



Available online at <http://scik.org>

Engineering Mathematics Letters, 1 (2012), No. 1, 6-17

ISSN 2049-9337

END EDGE DOMINATION IN SUB DIVISION OF GRAPHS

M.H.MUDDEBIHAL, AND A.R.SEDAMKAR*

Department of Mathematics, Gulbarga University, Gulbarga-585106, Karnataka, India

Abstract: Let $S(G)$ be the subdivision graph of a graph $G = (V, E)$. An edge dominating set D of a subdivision graph $S(G)$ is an end edge dominating set if D contains all end edges of $S(G)$. The end edge domination number $\gamma'_e S G$ of $S(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of an end edge dominating set of $S(G)$. In this paper, some bounds for $\gamma'_e(S(G))$ were obtained and exact values of $\gamma'_e(S(G))$ for some standard graphs were also obtained. Further its relationships with other different domination parameters were obtained. Also we relate split domination and end edge domination numbers in G .

Keywords: Sub division graph; End edge dominating set; End edge domination numbers; Split domination number.

2000 AMS Subject Classification: 05C69; 05C70.

*Corresponding author

E-mail addresses: mhmuddebihal@yahoo.co.in (M.H.Muddebihal), anil.sedamkar@gmail.com (A.R.Sedamkar)

Received December 24, 2011

1. Introduction

In this paper, we follow the notations of [1]. All the graphs considered here are simple, finite, non-trivial, undirected and connected. As usual $p=|V|$ and $q=|E|$ denote the number of vertices and edges of a graph G , respectively.

In general, we use $\langle X \rangle$ to denote the sub graph induced by the set of vertices X and $N(v)$ and $N[v]$ denote the open and closed neighborhoods of a vertex v , respectively.

The notation $\beta_0(G)$ ($\beta_1(G)$) is the minimum number of vertices (edges) in a maximal independent set of vertex (edge) of G . Let $\deg(v)$ is the degree of vertex v and as usual $\delta(G)$ ($\Delta(G)$) is the minimum (maximum) degree. The degree of an edge $e=uv$ of G is defined by $\deg e = \deg u + \deg v - 2$ and $\delta'(G)$ ($\Delta'(G)$) is the minimum (maximum) degree among the edges of G .

A vertex of degree one is called a pendent vertex and its neighbor is called a support vertex. A vertex v of V is called a cut vertex if removing it from G increases the number of components of G .

The subdivision graph $S(G)$ of a graph G is the graph obtained by inserting a vertex of degree two to every edge of G .

A spider is a tree with the property that the removal of all end paths of length two of T results in an isolated vertex, called the head of a spider.

A dominating set $D \subseteq V$ is said to be a split dominating set of G , if the induced sub graph $\langle V - D \rangle$ is disconnected. The minimum cardinality of vertices in such a set is called the split domination number of G and is denoted by $\gamma_s G$. This concept was introduced by Kulli and Janakiram [3].

A 2-packing in a graph G is a set of vertices of D that are pair wise at distance at least 3 apart i.e., D is 2-packing of G if and only if $d(u, v) \geq 3$ for all distinct $u, v \in D$.

A set $S \subseteq E$ in a graph G is an edge dominating set if every edge in $E - S$ is adjacent to at least one edge in S . The minimum cardinality of edges in such a set is called the edge domination number of G and is denoted by $\gamma' G$. Edge domination was introduced by S. Mitchell and S. T. Hedetniemi [4] and is now well studied in graph theory see [2].

An edge dominating set $S \subseteq E$ is said to be an end edge dominating set of G , if S contains all end edges of $E(G)$. The minimum cardinality of edges in such a set is called the end edge domination number of G and is denoted by $\gamma'_e G$. This concept was introduced by Muddebihal and Sedamkar [5].

An edge dominating set D of a sub division graph $S(G)$ is an end edge dominating set if D contains all end edges of $S(G)$. The end edge domination number

$\gamma'_e S G$ of $S(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of an end edge dominating set of $S(G)$. In this paper, some bounds for $\gamma'_e(S(G))$ were obtained and exact values of $\gamma'_e(S(G))$ for some standard graphs were also obtained. Further its relationships with other different domination parameters were obtained. Also we relate split domination and end edge domination numbers in G .

2. Results:

We need the following Theorems to prove our later results.

Theorem A.4 [5]: *For any path P_p with $p \geq 2$ vertices,*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'_e P_p &= p/3 + 1, \text{ if } p \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ &= \lceil p/3 \rceil, \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Corollary A [5]: *For any connected graph G , let $A = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$, $m \geq 1$, be the set of vertices of degree one. If $A \not\subset V(G)$, then $\gamma'_e G = \gamma' G$.*

3. Main Results:

We list out end edge domination number for subdivision of some standard graphs.

Theorem 3.1:

$$\begin{aligned}
 1) \quad \gamma'_e(S(C_p)) = \gamma'_e(C_{2p}) &= \begin{cases} \frac{2p}{3}, & \text{if } p \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ \left\lceil \frac{2p}{3} \right\rceil, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 2) \quad \gamma'_e(S(P_p)) = \gamma'_e(P_{2p-1}) &= \begin{cases} \frac{2p}{3}, & \text{if } p \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ \left\lceil \frac{2p}{3} \right\rceil, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 3) \quad \gamma'_e(S(K_p)) &= p-1 \\
 4) \quad \gamma'_e(S(K_{1,p})) &= p-1, \text{ for } p \geq 2
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.2: *Subdivision of star $K_{1,p}, S(K_{1,p}), p \geq 3$ is always a spider.*

We give the following Lemma to prove our next result.

Lemma 3.3: *For any tree $T, \beta_1(S(T)) = q$.*

Proof: To prove this result we use induction on q . Let $T = e, S(T) = 2e, \beta_1(S(T)) = 1 = q$.

Assume the result is true for any tree with q edges. Let T be a tree with $q+1$ edges and

e' be an end edge of T . Then by induction hypothesis, $\beta_1(S(T - \{e'\})) = q-1$, further

$$\beta_1(S(T)) = \beta_1(S(T - \{e'\})) + 1 \text{ and hence } \beta_1(S(T)) = q.$$

In the following theorem, we obtain an upper bound for $\gamma'_e(S(G))$ in terms of number of edges of G .

Theorem 3.4: *For any connected (p, q) - graph G with $p > 2, \gamma'_e(S(G)) \leq q$.*

Proof: For $p = 2, \gamma'_e(S(G)) \not\leq q$. Let T be a spanning tree of G . Then by Lemma 1, $\beta_1(S(T)) = q$ and any collection of q - independent edges of $S(T)$ is an end edge dominating set of $S(G)$. Hence $\gamma'_e(S(G)) \leq q$.

Now we obtain one more upper bound for $\gamma'_e(S(T))$ in terms of number of vertices of T .

Theorem 3.5: *For any tree T with $p \geq 3, \gamma'_e(S(T)) \leq p - 1$. Equality holds if and only if T is isomorphic to sub division of a spider or wounded spider or P_4 or P_5 .*

Proof: Let $F = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$ be the set of all end edges in $S(T)$. Suppose $F' = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ denote the set of edges which are adjacent to the edges of F and $E(S(T)) - F' = I$. Then $H \subseteq I$ is a minimal edge dominating set of I . Clearly, $F \cup H$ is an edge dominating set of $S(T)$ and $|F \cup H| \leq q$. Also by Theorem 2, $\gamma'_e(S(T)) \leq p - 1$.

Suppose T is not a spider or wounded spider or P_4 or P_5 . since $F \cup H$ is a γ'_e - set of $S(T)$, there exist at least one non end edge $e_k \in N(E - F \cup H)$ whose at most one end is adjacent to an edge of $F \cup H$. Clearly $|F \cup H| < q$, a contradiction.

Conversely, if T is isomorphic to a spider or wounded spider or P_4 or P_5 . Then by Lemma 1, $|F \cup H| = q$ and hence $\gamma'_e(S(T)) = p - 1$.

The following theorem relates $\gamma'_e(T)$ and $\gamma'_e(S(T))$ in terms of vertices of T .

Theorem 3.6: For any tree T , $\gamma'_e(T) + \gamma'_e(S(T)) \geq p + 1$. Equality holds if T is isomorphic to path P_p .

Proof: Let S be the γ'_e -set of T . After the sub division of T , let $S' = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_i\}$ denote the end edge dominating set of $S(T)$. Since, there exists at least two end edges common to both T and $S(T)$, also by the Lemma 1, $|S| \cup |S'| \geq q + 2$. Hence $\gamma'_e(T) + \gamma'_e(S(T)) \geq p + 1$.

Suppose T is isomorphic to path, then by Theorem [A.4], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'_e(P_p) &= \frac{p}{3} + 1, \text{ if } p \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ &= \left\lceil \frac{p}{3} \right\rceil, \text{ otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

and by 2 of Theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'_e(S(P_p)) &= \frac{2p}{3}, \text{ if } p \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ &= \left\lceil \frac{2p}{3} \right\rceil, \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

By adding these two, the equality holds.

In the following Theorem, we provide characterization of $\gamma'_e(S(G))$ for some standard graphs.

Theorem 3.7:

$$1) \gamma'_e(S(K_p)) = p-1.$$

$$2) \gamma'_e(S(W_p)) = p-1.$$

$$3) \gamma'_e(S(K_{m,n})) = p-1.$$

Proof: In view of Theorem 2, it is enough to prove that $\gamma'_e(S(G)) \geq p-1$, where G is either K_p, W_p or $K_{m,n}$ with $m+n = p$.

Case 1: Suppose G is isomorphic to K_p . Let $V_1 = V(K_p)$ after the subdivision, let $V_2 = V(S(K_p)) - V(K_p)$. Further, let S be any independent set of $p-2$ edges of $S(K_p)$ and S' be the set of vertices of $S(K_p)$ which are incident to the edges of S . Clearly, $|S'| = 2(p-2), |S' \cap V_1| = p-2$ and $|S' \cap V_2| = p-2$. Hence there exist exactly two vertices u, v in $V_1 - S'$. Now the edges uw, wv , where $w \in S(K_p)$ that subdivides the edge uv are not dominated by any edge of S . Hence $\gamma'(S(K_p)) \geq p-1$. Since by Corollary [A], $\gamma'_e = \gamma'$, it follows that $\gamma'_e(S(K_p)) \geq p-1$.

Case 2: Suppose G is isomorphic to W_p . Let $V_1 = V(W_p)$ and v_k be the centre of W_p . After the subdivision of G , let $V_2 = V(S(W_p)) - V(W_p)$. Further, let S be any independent set of $p-2$ edges of $S(W_p)$ and S' be the set of vertices of $S(W_p)$ which are incident to the edges of S .

Clearly, $|S'| = 2(p-2), |S' \cap V_1| = p-2$ and $|S' \cap V_2| = p-2$. Hence there exists exactly two vertices u, v in $V_1 - S'$. If uv is an edge in W_p , then the edges uw and wv where w is the vertex of $S(W_p)$ that subdivides the edge uv are not dominated by S . Suppose uv is not an edge in W_p . Let w_1, w_2 be the vertices of $S(W_p)$ which sub divide the edge v_1u, v_1v respectively. Since S is independent, at least one of the edges v_1w_1, v_1w_2 does not belong to S . Suppose $v_1w_1 \notin S$, so w_1u is not dominated by S . Thus $\gamma'_e(S(W_p)) \leq p-1$.

Case 3: Suppose G is isomorphic to $K_{m,n}$ with $m+n = p$. The proof of this case is similar to that of Case 2.

The following Theorem relates end edge domination and split domination in G .

Theorem 3.8: *For any end edge dominating set S of G , if there exists at least one end edge $e \in S$. Then G has a split dominating set.*

Proof: Let $e = uv \in S$ be an end edge in G . Suppose v is an end vertex of e in G . Then there exist a cut vertex $u \in N(v)$ in G . Let D be a dominating set of G . Further, if $u \in D$, then D is a split dominating set of G . Suppose u is an end vertex, then $v \in D$ is a cut vertex. Hence $D^{-1} = (D - \{v\}) \cup \{u\}$ is a split dominating set of G .

Theorem 3.9: *If G is not a tree and S is a γ'_e -set of G . Then for some $e_i \in S$ which are non-end edges, dominates the edges of $E(G) - S$ are also dominated by some $S - e_i$ edges.*

Proof: Let S be the γ'_e -set of G . If possible, assume that there exists at least one non end edge $e \in S$ such that e does not satisfy the given condition. Then $S' = S - \{e\}$ is an end edge dominating set of G , a contradiction.

Hence there exist at least one non end edge $e \in S$, which dominates at least one edge of $E(G) - S$ which is also dominated by some $S - \{e_i\}$ edges.

The following Theorem relates $\gamma'_e(S(T))$ and $\gamma'_e(T)$.

Theorem 3.10: *For any tree T , $\gamma'_e(S(T)) \leq 2 \cdot \gamma'_e(T)$. Equity holds if T is isomorphic to a spider.*

Proof: Let S be the γ'_e -set of T . Insert a vertex of degree two to each edge of T to obtain $S(T)$. Let $F = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$ be the set of edges whose edge degree is one, which are incident to the support vertices and $F' \in N(F)$ in $S(T)$. Suppose H is a γ'_e -set of $S(T) - \{F \cup F'\}$, then $F \cup H$ is an end edge dominating set of $S(T)$. Since, each edge is sub divide, $q(S(T)) = 2 \cdot q(T)$ and number of end edges in both T and $S(T)$ are same, it follows that, $|F \cup H| \leq 2|S|$. Hence, $\gamma'_e(S(T)) \leq 2 \cdot \gamma'_e(T)$.

Corollary 3.11: *For any tree T , $\gamma'_e(T) \leq \gamma'_e(S(T)) \leq 2 \cdot \gamma'_e(T)$.*

The following Theorem relates $\gamma'_e(S(G))$ and independence number of G .

Theorem 3.12: *For any connected (p,q) -graph G , $\gamma'_e(S(G)) \leq 2(p - \beta_1)$. Equality holds if G is isomorphic to K_2 .*

Proof: Suppose $B = \{u_i v_i / 1 \leq i \leq \beta_1\}$ be a maximum independent set of edges of G . Then B is an edge dominating set of G . Let w_i be the vertex of $S(G)$ which is adjacent to both u_i and v_i . Let M be the set of vertices of G which are not incident with any edge of B . If $M = \emptyset$, then $S \subseteq E(S(G))$ is an end edge dominating set of $S(G)$ such that $|S| \leq 2 \cdot \beta_1 = 2(p - \beta_1)$. Hence $\gamma'_e(S(G)) \leq 2(p - \beta_1)$. Suppose $M \neq \emptyset$, let $M = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. Since B is an edge dominating set of G , $\langle M \rangle$ is independent. Furthermore, since G is connected and $\langle M \rangle$ is independent, each vertex x_i in M is adjacent to some z_j ($z_j = u_j$ or v_k) in G . Let y_i be the vertex of $S(G)$ which is adjacent to both x_i and z_i in $S(G)$. Then another set $S_1 \subseteq E(S(G))$ forms an end edge dominating set of $S(G)$ such that, $|S_1| \leq 2\beta_1 + 2(p - 2\beta_1)$. Hence $\gamma'_e(S(G)) \leq 2(p - \beta_1)$.

Suppose G is isomorphic to K_2 . In this case $|S| = p = 2$ and $|B| = 1$. Clearly $|S| = 2(p - \beta_1)$ and hence $\gamma'_e(S(G)) = 2(p - \beta_1)$.

REFERENCES:

1. F. Harary, Graph Theory, Adison Wesley, Reading Mass (1972).
2. T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi and P. J. Slater, *Fundamentals of domination in graphs*, Marcel Dekker, New York, (1997).
3. V.R.Kulli and B.Janakiram, The split domination number of a graph, Graph theory notes of New York, New York Academy of Sciences, XXXII, (1997), 16 – 19.
4. S. Mitchell and S. T. Hedetniemi, Edge domination in trees, Congr Numer, 19 (1977), 489 – 509.
5. A. R. Sedamkar and M. H. Muddebihal, End edge domination in graphs, Pacific-Asian Journal of Mathematics, 3(2009) 125-133.