

Available online at http://scik.org Commun. Math. Biol. Neurosci. 2021, 2021:10 https://doi.org/10.28919/cmbn/5282 ISSN: 2052-2541

LOCAL LINEAR NEGATIVE BINOMIAL NONPARAMETRIC REGRESSION FOR PREDICTING THE NUMBER OF SPEED VIOLATIONS ON TOLL ROAD: A THEORETICAL DISCUSSION

NUR CHAMIDAH^{1,2,*}, ARI WIDYANTI³, FITRI TRAPSILAWATI⁴, UTAMI DYAH SYAFITRI⁵

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Airlangga University, Surabaya 60115, Indonesia
 ²Research Group of Statistical Modeling in Life Science, Airlangga University, Surabaya 60115, Indonesia
 ³Department of Industrial Engineering, Labtek 3, Bandung Institute of Technology, Bandung 40132, Indonesia
 ⁴Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Gadjah Mada University,

Yogyakarta 55281, Indonesia

⁵Department of Statistics, Fac. Math. and Natural Sci., Bogor Agricultural Institute, Bogor 16680, Indonesia Copyright © 2021 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract: In this paper, we describe a theoretical discussion about local linear negative binomial regression for predicting the number of speed violations on toll road. Data on the number of speed violations on toll roads is a count data. Count data is a non-negative integer data generated from continuous calculation process. We usually use Poisson regression to analyze count data of a response variable. But, one of infractions on Poisson regression assumption is over-dispersion. To overcome that over-dispersion we should use negative binomial nonparametric regression model approach. The negative binomial nonparametric regression model is a development of the negative binomial parametric regression model. In this research, we theoretically discuss estimation of negative binomial

^{*}Corresponding author

E-mail address: nur-c@fst.unair.ac.id

Received November 29, 2020

nonparametric regression model based on local linear estimator which is applied to data of the number of speed violations on toll roads. The estimation results of the negative binomial nonparametric regression model that we have obtained then can be used to predict the number of speed violations on toll roads so that the Ministry of Transportation together with the police can use it to take preventive measures.

Keywords: local linear estimator; negative binomial regression; the number of speed violations; nonparametric regression.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 62G05, 62G08, 62P99, 65D15.

1. INTRODUCTION

Regression analysis is a method to determine the functional relationship between predictor variables and response variables. One of regression models is Poisson regression. Poisson regression model is the popular regression model on the count response variable [1]. One of the common departures from Poisson regression is that the failure of mean equal variance restriction (equi-dispersion cases), over-dispersion are mostly appeared. Negative binomial regression can be used to handle that over-dispersion in the Poisson regression model [2].

The researches about handling over-dispersion cases in the Poisson regression have often been done by some researchers. Generalized Poison has been used for modeling the number of traffic accidents by [3]. According to Ismail and Jemain [4], generalized Poisson and negative binomial regression can handle over-dispersion in Poisson regression. Development of negative binomial regression model for handling over-dispersion cases has been done by [5] and [6]. The other research was conducted by [7] that discussed the difference between negative binomial regression and Poisson regression in analyzing AIDS death rate, and concluded that negative binomial regression approach is better than Poisson to handle the over-dispersion.

In parametric regression modeling we assume that the regression curve tends to form a certain pattern, such as linear, quadratic, etc. But in the fact, there are many cases where the regression curve does not always form a certain pattern. In this case, nonparametric regression

modeling is more suitable to be used [8]. The nonparametric regression approach becomes an alternative analysis tool in the uncertain pattern because nonparametric regression has high flexibility that is by no assuming the form of regression curve but estimating regression function just based on behavior of data itself. There are some estimators used in the nonparametric regression approach for example local polynomial estimator ([9], [10]), local linear estimator ([11]–[14]), spline and kernel estimator ([15]–[29]).

Although there have been studies about negative binomial regression and nonparametric regression models, for either count data and other data types, but those studies was still limited to the one independent variable or one predictor variable. Therefore, in this research we develop those models to a negative binomial nonparametric regression model with more than one predictor variables which is applied to the count data namely data of the number of speed violations on toll roads. Hence, in this research we discuss theoretically how we estimate the model by using local linear estimator. The local linear estimator was chosen because it has the advantage on estimating the function at each point so that the approximate revenue obtained is closer to the actual observation data pattern such that the estimated model we have obtained then can be used for predicting the number of speed violations on toll roads..

2. PRELIMINARIES

A model that has very similar properties to the Poisson model is Poisson-Gamma model in which the dependent variable y_i is modeled as a Poisson variable with a mean λ_i where the model error is assumed to follow a Gamma distribution. As it names implies, the Poisson-Gamma is a mixture of two distributions and was first derived by [30]. This mixture distribution was developed to account for over-dispersion that is commonly observed in discrete or count data [31]. It became very popular because the conjugate distribution (same family of functions) has a closed form and leads to the negative binomial (NB) distribution.

As discussed by [32] that the name of this distribution comes from applying the binomial

theorem with a negative exponent. There are two major parameterizations that have been proposed and they are known as the NB1 and NB2, the latter one being the most commonly known and utilized. NB2 is therefore described first in this sub-section. Suppose that we have a series of random counts that follows the Poisson distribution:

(1)
$$f(y_i; \lambda_i) = \frac{e^{-\lambda_i \lambda_i}}{y_i!}$$

where y_i is the observed number of counts for i = 1, 2, ..., n; and λ_i is the mean of the Poisson distribution. If the Poisson mean is assumed to have a random intercept term and this term enters the conditional mean function in a multiplicative manner, we get the following relationship [2]:

(2)
$$\lambda_i = e^{(\beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^K x_{ij\beta_j}^T)} e^{\varepsilon_i} = \mu_i \nu_i$$

where $\exp(\beta_0 + \varepsilon_i)$ is defined as a random intercept; $\mu_i = \exp(\beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^K x_{ij\beta_j}^T)$ is the log-link between the Poisson mean and the covariates or independent variables *xs* and β s are the regression coefficients. The relationship can also be formulated using vectors, such that $\mu_i = \exp(\mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta})$. The probability density function (PDF) of the NB2 model is therefore [33]:

(3)
$$f(y_i, \mu_i, \varphi) = \frac{\Gamma(y_i + \varphi)}{\Gamma(y_i + 1)\Gamma(\varphi)} (\frac{\varphi}{\mu_i + \varphi})^{\varphi} (\frac{\mu_i}{\mu_i + \varphi})^{y_i}$$

The first two moments of the NB2 are the following:

(4)
$$E(y_i, \mu_i, \varphi) = \mu_i$$

(5)
$$Var(y_i, \mu_i, \varphi) = \mu_i + \frac{\mu_i^2}{\varphi}$$

Furthermore, the NB1 is very similar to the NB2, but the parameterization of the variance (the second moment) is slightly different than in equation (5). The first two moments of the NB1 are the following:

(6)
$$E(y_i, \mu_i, \varphi) = \mu_i$$

(7)
$$Var(y_i, \mu_i, \varphi) = \mu_i + \frac{\mu_i}{\varphi}$$

The coefficients of the Negative Binomial (NB) regression model are estimated by taking the first-order conditions and making them equal to zero.

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section we describe theoretically the estimation of negative binomial nonparametric regression model using local linear estimator if it is applied to data of the number of speed violations on toll roads.

Firstly, given paired data (\mathbf{x}_i, y_i) where i = 1, 2, ..., n and n is the number of observations, $\mathbf{x}_i = (x_{i1} \ x_{i2} \ ... \ x_{ip})$ are predictor variables that are factors causing speed violations on toll roads, and p is the number of predictor variables, y_i is response variable that is a discrete type random variable assumed to has a negative binomial distribution representing the number of speed violations on toll roads. To estimate model of the number of speed violations on toll roads affected by factors causing speed violations on toll roads, we use maximum local likelihood maximization method for estimating the model parameters because the distribution of response variable has been known, namely negative binomial distribution, and the regression function of the model is estimated by using local linear estimator of the nonparametric regression. Then, we use Newton Raphson method, to obtain the estimated model of the number of speed violations on toll roads. Finally, the estimated model that we has obtained can be used for estimating the number of speed violations on toll roads.

Next, suppose that **x** and **y** were a pair of data (\mathbf{x}_i, y_i) , i = 1, 2, ..., n and $\mathbf{x}_i = (x_{i1} \ x_{i2} \ ... \ x_{ip})$, y_i is a discrete type random variable assumed to have a negative binomial distribution as follows:

(8)
$$f(\mathbf{y}_i | \mathbf{x}_i) = \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\mathbf{y}_i + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{y_i ! \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}\right) \left(\frac{1}{1 + \alpha \, \boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_i)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left(\frac{\alpha \, \boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_i)}{1 + \alpha \, \boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_i)}\right)^{y_i}$$

where $\mu(x_i)$ depends on the variable through a function in the following equation:

(9)
$$\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_i) = E(y_i | \mathbf{x}_i) = \exp(\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}_i)) = \mathbf{y}$$

Next, by substituting equation (9) into equation (8) we have the following equation:

(10)
$$f\left(y_{i} \mid \mathbf{x}_{i}\right) = \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(y_{i} + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{y_{i} ! \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}\right) \left(\frac{1}{1 + \alpha \exp(\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}_{i}))}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left(\frac{\alpha \exp(\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}_{i}))}{1 + \alpha \exp(\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}_{i}))}\right)^{y_{i}}$$

where $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}_i)$ is an unknown function or is not bound to the assumption of a particular function. The function is approached by nonparametric regression based on a linear local estimator that is defined as:

(11)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{p} m(x_{ij}) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left\{ \beta_{0j}(x_{0j}) + \beta_{1j}(x_{0j})(x_{ij} - x_{0j}) \right\}, x_{ij} \in \left(x_{0j} - h, x_{0j} + h \right)$$

Hence, we can write equation (11) in matrix notation as follows:

(12)
$$\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}_i) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$$

Furthermore, by substituting equation (12) into equation (10) we have the following equation:

(13)
$$f(y_i | \mathbf{X}) = \frac{\Gamma\left(y_i + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{y_i ! \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \alpha \exp(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left(\frac{\alpha \exp(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \alpha \exp(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})}\right)^{y_i}$$

Then, for estimating parameter β in equation (13) we use the maximum local likelihood maximization method. For that purpose and based on equation (13) we have the weighted local likelihood function with the multi-predictor kernel function as follows:

(14)
$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \alpha, x_{0j}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{p} K_{hj}(x_{ij} - x_{0j}) \left\{ \ln \Gamma\left(y_{i} + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) - \ln y_{i}! - \ln \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \ln\left(1 + \alpha \exp(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right) + y_{i} \ln \alpha + y_{i}\left(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\right) - y_{i} \ln\left(1 + \alpha \exp(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right) \right\}.$$

Next, we take derivation of local likelihood function given in (14) with respect to parameter vector $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and parameter $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ as follows:

(15)
$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \alpha, x_{0j})}{d\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{p} K_{hj} \left(x_{ij} - x_{0j} \right) \left(\frac{-\exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})(\mathbf{x}_{i}) + y_{i}(\mathbf{x}_{i})}{1 + \alpha \exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \right) \right\} \\ \frac{\partial \ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \alpha, x_{oj})}{\partial \alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{p} K_{h} \left(x_{ij} - x_{0j} \right) \left\{ \psi \left(y_{i} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) - \psi \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha^{2}} \left(\frac{\alpha \left\{ y_{i} - (\exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})) \right\}}{(1 + \alpha \exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta}))} + \ln(1 + \alpha \exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})) \right) \right\} \end{cases}$$

The maximum value of the local likelihood function in equation (14) will be reached when equations in (15) are equal to 0, but because the two equations cannot be solved directly, an approach method is needed to get the solution. One of the numerical methods that can be used is the Newton Raphson method. In the Newton Raphson method, several components are needed, namely the gradient vector and the Hessian. The element of the gradient vector is the first derivative of the likelihood function on the parameter $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and dispersion parameter $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ which has been described by equations in (15), while the Hessian matrix is the second derivative of the local likelihood function on the parameter $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and parameter $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$. The second derivative of equation in (15) with respect to $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ can be determined as follows:

$$(16) \qquad \frac{\partial^{2}\ell\left(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{x}_{0j}\right)}{\partial\boldsymbol{\beta}^{2}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{p} K_{ij}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{ij}-\boldsymbol{x}_{0j}\right) \left(-\frac{\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right).\exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta}\right).\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right).\left(1+\alpha\exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right)^{2}}{\left(1+\alpha\exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right)^{2}} + \frac{-y_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right).\alpha\exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta}).\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)}{\left(1+\alpha\exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right)^{2}} + \frac{-y_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right).\alpha\exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta}).\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)}{\left(1+\alpha\exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right)^{2}} \\ = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{p} K_{ij}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{ij}-\boldsymbol{x}_{0j}\right) \left(-\frac{\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right).\exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta}).\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)+\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right).\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right).\alpha\exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right)^{2}}{\left(1+\alpha\exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right)^{2}} + \frac{-y_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right).\alpha\exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right)^{2}}{\left(1+\alpha\exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right)^{2}} \\ = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{p} K_{ij}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{ij}-\boldsymbol{x}_{0j}\right) \left(-\frac{\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right).\exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta}).\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)-y_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right).\alpha\exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta}).\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)}{\left(1+\alpha\exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right)^{2}}\right) \\ = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{p} K_{ij}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{ij}-\boldsymbol{x}_{0j}\right) \left(-\frac{\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right).\exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta}).\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)-y_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right).\alpha\exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta}).\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)}{\left(1+\alpha\exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right)^{2}}\right)$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\prod_{j=1}^{p}K_{hj}\left(x_{ij}-x_{0j}\right)\left(\frac{-(\mathbf{x}_{i}).\exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta}).(\mathbf{x}_{i})(y_{i}\alpha-1)}{\left(1+\alpha\exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right)^{2}}\right)$$

while the second derivative with respect to α can be determined as follows:

(17)
$$\frac{\partial^{2}\ell(\boldsymbol{\beta},\alpha,x_{oj})}{\partial\alpha^{2}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{p} K_{h}(x_{ij} - x_{0j}) \left\{ \psi'\left(y_{i} + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) - \psi'\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) + \frac{-\left(y_{i} - \exp(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right)\left(1 + \alpha\exp(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right) - \alpha y_{i}\exp(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta}) + \alpha\left(\exp(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right)^{2}}{\alpha^{2}\left(1 + \alpha\exp(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right)^{2}} + \frac{\left(\exp(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right)\alpha}{1 + \alpha\exp(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})\alpha^{3}} - \frac{2\ln\left(1 + \alpha\exp(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right)}{\alpha^{3}}\right\}$$

Note that in order to maximize the likelihood function in (14), the hessian matrix must be negative definite. Matrix A is a negative definite matrix if and only if the quadratic form $\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$ is negative for each vector $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Theoretically, based on equations given in (16) and (17) and by using Newton Raphson method, we can obtained the numerical estimated model of the number of speed violations on toll roads affected by factors causing speed violations on toll roads which is then can be used for predicting the number of speed violations on toll roads.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Authors wish to thank to Rector of Airlangga University for funding this research through the Indonesian Collaboration Research – World Class University (Riset Kolaborasi Indonesia/RKI – WCU) Grant in the fiscal year 2020 with a Contract No. 253/UN3.14/PT/2020.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. McCullact, A. Nelder, Generalized Linear Models, 2nd Edition, Chapman & Hall, London, 1989.
- [2] A.C. Cameron, P. K. Trivedi, Regression Analysis of Count Data, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [3] F. Famoye, J. T. Wulu, K. P. Singh, On the Generalized Poisson Regression Model with an Application to Accident Data, J. Data Sci. 2(3) (2004), 287–295.
- [4] N. Ismail, A. A. Jemain, Handling overdispersion with negative binomial and generalized Poisson regression models. Casualty Actuarial Society Forum, pp. 103–158, Winter, (2007).
- [5] W. Greene, Functional Forms for the Negative Binomial Model for Count Data, Econ. Lett. 99(3) (2008), 585–590.
- [6] K. Manson, On Ridge Estimators for the Negative Binomial Regression Model, Econ. Model. 29(2) (2012), 178– 184.
- [7] M. Asrul, N. N. Naing, Comparison between Negative Binomial and Poisson Death Rate Regression Analysis:
 AIDS Mortality Co-Infection Patients, IOSR J. Math. 1(6) (2012), 34–38.
- [8] R. L. Eubank, Spline Smoothing and Nonparametric Regression, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1988.
- [9] N. Chamidah, K. H. Gusti, E. Tjahjono, B. Lestari, Improving of Classification Accuracy of Cyst and Tumor Using Local Polynomial Estimator, Telkomnika, 17(3) (2019), 1492-1500.
- [10] N. Chamidah, B. Lestari, Estimation of covariance matrix using multi-response local polynomial estimator for designing children growth charts: A theoretically discussion, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1397 (2019), 012072.
- [11] N. Chamidah, E. Tjahjono, A. R. Fadilah, B. Lestari, Standard Growth Charts for Weight of Children in East Java Using Local Linear Estimator, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1097 (2018), 012092.
- [12] N. Chamidah, Y.S. Yonani, E. Ana, B. Lestari, Identification the Number of Mycobacterium Tubercolusis Based on Sputum Image Using Local Linear Estimator, Bull. Electric. Eng. Inform. 9(5) (2020), 2109–2116.
- [13] N. Chamidah, B. Zaman, L. Muniroh, B. Lestari, Designing Local Standard Growth Charts of Children in East Java Province Using a Local Linear Estimator, Int. J. Innov. Creat. Change. 13(1) (2020), 45–67.
- [14] E. Ana, N. Chamidah, P. Andriani, B. Lestari, Modeling of Hypertension Risk Factors Using Local Linear of Additive Nonparametric Logistic Regression, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1397 (2019), 012067.

- [15] N. Chamidah, B. Lestari, Spline estimator in homoscedastic multi-response nonparametric regression model in case of unbalanced number of observations, Far East J. Math. Sci. 100 (9) (2016), 1433 –1453.
- [16] B. Lestari, Fatmawati, I. N. Budiantara, N. Chamidah, Estimation of Regression Function in Multi-response Nonparametric Regression Model Using Smoothing Spline and Kernel Estimators, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1097 (2018), 012091.
- [17] T. Adiwati, N. Chamidah, Modelling of Hypertension Risk Factors Using Penalized Spline to Prevent Hypertension in Indonesia, IOP Conf. Ser.: Mat. Sci. Eng. 546 (2019), 052003.
- [18] W. Ramadan, N. Chamidah, B. Zaman, L. Muniroh, B. Lestari, Standard Growth Chart of Weight for Height to Determine Wasting Nutritional Status in East Java Based on Semiparametric Least Square Spline Estimator, IOP Conf. Ser.: Mat. Sci. Eng. 546 (2019), 052063.
- [19] N. Murbarani, Y. Swastika, A. Dwi, B. Aris, N. Chamidah, Modeling of The Percentage of Aids Sufferers In East Java Province Using nonparametric Regression Approach Based On Truncated Spline Estimator, Indones. J. Stat. Appl. 3 (2) (2019), 139–147.
- [20] N. Chamidah, B. Lestari, T. Saifudin, Modeling of Blood Pressures Based on Stress Score Using Least Square Spline Estimator in Bi-Response Nonparametric Regression, Int. J. Innov. Creat. Change. 5 (3) (2019), 1200– 1216.
- [21] B. Lestari, Fatmawati, I. N. Budiantara, Smoothing Spline Estimator in Multiresponse Nonparametric Regression for Predicting Blood Pressures and Heart Rate, Int. J. Acad. Appl. Res. 3 (9) (2019), 1 – 8.
- [22] N. Chamidah, B. Lestari, T. Saifudin, Predicting Blood Pressures and Heart Rate Associated with Stress Level Using Spline Estimator: A Theoretically Discussion, Int. J. Acad. Appl. Res. 3 (10) (2019), 5 – 12.
- [23] B. Lestari, Fatmawati, I. N. Budiantara, Estimation of Multiresponse Nonparametric Regression Model Using Smoothing Spline Estimator: A Simulation Study, Int. J. Acad. Appl. Res. 3 (10) (2019), 1 – 4.
- [24] B. Lestari, N. Chamidah, T. Saifudin, Estimasi Fungsi Regresi dalam Model Regresi Nonparametrik Birespon Menggunakan Estimator Smoothing Spline dan Estimator Kernel, J. Mat. Stat. Komputasi, 15(2) (2019), 20-24.
- [25] Fatmawati, I. N. Budiantara, B. Lestari, Comparison of Smoothing and Truncated Spline Estimators in Estimating Blood Pressure Models, Int. J. Innov. Creat. Change, 5(3) (2019), 1177–1199.

- [26] B. Lestari, Fatmawati, I. N. Budiantara, N. Chamidah, Smoothing Parameter Selection Method for Multiresponse Nonparametric Regression Model Using Smoothing Spline and Kernel Estimators Approaches, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1397(2019), 012064.
- [27] B. Lestari, Fatmawati, I. N. Budiantara, Spline Estimator and Its Asymptotic Properties in Multiresponse Nonparametric Regression Model, Songklanakarin J. Sci. Tech. 42(3) (2020), 533–548.
- [28] N. Chamidah, B. Lestari, A. Massaid, T. Saifudin, Estimating Mean Arterial Pressure Affected by Stress Scores Using Spline Nonparametric Regression Model Approach, Commun. Math. Biol. Neurosci., 2020 (2020), 72.
- [29] B. Lestari, N. Chamidah, Estimating Regression Function of Multi-response Semiparametric Regression Model Using Smoothing Spline, J. Southwest Jiaotong Univ. 55(5) (2020), 1–9.
- [30] M. Greenwood, G.U. Yule, An Inquiry into the Nature of Frequency Distributions of Multiple Happenings, with Particular Reference to the Occurrence of Multiple Attacks of Disease or Repeated Accidents. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. A, 83 (1920), 255-279.
- [31] D. Lord, S.P. Washington, J.N. Ivan, Poisson, Poisson-Gamma and Zero Inflated Regression Models of Motor Vehicle Crashes: Balancing Statistical Fit and Theory, Accident Anal. Prevent. 37(1) (2005), 35-46.
- [32] J.D. Cook, Notes on the Negative Binomial Distribution, www.johndcook.com/negativebinomial.pdf, (2009), (Accessed on Aug. 15th, 2010).
- [33] D. Lord, B-J. Park, Appendix D : Negative Binomial Regression Models and Estimation Methods, https://www.icpsr.umich.edu/CrimeStat/files/CrimeStatAppendix.D.pdf. (2020). (Accessed on Nov. 29th, 2020).