)^{4} r^{2}\right] . \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, from (2.19) using identities (2.12), (2.22) and $S=r s$, we get inequality (2.15). This completes the proof of Lemma 5 .

Lemma 6. In the acute triangle $A B C$ the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{2} \geq 4 R^{2}-R r+13 r^{2}+\frac{(R-2 r) r^{3}}{R^{2}} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if triangle $A B C$ is equilateral or right isosceles.

Inequality (2.24) was obtained by the author in [8].

Lemma 7. In the acute triangle $A B C$ the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{2} \geq 16 R r-3 r^{2}-\frac{4 r^{3}}{R} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $\triangle A B C$ is equilateral or right isosceles.

Inequality (2.25) was first established by the author in a Chinese paper [10]. Later, the author also gave a direct proof in [8].

The following lemma provides an interesting inequality involving the medians and altitudes of an acute triangles.

Lemma 8. In the acute triangle $A B C$ the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum m_{b} m_{c} \leq \frac{1}{3} \sum h_{a}^{2}+\frac{2}{3} \sum m_{a}^{2} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $\triangle A B C$ is equilateral.

Proof. First, by the Cauchy inequality we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum m_{b} m_{c}\right)^{2} \leq \sum \frac{1}{r_{b}+r_{c}} \sum\left(r_{b}+r_{c}\right) m_{b}^{2} m_{c}^{2} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the following known formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{a}=\frac{S}{s-a} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $S=r s$, we easily get

$$
\sum \frac{1}{r_{b}+r_{c}}=\frac{a b c \sum a-\sum a \sum a^{3}+\left(\sum a^{2}\right)^{2}}{4 a b c S}
$$

Further, using $\sum=2 s$, identities (2.4), (2.5) and (2.22), one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum \frac{1}{r_{b}+r_{c}}=\frac{s^{2}+(4 R+r)^{2}}{4 R s^{2}} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, by applying (2.17), (2.28), $s=(a+b+c) / 2$ and $S=r s$, one can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum\left(r_{b}+r_{c}\right) m_{b}^{2} m_{c}^{2}=\frac{K_{1}}{32 r}, \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{1}= & 7 a b c \sum a \sum a^{2}-11 a b c \sum a^{3}+2 \sum a \sum a^{5}-6 \sum a^{6} \\
& +4 \sum b^{3} c^{3}-15(a b c)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, using $\sum a=2 s$, identities (2.4), (2.5), (2.7), (2.8), (2.13) and (2.22), we further obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum\left(r_{b}+r_{c}\right) m_{b}^{2} m_{c}^{2}=\frac{1}{4} K_{2} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
K_{2}=(5 R+14 r) s^{4}-\left(88 R^{2}+59 R r+16 r^{2}\right) r s^{2}+2(4 R+r)^{3} r^{2} .
$$

Consequently, it follows from (2.27), (2.29) and (2.31) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum m_{b} m_{c}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{\left[s^{2}+(4 R+r)^{2}\right] K_{2}}{16 R s^{2}} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by the equality $2 R h_{a}=b c$ and the following known identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum m_{a}^{2}=\frac{3}{4} \sum a^{2} \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\frac{1}{3} \sum h_{a}^{2}+\frac{2}{3} \sum m_{a}^{2}=\frac{1}{12 R^{2}} \sum b^{2} c^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum a^{2} .
$$

Using identities (2.4) and (2.12), we further get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{3} \sum h_{a}^{2}+\frac{2}{3} \sum m_{a}^{2}=\frac{K_{3}}{12 R^{2}} \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
K_{3}=s^{4}+\left(12 R^{2}-8 R r+2 r^{2}\right) s^{2}-(4 R+r)(2 R-r)(6 R+r) r .
$$

Now, by inequality (2.32) and identity (2.34), to prove inequality (2.26) we need to show

$$
\frac{\left[s^{2}+(4 R+r)^{2}\right] K_{2}}{16 R s^{2}} \leq \frac{K_{3}^{2}}{144 R^{4}}
$$

i.e.

$$
Q_{0} \equiv s^{2} K_{3}^{2}-9 K_{2} R^{3}\left[s^{2}+(4 R+r)^{2}\right] \geq 0
$$

With the help of software Maple, by using the expressions of $K_{2}$ and $K_{3}$, the above inequality is transformed into

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{0} \equiv & s^{10}+\left(24 R^{2}-16 R r+4 r^{2}\right) s^{8}+\left(99 R^{4}-414 R^{3} r+120 R^{2} r^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-16 R r^{3}+6 r^{4}\right) s^{6}+\left(-720 R^{6}-2736 R^{5} r+342 R^{4} r^{2}-46 R^{3} r^{3}\right. \\
& \left.-88 R^{2} r^{4}+16 R r^{5}+4 r^{6}\right) s^{4}+\left(792 R^{5}+603 R^{4} r+30 R^{3} r^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-8 R^{2} r^{3}+8 R r^{4}+r^{5}\right)(4 R+r)^{2} r s^{2}-18(4 R+r)^{5} R^{3} r^{2} \geq 0, \tag{2.35}
\end{align*}
$$

which needs to be proved.
According to Euler's inequality (valid for any triangle):

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \geq 2 r \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Lemma 6, we know that for acute triangle $A B C$ holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{0} \equiv s^{2}-\left(4 R^{2}-R r-13 r^{2}\right) \geq 0 \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on this inequality, one can write inequality (2.35) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0} \equiv v_{0}^{5}+m_{4} v_{0}^{4}+m_{3} v_{0}^{3}+m_{2} v_{0}^{2}+m_{1} v_{0}+m_{0} \geq 0 \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{4}= & 44 R^{2}-21 R r+69 r^{2}, \\
m_{3}= & 643 R^{4}-846 R^{3} r+2546 R^{2} r^{2}-1124 R r^{3}+1904 r^{4}, \\
m_{2}= & 3412 R^{6}-11169 R^{5} r+29517 R^{4} r^{2}-33890 R^{3} r^{3} \\
& +54734 R^{2} r^{4}-22544 R r^{5}+26264 r^{6}, \\
m_{1}= & 6416 R^{8}-40008 R^{7} r+134625 R^{6} r^{2}-291952 R^{5} r^{3} \\
& +442837 R^{4} r^{4}-452028 R^{3} r^{5}+519024 R^{2} r^{6} \\
& -200816 R r^{7}+181104 r^{8},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{0}= & (R-2 r)\left(1984 R^{9}-26128 R^{8} r+127428 R^{7} r^{2}-301051 R^{6} r^{3}\right. \\
& +559631 R^{5} r^{4}-791539 R^{4} r^{5}+597875 R^{3} r^{6}-811914 R^{2} r^{7} \\
& \left.+210308 R r^{8}-249704 r^{9}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, Euler's inequality shows that $m_{4}>0$ and $m_{3}>0$. If we set $e=R-2 r$ and substitute $R=2 r+e$ into the expression of $m_{2}$, then it is easy to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{2}= & 3412 e^{6}+29775 e^{5} r+122547 e^{4} r^{2}+301406 e^{3} r^{3}+485162 e^{2} r^{4} \\
& +495840 e r^{5}+262224 r^{6} . \tag{2.39}
\end{align*}
$$

As $e \geq 0$, so that $m_{2}>0$. Thus, to prove inequality (2.38) it remains to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1} v_{0}+m_{0} \geq 0 \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall consider the following two cases to finish the proof of inequality (2.40).
Case $1 R$ and $r$ satisfy that $h_{0} \equiv R^{2}-2 R r-r^{2}>0$.
Firstly, in the same way to prove $m_{2}>0$ one can easily show that $m_{1}>0$. Hence, by Lemma 6 , to prove (2.40) we require the following inequality to be proved:

$$
m_{1} \frac{(R-2 r) r^{3}}{R^{2}}+m_{0} \geq 0
$$

A direct calculation gives the equivalent inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1} \frac{(R-2 r)}{R^{2}} \geq 0 \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}= & 1984 R^{11}-26128 R^{10} r+127428 R^{9} r^{2}-294635 R^{8} r^{3}+519623 R^{7} r^{4} \\
& -656914 R^{6} r^{5}+305923 R^{5} r^{6}-369077 R^{4} r^{7}-241720 R^{3} r^{8} \\
& +269320 R^{2} r^{9}-200816 R r^{10}+181104 r^{11} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $R \geq 2 r$, to prove (2.41) we need to show the strict inequality $x_{1}>0$. However, it is easy to verify the following identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
48828125 x_{1}=e h_{0} x_{2}+97656250\left[2766994 h_{0}+R(147480 R-311143 r)\right] r^{9} \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
e= & R-2 r, \\
x_{2}= & 96875000000 e^{8}+661718750000 e^{7} r+792382812500 e^{6} r^{2} \\
& -5077880859375 e^{5} r^{3}-11135107421875 e^{4} r^{4}+55848583984375 e^{3} r^{5} \\
& +313514160156250 e^{2} r^{6}+659879296875000 e r^{7}+676876269531250 r^{8} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from the hypothesis $R^{2}-2 R r-r^{2}>0$ that $R \geq(1+\sqrt{2}) r$. So, it is easy to find $147480 R-311143 r>0$. Thus, by (2.42) we only need to show $x_{2}>0$. Then, it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 661718750000 e^{7} r+792382812500 e^{6} r^{2}-5077880859375 e^{5} r^{3} \\
& -11135107421875 e^{4} r^{4}+55848583984375 e^{3} r^{5}>0 . \tag{2.43}
\end{align*}
$$

One can only consider the case $r=1$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 661718750000 e^{7}+792382812500 e^{6}-5077880859375 e^{5} \\
& -11135107421875 e^{4}+55848583984375 e^{3}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing both sides by 66171875000 gives

$$
10 e^{4}+(11.974 \cdots) e^{3}-(76.737 \cdots) e^{2}-(168.275 \cdots) e+(843.992 \cdots)>0
$$

So, we only need to prove

$$
10 e^{4}+10 e^{3}-80 e^{2}-170 e+840>0 .
$$

It suffices to show

$$
e^{4}+e^{3}-8 e^{2}-17 e+48>0
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
e(e+5)(e-2)^{2}+8 e^{2}-37 e+48>0 .
$$

Notice that $8 e^{2}-37 e+48>0$. One sees that the desired inequality holds. Hence, inequalities (2.43) and (2.40) are proved in Case 1.

Case $2 R$ and $r$ satisfy $h_{0} \equiv R^{2}-2 R r-r^{2} \leq 0$.
By Lemma 7 we have

$$
v_{0}=s^{2}-\left(4 R^{2}-R r+13 r^{2}\right) \geq 16 R r-3 r^{2}-\frac{4 r^{3}}{R}-4 R^{2}+R r-13 r^{2}
$$

Simplifying gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{0} \geq \frac{(R-2 r)\left(2 r^{2}+9 R r-4 R^{2}\right)}{R} \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $m_{1}>0$, to prove inequality (2.40) we need to show that

$$
m_{1} \frac{(R-2 r)\left(2 r^{2}+9 R r-4 R^{2}\right)}{R}+m_{0} \geq 0
$$

A direct computation gives the equivalent inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{3} \frac{(R-2 r)}{R} \geq 0 \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{3}= & -23680 R^{10}+191648 R^{9} r-758312 R^{8} r^{2}+1998366 R^{7} r^{3} \\
& -3570035 R^{6} r^{4}+4418202 R^{5} r^{5}-4660799 R^{4} r^{6} \\
& +3758510 R^{3} r^{7}-1283404 R^{2} r^{8}+978600 R r^{9}+362208 r^{10}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Euler's inequality, it remains to show that $x_{3}>0$. We can rewrite $x_{3}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{3}=-e h_{0} x_{4}-529824 h_{0} r^{8}+2 R(769766 r-311143 R) r^{8}, \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{4}= & 23680 R^{7}-96928 R^{6} r+299560 R^{5} r^{2}-556702 R^{4} r^{3} \\
& +638403 R^{3} r^{4}-793604 R^{2} r^{5}+684578 R r^{6}+83808 r^{7} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we set $R=2 r+e(e \geq 0)$ and substitute it into the expression of $x_{4}$, then we find that all the terms are nonnegative after expanding. Thus, we have $x_{4}>0$.

In addition, it follows from the hypothesis $R^{2}-2 R r-r^{2} \leq 0$ that $(1+\sqrt{2}) r \geq R$. This yields $769766 r-311143 R>0$. Finally, from identity (2.46) we deduce that $x_{4}>0$ holds in the case $h_{0}<0$.

Combining the discussions of the above two cases, we conclude that inequality (2.40) holds for all acute triangles. Also, it is easy to determine that equality of (2.40) holds if and only if $\triangle A B C$ is equilateral. This completes the proof of Lemma 8.

Remark 1. Inspired by inequality (2.26), the author has found and proved that for the acute triangle $A B C$ the following inequality chain holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \sum\left(m_{b}-m_{c}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{3} \sum h_{a}^{2} \geq \frac{1}{4} \sum a^{2} \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum\left(m_{b}-m_{c}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{3} \sum h_{b} h_{c} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{4} \sum b c \tag{2.47}
\end{align*}
$$

in which the first inequality is equivalent to inequality (2.26).

## 3. Proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2

In this section, we shall give the proofs of Theorem 1 and 2.

### 3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.

Proof. We first note that inequality (1.5) it is equivalent to

$$
2\left(m_{b}+m_{c}\right)\left(m_{c}+m_{a}\right)\left(m_{a}+m_{b}\right) \geq(R+2 r) \sum\left(m_{c}+m_{a}\right)\left(m_{a}+m_{b}\right)
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(m_{b}+m_{c}\right)\left(m_{c}+m_{a}\right)\left(m_{a}+m_{b}\right) \geq(R+2 r)\left(\sum m_{a}^{2}+3 \sum m_{b} m_{c}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 5 and 8 , we only need to prove

$$
\frac{K_{0}}{16 R s^{2}} \geq(R+2 r)\left(3 \sum m_{a}^{2}+\sum h_{a}^{2}\right)
$$

Multiplying both sides by $16 s^{2} R^{2}$ and using $2 R h_{a}=b c$ and the previous identity (2.33), one can see that the inequality is equivalent to

$$
D_{0} \equiv R K_{0}-4(R+2 r) s^{2}\left(9 R^{2} \sum a^{2}+\sum b^{2} c^{2}\right) \geq 0
$$

Using the expression of $K_{0}$ and simplifying gives

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{0} \equiv & 8(R-r) s^{6}+\left(14 R^{3}-57 R^{2} r+69 R r^{2}-16 r^{3}\right) s^{4} \\
& -(4 R+r)\left(140 R^{3}-91 R^{2} r+32 R r^{2}+8 r^{3}\right) r s^{2} \\
& +3(4 R+r)^{4} R r^{2} \geq 0, \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

which needs to be proved.
We set $v_{0}=s^{2}-4 R^{2}+R r-13 r^{2}$. Inequality (2.37) shows that for acute triangle $v_{0} \geq 0$ holds. We can rewrite $D_{0}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{0} \equiv & 8(R-r) v_{0}^{3}+\left(110 R^{3}-177 R^{2} r+405 R r^{2}-328 r^{3}\right) v_{0}^{2} \\
& +\left(496 R^{5}-1620 R^{4} r+3966 R^{3} r^{2}-4929 R^{2} r^{3}+6442 R r^{4}\right. \\
& \left.-4480 r^{5}\right) v_{0}+(R-2 r)\left(736 R^{6}-2688 R^{5} r+5350 R^{4} r^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-10933 R^{3} r^{3}+11376 R^{2} r^{4}-11348 R r^{5}+10192 r^{6}\right) \geq 0 . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

By Euler's inequality, one sees that $110 R^{3}-177 R^{2} r+405 R r^{2}-328 r^{3}>0$. Thus, to prove $D_{0} \geq 0$ we only need to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{1} \equiv & \left(496 R^{5}-1620 R^{4} r+3966 R^{3} r^{2}-4929 R^{2} r^{3}+6442 R r^{4}-4480 r^{5}\right) v_{0} \\
& +(R-2 r)\left(736 R^{6}-2688 R^{5} r+5350 R^{4} r^{2}-10933 R^{3} r^{3}+11376 R^{2} r^{4}\right. \\
& \left.-11348 R r^{5}+10192 r^{6}\right) \geq 0 . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

We shall consider the following two cases to finish the proof of inequality (3.4).
Case $1 R$ and $r$ satisfy $5 R-12 r>0$.
We set $e=R-2 r$, then $e \geq 0$ and it is easy to obtain

$$
\left(496 R^{5}-1620 R^{4} r+3966 R^{3} r^{2}-4929 R^{2} r^{3}+6442 R r^{4}-4480 r^{5}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=496 e^{5}+3340 e^{4} r+10846 e^{3} r^{2}+19667 e^{2} r^{3}+22158 e r^{4}+10368 r^{5}>0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $v_{0} \geq 0$ and $R \geq 2 r$, to prove (3.4) it remains to prove the following strict inequality:

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{1} \equiv 736 R^{6}-2688 R^{5} r+5350 R^{4} r^{2}-10933 R^{3} r^{3}+11376 R^{2} r^{4} \\
& -11348 R r^{5}+10192 r^{6}>0 . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

But, it is easy to check that

$$
\begin{align*}
3125 y_{1}= & (5 R-12 r)(R-2 r)\left(460000 R^{4}+344000 R^{3} r+2649350 R^{2} r^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+3172815 R r^{3}+8353506 r^{4}\right)+32(2255221 R-5269817 r) r^{5} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

By the assumption that $5 R-12 r>0$, it is easy to know $2255221 R-5269817 r>0$. Thus, from the above identity we deduce $y_{1}>0$. This completes the proof of (3.4) in Case 1.

Case $2 R$ and $r$ satisfy $5 R-12 r \leq 0$.
By the previous inequalities (2.43) and (3.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{1} \geq & \frac{(R-2 r)\left(2 r^{2}+9 R r-4 R^{2}\right)}{R}\left(496 R^{5}-1620 R^{4} r+3966 R^{3} r^{2}\right. \\
& -4929 R^{2} r^{3}+6442 R r^{4}-4480 r^{5}+(R-2 r)\left(736 R^{6}-2688 R^{5} r\right. \\
& \left.+5350 R^{4} r^{2}-10933 R^{3} r^{3}+11376 R^{2} r^{4}-11348 R r^{5}+10192 r^{6}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Simplifying gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1} \geq \frac{R-2 r}{R} y_{2} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{2}= & -1248 R^{7}+8256 R^{6} r-24102 R^{5} r^{2}+41237 R^{4} r^{3}-50821 R^{3} r^{4} \\
& +54692 R^{2} r^{5}-17244 R r^{6}-8960 r^{7} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $e=R-2 r$, then it is easy to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
78152 y_{2}=5(12 r-5 R) e y_{3}+368812232(12 r-5 R) r^{6}+1287375536 r^{7} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{3}= & 3900000 e^{5}+30360000 e^{4} r+105462750 e^{3} r^{2}+210506975 e^{2} r^{3} \\
& +280843415 e r^{4}+255843616 r^{5} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $e \geq 0$ and the assumption $12 r-5 R>0$ we deduce $y_{2}>0$ from (3.9). Thus, it follows from (3.8) that $D_{1} \geq 0$. Inequality (3.4) is proved.

Combining the arguments of the above two cases, we conclude that inequality (3.4) is valid for all acute triangles. And we completes the proof of inequality (1.5). It is easily shown that
equality in (1.5) holds only when triangle $A B C$ is an equilateral triangle. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

### 3.2. Proof of Theorem 2.

Proof. To prove inequality (1.6), note first that it is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(m_{b}+m_{c}\right)^{2}\left(m_{c}+m_{a}\right)^{2}\left(m_{a}+m_{b}\right)^{2} \geq 6 \operatorname{Rr} \sum\left(m_{c}+m_{a}\right)^{2}\left(m_{a}+m_{b}\right)^{2} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the previous identity (2.33), the following known identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum m_{a}^{4}=\frac{9}{16} \sum a^{4} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

inequality (2.26) and identity (2.34), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum\left(m_{c}+m_{a}\right)^{2}\left(m_{a}+m_{b}\right)^{2} \\
& =\sum m_{a}^{4}+2 \sum m_{b} m_{c} \sum m_{a}^{2}+3\left(\sum m_{b} m_{c}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{9}{16} \sum a^{4}+\frac{3}{2} \sum a^{2} \sum m_{b} m_{c}+3\left(\sum m_{b} m_{c}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{9}{16} \sum a^{4}+\frac{K_{3}}{8 R^{2}} \sum a^{2}+\frac{K_{3}^{2}}{48 R^{4}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, with the help of Maple using identities (2.4), (2.6) and the expression of $K_{3}$, we immediately obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum\left(m_{c}+m_{a}\right)^{2}\left(m_{a}+m_{b}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{K_{4}}{48 R^{4}}, \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{4}= & s^{8}+\left(36 R^{2}-16 R r+4 r^{2}\right) s^{6}+\left(342 R^{4}-432 R^{3} r+132 R^{2} r^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-16 R r^{3}+6 r^{4}\right) s^{4}-4\left(684 R^{5}-207 R^{4} r-8 R^{3} r^{2}+25 R^{2} r^{3}\right. \\
& \left.-4 R r^{4}-r^{5}\right) r s^{2}+\left(342 R^{4}-144 R^{3} r-20 R^{2} r^{2}+8 R r^{3}\right. \\
& \left.+r^{4}\right)(4 R+r)^{2} r^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 5 and inequality (3.10), to prove inequality (3.8) we only need to show

$$
\left(\frac{K_{0}}{32 R s^{2}}\right)^{2} \geq 6 R r \cdot \frac{K_{4}}{48 R^{4}}
$$

that is

$$
E_{0} \equiv R K_{0}^{2}-128 r s^{4} K_{4} \geq 0
$$

With the help of Maple, it is easy to know that the above inequality is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{0} \equiv & (144 R-128 r) s^{12}+\left(2064 R^{3}-3288 R^{2} r+2360 R r^{2}-512 r^{3}\right) s^{10} \\
& +\left(7396 R^{5}-54668 R^{4} r+51917 R^{3} r^{2}-15970 R^{2} r^{3}+2313 R r^{4}\right. \\
& \left.-768 r^{5}\right) s^{8}-2\left(72928 R^{6}-106720 R^{5} r+76406 R^{4} r^{2}+4083 R^{3} r^{3}\right. \\
& \left.-6923 R^{2} r^{4}+936 R r^{5}+256 r^{6}\right) r s^{6}+\left(53200 R^{5}-18680 R^{4} r\right. \\
& \left.+22509 R^{3} r^{2}+3218 R^{2} r^{3}-930 R r^{4}-128 r^{5}\right)(4 R+r)^{2} r^{2} s^{4} \\
& -6(53 R-4 r)(4 R+r)^{6} R r^{3} s^{2}+9(4 R+r)^{8} R r^{4} \geq 0 . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that for any triangle the following inequality holds (see [10]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
(4 R+r)^{2} \geq 3 s^{2} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

we only need to show

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{1} \equiv & (144 R-128 r) s^{10}+\left(2064 R^{3}-3288 R^{2} r+2360 R r^{2}-512 r^{3}\right) s^{8} \\
& +\left(7396 R^{5}-54668 R^{4} r+51917 R^{3} r^{2}-15970 R^{2} r^{3}+2313 R r^{4}\right. \\
& \left.-768 r^{5}\right) s^{6}-2\left(72928 R^{6}-106720 R^{5} r+76406 R^{4} r^{2}+4083 R^{3} r^{3}\right. \\
& \left.-6923 R^{2} r^{4}+936 R r^{5}+256 r^{6}\right) r s^{4}+\left(53200 R^{5}-18680 R^{4} r\right. \\
& \left.+22509 R^{3} r^{2}+3218 R^{2} r^{3}-930 R r^{4}-128 r^{5}\right)(4 R+r)^{2} r^{2} s^{2} \\
& -6(53 R-4 r)(4 R+r)^{6} R r^{3}+27(4 R+r)^{6} R r^{4} \geq 0, \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

According to the previous inequality $v_{0} \equiv s^{2}-\left(4 R^{2}-R r+13 r^{2}\right) \geq 0$, one can write the above inequality in the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1} \equiv n_{5} v_{0}^{5}+n_{4} v_{0}^{4}+n_{3} v_{0}^{3}+n_{2} v_{0}^{2}+n_{1} v_{0}+n_{0} \geq 0 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
n_{5}= & 144 R-128 r, \\
n_{4}= & 4944 R^{3}-6568 R^{2} r+12360 R r^{2}-8832 r^{3}, \\
n_{3}= & 63460 R^{5}-147532 R^{4} r+371597 R^{3} r^{2}-376418 R^{2} r^{3} \\
& +403721 R r^{4}-243712 r^{5}, \\
n_{2}= & 379056 R^{7}-1389820 R^{6} r+3950876 R^{5} r^{2}-6452911 R^{4} r^{3} \\
& +9586583 R^{3} r^{4}-8025731 R^{2} r^{5}+6376191 R r^{6}-3361792 r^{7}, \\
n_{1}= & 1067712 R^{9}-5554592 R^{8} r+18099612 R^{7} r^{2}-40657780 R^{6} r^{3} \\
& +71938507 R^{5} r^{4}-92811924 R^{4} r^{5}+104317475 R^{3} r^{6} \\
& -75553590 R^{2} r^{7}+49149249 R r^{8}-23181312 r^{9}, \\
n_{0}= & (R-2 r)\left(1149184 R^{10}-5574592 R^{9} r+18653296 R^{8} r^{2}\right. \\
& -46214692 R^{7} r^{3}+81322316 R^{6} r^{4}-131709673 R^{5} r^{5} \\
& +143156617 R^{4} r^{6}-153888527 R^{3} r^{7}+103416673 R^{2} r^{8} \\
& \left.-58414647 R r^{9}+31962112 r^{10}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $R \geq 2 r$, we see that $n_{5}>0$ and $n_{4}>0$. Let $e=R-2 r$, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
n_{3}= & 63460 e^{5}+487068 e^{4} r+1729741 e^{3} r^{2}+3389196 e^{2} r^{3} \\
& +3712989 e r^{4}+1701042 r^{5}>0 . \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we have $n_{2}>0$. Thus, to prove inequality (3.16) it remains to prove that for the acute triangle $A B C$ holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{1} v_{0}+n_{0} \geq 0 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the proof of inequality (3.4), we shall also consider the following two cases.
Case $1 R$ and $r$ satisfy $5 R-12 r>0$.

Substituting $R=2 r+e(e \geq 0)$ into the expression of $n_{1}$ and expanding, we find that all the terms are non-negative. So, we have $n_{1}>0$. To prove (3.18) it remains to show that $n_{0} \geq 0$. Since $R \geq 2 r$, we only need to prove the following strict inequality:

$$
\begin{align*}
z_{1} \equiv & 1149184 R^{10}-5574592 R^{9} r+18653296 R^{8} r^{2}-46214692 R^{7} r^{3} \\
& +81322316 R^{6} r^{4}-131709673 R^{5} r^{5}+143156617 R^{4} r^{6}-153888527 R^{3} r^{7} \\
& +103416673 R^{2} r^{8}-58414647 R r^{9}+31962112 r^{10}>0 . \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

However, it is easy to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
9765625 z_{1}= & 5(R-2 r)(5 R-12 r) z_{2}+2432992422589223(5 R-12 r) r^{9} \\
& +573527481897196 r^{10} \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{2}= & 448900000000 R^{8}-202415000000 R^{7} r+4241097750000 R^{6} r^{2} \\
& +1579808037500 R^{5} r^{3}+18360415852500 R^{4} r^{4}+21753660155375 R^{3} r^{5} \\
& +63506662107275 R^{2} r^{6}+114899038666835 R r^{7}+241120929909779 r^{8} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Euler's inequality we have

$$
448900000000 R-202415000000 r>0
$$

so that $z_{2}>0$. Hence, from (3.20) by Euler's inequality and the assumption $5 R-12 r>0$ we deduce $z_{1}>0$. This completes the proof of inequality (3.18) in Case 1.

Case $2 R$ and $r$ satisfy $5 R-12 r \leq 0$.
We have known $n_{1}>0$. Thus, by the previous inequality (2.44), for proving (3.18) we require the following inequality to be proved:

$$
\frac{(R-2 r)\left(2 r^{2}+9 R r-4 R^{2}\right)}{R} n_{1}+n_{0} \geq 0 .
$$

Simplifying gives equivalent inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{R-2 r}{R} z_{3} \geq 0 \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{3}= & -3121664 R^{11}+26253184 R^{10} r-101601056 R^{9} r^{2} \\
& +268203752 R^{8} r^{3}-536152508 R^{7} r^{4}+805669026 R^{6} r^{5} \\
& -965543585 R^{5} r^{6}+901559260 R^{4} r^{7}-564527683 R^{3} r^{8} \\
& +325546662 R^{2} r^{9}-78371198 R r^{10}-46362624 r^{11} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $R \geq 2 r$, it remains to show $z_{3}>0$. But it is easy to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
1953125 z_{3}=-(5 R-12 r)(R-2 r)^{3} z_{4}+z_{5}, \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
e= & R-2 r \\
z_{4}= & 1219400000000 e^{7}+17059410000000 e^{6} r+109676676500000 e^{5} r^{2} \\
& +417167004975000 e^{4} r^{3}+1008575325427500 e^{3} r^{4} \\
& +1527468345014750 e^{2} r^{5}+1236948010271525 e r^{6} \\
& +33836712702360 r^{7} \\
z_{5}= & 45\left(106634517846909 e^{3}+59569264062500 e^{2} r\right. \\
& \left.+15351996093750 e r^{2}+7648551562500 r^{3}\right) r^{8} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $e \geq 0$, one sees that both strict inequalities $z_{4}>0$ and $z_{5}>0$ are valid. Thus, by identity (3.22) and the assumption that $5 R-12 r \leq 0$, we deduce that $z_{3}>0$. Therefore, inequality (3.18) is proved in Case 2.

Combining the arguments of the above two cases, we conclude that inequality (3.18) holds for all acute triangles. And, we finish the proof of inequality (1.6). Moreover, it is easy to determine that equality in (1.6) occurs if and only if triangle $A B C$ is equilateral. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

## 4. Several Conjectures

In this section, we shall propose several conjectures for acute triangles.

Let $k$ be a positive real number. Theorem 1 shows that for the acute triangle the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum \frac{1}{m_{b}+m_{c}} \leq \frac{1}{2 r+k(R-2 r)} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $k=1 / 2$.
The author propose here the following related conjecture:

Conjecture 1. Suppose that inequality (4.1) holds for acute triangle $A B C$, then the maximum $k_{\text {max }}$ of $k$ is given by

$$
k_{\max }=\frac{23 \sqrt{10}-42}{41}+\frac{92 \sqrt{5}-166 \sqrt{2}}{123} \approx 0.5134 \cdots
$$

which arrives only when triangle $A B C$ is right isosceles.

Many years ago, the author found that there exist a large number of acute triangle inequalities in which the equalities hold if and only if the triangle is right isosceles. It seems likely that this kind of inequalities are not easy to prove. Next, we introduce several such conjectured inequalities, which only involves the medians and sides of an acute triangle.

Conjecture 2. If $A B C$ is an acute triangle, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sum m_{a}}{\sum a} \geq \frac{\sqrt{2}+2 \sqrt{5}}{4+2 \sqrt{2}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if triangle $A B C$ is right isosceles.

Conjecture 3. If $A B C$ is an acute triangle, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sum m_{b} m_{c}}{\sum b c} \geq \frac{5+2 \sqrt{10}}{4+8 \sqrt{2}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if triangle $A B C$ is right isosceles.

Conjecture 4. If $A B C$ is an acute triangle, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum a^{2} m_{a} \geq \frac{2+\sqrt{10}}{2} a b c \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if triangle $A B C$ is right isosceles.

Conjecture 5. If $A B C$ is an acute triangle, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum m_{a}^{3} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}+5 \sqrt{5}}{8+8 \sqrt{2}} \sum a^{3} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if triangle $A B C$ is right isosceles.
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