

# SLIP EFFECTS ON MHD PERISTALTIC TRANSPORT OF A WILLIAMSON FLUID THROUGH A POROUS MEDIUM IN A SYMMETRIC CHANNEL

B. JYOTHI<sup>1,\*</sup>, AND P. KOTESWARA RAO<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, DNR College, Bhimavaram-534202, A.P, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar 522510, Guntur District,

#### Andhra Pradesh, India

**Abstract:** In this paper, we studied the effects of slip and magnetic field on the peristaltic transport of a Williamson fluid through a porous medium in a two-dimensional channel under the assumptions of low Reynolds number and long wavelength. The flow is investigated in a wave frame of reference moving with velocity of the wave. The perturbation series in the Weissenberg number was used to obtain explicit forms for velocity field, pressure gradient per one wavelength. The effects of various pertinent parameters on the pressure gradient and pumping characteristics are discussed through graphs in detail.

Keywords: Darcy number; Hartmann number; Peristaltic transport; slip parameter.

2000 AMS Subject Classification: 76Z05; 76D05

#### **1. Introduction**

Peristaltic transport is a well known process of a fluid transport which is induced by a progressive wave of area contraction or expansion along the length of distensible tube containing the fluid. It is used by many systems in the living body to propel or to mix the contents of a tube. The peristalsis mechanism usually occur in urine transport from kidney to bladder, swallowing food through the esophagus, chyme motion in the gastrointestinal tract, vasomotion of small blood vessels and movement of spermatozoa in the human reproductive tract. There are many

\*Corresponding author

Received July 21, 2013

engineering processes as well in which peristaltic pumps are used to handle a wide range of fluids particularly in chemical and pharmaceutical industries. It is also used in sanitary fluid transport, blood pumps in heart lung machine, and transport of corrosive fluids, where the contact of the fluid with the machinery parts is prohibited. Because most of the physiological fluids behave like a non-Newtonian fluid, therefore, some interesting studies dealing with the flows of non-Newtonian fluids are given in (Bohme and Friedrich [3], Siddiqui et al. [17], Hayat et al. [8], Srinivasacharya et al. [18], Subba Reddy et al. [19], Nadeem and Akram [13], Subba Reddy et al. [20]).

Flow through a porous medium has been of significant interest in recent years particularly among geophysical fluid dynamicists. Examples of natural porous media are beach sand, sandstone, limestone, rye bread, wood, the human lung, bile duct, gall bladder with stones and in small blood vessels. Flow through porous medium has been studied by a number workers employing Darcy's law (Sceidgger [16], Raptis and Perdikis [15], Varshney [23]). Elshehawey and Husseny [6] have studied the peristaltic motion of a Newtonian fluid through a porous medium in a channel. The interaction of peristaltic flow with pulsatile fluid under the effect of a transverse magnetic field through a porous medium bounded by a two-dimensional channel is studied by Afifi and Gad [1]. Mekheimer and Arabi [12] studied the non-linear peristaltic transport of MHD flow through a porous medium. Non-linear peristaltic transport through a porous medium in an inclined planar channel has studied by Mekheimer [11] taking the gravity effect on pumping characteristics. Vajravlu et al. [24] have investigated the peristaltic flow of a Newtonian fluid through a porous medium in a vertical annulus with heat transfer. Hall effects on peristaltic transport of a Maxwell fluid in a porous medium have been studied by Hayat et al. [9]. Subba Reddy et al. [21] have investigated the non-linear peristaltic flow of a fourth grade fluid through a porous medium in an inclined asymmetric channel.

On the other hand it is observed that limited attention is paid to the peristaltic flows of non-Newtonian fluids when no-slip condition is not adequate. El Sehaway et al. [7] have studied the effect of slip on the peristaltic flow of a Maxwell fluid in a channel. The effects of slip and non- Newtonian parameters on the peristaltic flow of a third grade fluid in a circular cylindrical tube were investigated by Ali et al. [2]. Chaube et al. [4] have discussed the slip effects on the peristaltic flow of a micropolar fluid in a channel. Hayat et al. [10] have studied the simultaneous effects of partial slip and heat transfer on the peristaltic flow of viscous fluid in a two-dimensional channel are reported. Effect of slip and induced magnetic field on the peristaltic flow of pseudoplastic fluid was studied by Noreen et al. [14]. Subba Reddy et al. [22] have investigated the slip effects on the peristaltic motion of a Jeffrey fluid through a porous medium in an asymmetric channel under the effect of magnetic field.

In view of these, we studied the effects of slip and magnetic field on the peristaltic transport of a Williamson fluid through a porous medium in a two-dimensional channel under the assumptions of low Reynolds number and long wavelength. The flow is investigated in a wave frame of reference moving with velocity of the wave. The perturbation series in the Weissenberg number (We < 1) was used to obtain explicit forms for velocity field, pressure gradient per one wavelength. The effects of various pertinent parameters on the pressure gradient and pumping characteristics are discussed through graphs in detail.

#### 2. Mathematical Formulation

We consider the peristaltic transport of a Williamson fluid through a porous medium in a two-dimensional symmetric channel of width 2a. The flow is generated by sinusoidal wave trains propagating with constant speed c along the channel walls. A uniform magnetic field  $B_0$  is applied in the transverse direction to the flow. The fluid is taken to be of small electrical conductivity, so that the magnetic Reynolds number is small and the induced magnetic field is neglected in comparison with the applied magnetic field. Fig. 1 shows the physical model of the channel.

The wall deformation is given by

$$Y = \pm H(X,t) = \pm a \pm b \cos \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} (X - ct), \qquad (2.1)$$

where *b* is the amplitude of the wave,  $\lambda$  - the wave length and *X* and *Y* - the rectangular co-ordinates with *X* measured along the axis of the channel and *Y* perpendicular to *X*. Let (*U*,*V*) be the velocity components in fixed frame of reference (*X*,*Y*).



Fig. 1 The physical model

The flow is unsteady in the laboratory frame (X, Y). However, in a co-ordinate system moving with the propagation velocity c (wave frame (x, y)), the boundary shape is stationary. The transformation from fixed frame to wave frame is given by

$$x = X - ct, y = Y, u = U - c, v = V$$
(2.2)

where (u, v) and (U, V) are velocity components in the wave and laboratory frames respectively.

The constitutive equation for a Williamson fluid is

$$\tau = -\left[\eta_{\infty} + \left(\eta_{0} + \eta_{\infty}\right)\left(1 - \Gamma\dot{\gamma}\right)^{-1}\right]\dot{\gamma}$$
(2.3)

where  $\tau$  is the extra stress tensor,  $\eta_{\infty}$  is the infinite shear rate viscosity,  $\eta_o$  is the zero shear rate viscosity,  $\Gamma$  is the time constant and  $\dot{\gamma}$  is defined as

$$\dot{\gamma} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \dot{\gamma}_{ij} \dot{\gamma}_{ji}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \pi}$$
(2.4)

where  $\pi$  is the second invariant stress tensor. We consider in the constitutive equation (2.3) the case for which  $\eta_{\infty} = 0$  and  $\Gamma \dot{\gamma} < 1$  so we can write,

$$\tau = -\eta_0 \left( 1 + \Gamma \dot{\gamma} \right) \dot{\gamma} \tag{2.5}$$

The above model reduces to Newtonian for  $\Gamma = 0$ 

The equations governing the flow in the wave frame of reference are

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0 \tag{2.6}$$

$$\rho\left(u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \tau_{xx}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \tau_{yx}}{\partial y} - \left[\sigma B_0^2 + \frac{\eta_0}{k}\right] (u+c)$$
(2.7)

$$\rho\left(u\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \tau_{xy}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \tau_{yy}}{\partial y} - \frac{\eta_0}{k}v$$
(2.8)

where  $\rho$  is the density,  $\sigma$  is the electrical conductivity and k is the permeability of the porous medium.

The corresponding dimensional boundary conditions are

$$u + \beta \tau_{xy} = -c$$
 at  $y = H(x)$  (slip condition) (2.9)

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = 0$$
 at y=0 (symmetry condition) (2.10)

Introducing the non-dimensional variables defined by

$$\overline{x} = \frac{x}{\lambda}, \quad \overline{y} = \frac{y}{a}, \quad \overline{u} = \frac{u}{c}, \quad \overline{v} = \frac{v}{c\delta}, \quad \delta = \frac{a}{\lambda}, \quad \overline{p} = \frac{pa^2}{\eta_0 c\lambda}, \quad \phi = \frac{b}{a}$$

$$h = \frac{H}{a}, \quad \overline{t} = \frac{ct}{\lambda}, \quad \overline{\tau}_{xx} = \frac{\lambda}{\eta_0 c} \tau_{xx}, \quad \overline{\tau}_{xy} = \frac{a}{\eta_0 c} \tau_{xy}, \quad \overline{\tau}_{yy} = \frac{\lambda}{\eta_0 c} \tau_{yy},$$

$$\mathbf{R}_e = \frac{\rho ac}{\eta_0}, \quad We = \frac{\Gamma c}{a}, \quad \overline{\dot{\gamma}} = \frac{\dot{\gamma}a}{c}, \quad \overline{q} = \frac{q}{ac} \qquad (2.11)$$

into the Equations (2.6) - (2.8), reduce to (after dropping the bars)

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$$
(2.12)
$$P_{x} = \left( \begin{array}{c} \partial u \\ \partial u \end{array} \right) \quad \partial p_{x} = 2 \left( \begin{array}{c} \partial \tau_{xy} \\ \partial \tau_{yy} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} u^{2} \\ u^{2} \end{array} \right) = 1 \left( \begin{array}{c} u \\ u^{2} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\operatorname{Re}\delta\left[u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right] = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}-\delta^{2}\frac{\partial t_{xx}}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial t_{xy}}{\partial y}-\left[M^{2}+\frac{1}{Da}\right](u+1)$$
(2.13)

$$\operatorname{Re} \delta^{3} \left( u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} - \delta^{2} \frac{\partial \tau_{xy}}{\partial y} - \delta \frac{\partial \tau_{yy}}{\partial y} - \frac{\delta^{2}}{Da} v \qquad (2.14)$$

where  $\tau_{xx} = -2\left[1 + We \dot{\gamma}\right] \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \quad \tau_{xy} = -\left[1 + We \dot{\gamma}\right] \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \delta^2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right),$ 

$$\tau_{yy} = -2\delta \left[1 + We \ \dot{\gamma}\right] \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \quad , \qquad \dot{\gamma} = \left[2\delta^2 \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \delta^2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)^2 + 2\delta^2 \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}\right)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
$$M = aB_0 \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\eta_0}} \quad \text{is the Hartmann number and} \quad Da = \frac{k}{a^2} \quad \text{is the Darcy number.}$$

Under lubrication approach, neglecting the terms of order  $\,\delta\,\,$  and Re, we get

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left\{ \left[ 1 + We \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right] \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right\} - \left[ M^2 + \frac{1}{Da} \right] (u+1)$$
(2.15)

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} = 0 \tag{2.16}$$

From Eq. (2.15) and (2.16), we get

$$\frac{dp}{dx} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + We \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] - N^2 \left( u + 1 \right)$$
(2.17)

here  $N = \sqrt{M^2 + \frac{1}{Da}}$ .

The corresponding non-dimensional slip boundary conditions in the wave frame are given by

$$u + \beta \left[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + We \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] = -1 \quad \text{at} \quad y = h = 1 + \phi \cos 2\pi x \tag{2.18}$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = 0$$
 at  $y = 0$  (2.19)

The volume flow rate q in a wave frame of reference is given by

$$q = \int_{0}^{h} u dy \,. \tag{2.20}$$

The instantaneous flow Q(X,t) in the laboratory frame is

$$Q(X,t) = \int_{0}^{h} U dY = \int_{0}^{h} (u+1) dy = q+h$$
(2.21)

The time averaged volume flow rate  $\overline{Q}$  over one period  $T\left(=\frac{\lambda}{c}\right)$  of the peristaltic wave is given by

$$\overline{Q} = \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} Q dt = q + 1$$
(2.22)

### **3.** Solution

Since Eq. (2.17) is a non-linear differential equation, it is not possible to obtain closed form solution. Therefore we employ regular perturbation to find the solution.

For perturbation solution, we expand  $u, \frac{dp}{dx}$  and q as follows

$$u = u_0 + We u_1 + O(We^2)$$
(3.1)

$$\frac{dp}{dx} = \frac{dp_0}{dx} + We \frac{dp_1}{dx} + O\left(We^2\right)$$
(3.2)

$$q = q_0 + We q_1 + O(We^2)$$
(3.3)

Substituting these equations into the Eqs. (2.17) - (2.19), we obtain

## **3.1. System of order** $We^0$

$$\frac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial y^2} - N^2 u_0 = \frac{dp_0}{dx} + N^2$$
(3.4)

and the respective boundary conditions are

$$u_0 + \beta \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial y} = -1 \quad \text{at} \quad y = h \tag{3.5}$$

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial y} = 0$$
 at  $y = 0$  (3.6)

#### **3.2. System of order** $We^1$

$$\frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial y^2} - N^2 u_1 = \frac{dp_1}{dx} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial u_o}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right]$$
(3.7)

and the respective boundary conditions are

$$u_1 + \beta \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} + \beta \left(\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial y}\right)^2 = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad y = h$$
 (3.8)

$$\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} = 0$$
 at  $y = 0$  (3.9)

# **3.3 Solution for system of order** $We^0$

Solving Eq. (3.4) using the boundary conditions (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain

$$u_0 = \frac{1}{N^2} \frac{dp_0}{dx} \left[ \frac{\cosh Ny}{\cosh Nh + \beta N \sinh Nh} - 1 \right] - 1$$
(3.10)

The volume flow rate  $q_0$  is given by

$$q_0 = \frac{dp_0}{dx} \frac{\left[\sinh Nh - Nh\left(\cosh Nh + \beta N \sinh Nh\right)\right]}{N^3 \left(\cosh Nh + \beta N \sinh Nh\right)} - h$$
(3.11)

From Eq. (3.11), we have

$$\frac{dp_0}{dx} = \frac{N^3 (q_0 + h) (\cosh Nh + \beta N \sinh Nh)}{\left[\sinh Nh - Nh (\cosh Nh + \beta N \sinh Nh)\right]}$$
(3.12)

## **3.4 Solution for system of order** $We^1$

Substituting Eq. (3.10) in the Eq. (3.7) and solving the Eq. (3.7), using the boundary conditions (3.8) and (3.9), we obtain

$$u_{1} = \frac{1}{N^{2}} \frac{dp_{1}}{dx} \left[ \frac{\cosh Ny}{(\cosh Nh + \beta N \sinh Nh)} - 1 \right] + \frac{2}{3} \frac{\left(\frac{dp_{0}}{dx}\right)^{2}}{N^{3} A_{1}^{3}} \left[ A_{2} \cosh Ny + A_{1} \sinh Ny - \frac{A_{1}}{2} \sinh 2Ny \right]$$

(3.13)

where  $A_1 = \cosh Nh + \beta N \sinh Nh$ 

$$A_2 = -\sinh Nh + \frac{1}{2}\sinh 2Nh - \beta N\cosh Nh + N\beta\cosh 2Nh - \frac{3}{2}N\beta\sinh^2 Nh$$

The volume flow rate  $q_1$  is given by

$$q_{1} = \frac{1}{N^{3}A_{1}} \frac{dp_{1}}{dx} \left[\sinh Nh - NhA_{1}\right] + \frac{2}{3} \frac{A_{3}}{N^{4}A_{1}^{3}} \left(\frac{dp_{0}}{dx}\right)^{2}$$
(3.14)

where  $A_3 = A_2 \sinh Nh + A_1 \cosh Nh - \frac{A_1}{4} \cosh 2Nh - \frac{3}{4}A_1$ .

From Eq. (3.14), we have

$$\frac{dp_1}{dx} = \frac{N^3 \left[ q_1 - \frac{2}{3} \frac{A_3}{N^4 A_1^3} \left( \frac{dp_0}{dx} \right)^2 \right] A_1}{\left(\sinh Nh - NhA_1\right)}$$
(3.15)

Substituting Equations (3.12) and (3.15) into second equation of the Eq. (3.2) and using the relation  $\frac{dp_0}{dx} = \frac{dp}{dx} - We \frac{dp_1}{dx}$  and neglecting terms greater than O(We), we get

$$\frac{dp}{dx} = \left[\frac{(q+h)N^{3}A_{1}}{(\sinh Nh - NhA_{1})} - We\frac{2N^{5}A_{3}(q+h)^{2}}{3(\sinh Nh - NhA_{1})^{3}}\right]$$
(3.16)

The dimensionless pressure rise per one wavelength in the wave frame is defined as

$$\Delta p = \int_0^1 \frac{dp}{dx} dx \tag{3.17}$$

Note that, as  $Da \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\beta \rightarrow 0$  our results coincide with the results of Subba Reddy et al. [22].

#### 4. Results and Discussions

Fig. 2 shows the variation of axial pressure gradient  $\frac{dp}{dx}$  with Weissenberg

number We for  $\phi = 0.6$ ,  $\beta = 0.1$  and Da = 0.1. It is observed that, the axial pressure gradient  $\frac{dp}{dx}$  increases with increasing Weissenberg number We.

The variation of axial pressure gradient  $\frac{dp}{dx}$  with slip parameter  $\beta$  for  $\phi = 0.6$ , M = 1, We = 0.02 and Da = 0.1 is shown in Fig. 3. It is found that, the axial pressure gradient  $\frac{dp}{dx}$  decreases with increasing slip parameter  $\beta$ .

Fig. 4 depicts the variation of axial pressure gradient  $\frac{dp}{dx}$  with Hartmann number *M* for  $\phi = 0.6$ , Da = 0.1,  $\beta = 0.1$  and We = 0.02. It is noted that, the axial pressure gradient  $\frac{dp}{dx}$  increases with increasing Hartmann number *M*.

The variation of axial pressure gradient  $\frac{dp}{dx}$  with Darcy number Da for  $\phi = 0.6$ , M = 1,  $\beta = 0.1$  and We = 0.02 is depicted in Fig. 5. It is observed that, the axial pressure gradient  $\frac{dp}{dx}$  decreases with increasing Darcy number Da.

Fig. 6 illustrates the variation of axial pressure gradient  $\frac{dp}{dx}$  with amplitude ratio  $\phi$  for M = 1, Da = 0.1,  $\beta = 0.1$  and We = 0.02. It is found that, the axial pressure gradient  $\frac{dp}{dx}$  increases with increasing amplitude ratio  $\phi$ .

The variation of pressure rise  $\Delta p$  with time-averaged volume flow rate Qfor different values of Weissenberg number We with  $\phi = 0.6$ ,  $M = 1, \beta = 0.1$ and Da = 0.1 is shown in Fig. 7. It is noted that, the time averaged volume flow rate  $\overline{Q}$  increases with increasing We in pumping  $(\Delta p > 0)$ , free-pumping  $(\Delta p = 0)$  and co-pumping  $(\Delta p < 0)$  regions. Further, it is observed that, the pumping is more for Williamson fluid than that of Newtonian fluid.

Fig. 8 shows the variation of pressure rise  $\Delta p$  with time-averaged volume flow rate  $\overline{Q}$  for different values of slip parameter  $\beta$  with  $\phi = 0.6$ , M = 1, We = 0.02 and Da = 0.1. It is observed that, the time-averaged volume flow rate  $\overline{Q}$  decreases with increasing  $\beta$  in both the pumping and free pumping regions, while it increases with increasing  $\beta$  in co-pumping region for chosen  $\Delta p(<0)$ .

The variation of pressure rise  $\Delta p$  with time-averaged volume flow rate Q for different values of Hartmann number M with  $\phi = 0.6$ , Da = 0.1,  $\beta = 0.1$  and We = 0.02 is shown in Fig. 9. It is found that, the time-averaged volume flow rate  $\overline{Q}$  increases with increasing M in the pumping region, while it decreases with increasing M in both the free-pumping and co-pumping regions.

Fig. 10 depicts the variation of pressure rise  $\Delta p$  with time-averaged volume flow rate  $\overline{Q}$  for different values of Darcy number Da with  $\phi = 0.6$ , M = 1,  $\beta = 0.1$  and We = 0.02 is shown in Fig. 9. It is found that, the time-averaged volume flow rate  $\overline{Q}$  decreases with increasing Da in the pumping region, while it increases with increasing Da in both the free-pumping and co-pumping regions.

The variation of pressure rise  $\Delta p$  with time-averaged volume flow rate Q for different values of amplitude ratio  $\phi$  with We = 0.02,  $M = 1, \beta = 0.1$  and Da = 0.1 is depicted in Fig. 11. It is observed that, the time-averaged volume flow rate  $\overline{Q}$  increases with increasing  $\phi$  in both the pumping and free-pumping regions, while it decreases with increasing  $\phi$  in the co-pumping region for chosen  $\Delta p(<0)$ .

#### **5.** Conclusions

In this paper, we investigated the effect of slip on the peristaltic flow of a Williamson fluid through a porous medium in a planar channel, under the assumptions of low Reynolds number and long wavelength. The perturbation series in the Weissenberg number (We < 1) was used to obtain explicit forms for velocity field, pressure gradient per one wavelength. It is found that, the axial pressure gradient increases with increasing We, M and  $\phi$ , while it decreases with increasing  $\beta$  and Da. Further, it is observed that in the pumping region the time averaged flow rate  $\overline{Q}$  increases with increasing with increasing We, M and  $\phi$ , while it decreases with increases with increasing with increasing We, M and  $\phi$ , while it decreases with increases with increasing with increasing We, M and  $\phi$ , while it decreases with increases with increases with increasing B and Da. Moreover it is found that the pumping is more for Williamson fluid than that of Newtonian fluid.



Fig. 2 The variation of axial pressure gradient  $\frac{dp}{dx}$  with We for  $\phi = 0.6$ , M = 1,

```
\beta = 0. and Da = 0.1.
```



Fig. 3 The variation of axial pressure gradient  $\frac{dp}{dx}$  with  $\beta$  for  $\phi = 0.6$ , M = 1, We = 0.02 and Da = 0.1.



Fig. 4 The variation of axial pressure gradient  $\frac{dp}{dx}$  with *M* for  $\phi = 0.6$ ,  $\beta = 0.1$ , We = 0.0 and Da = 0.1.



**Fig. 5** The variation of axial pressure gradient  $\frac{dp}{dx}$  with Da for  $\phi = 0.6$ , M = 1,

 $\beta = 0$ . and We = 0.02.



**Fig. 6** The variation of axial pressure gradient  $\frac{dp}{dx}$  with  $\phi$  for Da = 0.1, M = 1,

 $\beta = 0$ . and We = 0.02.



Fig. 7 The variation of pressure rise  $\Delta p$  with time-averaged volume flow rate  $\overline{Q}$  for different values of We with  $\phi = 0.6$ , M = 1,  $\beta = 0.1$  and Da = 0.1.



Fig. 8 The variation of pressure rise  $\Delta p$  with time-averaged volume flow rate  $\overline{Q}$  for different values of  $\beta$  with  $\phi = 0.6$ , M = 1, We = 0.02 and Da = 0.1.



Fig. 9 The variation of pressure rise  $\Delta p$  with time-averaged volume flow rate  $\overline{Q}$  for different values of M with  $\phi = 0.6$ ,  $\beta = 0.1$ , We = 0.02 and Da = 0.1.



Fig. 10 The variation of pressure rise  $\Delta p$  with time-averaged volume flow rate  $\overline{Q}$  for different values of Da with  $\phi = 0.6$ , M = 1,  $\beta = 0.1$  and We = 0.02.



Fig. 11 The variation of pressure rise  $\Delta p$  with time-averaged volume flow rate Q for different values of  $\phi$  with We = 0.02, M = 1,  $\beta = 0.1$  and Da = 0.1.

#### REFERENCES

[1] N. A. S. Afif, and N. S. Gad, Interaction of peristaltic flow with pulsatile magneto fluid through a porous medium, Acta Mechanica, 149 (2001), 229-237.

[2] N. Ali, Y. Wang, T. Hayat, and M. Oberlack, Slip effects on the peristaltic flow of a third grade fluid in a circular cylindrical tube, J. Appl. Mech., 76 (2009), 1-10.

[3] G.B. Bohme, and R. Friedrich, Peristaltic flow of viscoelastic liquids, J. Fluid Mech. 138 (1983) 109–122.

[4] M.K. Chaube, S.K. Pandey, and D. Tripathi, Slip Effect on Peristaltic Transport of Micropolar Fluid,
 Applied Mathematical Sciences, 4 (2010), 2105 – 2117.

[5] C. Derek, D.C. Tretheway, and C.D. Meinhart, Apparent fluid slip at hydrophobic microchannel walls, Phys. Fluids, 14 (2002) L9.

[6] E.F. El Shehawey, and S.Z.A. Husseny, Effects of porous boundaries on peristaltic transport through a porous medium, Acta Mechanica, 143 (2000), 165–177.

[7] E.F. El-Shehawey, N.T. El-Dabe, and I.M. El-Desoky, Slip effects on the Peristaltic flow of a Non-Newtonian Maxwellian Fluid, Acta Mech., 186 (2006), 141-159. [8] T. Hayat, Y. Wang, A.M. Siddiqui, K. Hutter, and S. Asghar, Peristaltic transport of a third-order fluid in a circular cylindrical tube, Math. Models & Methods in Appl. Sci., 12 (2002), 1691-1706.

[9] T. Hayat, N. Ali, and S.Asghar, Hall effects on peristaltic flow of a Maxwell fluid in a porous medium, Physics Letters A, 363 (2007), 397–403.

[10] T. Hayat, S. Hina, and N. Ali, Simultaneous effects of slip and heat transfer on the peristaltic flow, Comm. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul., 15 (2010), 1526-1537.

[11] Kh. S. Mekheimer, Non linear peristaltic transport through a porous medium in an inclined planar channel, J. Porous Media, 6 (2003), 190-202.

[12] Kh.S. Mekheimer, and T.H. Al-Arabi, Nonlinear peristaltic transport of MHD flow through a porous medium, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 26 (2003), 1663-1682.

[13] S. Nadeem, and S. Akram, Peristaltic flow of a Williamson fluid in an asymmetric channel, Comm. Non linear sic. Numer. Simul., 15 (2010), 1705–1716.

[14] S. Noreen, T. Hayat, and A. Alsaedi, Study of slip and induced magnetic field on the peristaltic flow of pseudoplastic fluid, International Journal of Physical Sciences, 6(36) (2011), 8018-8026.

[15] A. Raptis, and C. Peridikis, Flow of a viscous fluid through a porous medium bounded by vertical surface, Int. J. Engng. Sci., 2 1(1983), 1327-1330.

[16] A. E. Sceidgger, The physics of through porous media, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1974.

[17] A.M. Siddiqui, A. Provost, and W.H. Schwarz, Peristaltic pumping of a second-order fluid in a planar channel, Rheol.Acta, 30 (1991), 249-262.

[18] D. Srinivasacharya, M. Mishra, and A. Ramachandra Rao, Peristaltic pumping of a micropolar fluid in a tube, Acta Mech. 161 (2003), 165–178.

[19] M.V. Subba Reddy, A. Ramachandra Rao, and S. Sreenadh, Peristaltic motion of a power law fluid in an asymmetric channel, Int. J. Non-Linear Mech., 42 (2007), 1153-1161.

[20] M.V. Subba Reddy, B. Jaya Rami Reddy, M. Sudhakar Reddy, and S. Uma Maheswar, Non-linear peristaltic flow of a fourth grade fluid through a porous medium in an inclined asymmetric channel, International Journal of Fluids Engineering, 3 (2011), 381-395. [21] M.V. Subba Reddy, B. Jayarami Reddy, N. Nagendra, and B. Swaroopa, Slip effects on the peristaltic motion of a Jeffrey fluid through a porous medium in an asymmetric channel under the effect magnetic field, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Fluid Mechanics, 4 (2012), 59-72.

[22] M.V. Subba Reddy, B. Jayarami Reddy, and D. Prasanth Reddy, Peristaltic pumping of Williamson fluid in a horizontal channel under the effect of magnetic field, International Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 3(1) (2011), 89-109.

[23] C.L. Varshney, The fluctuating flow of a viscous fluid through a porous medium bounded by a porous and horizontal surface, Indian. J. Pure and Appl. Math., 10 (1979), 1558.

[24] K. Vazravelu, G. Radharishnamacharya, and V. Radhakrishna Murthy, Peristaltic flow and heat transfer in a vertical porous annulus, with long wave approximation, Int. J. Non-Linear Mech., 42 (2007), 754 – 759.