Available online at http://scik.org J. Math. Comput. Sci. 2 (2012), No. 6, 1734-1742 ISSN: 1927-5307

HOMOMORPHISM IN Q-INTUITIONISTIC L-FUZZY SUBRINGS

T.M. SELVARAJAN $^{1,\ast},$ C. ELANGO 2, A. NAGARAJAN 3

¹Department of Mathematics, Noorul Islam University, Kanyakumari - 629 175, India ²Department of Mathematics, CPA College, Bodinayakanur, Theni - 625 513, India ³Department of Mathematics, VOC College, Thoothukudi, - 628 008, India

Abstract. In this paper, we study the properties of Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subrings of a ring. Some new results are obtained based on these properties.

Keywords: (Q, L)-fuzzy subset; Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subset; Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subring; Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subring.

2000 AMS Subject Classification: 03F55, 08A72, 20N25

1. Introduction

After the introduction of fuzzy sets by Zadeh [12], several researchers explored on the generalization of the notion of fuzzy set. The concept of intuitionistic L-fuzzy subset was introduced by Atanassov [4,5], as a generalization of the notion of fuzzy set.

Rosenfeld [6] defined a fuzzy group. Ray [3] defined a product of fuzzy subgroups and Solairaju and R.Nagarajan [10,11] introduced and defined a new algebraic structure called Q-fuzzy subgroups. In this paper, we introduce the concept of Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subring of a ring and establish some new results.

^{*}Corresponding author

Received December 23, 2011

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. Let X be a non-empty set, and $L = (L, \leq)$ be a lattice with least element 0, and greatest element 1 and Q be a non-empty set. A (Q, L)-fuzzy subset A of X is a function $A : X \times Q \to L$.

Definition 2.2. Let (L, \leq) be a complete lattice with an involutive order reversing operation $N : L \to L$ and Q be a non-empty set. A Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subset (QILFS) A in X is defined as an object of the form $A = \{ \langle (x,q), \mu_A(x,q), \nu_A(x,q) \rangle$ /x in X and q in $Q\}$, where $\mu_A : X \times Q \to L$, and $\nu_A : X \times Q \to L$ define the degree of membership, and the degree of non-membership of the element x in X, respectively, and for every x in X and q in Q satisfying $\mu_A(x,q) \leq N(\nu_A(x,q))$.

Definition 2.3. Let (R, +, .) be a ring. A *Q*-intuitionistic *L*-fuzzy subset *A* of *R* is said to be a *Q*-intuitionistic *L*-fuzzy subring (QILFSR) of *R* if it satisfies the following axioms:

(i)
$$\mu_A(x-y,q) \ge \mu_A(x,q) \land \mu_A(y,q),$$

(ii)
$$\mu_A(xy,q) \ge \mu_A(x,q) \land \mu_A(y,q),$$

(iii)
$$\nu_A(x-y,q) \leq \nu_A(x,q) \vee \nu_A(y,q),$$

(iv) $\nu_A(xy,q) \leq \nu_A(x,q) \vee \nu_A(y,q)$, for all x and y in R and q in Q.

Definition 2.4. Let X, and Y be any two sets. Let $f : X \to Y$ be any function and A be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subset in X, V be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subset in f(X) = Y, defined by $\mu_V(y,q) = \sup_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \mu_A(x,q)$ and $\nu_V(y,q) = \inf_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \nu_A(x,q)$, for all x in X and y in Y. A is called a preimage of V under f and is denoted by $f^{-1}(V)$. **Definition 2.5.** Let (R, +, .) be a ring. A Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subring A of R is said to be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subring (QILFNSR) of R if $\mu_A(xy,q) = \mu_A(yx,q)$ and $\nu_A(xy,q) = \nu_A(yx,q)$, for all x and y in R and q in Q.

3. Some properties of *Q*-intuitionistic *L*-fuzzy subrings of a ring

Theorem 3.1. Let (R, +, .) and $(R_1, +, .)$ be any two rings. The homomorphic image of a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subring of R is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subring of $f(R) = R_1$.

Proof. Let (R, +, .) and $(R_1, +, .)$ be any two rings and Q be a non-empty set. Let $f: R \to R_1$ be a homomorphism. Then f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y) and f(xy) = f(x)f(y), for all x and y in R. Let A be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subring of R. We have to prove that V is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subring of $f(R) = R_1$. Now, for f(x), f(y) in R_1 and q in Q,

$$\mu_V(f(x) - f(y), q) = \mu_V(f(x - y), q)$$
$$\geq \mu_A(x - y, q)$$
$$\geq \mu_A(x, q) \land \mu_A(y, q),$$

which implies that

$$\mu_V(f(x) - f(y), q) \ge \mu_V(f(x), q) \land \mu_V(f(y), q),$$

for all f(x) and f(y) in R_1 and q in Q. Again,

$$\mu_V(f(x)f(y),q) = \mu_V(f(xy),q)$$
$$\geq \mu_A(xy,q)$$
$$\geq \mu_A(x,q) \land \mu_A(y,q)$$

,

which implies that

$$\mu_V(f(x)f(y),q) \ge \mu_V(f(x),q) \land \mu_V(f(y),q),$$

for all f(x) and f(y) in R_1 and q in Q. Also,

$$\nu_V(f(x) - f(y), q) = \nu_V(f(x - y), q)$$
$$\leq \nu_A(x - y, q)$$
$$\leq \nu_A(x, q)\nu_A \lor (y, q),$$

which implies that

$$\nu_V(f(x) - f(y), q) \le \nu_V(f(x), q) \lor \nu_V(f(y), q),$$

1736

for all f(x) and f(y) in R_1 and q in Q. Again,

$$\nu_V(f(x)f(y),q) = \nu_V(f(xy),q)$$
$$\leq \nu_A(xy,q)$$
$$\leq \nu_A(x,q) \lor \nu_A(y,q),$$

which implies that

$$\nu_V(f(x)f(y),q) \le \nu_V(f(x),q) \lor \nu_V(f(y),q),$$

for all f(x) and f(y) in R_1 and q in Q. Hence V is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subring of R_1 . This completes the proof.

In view of Theorem 3.1, the following is not hard to derive.

Theorem 3.2. Let (R, +, .) and $(R_1, +, .)$ be any two rings. The homomorphic preimage of a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subring of $f(R) = R_1$ is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subring of R.

Theorem 3.3. Let (R, +, .) and $(R_1, +, .)$ be any two rings. The anti-homomorphic image of a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subring of R is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subring of $f(R) = R_1$.

Proof. Let (R, +, .) and $(R_1, +, .)$ be any two rings and Q be a non-empty set. Let $f : R \to R_1$ be an anti-homomorphism. Then f(x+y) = f(y) + f(x) and f(xy) = f(y)f(x), for all x and y in R. Let A be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subring of R. We have to prove that V is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subring of $f(R) = R_1$. Now, for f(x), f(y) in R_1 and q in Q,

$$\mu_V(f(x) - f(y), q) = \mu_V(f(y - x), q)$$
$$\geq \mu_A(y - x, q)$$
$$\geq \mu_A(y, q) \land \mu_A(x, q),$$

which implies that

$$\mu_V(f(x) - f(y), q) \ge \mu_V(f(x), q) \land \mu_V(f(y), q),$$

for all f(x) and f(y) in R_1 and q in Q. Again,

$$\mu_V(f(x)f(y),q) = \mu_V(f(yx),q)$$
$$\geq \mu_A(yx,q)$$
$$\geq \mu_A(y,q) \land \mu_A(x,q),$$

which implies that

$$\mu_V(f(x)f(y),q) \ge \mu_V(f(x),q) \land \mu_V(f(y),q)$$

for all f(x) and f(y) in R_1 and q in Q. Also,

$$\nu_V(f(x) - f(y), q) = \nu_V(f(y - x), q)$$
$$\leq \nu_A(y - x, q)$$
$$\leq \nu_A(x, q)\nu_A \lor (y, q),$$

which implies that

$$\nu_V(f(x) - f(y), q) \le \nu_V(f(x), q) \lor \nu_V(f(y), q),$$

for all f(x) and f(y) in R_1 and q in Q. Again,

$$\nu_V(f(x)f(y),q) = \nu_V(f(yx),q)$$
$$\leq \nu_A(yx,q)$$
$$\leq \nu_A(y,q) \lor \nu_A(x,q),$$

which implies that

$$\nu_V(f(x)f(y),q) \le \nu_V(f(x),q) \lor \nu_V(f(y),q),$$

for all f(x) and f(y) in R_1 and q in Q. Hence V is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subring of R_1 . This completes the proof.

In view of Theorem 3.3, the following is not hard to derive.

Theorem 3.4. Let (R, +, .) and $(R_1, +, .)$ be any two rings. The anti-homomorphic preimage of a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subring of $f(R) = R_1$ is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subring of R.

1738

Theorem 3.5. Let (R, +, .) and $(R_1, +, .)$ be any two rings. The homomorphic image of a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subring of R is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subring of $f(R) = R_1$.

Proof. Let (R, +, .) and $(R_1, +, .)$ be any two rings and Q be a non-empty set. Let $f: R \to R_1$ be a homomorphism. Then f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y) and f(xy) = f(x)f(y), for all x and y in R. Let A be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subring of R. We have to prove that V is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subring of $f(R) = R_1$. Now, for f(x), f(y) in R_1 , clearly V is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subring of a ring R_1 , since A is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subring of a ring R. Now,

$$\mu_V(f(x)f(y),q) = \mu_V(f(xy),q)$$

$$\geq \mu_A(xy,q)$$

$$= \mu_A(yx,q)$$

$$\geq \mu_V(f(yx),q)$$

$$= \mu_V(f(y)f(x),q),$$

which implies that

$$\mu_V(f(x)f(y),q) = \mu_V(f(y)f(x),q),$$

for all f(x) and f(y) in R_1 and q in Q. Also,

$$\nu_V(f(x)f(y),q) = \nu_V(f(xy),q)$$

$$\leq \nu_A(xy,q)$$

$$= \nu_A(yx,q)$$

$$\geq \nu_V(f(yx),q)$$

$$= \nu_V(f(y)f(x),q),$$

which implies that

$$\nu_V(f(x)f(y),q) = \nu_V(f(y)f(x),q),$$

for all f(x) and f(y) in R_1 and q in Q. Hence V is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subring of a ring R. This completes the proof.

In view of Theorem 3.5, the following is not hard to derive.

 μ

Theorem 3.6. Let (R, +, .) and $(R_1, +, .)$ be any two rings. The homomorphic preimage of a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subring of $f(R) = R_1$ is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subring of R.

Theorem 3.7. Let (R, +, .) and $(R_1, +, .)$ be any two rings. The anti-homomorphic image of a

Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subring of R is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subring of $f(R) = R_1$.

Proof. Let (R, +, .) and $(R_1, +, .)$ be any two rings and Q be a non-empty set. Let $f : R \to R_1$ be an anti-homomorphism. Then f(x+y) = f(y) + f(x) and f(xy) = f(y)f(x), for all x and y in R. Let A be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subring of R. We have to prove that V is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subring of $f(R) = R_1$. Now, for f(x), f(y) in R_1 , clearly V is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subring of a ring R_1 , since A is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subring of a ring R. Now,

$$V(f(x)f(y),q) = \mu_V(f(yx),q)$$

$$\geq \mu_A(yx,q)$$

$$= \mu_A(xy,q)$$

$$\leq \mu_V(f(xy),q)$$

$$= \mu_V(f(y)f(x),q),$$

which implies that

$$\mu_V(f(x)f(y),q) = \mu_V(f(y)f(x),q),$$

1740

for all f(x) and f(y) in R_1 and q in Q. Also,

$$\nu_V(f(x)f(y),q) = \nu_V(f(yx),q)$$

$$\leq \nu_A(yx,q)$$

$$= \nu_A(xy,q)$$

$$\geq \nu_V(f(xy),q)$$

$$= \nu_V(f(y)f(x),q),$$

which implies that

$$\nu_V(f(x)f(y),q) = \nu_V(f(y)f(x),q)$$

for all f(x) and f(y) in R_1 and q in Q. Hence V is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subring of a ring $f(R) = R_1$. This completes the proof.

In view of Theorem 3.7, the following is not hard to derive.

Theorem 3.8. Let (R, +, .) and $(R_1, +, .)$ be any two rings. The anti-homomorphic preimage of a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subring of $f(R) = R_1$ is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subring of R.

References

- [1] N. Ajmal, K.V. Thomas, Fuzzy Lattices, Information Sciences 79 (1994), 271-291.
- [2] M. Akram, K.H. Dar, On Fuzzy d-algebras, Punjab University Journal of Mathematics, 37 (2005), 61-76.
- [3] A.K. Ray, On product of fuzzy subgroups, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 105 (1999), 181-183.
- [4] K. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20 (1986), 87-96.
- [5] K. Atanassov, S. Stoeva, Intuitionistic L-fuzzy sets, Cybernetics and Systems Research 2 (1984), 539-540.
- [6] A. Rosenfeld, Fuzzy Groups, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 35 (1971), 512-517.
- [7] G. Trajkovski, An approach towards defining L-fuzzy lattices, IEEE, 7 (1998), 221-225.
- [8] M. A. Mohammad, On the L-fuzzy prime ideal theorem of distributive lattices, The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics, 9 (2001).
- [9] N. Palaniappan, K. Arjunan, The homomorphism, anti-homomorphism of a fuzzy and anti fuzzy ideals, Varahmihir Journal of Mathematical Sciences, 6 (2006), 181-188.

- [10] A. Solairaju, R. Nagarajan, A new structure and construction of Q-fuzzy groups, Advances in Fuzzy Mathematics, 4 (2009), 23-29.
- [11] A. Solairaju, R. Nagarajan, Lattice vlued Q-fuzzy left R-submodules of near rings with respect to T-norms, Advances in Fuzzy Mathematics, 4 (2009), 137-145.
- [12] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, Information and Control, 8 (1965), 338-353.