ABSOLUTE INDEXED SUMMABILITY FACTOR OF AN INFINITE SERIES USING QUASI-F-POWER INCREASING SEQUENCES

S.K.PAIKRAY^{1*}, R.K.JATI², U.K.MISRA³, N.C.SAHOO⁴

¹Department of Mathematics, Ravenshaw University, Cuttack-753003, Odisha, India

²Department of Mathematics, DRIEMS, Tangi, Cuttack-754022, Odisha, India

³Department of Mathematics, NIST, Palur Hills, Berhampur-761008, Odisha, India

⁴Department of Mathematics, S.B.Women'sCollege(Auto), Cuttack-753001, Odisha, India

Abstract: A result concerning absolute indexed summability factor of an infinite series using Quasi - f - power increasing sequences has been established.

Keywords:Quasi-increasing,Quasi - β - power increasing, Quasi - f - power increasing, index absolute summability, summability factor.

2000 AMS Subject Classification: 40A05, 40D15, 40F05

1. INTRODUCTION:

A positive sequence (a_n) is said to be almost increasing if there exists a positive sequence (b_n) and two positive constants *A* and *B* such that

(1.1) $Ab_n \leq a_n \leq Bb_n$, for all n.

The sequence (a_n) is said to be quasi- β -power increasing, if there exists a constant *K* depending upon β with $K \ge 1$ such that

*Corresponding author

Received December 6, 2012

$$(1.2) K n^{\beta} a_n \geq m^{\beta} a_m ,$$

for all $n \ge m$. In particular, if $\beta = 0$, then (a_n) is said to be quasi-increasing sequence. It is clear that every almost increasing sequence is a quasi- β -power increasing sequence for any non-negative β . But the converse is not true as $(n^{-\beta})$ is quasi- β -power increasing but not almost increasing.

Let $f = (f_n)$ be a positive sequence of numbers. Then the positive sequence (a_n) is said to be quasi- f-power increasing, if there exists a constant K depending upon f with $K \ge 1$ such that

 $(1.3) K f_n a_n \geq f_m a_m,$

for $n \ge m \ge 1[4]$. Clearly, if (α_n) is a quasi- f-power increasing sequence, then the $(\alpha_n f_n)$ is a quasi- increasing sequence.

Let $\sum a_n$ be an infinite series with sequence of partial sums $\{s_n\}$. Let (p_n) be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$P_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_{\nu} \to \infty$$
, as $n \to \infty$.

Then the sequence-to-sequence transformation

(1.4)
$$T_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_\nu s_\nu , P_n \neq 0,$$

defines the (\overline{N}, p_n) - mean of the sequence (s_n) generated by the sequence of coefficients $\{p_n\}$. The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|\overline{N}, p_n|_k$, $k \ge 1[1]$, if

$$(1.5)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |T_n - T_{n-1}|^k < \infty.$$

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $\left|\overline{N}, p_n; \delta\right|_k, k \ge 1, \delta \ge 0$, if

$$(1.6)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\partial k+k-1}\left|T_n-T_{n-1}\right|^k<\infty.$$

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $\left|\overline{N}, p_n; \alpha_n(\delta)\right|_k, k \ge 1, \delta \ge 0$, if

$$(1.7)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_n)^k \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |T_n - T_{n-1}|^k < \infty.$$

Putting
$$\alpha_n = \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta}$$
, $\left|\overline{N}, p_n; \alpha_n(\delta)\right|_k, k \ge 1, \delta \ge 0$, reduces to $\left|\overline{N}, p_n; \delta\right|_k, k \ge 1, \delta \ge 0$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Dealing with quasi- β -power increasing sequence Bor and Debnath[2] have established the following theorem:

2.1. THEOREM:

Let (X_n) be a quasi- β -power increasing sequence for $0 < \beta < 1$ and (λ_n) be a real sequence.

If the conditions

(2.1.1)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{P_n}{n} = O(P_m),$$

$$\lambda_n X_n = O(1),$$

(2.1.3)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{\left|t_{n}\right|^{k}}{n} = O(X_{m}),$$

(2.1.4)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{p_n |t_n|^k}{P_n} = O(X_m)$$

and

(2.1.5)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} n X_n \left| \Delta^2 \lambda_n \right| < \infty$$

are satisfied, where t_n is the (C,1) mean of the sequence (na_n) . Then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|\overline{N}, p_n|_k, k \ge 1$.

Subsequently Leindler[3] established a similar result reducing certain condition of Bor. He established:

2.2. THEOREM:

Let the sequence (X_n) be a quasi- β -power increasing sequence for $0 < \beta < 1$, and the real sequence (λ_n) satisfies the conditions

(2.2.1)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \lambda_n = O(m)$$

and

(2.2.2)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \left| \Delta \lambda_{m} \right| = O(m) \, .$$

Further, suppose the conditions (2.1.3),(2.1.4) and

(2.2.3)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} n X_{n}(\beta) \left| \Delta \right| \Delta \lambda_{n} \left\| < \infty \right|,$$

hold, where $X_n(\beta) = \max(n^{\beta}X_n, \log n)$. Then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|\overline{N}, p_n|_k, k \ge 1$.

Recently, extending the above results to quasi- f -power increasing sequence, Sulaiman[5] have established the following theorem:

2.3. THEOREM:

Let
$$f = (f_n) = (n^{\beta} \log^{\gamma} n), 0 \le \beta < 1, \gamma \ge 0$$
 be a sequence. Let (X_n) be a quasi- f -power sequence and (λ_n) a sequence of constants satisfying the conditions

 $(2.3.1) \qquad \qquad \lambda_n \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,$

(2.3.2)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n X_n \left| \Delta \right| \left| \Delta \lambda_n \right| < \infty ,$$

$$(2.3.3) |\lambda_n| X_n = O(1),$$

(2.3.4)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{nX_n^{k-1}} |t_n|^k = O(X_m)$$

and

(2.3.5)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n} \frac{1}{X_n^{k-1}} |t_n|^k = O(X_m),$$

where t_n is the (C,1) mean of the sequence (na_n) . Then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|\overline{N}, p_n|_k, k \ge 1$.

We prove the following theorem.

3. MAIN RESULTS:

Let $f = (f_n) = (n^{\beta} \log^{\gamma} n)$ be a sequence and (X_n) be a quasi- f -power sequence. Let (λ_n) a sequence of constants such that

$$(3.1) \qquad \qquad \lambda_n \to 0, \ as \ n \to \infty,$$

(3.2)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n X_n \left| \Delta \right| \left| \Delta \lambda_n \right| < \infty ,$$

$$|\lambda_n|X_n = O(1),$$

(3.4)
$$\sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m} (\alpha_n)^k \left(\frac{p_n}{P_n}\right) \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} = O\left((\alpha_m)^k \frac{p_m}{P_m}\right),$$

(3.5)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} (\alpha_n)^k \left(\frac{p_n}{P_n}\right) \frac{|t_v|^k}{X_v^{k-1}} = O(X_m),$$

(3.6)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} (\alpha_n)^k \frac{|t_n|^k}{nX_n^{k-1}} = O(X_m).$$

Then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|\overline{N}, p_n; \alpha_n(\delta)|_k, k \ge 1, \delta \ge 0.$

In order to prove the theorem we require the following lemma.

4. LEMMA:

Let
$$f = (f_n) = (n^{\beta} \log^{\gamma} n), 0 \le \beta < 1, \gamma \ge 0$$
 be a sequence and (X_n) be a quasi - f -

power increasing sequence. Let (λ_n) be a sequence of constants satisfying (3.1) and (3.2). then

$$(4.1) n X |\Delta \lambda_n| = O(1)$$

and

(4.2)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} X_{n} |\Delta \lambda_{n}| < \infty .$$

4.1. PROOF OF THE LEMMA:

As $\Delta \lambda_n \to 0$ and $n^\beta \log^\gamma n X_n$ is non-decreasing, we have

$$n X_{n} |\Delta \lambda_{n}| = n^{1-\beta} \log^{-\gamma} n \left(n^{\beta} \log^{\gamma} n X_{n} \right) \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} \Delta |\Delta \lambda_{\nu}|$$
$$= O(1) n^{1-\beta} \log^{-\gamma} n \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} \nu^{\beta} \log^{\gamma} \nu X_{\nu} |\Delta| |\Delta \lambda_{\nu}|$$
$$= O(1) \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} \nu^{1-\beta} \log^{-\gamma} \nu \nu^{\beta} \log^{\gamma} \nu X_{\nu} |\Delta| |\Delta \lambda_{\nu}|$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} \nu X_{\nu} |\Delta| |\Delta \lambda_{\nu}| = O(1) .$$

This establishes (4.1). Next

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=1}^{m} X_{n} \left| \Delta \lambda_{n} \right| &= \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{n} X_{r} \right) \Delta \left| \Delta \lambda_{r} \right| + \left(\sum_{r=1}^{n} X_{r} \right) \left| \Delta \lambda_{r} \right| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{n} r^{-\beta} \log^{-\gamma} r r^{\beta} \log^{\gamma} r X_{r} \right) \Delta \left| \right| \Delta \lambda_{v} \right| \\ &+ O(1) \left(\sum_{r=1}^{m} r^{-\beta} \log^{-\gamma} r r^{\beta} \log^{\gamma} r X_{r} \right) \left| \Delta \lambda_{m} \right| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \left(n^{\beta} \log^{\gamma} n X_{n} \right) \Delta \left| \right| \Delta \lambda_{v} \left| \sum_{r=1}^{n} r^{-\beta-\epsilon} \log^{-\gamma} r r^{\epsilon} + O(1) m^{\beta} X_{m} \right| \Delta \lambda_{w} \left| \log^{\gamma} m \sum_{r=1}^{m} r^{-\beta-\epsilon} \log^{-\gamma} r r^{\epsilon}, \epsilon < 1 - \beta. \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \left(n^{\beta} \log^{\gamma} n X_{n} \right) \Delta \left| \right| \Delta \lambda_{v} \left| n^{\epsilon^{+}} \log^{-\gamma} n \sum_{r=1}^{n} r^{-\beta-\epsilon} + O(1) m^{\beta} X_{m} \right| \Delta \lambda_{w} \left| \log^{\gamma} m m^{\epsilon} \log^{-\gamma} m \sum_{r=1}^{m} r^{-\beta-\epsilon} + O(1) m^{\beta} X_{m} \right| \Delta \lambda_{w} \left| \log^{\gamma} m m^{\epsilon} \log^{-\gamma} m \sum_{r=1}^{m} r^{-\beta-\epsilon} + O(1) m^{\beta} X_{m} \right| \Delta \lambda_{w} \left| \log^{\gamma} m m^{\epsilon} \log^{-\gamma} m \sum_{r=1}^{m} r^{-\beta-\epsilon} + O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m} n^{\beta+\epsilon} X_{n} \left| \Delta \right| \left| \Delta \lambda_{v} \left| \left(\prod_{l=1}^{m} u^{-\beta-\epsilon} du \right) + O(1) m^{\beta+\epsilon} X_{n} \right| \Delta \lambda_{m} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{m} u^{-\beta-\epsilon} du \right) \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m} n X_{n} \left| \Delta \right| \left| \Delta \lambda_{v} \right| + O(1) m X_{m} \left| \Delta \lambda_{m} \right| \\ &= O(1) . \end{split}$$

This establishes (4.2).

5. PROOF OF THE THEOREM:

Let (T_n) be the sequence of (\overline{N}, p_n) mean of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \lambda_n$, then

$$\begin{split} T_{n} &= \frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} p_{\nu} \sum_{r=0}^{\nu} a_{r} \lambda_{r} \\ &= \frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} (p_{n} - p_{\nu-1}) a_{\nu} \lambda_{\nu} \end{split}$$

Hence for $n \ge 1$

$$\begin{split} T_n - T_{n-1} &= \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n p_{\nu-1} a_\nu \lambda_\nu \\ &= \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu \, a_\nu \left(\frac{1}{\nu} \, p_{\nu-1} \, \lambda_\nu \right) \\ &= \frac{(n+1)}{n} \frac{p_n}{P_n} t_n \lambda_n + \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} p_{\nu-1} t_\nu \lambda_\nu \frac{\nu+1}{\nu} + \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} p_\nu t_\nu \frac{\nu+1}{\nu} \Delta \lambda_\nu \\ &= \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} p_\nu t_\nu \frac{\lambda_{\nu+1}}{\nu} \\ &= T_{n1} + T_{n2} + T_{n3} + T_{n4} \text{ (say)}. \end{split}$$

In order to prove the theorem, using Minkowski's inequality it is enough to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_n)^k \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |T_{nj}| < \infty \quad , j = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Applying Holder's inequality, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} (\alpha_n)^k \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |T_{n1}|^k = \sum_{n=1}^{m} (\alpha_n)^k \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} \left|\frac{n+1}{n} \frac{p_n}{P_n} t_n \lambda_n\right|^k$$

$$= O(1)\sum_{n=1}^{m} (\alpha_n)^k \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{-1} \frac{|t_n|^k}{X_n^{k-1}} (X_n |\lambda_n|)^{k-1} |\lambda_n|$$

$$= O(1)\sum_{n=1}^{m} (\alpha_n)^k \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{-1} \frac{|t_n|^k}{X_n^{k-1}} |\lambda_n|$$

$$= O(1)\sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} (\alpha_n)^k \left(\frac{P_\nu}{p_\nu}\right)^{-1} \frac{|t_\nu|^k}{X_\nu^{k-1}}\right) \Delta |\lambda_\nu| + O(1)\sum_{\nu=1}^{m} (\alpha_n)^k \left(\frac{P_\nu}{p_\nu}\right)^{-1} \frac{|t_\nu|^k}{X_\nu^{k-1}} |\lambda_m|$$

$$= O(1)\sum_{n=1}^{m-1} X_n \Delta |\lambda_n| + O(1)X_m |\lambda_m|$$

$$= O(1).$$

Next,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=1}^{m} (\alpha_{n})^{k} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{P_{n}}\right)^{k-1} \left|T_{n2}\right|^{k} &= \sum_{n=1}^{m} (\alpha_{n})^{k} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{P_{n}}\right)^{k-1} \left|\frac{P_{n}}{P_{n}P_{n-1}}\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} p_{\nu-1} t_{\nu} \lambda_{\nu} \frac{\nu+1}{\nu}\right|^{k} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m} (\alpha_{n})^{k} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{P_{n}}\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}}\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} p_{\nu-1} \left|t_{\nu}\right|^{k} \left|\lambda_{\nu}\right|^{k} \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \frac{P_{\nu}}{P_{n-1}}\right)^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} p_{\nu-1} \left|t_{\nu}\right|^{k} \left|\lambda_{\nu}\right|^{k} \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m} (\alpha_{n})^{k} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{P_{n}}\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} . \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} (\alpha_{\nu})^{k} \left(\frac{P_{\nu}}{P_{\nu}}\right) \left|t_{\nu}\right|^{k} \left|\lambda_{\nu}\right|^{k} \end{split}$$

= O(1), as in the case of T_{n1} .

Next,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} (\alpha_n)^k \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |T_{n3}|^k = \sum_{n=1}^{m} (\alpha_n)^k \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} \left|\frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} P_\nu t_\nu \frac{\nu+1}{\nu} \Delta \lambda_\nu \right|^k$$

$$\begin{split} &= O(1)\sum_{n=1}^{m} (\alpha_{n})^{k} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{P_{n}}\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}^{k}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} P_{\nu}^{k} \frac{\left|t_{\nu}\right|^{k}}{X_{\nu}^{k-1}} \left| \Delta \lambda_{\nu} \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} X_{\nu} \left| \Delta \lambda_{\nu} \right| \right)^{k-1} \\ &= O(1)\sum_{\nu=1}^{m} P_{\nu}^{k} \frac{\left|t_{\nu}\right|^{k}}{X_{\nu}^{k-1}} \left| \Delta \lambda_{\nu} \right| \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} (\alpha_{n})^{k} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{P_{n}}\right) \frac{1}{P_{n-1}^{k}} \, . \\ &= O(1)\sum_{\nu=1}^{m} (\alpha_{\nu})^{k} \frac{1}{\nu} \frac{\left|t_{\nu}\right|^{k}}{X_{\nu}^{k-1}} \left(\nu \left| \Delta \lambda_{\nu} \right| \right) \\ &= O(1)\sum_{\nu=1}^{m} (\alpha_{\nu})^{k} \frac{1}{\nu} \frac{\left|t_{\nu}\right|^{k}}{X_{\nu}^{k-1}} \left(\nu \left| \Delta \lambda_{\nu} \right| \right) \\ &= O(1)\sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=1}^{\nu} (\alpha_{r})^{k} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\left|t_{r}\right|^{k}}{X_{\nu}^{k-1}} \Delta \left(\nu \left| \Delta \lambda_{\nu} \right| \right) + O(1) \left(\sum_{r=1}^{m} (\alpha_{r})^{k} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\left|t_{r}\right|^{k}}{X_{r}^{k-1}} \right) \left(m \left| \Delta \lambda_{m} \right| \right) \\ & \cdot \\ &= O(1)\sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} X_{\nu} \left(- \left| \Delta \lambda_{\nu} \right| + \left(\nu + 1\right) \left| \Delta \left| \Delta \lambda_{\nu} \right| \right) + O(1)mX_{n} \left| \Delta \lambda_{m} \right| . \\ &= O(1)\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} X_{\nu} \left| \Delta \lambda_{\nu} \right| + O(1)\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \nu X_{\nu} \left| \Delta \left| \left| \Delta \lambda_{\nu} \right| \right| + O(1)mX_{n} \left| \Delta \lambda_{m} \right| \\ &= O(1)\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} X_{\nu} \left| \Delta \lambda_{\nu} \right| + O(1)\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \nu X_{\nu} \left| \Delta \left| \left| \Delta \lambda_{\nu} \right| \right| + O(1)mX_{n} \left| \Delta \lambda_{m} \right| \right) \\ &= O(1)\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} X_{\nu} \left| \Delta \lambda_{\nu} \right| + O(1)\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \nu X_{\nu} \left| \Delta \left| \left| \Delta \lambda_{\nu} \right| \right| + O(1)mX_{n} \left| \Delta \lambda_{m} \right| \right) \\ &= O(1). \end{split}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{P_{n}}\right)^{\delta k+k-1} \left|T_{n4}\right|^{k} &= \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{P_{n}}\right)^{\delta k+k-1} \left|\frac{P_{n}}{P_{n}P_{n-1}}\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} P_{\nu}t_{\nu} \frac{\lambda_{\nu+1}}{\nu}\right|^{k} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left(\alpha_{n}\right)^{k} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{P_{n}}\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}^{k}}\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \frac{P_{\nu}}{\nu} |t_{\nu}|^{k} |\lambda_{\nu}|^{k} \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \frac{P_{\nu}}{\nu}\right)^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} \frac{P_{\nu}}{\nu} |t_{\nu}|^{k} |\lambda_{\nu}|^{k} \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m} \left(\alpha_{n}\right)^{k} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{P_{n}}\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} \left(\alpha_{\nu}\right)^{k} \frac{|t_{\nu}|^{k}}{X_{\nu}^{k-1}} \left(X_{\nu}|\lambda_{\nu}|\right)^{k-1} |\lambda_{\nu}| \end{split}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} (\alpha_{\nu})^{k} \frac{|t_{\nu}|^{k} |\lambda_{\nu}|}{\nu X_{\nu}^{k-1}}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\nu} (\alpha_{r})^{k} \frac{|t_{r}|^{k}}{r X_{r}^{k-1}} \right) |\Delta \lambda_{\nu}| + O(1) \sum_{r=1}^{m} (\alpha_{r})^{k} \frac{|t_{r}|^{k}}{r X_{r}^{k-1}}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} X_{\nu} |\Delta \lambda_{\nu}| + O(1) X_{m} |\lambda_{m}|$$

$$= O(1).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] H.Bor , A Note on two summability methods, Proc.Amer. Math. Soc.98 (1986), 81-84.
- [2] H.Bor and L.Debnath , Quasi β power increasing sequences, International journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 44(2004), 2371-2376.
- [3] L.Leinder, A recent note on absolute Riesz summability factors, J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math., Vol-7, Issue-2, article-44(2006).
- [4] W.T.Sulaiman, Extension on absolute summability factors of infinite series, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 322 (2006),1224-1230.
- [5] W.T.Sulaiman, A recent note on absolute absoluteRiesz summability factors of an infinite series, J. Appl. Functional Analysis, Vol-7,no.4,381-387.